Testing Checklist: State			
	National Considerations	Considered	
	Current health capacity can manage national priorities - identify, diagnose, treat and contact tracing		
	Sufficient capacity to implement national aviation screening tests		
Health capacity (public & private)	Sufficient capacity to manage testing required from other States		
	Health capacities will be periodically reviewed		
	Reducing transmission during the actual travel		
Reason for testing	Reducing potential introduction of disease in a region/country		
	Potentially reducing or eliminating quarantine		
	Not a stand-alone procedure, must form part of the State multi-layer strategy		
	Sufficient personnel available		
	Relevant training conducted		
	Testing protocols defined		
If aviation testing implemented	Procedures implemented for contact tracing		
	Communication procedures are identified & operational to provide up to date information to stakeholders		
	& passengers		
	Procedures are in place to manage arrival passengers if States requirements have changed in the interval		
	between departure and arrival of the passenger		
	Testing rationale and protocols are regularly reviewed		
	Who to test	Considered	
	Exemptions applicable to arriving passengers in accordance with PHC agreements with other States - specify		
	Recognition of test results from other States applicable - specify		
	Test departing passengers as required by another State, taking into account PHC agreements if testing is		
State considerations	dependent on State public health capacities		
	Test all arriving and departing passengers		
	Test only arriving passengers departing from certain regions - specify		
	Test only arriving passengers departing from certain States - specify		
	Test arriving passengers on the basis of the individual's nationality - specify		
Frequency and exemptions	All categories of aviation personnel for example air crew, ground crew, etc specify frequency		
	Certain categories of crew are exempted - specify		
	Air crew are exempted for specific operational reasons for example turn-around crew, multiple-leg		
	journeys, medical certification & training purposes, etc specify		
	Some passengers are exempted for specific reasons for example age limitations, disability considerations,		
	etc specify		

	When to test	Considered
	Specify number of hours prior to departure	
	Confirm that timeframe prior to departure is based on first embarkation point. If not, make provision for	
Prior to departure	and communicate any additional requirements to all stakeholders	
	Specify if timeframe commence from time of specimen collection time or time of reporting	
Upon arrival	Single test conducted - specify when	
	If 2 or more tests required, specify the time frame between the tests; and any quarantine measures during	
	this period, if applicable	
Both pre-departure and upon	Specify requirements for both pre-departure and post-arrival screening and any applicable quarantine	
arrival	requirements	
Prior to arrival (as opposed to	Could be considered when considering quarantine reduction, but not recommended due to practical	
prior to departure)	challenges if short timeframe is proposed	
Transit	Testing not recommended - copy of full test report to be carried by passengers at all times for verification	
	Which test to use	Considered
	Clinical diagnosis of suspected case or contact	
Objective of test	Screening of healthy or asymptomatic potential travelers	
Objective of test	Confirming immunity - only to be considered when immunity and period of immunity have been	
	confirmed following antibody testing and/ or vaccination	
	Molecular test e.g. PCR - specify test after consideration of test performance and provide description of	
	interpretation of result	
Type of test technique	Rapid antigen test - specify test after consideration of test performance and provide description of	
	interpretation of result	
	Antibody/ serological test - not currently recommended, only to be considered when immunity and	
	period of immunity have been confirmed following antibody testing and/ or vaccination	
Effectiveness of test	Complies with performance criteria for application of the type of test	
	Minimum 95% value for sensitivity and specificity for screening tests, recommended to be as close as	
	possible to PCR test	
Test authorisation	Approved by national authority of the State where the test has been registered - specify	
	Approved by national authority of the State where test will be conducted - specify	
	Alternatively recognition of tests between different authorities in accordance with PHC agreements	
	Laboratory approval - specify State, laboratory name, website and any relevant health authority	
	information	

	Determine if there is a need for a confirmatory test to be performed if the test result is positive -	
Confirmatory test	dependent on type of test used	
	If confirmatory test is required, specify type of test and specifications of the test to be used	
	Type of specimen for example nasopharyngeal swab, throat swab, saliva, blood - dependent on test being	
Specimen collection	conducted	
specimen conection	Specify who will be authorised to collect the specimen	
	Protocol for management of specimens	
	Practical considerations	Considered
Testing location	Determine physical location - outside or inside airport facility	
	Physical requirements for testing location - specify	
	Responsibility for testing for example airport authority, public health authority, private healthcare	
Testing convice provider	provider - specify	
Testing service provider	Qualification of persons who will be administering the test - specify	
	Staffing and equipment needed - specify	
	Clear communication of process to passengers, including accepted testing service providers	
	Determine time frame between test being performed and the result being available if testing is conducted	
	on airport premises	
	Determine if there is a need to wait for the result before any further actions could be performed - specify	
Testing process	where passengers will be accommodated if this is the case	
	If actions an be done while waiting for test result, specify sequence of procedures such as check-in,	
	security and customs procedures if test result is not known	
	Action plan to manage time delays that could potentially be caused by testing procedures	
	Determine where, when and by whom results will be assessed for example check-in, migration office,	
Assessing test results	customs, security check points, boarding, etc.	
	Clear decision making protocol and accountability for decisions made	
	Protocol for management of positive tests	
	Referral for clinical assessment - specify facilities, resources, procedures etc.	
	True positive individual must not travel - any potential complications recommended to be communicated	
	prior to conducting the test for examples conditions of travel, legal implications, etc.	
	Close contacts of true positive individuals must not travel - same considerations as for true positive	
Managing positive test results	individuals	
	False positive results - determine procedures and authorised documentation to allow travel of an	
	individual with a false positive result	
	Passengers who has recovered from the disease and is not infectious but still have a positive PCR test -	
	determine procedures and authorised documentation to allow travel of an individual	
	Procedures for rebooking of passengers	
	True positive test results must be reported to the public health authority for contact tracing purposes	

	Test report elements	Considered
Reporting language	English recommended as a minimum requirement	
	Specify any additional languages	
Service provider	Name of testing facility or service provider	
	Contact details for verification of results, if needed	
Personal information	First name and last name (wherever possible, in accordance with details in passport/ travel document)	
	Nationality	
	Date of birth	
Date and time of test	Date and time of collection to be specified (minimum)	
	Recommended that date and time of reporting is documented	
Test result	Type of test conducted, including name of test	
	Result of the test - for example specified as normal/abnormal or positive/negative	
	If confirmatory test has been conducted, name and result of the confirmatory test	
	Paper based for visual inspection might be the only option in the short term, but it is recommended to	
	incorporate QR codes or visible digital seals as soon as the technology becomes available	
Reporting medium	Electronic format preferable with QR code, machine-readable barcode, visible digital seal	
Reporting medium	Result could be uploaded to government portal by laboratory, health professional or the passenger	
	In all instances, procedures need to be clearly documented and communicated to stakeholders and	
	passengers	
	Paper reports should be printed and not hand-written	
Paper based reports	If handwritten text is unavoidable, an official stamp need to be affixed to the report, with the signature	
	and contact details of the person who has administered the test	
Copy of test report	Copy of full test report to be carried by passengers at all times for verification	
Standardised reporting format	Currently under discussion	
	Costs related to testing	Considered
Communication to passengers	Ensue that there is a channel to communicate cost-related factors to stakeholders	
Responsibility for payment	Assess whether requirement for testing is mandatory or voluntary	
	Specify responsibility for payment e.g. state, airline, passenger, crew, other	
Cost of the test	If determined by State, specify amount and currency	
Method of payment	Specify available and accepted methods of payment	
	Vaccination considerations to be added in future	