Response of SINGAPORE

to questions concerning its current policy, position and practice on air carrier ownership and control

Cas Question No Note/Comment No. Yes e by Cas e When designating your airline to operate the agreed services 1 Х Please see Note (1) under an air services agreement, do you require it to be substantially (or majority) owned and effectively controlled by nationals of your country? In dealing with the designation of foreign airlines, which of the 2 following criteria do you accept: Х a) substantially (or majority) owned and effectively controlled Please see Note (1) the designating party or its nationals (the traditional bv approach) b) substantially (or majority) owned and effectively controlled Х Please see Note (1) one or more States that are parties to an agreement or bv predefined regional grouping (e.g. a within a "community of interest" carrier) c) incorporated and having its principal place of business or Х Please see Note (1) permanent residence in the territory of the designating party Х d) having its principal place of business in the territory of and Please see Note (1) effective control by the designating party (without the ownership requirement)

(Date of response: 21/12/04)

	e) having its principal place of business in the territory of and effective regulatory control by the designating party			X	Please see Note (1) As highlighted in Singapore's paper (ATConf/5-WP/39), we are amenable to such criteria, provided the problem of third party free riding is prevented.
	f) any other criteria (please describe)		X		
No.	Question	Yes	No	Cas e by Cas e	Note/Comment
3	In dealing with airline designations in the future, are you willing to accept criteria other than the traditional national ownership and control:				
	a) for both yourself and the foreign partner?	X			Please see Note (1)
	b) for the foreign partner but maintain traditional criteria for yourself?			X	Please see Note (1)
	c) What economic regulatory conditions will you impose for such acceptance? (please describe)		x		
4	Are you willing to consider the following positive action in facilitating liberalization of air carrier ownership and control:				
	a) issuing an individual statement of policy for accepting designations of foreign air carriers?			Х	Please see Note (2)

b) developing a common policy with p indicate, if possible, with which part	-		Х	Please see Note (2)			
c) any other action? (please describe)		Х		Please see Note (2)			
Any other comments on your answers:							

Note:

- (1) Generally, Singapore has been liberalizing the airline designation provisions under our bilateral ASAs to the Principal Place of Business (PPOB) and Effective Control (EC) criteria in place of the traditional Substantial Ownership (SO) and EC as far as possible. However, depending on the unique circumstances of each case, we are flexible in adopting other appropriate criteria. Where Singapore carriers are concerned, we currently require SO and EC as the bulk of our ASAs still adopt these criteria.
- (2) It is not Singapore's practice to issue individual statement of policy and developing a common policy with partner states. However, the above could be considered if it is deemed necessary and appropriate, in accordance to the merits of the individual cases.