International Civil Aviation Organization

DGP-WG/10-WP/28 8/10/10



WORKING PAPER

DANGEROUS GOODS PANEL (DGP) MEETING OF THE WORKING GROUP OF THE WHOLE

Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, 7 to 11 November 2010

Agenda Item 5: Resolution, where possible, of the non-recurrent work items identified by the Air Navigation Commission or the panel

5.4: Review of provisions for information to the pilot-in-command

NOTOC REVIEW

(Presented by G. A. Leach)

SUMMARY

The working group is invited to determine the approach for reviewing the current requirement of the Technical Instructions for the provision of information to the captain.

Action by the DGP-WG is in paragraph 2.

1. **INTRODUCTION**

1.1 At DGP/22 it was decided that in the following biennium a review would be conducted of the requirements in the Technical Instructions for the provision of information to the pilot-in-command (Part 7;4.1). Clearly, before work can begin in earnest it is important to determine the scope of the review, and it is suggested that this should be the first task for the NOTOC Working Group. As a thought starter, the following aspects are suggested, in no particular order of importance:

1.2 Use of the NOTOC

1.2.1 Although it has come to be known as the Notification to Captain, the form is used for other purposes e.g. it is retained on the ground for the use of emergency services. Consequently, should the review look to tailoring the NOTOC to meet the needs of the captain only, with alternatives developed for other parties?

1.3 **Participants in the review**

1.3.1 Who should be involved in the review? In addition to interested panel members, it is suggested representation from those involved in aerodromes, fire and rescue and air traffic services should be invited.

1.4 The currently required elements

1.4.1 What value does each of the specifically required elements bring? Are all of the elements of value to all users of the NOTOC?

1.5 When and where should future meetings be held?

1.5.1 Since it has been suggested that representatives from the areas of aerodromes, fire and rescue and air traffic services should be involved, ICAO Headquarters would seem to be the most logical location for a further meeting. However, if DGP-WG/11 is not held in Montreal, unless an ad hoc working group is arranged, the first opportunity to hold such a meeting would be in the days prior to DGP/23. This would be far from ideal as there would be no time for panel members to seek comment from their respective industries before having to decide on new text almost immediately after the meeting.

1.6 What preparatory work can be done by panel members?

1.6.1 In the United Kingdom some preparatory work has already been done and is continuing. This work includes:

a) Meeting with National Air Traffic Services (NATS). At this meeting NATS expressed their concern over the current requirement in the Technical Instructions because the passing of a large amount of text could be such a distraction that a controller's ability to carry out his primary function i.e. maintaining separation between aircraft would be affected. For this reason NATS felt very strongly that if air traffic services were to continue as a link in the communication chain — the only reason air traffic control (ATC) are involved is because they can act as a conduit between the aircraft and the emergency services at the intended point of destination — ICAO should work towards a far simpler system, perhaps placing greater emphasis on either the use of a summary or a telephone number from where the emergency services could obtain full details of the dangerous goods on board. Consequently, an Air Traffic Services Information Notice (ATSIN) has been drafted; this is appended to this working paper which reflects the requirements of air traffic controllers.

Subsequent to the meeting with NATS a suggestion was made from a fire officer that the telephone number from where information could be obtained should be included in the flight plan; this possibility could be explored with our air traffic colleagues.

b) **Pilot's questionnaire.** Also appended to this working paper are two questionnaires for pilots of passenger and cargo aircraft. The questionnaires detail the current requirements and ask for pilots' views on the importance of each of these. Examples are also shown of possible alternatives to what is currently required. In conjunction with this exercise the author of this working paper travelled on a B747-400 freighter on a flight from the United Kingdom to the United States. During the various sectors the actual NOTOCs produced on the day were each converted into the alternatives in the cargo questionnaire; all of the pilots met believed there was merit in considering one of the alternatives either in part or in total. Questionnaires have been provided to the three biggest United Kingdom passenger operators carrying dangerous goods and the two biggest cargo operators. It has also been produced in the magazine of the

British Airline Pilot's Association. It is hoped that the results of the questionnaires, with an analysis, will be available for the next meeting of the NOTOC review group.

c) **Fire and rescues services.** No formal discussions have been held at this time. However, production of a questionnaire similar to that designed for pilots is being considered.

2. ACTION BY THE `DGP-WG

2.1 Given the limited amount of time available to the NOTOC review group at DGP-WG/10, it is suggested the group concentrate their efforts on developing a strategy for the following twelve months, with a view to producing final report to DGP/23.

DGP-WG/10-WP/28 Appendix A

APPENDIX A

ATSIN Air Traffic Services Information Notice

Safety Regulation Group

Air Traffic Standards Division



ALL ATS

Number xxx

Issued xx Month 20xx

TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS – GUIDELINES FOR AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE UNITS

1. Introduction

- "Dangerous goods" are routinely carried in cargo on both passenger and cargo aircraft 1.1 and must comply with a set of requirements produced by ICAO called the Technical Instructions for the Safe Transport of Dangerous Goods by Air (known as the "Technical Instructions"). Such goods may range from one or two aerosols to large quantities of explosives, radioactive material etc. These Technical Instructions address how dangerous goods must be prepared and handled. There are also requirements that in the event of an in-flight emergency the pilot in command must provide air traffic services (ATS), as soon as the situation permits with details of any dangerous goods carried as cargo. Such details are contained on a "Notification to Captain" (NOTOC) form. This information is then be passed by the air traffic services unit (ATSU) to the destination aerodrome. They in turn provide it to the Rescue and Fire Fighting Services, to assist them in planning their response to the aircraft emergency. It is a popular misconception that notification of dangerous goods is always to be found on the flight plan; whilst this may be so on some occasions many aircraft will be carrying dangerous goods in cargo of some sort and the absence of an annotation on the flight plan should not be taken as an indication that no dangerous goods are being carried.
- 1.2 The Technical Instructions provides for details of the dangerous goods onboard to be provided to the ATSU in one of three forms:
 - a. summary of the quantities and classes or divisions of dangerous goods (dangerous goods fall under 1 of 9 classes, some of which are sub-divided) in each cargo compartment;
 - b.) a telephone number of a location from where detailed information of the dangerous goods can be obtained; or

- c.) very detailed information on all of the dangerous goods, namely:
 - Proper shipping name (generally the name of a chemical)
 - UN number (a 4 digit number prefixed with the letters "UN")
 - Class or division
 - For explosives the compatibility group (a letter after the division which denotes how the explosive will react when detonated)
 - Subsidiary risks (if any some dangerous goods have more than one hazard)
 - Quantity and location on board the aircraft

When a Pilot in command intends to provide an ATSU with information, except when only one or two different types of dangerous goods are being carried, he or she should be encouraged to provide either a summary or a telephone number; many aircraft will be carrying dangerous goods of such varieties and quantities that receipt and transcription of detailed information would be impracticable.

2. Purpose

2.1 This ATSIN is issued to highlight the requirements of ICAO Annex 18 and the associated Technical Instructions regarding communication of dangerous goods information by the Pilot in Command to Air Traffic Service Units.

3. Scope

3.1 This ATSIN is addressed to managers of ATSUs, ATCOSs and FISOs.

4. Recommended actions

4.1 Managers of ATSUs should ensure that unit procedures include guidance on strategies for communicating details of dangerous goods carried on an aircraft. This information may be notified to them by the pilot in command or from another point of contact provided by the aircrew.

5. Queries

5.1 Any queries or further guidance required on the content of this ATSIN should be addressed to:

ATS Enquiries Air Traffic Standards Division CAA Safety Regulation Group 2W Aviation House Gatwick Airport South West Sussex RH6 0YR E-mail: ats.enquiries@caa.co.uk 5.2 Any queries relating to the availability of this ATSIN should be addressed to:

ATS Documents Air Traffic Standards Division CAA Safety Regulation Group 2W Aviation House Gatwick Airport South West Sussex RH6 0YR E-mail: ats.documents@caa.co.uk

6. CANCELLATION

6.1 This ATSIN shall remain in force until

DGP-WG/10-WP/28 Appendix B

APPENDIX B

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR PILOTS OF PASSENGER AIRCRAFT



Dear Sir/Madam

The ICAO Dangerous Goods Panel is responsible for producing the international requirements for the transport of dangerous goods by air, which are then reflected in the International Air Transport Association's Dangerous Goods Regulations. Over the next 2 years the Panel will review the current requirements for the provision of written information to the Captain about any dangerous goods loaded on board their aircraft (usually referred to as the "NOTOC"). Concerns have been expressed by some that too much information is currently required, whilst others have suggested that the status quo should be maintained.

To assist me in my participation in the review, I am keen to hear from pilots as to what information is really of benefit to them and to this end I would be extremely grateful if you would take a few minutes to answer the questions below and then consider the following example NOTOCs. The first is written in accordance with the current requirements. This is followed by 4 alternatives which provide information on the dangerous goods listed in the currently required NOTOC for **passenger aircraft**, but in different ways. These alternatives are purely illustrative and are not being proposed as replacements for the existing requirement. If you have any other alternatives please send them to me at dgo@caa.co.uk

I am very grateful for your assistance.

Regards

Geoff Leach Manager, Dangerous Goods Office Civil Aviation Authority

- DGP-WG/10-WP/28
- How useful are the following elements

 (1 Very useful, 2 Quite useful, 3 No strong feeling, 4 little use, 5 no use)
- a. Air waybill number
- b. Proper shipping name
- c. Technical name of a substance to supplement a generic "n.o.s." (not otherwise specified) proper shipping name
- d. UN number
- e. Class or division
- f. Packing group

3.

- g. Number of packages
- h. Exact loading location
- i. Net quantity or gross mass of each package
- j. For radioactive material the transport index
- k. For radioactive material the category (i.e. I, II or III)
- I. Whether the package must be carried on cargo aircraft only
- m. The aerodrome where the package(s) is to be unloaded
- n. Where applicable an indication that the dangerous goods are carried under a State exemption
- o. The emergency response drill code
- 2. How many times have you had to transmit NOTOC information to ATC?
 - Which of the following 5 NOTOCs do you prefer?

	1	2	3	4	5
hipping name					
1 2	3		More	than 3	

B-2

Never

4. What other purposes do you find the NOTOC information useful for:

Other comments:

Option 1. The current requirement

STATION OF London Gatw		FLIGHT NO. IC 811		NIRCRAFT REGN. G-PIGN	DATE 15 June :	2010		PREPAR				
Station of unloading	Air waybill no.	No. of packages	UN no.	Proper shipping name	Class or division	Subsidiary risk	TI & of	uantity or category each ckage	Packing group	CAO (X)	Carried under State exemption (X)	Loading position
MME	010-1845 5841	3	UN 126	3 Paint	3			5L	Ш			31R
MME	010-1845 5841	20	UN 171	Butyl acid phosphate	8			5L				32L
MME	010-1845 1231	3	UN 126	3 Paint	3			5L				31R
MME	010-1845 6089	3	UN 126	3 Paint	3			5L				22L
MME	010-1845 6089	3	UN 126	3 Paint	3			10L				22L
MME	010-1845 6089	3	UN 126	3 Paint	3			20L				22L
MME	010-1845 9998	3	UN 251	5 Bromoform	6.1			5L				51
MME	010-1845 9998	1	UN 184	3 Propionic acid	8			5L				32L
MME	010-1845 5841	1	UN 122	1 Isopropylamine	3	8		10L				31R
MME	010-1845 5841	10	UN 199	 Flammable liquid n.o.s (Acetone and 1,2,3,6- tetrahydrobenzaldehyde solution) 	3			20L	111			31R
MME	010-1845 3256	50	UN 120	3 Motor spirit	3			4L	П			22R
MME	010-1845 3256	10	UN 120	3 Motor spirit	3			5L				22R
MME	010-1845 3256	300	UN 120	3 Motor spirit	3			2L	11			22R
VIDENCE C	THAT THE PACKAG	OR LEAKING	PACKAGE	RE LOADED AS SHOWN ANE ES.	D THERE WA	AS NO		NS SIGNA ⁻				

B-4

Option 2.

STATION OF LOADING London Gatwick		FLIGH IC 811	ΓNO.	AIRCRAFT REGN.Chapter 1 DATEG-PIGN15 June 2010			PREPARED) BY:			
								Signed			
Loading position		o. of kages	UN	no.	Proper	shipping name		Class or division	Subsidiary risk	Total quantity	Maximum Packing group
Forward hold		9	UN 1	263	PAINT			3		105L	III
	:	360	UN 1	203	MOTOR SPIRIT			3		850L	П
Rear hold		6	UN 1	263	PAINT			3		30L	
		20	UN 1	718	BUTYL ACID PHOS	SPHATE		8		100L	
		3	UN 2	515	BROMOFORM			6.1		15L	
		1	UN 1	848	PROPIONIC ACID			8		5L	
		1	UN 1	221	ISOPROPYLAMINE			3	8	10L	
		10	UN 1	993	FLAMMABLE LIQU	ID N.O.S.		3		200L	III
I CONFIRM THAT TH THERE WAS NO EVI							CAPT	AINS SIGNA	TURE:		
Signed:				St	atus:						

Points of note:

- Sum of packages
 Sum of quantities per item per hold
 Technical name omitted

DGP-WG/10-WP/28 Option 3.

STATION OF LOADING	FLIGHT NO.	AIRCRAFT REGN.	DATE	PREPARED BY:
London Gatwick	IC 811	G-PIGN	15 June 2010	Signed

			_	_	_		CLASS/	DIVISION							_
	1	2.1	2.2	2.3	3	4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	6.1	6.2	7	8	9
	Explosive	Flammable gas	Non- flammable non-toxic gas	Toxic gas	Flammable liquid	Flammable solid	Spontaneously combustible	Dangerous when wet	Oxidizer	Organic peroxide	Toxic	Infectious substance	Radio- active material	Corrosive	Misc
Forward hold					955 L										
Rear hold					240 L						15L			105 L	
I CONFIRM WAS NO E\							ERE	CAPTAINS SIGNATURE:							
Signed:				Sta	tus:										

Points of note:

• Sum of quantities per class/division per hold

Option 4.

STATION OF LOADING	FLIGHT NO.	AIRCRAFT REGN.	DATE	PREPARED BY:
London Gatwick	IC 811	G-PIGN	15 June 2010	Signed

								CLASS/ I	DIVISION							
		1	2.1	2.2	2.3	3	4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	6.1	6.2	7	8	9
		Explosive	Flammable gas	Non- flammable non-toxic gas	Toxic gas	Flammable liquid	Flammable solid	Spontaneously combustible	Dangerous when wet	Oxidize r	Organic peroxid e	Toxic	Infectious substance	Radio- active material	Corrosive	Misc
Forward hold	UN numbers					1263 1203										
	Total quantity					955L										
Rear hold	UN numbers					1263 1221 1993						2515			1718 1848	
	Total quantity					240L						15L			105L	
WAS NO E	M THAT THE EVIDENCE (OF ANY D	AMAGED	OR LEAKII	NG PACK	AGES.	SHOWN #			PTAINS						

Points of note:

- Sum of quantities per class/division per hold;All UN numbers listed.

DGP-WG/10-WP/28 Option 5.

STATION OF LOADING	FLIGHT NO.	AIRCRAFT REGN.	DATE	PREPARED BY:
London Gatwick	IC 811	G-PIGN	15 June 2010	Signed

Bulk		Α	ft				Forv	vard			
5	4	L .	3	3	2			1			
	42	41	32	31	22	2	21	12	11		
UN2515 15 L			UN1718 100 L UN1848 5 L	UN1263 30 L UN1221 10 L UN1993 200 L	UN1: 105 UN1: 850	5 L 203					
		THE PACKAGES LISTED ABOVE WERE LOADED AS SHOWN AND THERE CAPTAINS SIGNATURE: E OF ANY DAMAGED OR LEAKING PACKAGES.									
Signed:		Status	:								

Points of note:

• Quantity per UN number per class per cargo compartment

DGP-WG/10-WP/28 Appendix C

APPENDIX C

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR PILOTS OF CARGO AIRCRAFT



Dear Sir/Madam

The ICAO Dangerous Goods Panel is responsible for producing the international requirements for the transport of dangerous goods by air, which are then reflected in the International Air Transport Association's Dangerous Goods Regulations. Over the next 2 years the Panel will review the current requirements for the provision of written information to the Captain about any dangerous goods loaded on board their aircraft (usually referred to as the "NOTOC"). Concerns have been expressed by some that too much information is currently required, whilst others have suggested that the status quo should be maintained.

To assist me in my participation in the review, I am keen to hear from pilots as to what information is really of benefit to them and to this end I would be extremely grateful if you would take a few minutes to answer the questions below and then consider the following example NOTOCs. The first is written in accordance with the current requirements. This is followed by 4 alternatives which provide information on the dangerous goods listed in the currently required NOTOC for **cargo aircraft**, but in different ways. These alternatives are purely illustrative and are not being proposed as replacements for the existing requirement. If you have any other alternatives please send them to me at <u>dgo@caa.co.uk</u>

I am very grateful for your assistance.

Regards

Geoff Leach Manager, Dangerous Goods Office Civil Aviation Authority

- DGP-WG/10-WP/28
- How useful are the following elements

 (1 Very useful, 2 Quite useful, 3 No strong feeling, 4 little use, 5 no use)
- a. Air waybill number
- b. Proper shipping name
- c. Technical name of a substance to supplement a generic "n.o.s." (not otherwise specified) proper shipping name
- d. UN number
- e. Class or division
- f. Packing group
- g. Number of packages
- h. Exact loading location
- i. Net quantity or gross mass of each package
- j. For radioactive material the transport index
- k. For radioactive material the category (i.e. I, II or III)
- I. Whether the package must be carried on cargo aircraft only
- m. The aerodrome where the package(s) is to be unloaded
- n. Where applicable an indication that the dangerous goods are carried under a State exemption
- o. The emergency response drill code
- 2. How many times have you had to transmit NOTOC information to ATC?

Never 1

2

3. Which of the following 5 NOTOCs do you prefer?

1	2	3	4	5
3		More	than 3	

C-2

4. What other purposes do you find the NOTOC information useful for:

Other comments:

Option 1. The current requirement

STATION OF London Gatw		FLIGHT NO. IC 811		AIRCRAFT REGN. G-PIGN	DATE 15 June :	2010		PREPARED BY: Signed							
Station of unloading	Air waybill no.	No. of packages	UN no	. Proper shipping name	Class or division	Subsidiary risk	TI& of	uantity or category each ckage	Packing group	CAO (X)	Carried under State exemption (X)	Loading position			
MME	010-1845 5841	10	UN 199	3 Flammable liquid n.o.s (Acetone and 1,2,3,6- tetrahydrobenzaldehyde solution)	3		2	20L	111	X		B1			
MME	010-1845 3256	50	UN 120	3 Motor spirit	3			4L	П			B1			
MME	010-1845 3256	10	UN 120	3 Motor spirit	3			5L	II			B1			
MME	010-1845 3256	300	UN 120	3 Motor spirit	3			20L	II	Х		B1			
MME	010-1845 5841	3	UN 126	3 Paint	3			5L				CL			
MME	010-1845 1231	10	UN 126	3 Paint	3			5L				CL			
MME	010-1845 6089	50	UN 126	3 Paint	3			5L				CL			
MME	010-1845 6089	20	UN 126	3 Paint	3			10L				CL			
MME	010-1845 6089	10	UN 126	3 Paint	3			60L				CL			
MME	010-1845 9998	10	UN 184	8 Propionic acid	8			5L				CR			
MME	010-1845 5841	20	UN 122	1 Isopropylamine	3	8		10L				CR			
MME	010-1845 5841	20	UN 171	8 Butyl acid phosphate	8		1	5L				DL			
MME	010-1845 9998	3	UN 251	5 Bromoform	6.1		1	5L				DL			
VIDENCE C	THAT THE PACKA	OR LEAKING	PACKAG	WERE LOADED AS SHOWN ES.	N AND THE	RE WAS NO	CAPTAI	NS SIGNA ⁻	TURE:						

Option 2.

LOADING	FLIGHT NO.	AIRCRAFT	DATE		PREPARED BY:
STATION LGW	IC811	REGN . G-PIGN	15 2010	June	Signed

Main deck comp	No. of packages	UN no.	Proper shipping name	Class or division	Subs Risk(s)	Total quantity	Max PG
B1	10	UN1993	Flammable liquid n.o.s.	3		2200L	III
B1	360	UN1203	Motor spirit	3		6250L	II
CL	93	UN1263	Paint	3		1115L	III
CR	10	UN1848	Propionic acid	8		50L	III
CR	20	UN1221	Isopropylamine	3		200L	III
DL	20	UN1718	Butyl acid phosphate	8		100L	III
DL	3	UN2515	Bromoform	6.1		15L	III

I CONFIRM THAT THE PACKAGES LISTED ABOVE WERE LOADED AS SHOWN AND THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE OF ANY DAMAGED OR LEAKING PACKAGES.	CAPTAINS SIGNATURE:
Signed:	
Status:	

DGP-WG/10-WP/28

Option 3

STA LGW		OF LOAD	ING	FLIC IC 8	GHT NO. 311		I RCRAFT R -PIGN	EGN.	DATE 15 June 2	2010	PREPARED BY: Signed							
		1				1			CLASS/	/ DIVISION								
		1 2.		.1 2.2		2.3	3	4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1		6.1	6.2	7	8	9	
Main deck comp		Explosive	Flamma gas		Non- flammable non-toxic gas	Toxic gas	Flammable liquid	Flammable solid	Spontaneously combustible	Dangerous when wet	Oxidize	er Organic peroxide	Тохіс	Infectious substance	Radio- active material	Corrosive	Misc	
A1																		
A2																		
B1							8450L											
с	L						1115L											
	R						200L									50L		
D	L												15L			100L		
D R													1	1	1			
Е	L																	
	R	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	T		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
F	L																	
-	R					<u> </u>							1					
EVIDE	ENCE C	THAT THE P OF ANY DAM	MAGED	OR LE	EAKING PAC	CKAGES	3.	S SHOWN AN	ND THERE WAS			INS SIGNATUR						

Option 4.

STATION OF LOADING LGW			FLIGHT NO. AIRCRAFT REGN. DATE PREPARED BY: IC811 G-PIGN 20 June 2010 Signed													
								CLASS/ I	DIVISION							
		1	2.1	2.2		3	4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	6.1	6.2	7	8	9
Main deck compartment		Explosiv	e Flammable gas	Non flamma non-to gas	ible xic	Flammable liquid	Flammat solid	ble Spontaneously combustible	Dangerous when wet	s Oxidize r	Organic peroxid e	Toxic	Infectio us substa nce	Radio- active material	Corrosive	Misc
	UN numbers					UN1993 UN1203										
B1	Total quantity					8450L										
	UN numbers					UN1263										
CL	Total quantity					1115L										
	UN numbers					UN1221									UN1848	
CR	Total quantity					200L									50L	
	UN numbers											UN2515			UN1718	
DL	Total quantity											15L			100L	
WAS NO	RM THAT THE EVIDENCE C	OF ANY	DAMAGED	OR LE		KAGES.		N AND THERE		PTAINS		URE:				

DGP-WG/10-WP/28

Option 5.

STATION OF LOADING LGW		FLIGH IC811	T NO.	D. AIRCRAFT REGN. G-PIGN DATE 20 June 2010 PREPARED BY: Signed														
A1	A2	B1 UN1993 2200L UN1203 6250L	1115L	D UN1718 100L UN2515 15L	E	F	G	H	J	K	L	M	P	Q	R	S	T	
		AT THE PA ENCE OF A						SHOV	VN AND	THERE	САР	TAINS S	IGNATURI	E:				
Signed	Signed: Status: Status:																	