<u>International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Carbon Offsetting and Reduction</u> Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA)

Application Form for Emissions Unit Programmes

CONTENTS

Section I: About this Assessment

Background

Disclaimer

Section II: Instructions

Submission and contacts

Form basis and cross-references

Form completion

Form scope

Programme revision

"Linked" certification schemes

Disclosure of programme application forms

Section III: Application Form

PART 1: General information

PART 2: Programme summary

PART 3: Emissions Unit Programme Design Elements

PART 4: Carbon Offset Credit Integrity Assessment Criteria

PART 5: Programme comments

Section IV: Signature

SECTION I: ABOUT THIS ASSESSMENT

Background

ICAO Member States and the aviation industry are implementing the Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA). Together with other mitigation measures, CORSIA will help achieve international aviation's aspirational goal of carbon neutral growth from the year 2020.

Aeroplane operators will meet their offsetting requirements under CORSIA by purchasing and cancelling CORSIA eligible emissions units. The ICAO Council determines CORSIA eligible emissions units upon recommendations by its Technical Advisory Body (TAB) and consistent with the CORSIA Emissions Unit Eligibility Criteria (EUC).

In March 2019, the ICAO Council unanimously approved the CORSIA Emissions Unit Eligibility Criteria for use by TAB in undertaking its tasks¹. TAB conducted its first cycle of assessment in 2019, and its recommendations were considered by the Council in March 2020.

Now, ICAO invites emissions unit programmes² to apply for the second cycle of assessment by the TAB, which will involve collecting information from each programme through this programme application form and supplementary materials and requested evidence.

Through this assessment, the TAB will develop recommendations on the list of eligible emissions unit programmes (and potentially project types) for use under the CORSIA, which will then be considered by the ICAO Council.

This form is accompanied by, and refers to, Appendix A "Supplementary Information for Assessment of Emissions Unit Programmes", containing the EUC and Guidelines for Criteria Interpretation. These EUC and Guidelines are provided to inform programmes' completion of this application form, in which they are cross-referenced by paragraph number.

This form is also accompanied by Appendix B "Programme Assessment Scope", and Appendix C "Programme Exclusions Scope", which request all applicants to identify the programme elements⁴ they wish to submit for, or exclude from, TAB's assessment.

This form also requests *evidence of programme procedures or programme elements*. These evidentiary documents enable TAB to a) confirm that a given procedure or program element is *in place*, b) more fully comprehend the programme's summary responses, and c) archive the information as a reference for potential future assessments.

Programme responses to this application form will serve as the primary basis for the assessment. Such assessment may involve e.g. clarification questions, live interview(s) with TAB, and a completeness check of the application, as further requested.

Translation: The working language of the assessment process is English. Translation services are not available for this process. If the programme documents and information are not published in English, the programme should <u>fully describe in English</u> (*rather than summarize*) this information in the fields provided in this form, and in response to any additional questions. Where this form requests *evidence of programme procedures*, programmes are <u>strongly encouraged</u> to provide these documents in English, to provide for accuracy and comprehension. Where this is not possible due to time constraints or document

2

¹ Available on the ICAO CORSIA website: https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/CORSIA/Pages/CORSIA-Emissions-Units.aspx

² "Emissions Unit Programme", for the purposes of TAB's assessment, refers to an organization that administers standards and procedures for developing activities that generate offsets, and for verifying and "issuing" offsets created by those activities. For more information, please review the TAB FAQs on the ICAO CORSIA website: https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/CORSIA/Pages/TAB.aspx

³ Available on the ICAO CORSIA website: https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/CORSIA/Pages/TAB.aspx

⁴ At the "activity type" level (e.g., sector(s), sub-sector(s), and/or project "type(s)")

length, the programme may provide such documents in their original language <u>in a readily translatable format</u> (e.g., Microsoft Word). Those programmes that need to translate documents prior to submission may contact the ICAO Secretariat regarding accommodation.

Disclaimer: The information contained in the application, and any supporting evidence or clarification provided by the applicant including information designated as "business confidential" by the applicant, will be provided to the members of the TAB to properly assess the programme and make recommendations to the ICAO Council. The application and such other evidence or clarification will be made publicly available on the ICAO CORSIA website for the public to provide comments, except for information which the applicant designates as "business confidential". The applicant shall bear all expenses related to the collection of information for the preparation of the application, preparation and submission of the application to the ICAO Secretariat and provision of any subsequent clarification sought by the Secretariat and/or the members of the TAB. Under no circumstances shall ICAO be responsible for the reimbursement of such or any other expenses borne by the applicant in this regard, or any loss or damages that the applicant may incur in relation to the assessment and outcome of this process.

SECTION II: INSTRUCTIONS

Submission and contacts

A programme is invited to complete and submit the form, and accompanying evidence, through the ICAO CORSIA website no later than close of business on **20 April 2020**. Within seven business days of receiving this form, the Secretariat will notify the programme that its form was received.

If the programme has questions regarding the completion of this form, please contact ICAO Secretariat via email: officeenv@icao.int. Programmes will be informed, in a timely manner, of clarifications provided by ICAO to any other programme.

Form basis and cross-references

Questions in this form are derived from the CORSIA emissions unit eligibility criteria (EUC) and any *Guidelines for Criteria Interpretation* introduced in Section I (above). To help inform the programme's completion of this form, each question includes the paragraph number for its corresponding criterion or guideline that can be found in **Appendix A** "Supplementary Information for Assessment of Emissions Unit Programmes".

Form completion

The programme should respond to all questions in this application form. A "complete" response involves three components: a) a written summary response, b) selection of the "YES" check box if a procedure is fully *in place*, and c) supporting evidence.

- a) Written summary responses: The programme is encouraged to construct written summary responses in a manner that provides for general comprehension of the given programme procedure, independent of supporting evidence. TAB will confirm each response in the supplementary evidence provided by the programme. Please note that written summary responses should be provided in all cases—supporting evidence (described in c) below) should not be considered as an alternative to a complete summary response.
- b) <u>"YES" check box</u>: Each question is accompanied by a check box for the programme to indicate the status of a given procedure or programme element. Here, programmes should accurately represent the status of its procedures and programme elements. Please note that an unselected check box does not, in itself, disqualify an application from further assessment; it will be taken into account when TAB reviews the programme's accompanying information.

The programme should select the "YES" check box if a procedure or element is in place.

The programme *should not* select the check box in the following instances:

- 1. The procedure in question is *not relevant to the programme's application* (if, e.g., the question applies to activity(ies) that the programme is not submitting for assessment, or an alternative approach is taken to the procedure or element in question). In such cases, please provide justification in the written summary response.
- 2. The procedure in question is not yet in place, but the programme is planning to introduce such a procedure. In such cases, please describe any such plans in the written summary response, according to form instructions.
- 3. The procedure in question *is not in place*. In such cases, please provide justification in the written summary response.
- c) <u>Supporting evidence</u>: Most questions in this form request *evidence of programme procedures or programme elements*. Such evidence may be found in programme standards, requirements, or guidance documents; templates; programme website or registry contents; or in some cases, in specific methodologies. To help manage file size, the programme should limit supporting documentation to that

which directly substantiates the programme's statements in this form.

Regarding such requests for evidence, programmes can substantiate their responses in any of these ways (**in order of preference**):

- 1. web links to supporting documentation included along with the written summary response; with instructions for finding the relevant information within the linked source, if necessary;
- 2. copying/pasting information directly into this form (no character limits) along with the written summary response;
- 3. attaching supporting documentation to this form at the time of submission, with instructions for finding the relevant information within the attached document(s);

Form scope

The programme may elect to submit for TAB assessment all, *or only a subset*, of the activities supported by the programme. The programme is requested to identify, in the following Appendices, the activities that it wishes to submit for, or exclude from, TAB's assessment:

In <u>Appendix B "Programme Assessment Scope"</u>, the programme should clearly identify, at the "activity type" level (e.g., sector(s), sub-sector(s), and/or programme/project "type(s)"), elements that the programme *is* submitting for TAB's assessment of CORSIA eligibility; as well as the specific methodologies, protocols, and/or framework(s) associated with these programme elements; which *are* described in this form.

In <u>Appendix C "Programme Exclusions Scope"</u>, the programme should clearly identify, at the "activity type" level (e.g., sector(s), sub-sector(s), and/or programme/project "type(s)"), any elements the programme *is not* submitting for TAB's assessment of CORSIA eligibility, which *are not* described in this form; as well as the specific methodologies, protocols, and/or framework(s) associated with these programme elements.

Programme revisions

Where the programme has any plans to revise the programme (e.g., its policies, procedures, measures, tracking systems, governance or legal arrangements), including to enhance consistency with a given criterion or guideline, please provide the following information in response to any and all relevant form question(s):

- a) Proposed revision(s);
- b) Process and proposed timeline to develop and implement the proposed revision(s);
- c) Process and timeline for external communication and implementation of the revision(s).

"Linked" certification schemes

This application form should be completed and submitted exclusively on behalf of the programme that is described in Part I of this form.

Some programmes may supplement their standards by collaborating with other schemes that certify, e.g., the social or ecological "co-benefits" of mitigation. The programme can reflect a linked scheme's procedures in responses to this form, where this is seen as enhancing—i.e. going "above and beyond"—the programme's own procedures.

For example, the programme may describe how a linked scheme audits sustainable development outcomes; but is not expected to report the linked scheme's board members or staff persons.

Programmes should clearly identify any information provided in this form that pertains to a linked certification scheme and/or only applies when a linked certification scheme is used.

Disclosure of programme application forms

Applications, including information submitted in Appendices B and C, and other information submitted by applicants will be publicly available on the ICAO CORSIA website, except for materials which the applicants designate as business confidential.

The public will be invited to submit comments on the information submitted, including regarding consistency with the emissions unit criteria (EUC), through the ICAO CORSIA website, for consideration by the TAB in its assessment.

SECTION III: APPLICATION FORM

PART 1: General information

A. Programme Information	<u>I</u>
Programme name:	Olkaria IV Geothermal Project
Administering Organizatio	n ⁵ : Kenya Electricity Generating Company PLC
Official mailing address:	pr@kengen.co.ke
Telephone #:	+254 020 366 6000 / +254 711 036 000
Official web address:	https://www.kengen.co.ke
B. Programme Administrate Full name and title:	Rebecca Miano (MRS.), MBS
Employer / Company (if no	ot programme): Kenya Electricity Generating Company PLC
E-mail address:	rmiano@kengen.co.ke Telephone #: +254 711 036 801
C. <u>Programme Representation</u> Full name and title:	tive Information (if different from Programme Administrator) Mr. Joshua Were
Employer / Company (if no	
E-mail address:	jwere@kengen.co.ke Telephone #: +254 711 036 536
D. Programme Senior Staff	f / Leadership (e.g., President / CEO, board members)
List the names and titles of	programme's senior staff / leadership, including board members:
Mr. Joshua Choge- Board Cha Corporate and Regulatory Serv	ir; Rebecca Miano (MRS.), MBS – Managing Director & CEO, Mr. John Mudany - Agices Director; Mr. Joshua Were – Environment and Sustainable Development Manager.
functional relationship a) bet	t (in the space below or as an attachment) that illustrates, or otherwise describes, the ween the individuals listed in D; and b) between those individuals and programme functions of each organizational unit and interlinkages with other units.

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/gb16nn5qimulesw/AABrw2xNoZWask6y5KDZrZ6Qa?dl=0

⁵ Name of the business, government agency, organization, or other entity that administers the Emissions Unit Programme, *if different from "Programme Name"*.

PART 2: Programme summary

Provide a summary description of your programme

Olkaria IV Geothermal Project (also known as Olkarai Domes), is a project Owned by Kenya Electricity Generating Company PLC (KenGen). The objective of the project is to add about 1,128,288 MWh per year of geothermal-generated electricity to the Kenya national grid system through installation of two turbine generators with a net generation of 70MW per unit. The project is a greenfield renewable energy project which will utilise steam collected from geothermal wells for electricity generation.

The project activity results in greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions by displacing fossil fuel-dominated electricity generation in the Kenyan grid with renewable geothermal power.

The project was registered as a CDM project with the crediting of 7 years renewable twice. Start of the crediting period is September 1, 2014. The project location is; -0.916 and 36.335

The project contributes to national sustainable development through the following:

Sustainable clean energy: The provision of renewable clean hydroelectricity is a major factor contributing to sustainable development in Kenya through improved environmental quality, positive health impacts and increased productivity. Also, increased power availability will create more opportunities for expanded rural electrification with far reaching impacts on job creation and improved livelihoods in the rural areas.

Decreased dependence on fossil fuels: Hydropower generation has been a major component of Kenya's energy strategy. The project will make positive contribution to the country's implementation of its energy strategy which aims to reduce energy from thermal sources and increase energy from renewable sources. With the project assisting the country to facilitate utilization of renewable energy resources such as hydropower, Kenya's dependence on imported crude petroleum can be reduced significantly

Assistance in community programs: While the sale of the CERs generated by the project will boost production of clean energy in the country, it will also assist poor rural communities in the project area through implementing community programs funded by carbon revenues.

PART 3: Emissions Unit Programme Design Elements

Note—where "evidence" is requested throughout *Part 3* and *Part 4*, the programme should provide web links to documentation. If that is not possible, then the programme may provide evidence of programme procedures directly in the text boxes provided (by copying/pasting the relevant provisions) and/or by attached supporting documentation, as recommended in "SECTION II: INSTRUCTIONS—*Form Completion*".

Note—"Paragraph X.X" in this form refers to corresponding paragraph(s) in <u>Appendix A</u> "Supplementary Information for Assessment of Emissions Unit Programmes".

Note—Where the programme has any plans to revise the programme (e.g., its policies, procedures, measures, tracking systems, governance or legal arrangements), including to enhance consistency with a given criterion or guideline, provide the following information in response to any and all relevant form question(s):

- Proposed revision(s);
- Process and proposed timeline to develop and implement the proposed revision(s);
- Process and timeline for external communication and implementation of the revision(s).

Question 3.1. Clear methodologies and protocols, and their development process

Provide evidence⁶ that the programme's qualification and quantification methodologies and protocols are *in place* and available for use, including where the programme's existing methodologies and protocols are publicly disclosed: (Paragraph 2.1)

A link is shared to the CDM Project registry for this project showing that this project is a CDM registered project https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCI1355128868.24/view

The project's development documents (PDD) submitted to the CDM-UNFCCC website is attached in the following link https://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/g/s/CNUDEWM3VTYP91GBOZQ7XJA8HIS240.pdf/Olkaria%20IV%20Geothermalmo20Power%20Project PDD%20ver03.pdf?t=b0p8cThydG42fDB-kpEZ9Sik1htK0FQ Y6Gt

Summarize the programme's process for developing further methodologies and protocols, including the timing and process for revision of existing methodologies: (*Paragraph 2.1*)

The program is a renewable energy project that displaces the use of fossil fuels in Kenyan grid. The project uses consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources (ACM0002) version 13. The project use a 7 year renewable twice crediting period. The methodology will be upgrade to the latest version when the crediting period expires.

Provide *evidence of the public availability* of the programme's process for developing further methodologies and protocols: (*Paragraph 2.1*)

https://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/g/s/CNUDEWM3VTYP91GBOZQ7XJA8HIS240.pdf/Olkaria%20IV%20Geothermal%20Power%20Project PDD%20ver03.pdf?t=b0p8cThydG42fDB-kpEZ9Sik1htK0FQ Y6Gt

https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/XP2LKUSA61DKUQC0PIWPGWDN8ED5PG

Question 3.2. Scope considerations

Summarize the level at which activities are allowed under the programme (e.g., project based, programme of activities, jurisdiction-scale): (*Paragraph 2.2*)

Activities in the program is project based anchored on grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources of which the jurisdiction is the Kenyan Grid. The project qualifies as large scale in scope.

⁶ For this and subsequent "evidence" requests, evidence should be provided in the text box (e.g., web links to documentation), and/or in attachments, as recommended in "SECTION II: INSTRUCTIONS—Form Completion".

Summarize the eligibility criteria for each type of offset activity (e.g., which sectors, project types, and geographic locations are covered): (*Paragraph 2.2*)

The project falls under the energy sector (grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources). The project harnesses electricity through geothermal electricity generation. The project covers Kenya's jurisdiction through the Kenya Grid.

Provide *evidence* of the Programme information defining a) level at which activities are allowed under the Programme, and b) the eligibility criteria for each type of offset activity, including its availability to the public: (*Paragraph 2.2*)

https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/XP2LKUSA61DKUQC0PIWPGWDN8ED5PG

Question 3.3. Offset credit issuance and retirement procedures	
Are procedures in place defining how offset credits are (Paragraph 2.3)	
a) issued? yes	\boxtimes YES
b) retired / cancelled? yes	\boxtimes YES
c) subject to discounting (if any)?	□ YES
Are procedures in place defining (Paragraph 2.3)	
d) the length of crediting period(s)? yes	\boxtimes YES
e) whether crediting periods are renewable?	\boxtimes YES
Provide evidence of the procedures referred to in a) through e) (if any, in the case of "c"), inc	cluding their

availability to the public: https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/pac/howto/CDMProjectActivity/Issuance/index.html

https://unfccc.int/about-us/partnerships/current-calls-for-partnerships/integration-with-the-un-platform-for-voluntary-cancellation-of-cers#eq-2

https://cdm.unfccc.int/Registry/index.html

https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCI1355128868.24/view

Question 3.4 Identification and Tracking

Does the programme utilize an electronic registry or registries? (Paragraph 2.4.2)

 \boxtimes YES

Provide web link(s) to the programme registry(ies) and indicate whether the registry is administered by the programme or outsourced to a third party (*Paragraph 2.4.2*):

https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCI1355128868.24/view

Does the programme have procedures in place to ensure that the programme registry or registries...:

a) have the capability to transparently identify emissions units that are deemed ICAO-eligible, in all account types ? (Paragraph~2.4.3)

- b) identify, and facilitate tracking and transfer of, unit ownership/holding from issuance to cancellation/retirement? (*Paragraphs 2.4 (a) and (d) and 2.4.4*) ⊠YES
- c) identify unit status, including retirement / cancellation, and issuance status? (*Paragraph* 2.4.4)

 ⊠YES
- d) assign unique serial numbers to issued units? (Paragraphs 2.4 (b) and 2.4.5) ⊠YES
- e) identify in serialization, or designate on a public platform, each unique unit's country and sector of origin, vintage, and original (and, if relevant, revised) project registration date? (Paragraph 2.4.5)

 YES
- f) are secure (i.e. that robust security provisions are in place)? (Paragraph 2.4 (c))

⊠YES

Summarize and provide evidence of the procedures referred to in a) through f), including the availability to the public of the procedures referred to in b), d), and f):

The program uses the CDM registry administered by the UNFCCC CDM executive Board and Secretariat which assigns traceable serial numbers for units issued, cancelled or retired.

https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCI1355128868.24/iProcess/AENOR1568703507.64/view

List any/all international data exchange standards to which the programme's registry(ies) conform: (*Paragraph 2.4 (f)*)

CDM Registry

Are policies and robust procedures in place to...

a) prevent the programme registry administrators from having financial, commercial or fiduciary conflicts of interest in the governance or provision of registry services? (*Paragraph 2.4.6*)

 \boxtimes YES

b) ensure that, where such conflicts arise, they are appropriately declared, and addressed and isolated? (*Paragraph 2.4.6*)

 \boxtimes YES

Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) and b):

https://cdm.unfccc.int/Registry/index.html https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/COPMOP/08a01.pdf#page=27

Are provisions in place...

a) ensuring the screening of requests for registry accounts? (Paragraph 2.4.7)

 \boxtimes YES

b) restricting the programme registry (or registries) accounts to registered businesses and individuals? (*Paragraph 2.4.7*)

 \boxtimes YES

c) ensuring the periodic audit or evaluation of registry compliance with security provisions? (*Paragraph 2.4.8*)

⊠ YES

Summarize and provide evidence of the registry security provisions referred to in a) through c):

https://cdm.unfccc.int/Registry/index.html

https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/COPMOP/08a01.pdf#page=27

Question 3.5 Legal nature and transfer of units

Does the programme define and ensure the underlying attributes and property aspects of a unit? (Paragraph 2.5)

Summarize and provide evidence of the process by which the programme defines and ensures the underlying attributes and property aspects of a unit, including its availability to the public:

The units generated by the project is owned by the project proponent or its agent and once transferred, the units are credited to the buyers account.

https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCI1355128868.24/view

Ouestion	3.6	Validation	and	verification	procedures

Are standards,	requirements,	and procedures	in place for $\\$	(Paragraph 2.6)	

b) the verification of emissions reductions?

c) the accreditation of validators?

d) the accreditation of verifiers?

Provide evidence of the standards, requirements, and procedures referred to in a) through d), including their availability to the public:

https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Standards/accr_man01_2.pdf https://cdm.unfccc.int/DOE/list/index.html

Question 3.7 Programme governance

a) the validation of activities?

Does the programme publicly disclose who is responsible for the administration of the programme? (*Paragraph 2.7*)

Does the programme publicly disclose how decisions are made? (Paragraph 2.7)

Provide evidence that this information is available to the public:

X YES

⊠ YES

https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCI1355128868.24/view

Can the programme demonstrate that it has... (*Paragraph 2.7.2*)

a) been continuously governed and operational for at least the last two years?

 \boxtimes YES

 \boxtimes YES

 \boxtimes YES

b) been continuously operational for at least the last two years?

 \boxtimes YES

c) a plan for the long-term administration of multi-decadal programme elements?

YES

d) a plan for possible responses to the dissolution of the programme in its current form?	\boxtimes YES
Provide evidence of the activities, policies, and procedures referred to in a) through d):	
https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCI1355128868.24/iProcess/AENOR1568703507.64/view	
Are policies and robust procedures in place to	
a) prevent the programme staff, board members, and management from having financial, fiduciary conflicts of interest in the governance or provision of programme services? (<i>Paragr</i> ⊠ YES	
b) ensure that, where such conflicts arise, they are appropriately declared, and addressed (<i>Paragraph 2.7.3</i>)	1 and isolated?
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) and b):	
KenGen is a public company listed in the Nairobi Securities Exchange. The company therefore abides be laws and ethics and the capital markets act. The company has a department that handles security and reports directly to the MD&CEO.	
https://www.dropbox.com/sh/a0iwgxs96iwqvt0/AABWDeYGS5v_RScvGmQAmY21a?dl=0	
If the programme is not directly and currently administered by a public agency, can the programm up-to-date professional liability insurance policy of at least USD\$5M? (<i>Paragraph 2.7.4</i>)	me demonstrate
Provide evidence of such coverage:	
Question 3.8 <u>Transparency and public participation provisions</u>	
Does the programme publicly disclose (Paragraph 2.8)	
a) what information is captured and made available to different stakeholders?	\boxtimes YES
b) its local stakeholder consultation requirements (if applicable)?	⊠ YES
c) its public comments provisions and requirements, and how they are considered (if applicable)?	□ YES
Provide evidence of the public availability of items a) through c):	
https://www.dropbox.com/sh/3rvrtg43byy6zh4/AADqUQZPBLUDWfMkjc3GQSpca?dl=0	
Does the programme conduct public comment periods relating to (Paragraph 2.8)	
a) methodologies, protocols, or frameworks under development?	□ YES
b) activities seeking registration or approval?	□ YES
c) operational activities (e.g., ongoing stakeholder feedback)	□ YES
d) additions or revisions to programme procedures or rulesets?	□ YES
Summarize and provide evidence of any programme procedures referred to in a) through d):	
Question 3.9 <u>Safeguards system</u>	

to the public:

The company is mandated under the Environmental Management and Coordination Act 1999 to undertake Environmental and Social Impact Assessment prior to development of projects and annual environmental audits

The company is mandated under the Environmental Management and Coordination Act 1999 to undertake Environmental and Social Impact Assessment prior to development of projects and annual environmental audits. https://www.dropbox.com/sh/x11q74bqyw1bzrb/AABXexhZlrqqYPblk-y43pP6a?dl=0

3.10 Sustainable development criteria

Does the programme use sustainable development criteria? (Paragraph 2.10)

 \boxtimes YES

Does the programme have provisions for monitoring, reporting and verification in accordance with these criteria? (*Paragraph 2.10*)

 \boxtimes YES

Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above:

Sustainable development repots are sent to UNFCCC on a voluntary. The company also is a member of the UN Global Compact

https://www.unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/participants/135905

https://www4.unfccc.int/sites/sdcmicrosite/Pages/SD-Reports.aspx

□ YES

3.11 Avoidance of double counting, issuance and claiming

Does the Programme provide information on how it addresses double counting, issuance and claiming in the context of evolving national and international regimes for carbon markets and emissions trading? (*Paragraph 2.11*)

Summarize and provide evidence of the information referred to above, including its availability to the public:

The program uses CDM registry that has a means of double accounting. Once the Paris Agreement is adopted, the host county will develop a registry that will among other things avoid double accounting

https://cdm.unfccc.int/Registry/index.html

PART 4: Carbon Offset Credit Integrity Assessment Criteria

Note—where "evidence" is requested throughout *Part 3* and *Part 4*, the Programme should provide web links to documentation. If that is not possible, then the programme may provide evidence of programme procedures directly in the text boxes provided (by copying/pasting the relevant provisions) and/or by attached supporting documentation, as recommended in "SECTION II: INSTRUCTIONS—*Form Completion*".

Note—"Paragraph X.X" in this form refers to corresponding paragraph(s) in <u>Appendix A</u> "Supplementary Information for Assessment of Emissions Unit Programmes".

Note—Where the programme has any plans to revise the programme (e.g., its policies, procedures, measures, tracking systems, governance or legal arrangements), including to enhance consistency with a given criterion or guideline, provide the following information in response to any and all relevant form question(s):

- Proposed revision(s);
- Process and proposed timeline to develop and implement the proposed revision(s);
- Process and timeline for external communication and implementation of the revision(s).

Question 4.1 Are additional	
Do the Programme's carbon offsets (Paragraph 3.1)	
a) represent greenhouse gas emissions reductions or carbon sequestration or removals that exceed any greenhouse gas reduction or removals required by law, regulation, or legally binding mandate?	□ YES
b) exceed any greenhouse gas reductions or removals that would otherwise occur in a conservative, business-as-usual scenario?	⊠ YES
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) and b), including their availability to the public:	
The program displaces grid connected power plants that use fossil fuels. Check the PDD in the link below https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCl1355128868.24/view	
Is additionality and baseline-setting (Paragraph 3.1)	
a) assessed by an accredited and independent third-party verification entity?b) reviewed by the programme?	⊠ YES
b) leviewed by the programme:	⊠ YES

Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) and b), including their availability to the public:

KenGen has contracted Aenor Internacional to verify the project under rules governing DOEs. https://www.dropbox.com/sh/gg84vzs4596g0ix/AABkzJBLzBmTo0p4HTNo24dsa?dl=0

the project- and/or programme-level: (Paragraphs 3.1, and 3.1.2 - 3.1.3)	
□ Barrier analysis	
☐ Investment, cost, or other financial analysis	
□ Performance standards / benchmarks	
\boxtimes Legal or regulatory additionality analysis (as defined in <i>Paragraph 3.1</i>)	
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in the above list, describing any/all additionality analyses and test types that are utilized under the programme:	including
A project design document was developed for the project which covers all the above analyses. https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCI1355128868.24/view	
If the Programme provides for the use of method(s) not listed above, describe the alternative prand how they ensure that activities are additional: (<i>Paragraph 3.1</i>)	rocedures
If the programme designates certain activities as automatically additional (e.g., through a "positive list" of eligible project types), does the programme provide clear evidence on how the activity was determined to be additional? (<i>Paragraph 3.1</i>) Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures for determining the autor additionality of activities, including a) the criteria used to determine additionality and b) availability to the public:	
Explain how the procedures described under Question 4.1 provide a reasonable assurance mitigation would not have occurred in the absence of the offset programme: (<i>Paragra</i> The project passed all the additionality, barrier and common practice analyses.	
Question 4.2 Are based on a realistic and credible baseline	
Are procedures in place to (Paragraph 3.2)	
a) issue emissions units against realistic, defensible, and conservative baseline estimations of emissions?	⊠ YES
b) publicly disclose baselines and underlying assumptions?	⊠ YES
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) and b), incl how "conservativeness" of baselines and underlying assumptions is defined and ensured:	uding
Emission units issued are verified by and independent party accredited by UNFCCC. https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCI1355128868.24/iProcess/AENOR1568703507.64/view	
Are procedures in place to ensure that <i>methods of developing baselines</i> , including modelling,	benchma

Identify one or more of the methods below that the programme has procedures in place to ensure, and to support activities to analyze and demonstrate, that credited mitigation is additional; which can be applied at

rking or the use of historical data, use assumptions, methodologies, and values do not overestimate mitigation from an activity? (<i>Paragraph 3.2.2</i>)	⊠YES
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above: THE PROGRAM USES methods developed under consolidated methodology ACM0002 by UNFCCC. https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/XP2LKUSA61DKUQCOPIWPGWDN8ED5PG	
Inteps.//cum.umccc.int/methodologies/DB/XF2EROSA01DROQCOFIWFGWDNoED3FG	
Are procedures in place for activities to respond, as appropriate, to changing baseline conditions that were not expected at the time of registration? (<i>Paragraph 3.2.3</i>)	□ YES
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above:	
Question 4.3 Are quantified, monitored, reported, and verified	
Are procedures in place to ensure that	
a) emissions units are based on accurate measurements and valid quantification methods/protocols? (<i>Paragraph 3.3</i>)	⊠ YES
b) validation occurs prior to or in tandem with verification? (Paragraph 3.3.2)	\boxtimes YES
c) the results of validation and verification are made publicly available? (Paragraph 3.3.2)	\boxtimes YES
d) monitoring, measuring, and reporting of both activities and the resulting mitigation is conducted at <i>specified intervals</i> throughout the duration of the crediting period? (<i>Paragraph 3.3</i>)	⊠ YES
e) mitigation is measured and verified by an accredited and independent third-party verification entity? (<i>Paragraph 3.3</i>)	⊠ YES
f) <i>ex-post</i> verification of mitigation is required in advance of issuance of emissions units? (<i>Paragraph 3.3</i>)	⊠ YES
Are provisions in place (Paragraph 3.3.3)	
a) to manage and/or prevent conflicts of interest between accredited third-party(ies) performing the validation and/or verification procedures, and the programme and the activities it supports?	⊠ YES
b) requiring accredited third-party(ies) to disclose whether they or any of their family members are dealing in, promoting, or otherwise have a fiduciary relationship with anyone promoting or dealing in, the offset credits being evaluated?	□ YES
c) to address and isolate such conflicts, should they arise? Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) through c):	□ YES
The project follows the monitoring, reporting and verification protocols of Clean Development Mechanism. Third party independent parties. https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCl1355128868.24/iProcess/AENOR1568703507.64/view	
Are procedures in place requiring that (Paragraph 3.3.4)	a) the renewal of any

activity at the end of its crediting period includes a reevaluation of its baselines, and procedures and assumptions for quantifying, monitoring, and verifying mitigation, including the baseline scenario?	⊠ YES
b) the same procedures apply to activities that wish to undergo verification but have not done so within the programme's allowable number of years between verification events?	□ YES
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) and b), including identifying the allowable number of years between verification events:	
CDM procedures allows for a project to choose between 10 years fixed crediting period or seven year renewable twice crediting period. For this project, the project proponent chose 7 year renewable twice crediting period therefore there will be need to reevaluate the baseline after expiry of the crediting period.	⊠ YES
https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JCl1355128868.24/view	
Are procedures in place to transparently identify units that are issued <i>ex-ante</i> and thus ineligible for use in the CORSIA? (<i>Paragraph 3.3.5</i>)	
Provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above:	
There are no units issued ex-ante. All units must follow the MRV system ex-post.	
Question 4.4 <u>Have a clear and transparent chain of custody</u>	
SECTION III, Part 3.4—Identification and tracking includes questions related to this criterion. No additional information is requested here.	
Question 4.5 Represent permanent emissions reductions	
List all emissions sectors (if possible, activity types) supported by the Programme that present a potential risk of reversal of emissions reductions, avoidance, or carbon sequestration:	
None	
What is the minimum scale of reversal for which the Programme provisions or measures require a response? (Quantify if possible)	
For sectors/activity types identified in the first question in this section, are procedures and measures in place to require and support these activities to	
• • •	\square YES
a) undertake a risk assessment that accounts for, <i>inter alia</i> , any potential causes, relative scale, and relative likelihood of reversals? (<i>Paragraph 3.5.2</i>)	
and relative intellifood of revelouis. (I wing up to 5.5.2)	□ YES
b) monitor identified risks of reversals? (Paragraph 3.5.3)	_ 110
	\square YES
c) mitigate identified risks of reversals? (Paragraph 3.5.3)	
d) ensure full compensation for material reversals of mitigation issued as emissions units and used toward offsetting obligations under the CORSIA? (<i>Paragraph 3.5.4</i>)	□ YES

Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) through d):	
Are provisions in place that (Paragraph 3.5.5)	
a) confer liability on the activity proponent to monitor, mitigate, and respond to reversals in a manner mandated in the programme procedures?	□ YES
b) require activity proponents, upon being made aware of a material reversal event, to notify the programme within a specified number of days?	□ YES
c) confer responsibility to the programme to, upon such notification, ensure and confirm that such reversals are fully compensated in a manner mandated in the programme procedures?	□ YES
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) through c), including indicating the <i>number of days within which activity proponents must notify the programme of a material reversal event</i> :	
Does the programme have the capability to ensure that any emissions units which compensate for the material reversal of mitigation issued as emissions units and used toward offsetting obligations under the CORSIA are fully eligible for use under the CORSIA? (<i>Paragraph 3.5.6</i>)	□ YES
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above:	
Would the programme be willing and able, upon request, to demonstrate that its permanence provisions can fully compensate for the reversal of mitigation issued as emissions units and used under the CORSIA? (<i>Paragraph 3.5.7</i>)	□ YES
used under the COROLL! (I urugruph 3.5.7)	□ YES
Question 4.6 <u>Assess and mitigate against potential increase in emissions elsewhere</u>	
List all emissions sectors (if possible, activity types) supported by the programme that present a potential risk of material emissions leakage:	
None	
Are measures in place to assess and mitigate incidences of material leakage of emissions that may result from the implementation of an offset project or programme? (<i>Paragraph 3.6</i>)	
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above:	
Are provisions in place requiring activities that pose a risk of leakage when implemented at the p to be implemented at a national level, or on an interim basis on a subnational level, in order to risk of leakage? (<i>Paragraph 3.6.2</i>)	-
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above:	

Are procedures in place requiring and supporting activities to monitor identified leakage? (Parag	raph 3.6.3)
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above:	
Are procedures in place requiring activities to deduct from their accounting emissions from an leakage that reduces the mitigation benefits of the activities? (<i>Paragraph 3.6.4</i>)	y identified
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above:	
Question 4.7 Are only counted once towards a mitigation obligation	
Does the Programme have measures in place for the following:	
a) to ensure the transparent transfer of units between registries; and that only one unit is issued for one tonne of mitigation (<i>Paragraphs 3.7.1 and 3.7.5</i>)	⊠ YES
b) to ensure that one unit is issued or transferred to, or owned or cancelled by, only one entity at any given time? (<i>Paragraphs 3.7.2 and 3.7.6</i>)	
c) to discourage and prohibit the double-selling of units, which occurs when one or more entities sell the same unit more than once? (<i>Paragraph 3.7.7</i>)	
d) to require and demonstrate that host countries of emissions reduction activities agree to account for any offset units issued as a result of those activities such that double claiming does not occur between the airline and the host country of the emissions reduction activity? (<i>Paragraph 3.7.3</i>)	
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) through d):	
The units issued are kept in CDM registry. Every transaction is allocated a traceable serial number. Once the units are cancelled or transferred the registry effects the change. The project proponent receives a letter of acceptance from the participating county allowing the project to proceed as a CDM project hence the county will not claim units from the project https://cdm.unfccc.int/Registry/accounts/index.html	
Does the Programme have procedures in place for the following: (<i>Paragraph 3.7.8</i>)	
a) to obtain, or require activity proponents to obtain and provide to the programme, written attestation from the host country's national focal point or focal point's designee?	
⊠ YES	
⊠ YES	
⊠ YES	
b) for the attestation(s) to specify, and describe any steps taken, to prevent mitigation associated with units used by operators under CORSIA from also being claimed toward a host country's national mitigation target(s) / pledge(s)?	c) for Host countr

country in the CORSIA?	
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) through c): YES	Does
□ YES	the Progra mme have proced
□ YES	ures in place to compar e countri es'
	ing for emissio
Does the Programme have procedures in place requiring (Paragraph 3.7.9)	ns units
a) that activities take approach(es) described in (any or all of) these sub-paragraphs to prevent double-claiming?	in nationa l
☐ Emissions units are created where mitigation is not also counted toward national target(s) pledge(s) / mitigation contributions / mitigation commitments. (<i>Paragraph 3.7.9.1</i>)	emissio ns reports against
☐ Mitigation from emissions units used by operators under the CORSIA is appropriately accounted for by the host country when claiming achievement of its target(s) / pledges(s) / mitigation contributions / mitigation commitments, in line with the relevant and applicable international provisions. (<i>Paragraph 3.7.9.2</i>)	the volume s of eligible units
☐ Programme procedures provide for the use of method(s) to avoid double-claiming which are not listed above (<i>Paragraph 3.7.9.3</i>)	issued by the progra
b) that Host Country attestations confirm the use of approach(es) referred to in the list above?	mme and used
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) and b):	under the —CORSI
The units issued are kept in CDM registry. Every transaction is allocated a traceable serial number. Once the units are cancelled or transferred the registry effects the change. The project proponent receives a letter of acceptance from the participating county allowing the project to proceed as a CDM project hence the county will not claim units from the project	A which the host
Does the Programme (Paragraph 3.7.10)	country 's nationa
a) make publicly available any national government decisions related to accounting for units used in ICAO, including the contents of host country attestations described in paragraph 3.7.8?	l reporti ng
b) update information pertaining to host country attestation as often as necessary to avoid double-claiming.	focal point or
Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to in a) and b):	designe

Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above:

designee otherwise attested to its intention to not double-claim? (Paragraph 3.7.13)

Does the Programme have procedures in place for the programme, or proponents of the activities it
supports, to compensate for, replace, or otherwise reconcile double-claimed mitigation associated
with units used under the CORSIA which the host country's national accounting focal point or

Does the

Program \boxtimes YES me have procedur es in place for the program \square YES me, proponen ts of the activities it supports, compens \square YES ate for, replace, or otherwis reconcile doubleclaimed mitigatio associate \square YES with units used under the **CORSIA** which \square YES the host country's national accounti ng focal point or designee otherwis e attested \square YES to its 22

intention to not double-claim? (*Paragraph 3.7.13*)

Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above:

Under the CDM registry, measures have been put in place to avoid double accounting.

 \bowtie YES

 \boxtimes YES

Would the Programme be willing and able, upon request, to report to ICAO's relevant bodies, as requested, performance information related to, *inter alia*, any material instances of and programme responses to country-level double-claiming; the nature of, and any changes to, the the number, scale, and/or scope of host country attestations; any relevant changes to related programme measures? (*Paragraph 3.7.12*)

Question 4.8 Do no net harm

Are procedures in place to ensure that offset projects do not violate local, state/provincial, national or international regulations or obligations? (*Paragraph 3.8*)

Summarize and provide evidence of the policies and procedures referred to above:

The project was declared by the host country as a CDM project through letter of acceptance. The project also abides to all local regulation and those ratified by the host county

Describe, and provide evidence that demonstrates, how the programme complies with social and environmental safeguards: (*Paragraph 3.8*)

An environmental Impact assessment was done for the project and a license issued by National Environmental Management Authority. The license specifies conditions to ensure social and environmental safeguards have been put in place throughout the life cycle of the project.

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/x11q74bqyw1bzrb/AABXexhZlrqqYPblk-y43pP6a?dl=0

Describe, and provide evidence of the programme's public disclosure of, the institutions, processes, and procedures that are used to implement, monitor, and enforce safeguards to identify, assess and manage environmental and social risks: (*Paragraph 3.8*)

The program undertakes annual environmental audits. The audits ensures that environmental and social challenges are identified and addressed in a prudent manner. During the process of audits, the community and other stakeholders share their views about the project's environmental and social performance https://www.dropbox.com/sh/x11q74bqyw1bzrb/AABXexhZlrqqYPblk-y43pP6a?dl=0

PART 5: Programme comments

in this form?	

SECTION IV: SIGNATURE

I certify that I am the administrator or authorized representative ("Programme Representative") of the emissions unit programme ("Programme") represented in a) this form, b) evidence accompanying this form, and c) any subsequent oral and/or written correspondence (a-c: "Programme Submission") between the Programme and ICAO; and that I am duly authorized to represent the Programme in all matters related to ICAO's analysis of this application form; and that ICAO will be promptly informed of any changes to the contact person(s) or contact information listed in this form.

As the Programme Representative, I certify that all information in this form is true, accurate, and complete to the best of my knowledge.

As the Programme Representative, I acknowledge that:

the Programme's participation in the assessment does not guarantee, equate to, or prejudge future decisions by Council regarding CORSIA-eligible emissions units; and

the ICAO is not responsible for and shall not be liable for any losses, damages, liabilities, or expenses that the Programme may incur arising from or associated with its voluntary participation in the assessment; and

as a condition of participating in the assessment, the Programme will not at any point publicly disseminate, communicate, or otherwise disclose the nature, content, or status of communications between the Programme and ICAO, and of the assessment process generally, unless the Programme has received prior notice from the ICAO Secretariat that such information has been and/or can be publicly disclosed.

Full name of Programme Representative (*Print*)

Date signed (*Print*)

Programme Representative (*Signature*)

(This signature page may be printed, signed, scanned and submitted as a separate file attachment)

SECTION IV: SIGNATURE

I certify that I am the administrator or authorized representative ("Programme Representative") of the emissions unit programme ("Programme") represented in a) this form, b) evidence accompanying this form, and c) any subsequent oral and/or written correspondence (a-c: "Programme Submission") between the Programme and ICAO; and that I am duly authorized to represent the Programme in all matters related to ICAO's analysis of this application form; and that ICAO will be promptly informed of any changes to the contact person(s) or contact information listed in this form.

As the Programme Representative, I certify that all information in this form is true, accurate, and complete to the best of my knowledge.

As the Programme Representative, I acknowledge that:

the Programme's participation in the assessment does not guarantee, equate to, or prejudge future decisions by Council regarding CORSIA-eligible emissions units; and

the ICAO is not responsible for and shall not be liable for any losses, damages, liabilities, or expenses that the Programme may incur arising from or associated with its voluntary participation in the assessment; and

as a condition of participating in the assessment, the Programme will not at any point publicly disseminate, communicate, or otherwise disclose the nature, content, or status of communications between the Programme and ICAO, and of the assessment process generally, unless the Programme has received prior notice from the ICAO Secretariat that such information has been and/or can be publicly disclosed.

Signed:

JOSHUA NERÉ

Full name of Programme Representative (Print)

20/4/2020

Date signed (Print)

Programme Representative (Signature)

(This signature page may be printed, signed, scanned and submitted as a separate file attachment)



Programme Application Form, Appendix B

Programme Assessment Scope

<u>CONTENTS</u>: With this document, programmes may define which of their activities they are submitting for assessment by the TAB. The two sheets are described below:

- Sheet A) Activities the programme describes in this form, which will be assessed by ICAO's T.
- Sheet B) List of all methodologies / protocols that support activities described under Sheet A

SHEET A: DESCRIBED ACTIVITIES (Here, list activities supported by the programme that are described in this form for further assessment)

Sector	Supported activity type(s)	Implementation level(s)	Geography(ies)
Energy	Supported activity type(s) Displacement of fossil fuel-dominated electricity generation	Project-level only	Kenya only
		1	
1			
-			1
-			
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

$\textbf{SHEET B: METHODOLOGIES/PROTOCOLS\ LIST\ (Here,\ list\ all\ methodologies/protocols\ that\ support\ activities\ described\ in\ Sheet\ A)}$

			Date of entry into force of most recent version	Prior versions of the methodology that are credited by the Programme (if applicable)	Greenhouse / other gases addressed in methodology	Web link to methodology
						https://cdm.unfccc.int/method
Grid-connected electricity generation from						ologies/DB/XP2LKUSA61DKUQ
renewable sources	ACM0002	V20.0	28/11/2019	V13	Methane	COPIWPGWDN8ED5PG
[
	<u> </u>					
	J					
!	!					
1	1					
	(>		
	(>		
!	!					
;	((
	{					
ļ	<u> </u>					
}	{					
}						
}						
}	{					
ļ				·		
i 	<u> </u>	i 				
! }	 		(
 	! !	! ! !	(
1	!		:			
į	<u> </u>					
	<u> </u>					
[
1	1					
	!					
1	(]		
!	1					
	(>		
!	:		!			
!	!					
}	{ !					
}	{					
}	{					
}	{					
ļ						
	{		{			
1				·		
}	<u> </u>					
į	į					
·	:	,				
} }	{					
: }	{	 		 		
; }	: {					
, 			, !		 	
]					