

INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION

WESTERN AND CENTRAL AFRICAN OFFICE

THIRD MEETING OF THE AFI REGION AIM IMPLEMENTATION TASK FORCE

(Dakar, Senegal, 15 – 17 October 2014)

Agenda Item 6: Review of the National Plans submitted by States in accordance with the Roadmap for the transition from AIS to AIM and a review of the current status in the AFI Region as per state circular letter ref. T 2/7-0295 dated 13 May 2014.

AERONAUTICAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (AIM)

(Presented by the Secretariat)

SUMMARY

This working paper outlines the need for a strategic transition from AIS to AIM and presents the results of the survey carried out as per Appendix 3.5 D of the APIRG/19 Report circulated under State Letter Ref. T 2/7-0295 dated 13 May 2014.

1. Introduction

The APIRG/109 meeting was apprised of the latest developments related to AIM and reiterated the need for the Consolidation of Conclusions and Decisions from APIRG/18 and 17 Meeting Reports pertaining to the AIS-AIM Transition processes as per APIRG/19 Decision 19/01:

DECISION 19/01:

CONSOLIDATION OF CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS

That the Secretariat

- a) finalize the review of the Conclusions and Decisions from APIRG previous meetings, which require further consideration within the Secretariat; and
- b) reflect the consolidation of the Conclusions and Decisions that are still valid in the action plan to be derived from the report of APIRG/19 Meeting.

2. Discussion

Consequently, APIRG/19 noted the follow-up action on previous APIRG/17 and 18 Conclusions/Decisions related to AIM (Conc/Dec: 17/86, 17/88, 17/89,17/90, 17/91, 17/92: 17/93, 17/94, 17/95, 17/97, 18/35, 18/36, 18/37 18/38) as per Appendix A and agreed on the validity of these Conclusions/Decisions for continuous actions as consolidated

under one single APIRG/19 Conclusion 19/40.

The relevant Conclusion is listed below and a reminder for your administration/organization to take action on the implementation of the requirements contained therein:

CONCLUSION19/40:

REGIONAL AND STATE PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TRANSITION FROM AIS TO AIM

That:

- a) The Region develop performance goals for the transition from AIS to AIM in line with the AFI Transition Roadmap from AIS to AIM and Aviation System Block Upgrades methodology;
- b) The Region and States identify achievable milestones in relation to the Transition Roadmap phases 1, 2 and 3;
- c) The Region and States develop and implement progress reporting structures, processes and frequency in terms of the Transition Roadmap phases 1, 2 and 3;
- d) States develop implementation action plans addressing the transition from AIS to AIM in line with the AFI AIS to AIM Transition Roadmap phases 1, 2 and 3 as well as aviation system block upgrades; and
- e) States review and amend as required the AIS/AIM training programmes to encompass the required skills, competences and knowledge to transition from AIS to AIM in line with the AFI AIS to AIM Transition Roadmap.
- As a follow-up action of the APIRG/19 Conclusion 19/40, the ICAO WACAF Office, through State Letter Ref.: T 2/7-0295 dated 13 May 2014, requested all AFI States to complete/update the questionnaire related to National Plans for the transition from AIS to AIM and send it back to the AFI Regional Offices of Dakar and Nairobi by 7 June 2014.

2.6

3. Action by the Meeting

- 3.1 The Task Force is invited to:
 - a) note the contents of this paper;
 - b) review and update, as appropriate, the information at **Attachment A** to this paper; and
 - c) review and update, as appropriate, the information at **Attachment B** to this paper;
 - d) agree on the information to be reflected in Global Air Navigation Report to be published in 2015.

FOLLOW UP TO APIRG/19 CONCLUSION 19/40 (AIM)

Summary of replies to State Letter Ref.: T 2/7-0295 dated 13 May 2014

1. National Plan for the transition from AIS to AIM-Attachment-A

| | a National Plan for the transition from AIS to AIM? the ICAO Roadmap (Phases 1, 2 and 3) ? | YES | NO |
|------------------------------|--|-----|----|
| Algeria | | | |
| Angola | | | |
| Benin | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Burkina Faso | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Botswana | National Plan for transition from AIS to AIM is not yet developed; most of the activities are included in the CAAB – ANS Training plan. The national plan for the transition from AIS to AIM based on ICAO Roadmap will be developed and the timeframe will be from 2011-2013. The implementation will be subject to availability of funds and request ICAO to assist in facilitating this massive training. | X | |
| Burundi | | | X |
| Cameroon | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Cape Verde | • | | X |
| Central African Republic | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Chad | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Comoros | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | X |
| Congo | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | • | | X |
| Djibouti | | | X |
| Egypt | Our plan for the transition from AIS to AIM is presented through answering this questionnaire. | X | |
| Equatorial Guinea | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Eritrea | | | X |
| Ethiopia | Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | X |
| Gabon | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Gambia | | X | X |
| Ghana | National Plan based on ICAO Roadmap yet to be developed. | | X |
| Guinea | Roberts FIR plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | | X |
| Guinea Bissau | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Kenya | National Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Liberia | Roberts FIR plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Libya | | | |
| Lesotho | | | X |
| Madagascar | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Malawi | | | X |
| Mali | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |

| | d a National Plan for the transition from AIS to AIM? In the ICAO Roadmap (Phases 1, 2 and 3)? | YES | NO |
|-----------------------------|--|-----|----|
| Mauritania | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Mauritius | No formal plan has been developed for the whole transition but a set of initiatives for several steps of the Roadmap have already been taken | | X |
| Morocco | | | |
| Mozambique | | | X |
| Namibia | The Transition is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Niger | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | X |
| Nigeria | Yes, it's based on the ICAO Roadmap (phase 1,2 and 3) | X | |
| Rwanda | An official National Plan for the transition from AIS to AIM has been prepared based on the ICAO roadmap as well as our national requirements. | X | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | X |
| Senegal | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Seychelles | • | | X |
| Sierra Leone | Roberts FIR plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | | X |
| Somalia | | | X |
| South Africa | South African Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| South Sudan | | | |
| Sudan | A contract will be signed with Consultant Service Company, by the end of First Quarter of 2013 Sudan will have a National Plan, however a set of initiatives for several steps of the Roadmap Phases were fully covered by our initiatives | | X |
| Swaziland | | | X |
| Togo | ASECNA Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Tunisia | Yes, it's based on the ICAO Roadmap (phase 1,2 and 3) | X | |
| Uganda | Yes, we have a national plan based on ICAO roadmap. Phase 1 is ongoing. Phases 2 and 3; procuring of equipment is ongoing. | X | |
| United Republic of Tanzania | National Plan is based on ICAO Roadmap | X | |
| Zambia | • | | X |
| Zimbabwe | | | X |

| a) | What do you consider a realistic timeframe for the implementation of Phase 1? |
|--------------------------|--|
| Algeria | |
| Angola | |
| Benin | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Burkina Faso | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Botswana | 2011-2013 |
| Burundi | |
| Cameroon | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Cape Verde | 2017 due to daily quanty imprementation 8217 are not yet comensated with daily originates and the publisher (118221111) |
| Central African Republic | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Chad | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Comoros | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Congo | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Cote d'Ivoire | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Democratic Republic of | |
| Congo | |
| Djibouti | |
| Egypt | Already Implemented |
| Equatorial Guinea | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Eritrea | |
| Ethiopia | Implemented |
| Gabon | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Gambia | 2014- due to coordination with ASECNA. |
| Ghana | 2014 –due to lack of data quality implementation- SLA are not yet established with data originators. |
| Guinea | Ordinance to establish a mechanism for Data Quality Resolution and Integrity ongoing. To review the service level agreement between the AIM and the data provider by 2014 (Roberts FIR). |
| Guinea Bissau | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Kenya | Two years (2010-2011) |
| Lesotho | |
| Liberia | Ordinance to establish a mechanism for Data Quality Resolution and Integrity ongoing. To review the service level agreement between the AIM and the data provider by 2014 (Roberts FIR). |
| Madagascar | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Malawi | |
| Mali | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Mauritania | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Mauritius | Implementation of Quality System is in progress and would be completed by August 2014 |
| Morocco | |
| Mozambique | |
| Namibia | |
| Niger | 2014- due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Nigeria | 2013 – 2015 |
| Rwanda | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | |
| Senegal Senegal | 2014 - due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Seychelles | 2017 due to data quality implementation-objet are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ADDENA) |
| Sierra Leone | Ordinance to establish a mechanism for Data Quality Resolution and Integrity ongoing. To review the service level agreement between the AIM and the data provider by 2014 (Roberts FIR). |

| a) | What do you consider a realistic timeframe for the implementation of Phase 1? |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Somalia | 2013 |
| South Africa | 2013 |
| South Sudan | |
| Sudan | QMS implemented and will be certified during 2013. Incremental improvements in data quality achieved staff trained. Decree to establish a mechanism for Data Quality Resolution and Integrity ongoing. Plan to review, reinforce, amend and re-endorsement SLAs between AIM and Data Providers. |
| Swaziland | |
| Tunisia | The timeframe is realistic for the implementation of phase 1. |
| Togo | 2014 - due to data quality implementation-SLA are not yet established with data originators and the publisher (ASECNA) |
| Uganda | June 2013- due to lack of SLAs implementation |
| United Republic of Tanzania | |
| Zambia | |
| Zimbabwe | |

| b) What is the status of | β | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| | P-03 — AIRAC : | adherence monitoring | | | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required | | |
| Algeria | | | | | |
| Angola | | | | | |
| Benin | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) | | |
| Burkina Faso | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) | | |
| Botswana | Implemented ,the organisation has appointed AIS contact persons from different Directorates within the CAAB who are responsible for providing raw data to AIS for publication timely | | Planning to introduce Service Letter of Agreement (SLA) with the aeronautical/data providers | | |
| Burundi | | | | | |
| Cameroon | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) | | |
| Cape Verde | | | | | |
| Central African Republic | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) | | |
| Chad | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) | | |

| | P-03 — AIRA | AC adherence monitoring | |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification require |
| Comoros | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) |
| Congo | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) |
| Cote d'Ivoire | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) |
| Democratic Republic of C | ongo | | |
| Djibouti | | | |
| Egypt | x Through our CAA team; x feed back of the customer satisfaction. | We are planning to have access to Eurocontrol pTracker web based tool | One of the problems we are facing with the originators is convincing them with adhering to AIRAC cycles. Overcoming such problem is by holding meetings and exchanging mutual letters with them. |
| Equatorial Guinea | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) |
| Eritrea | | | |
| Ethiopia | Full compliance with AIRAC | We are planning to have access to ICAO tracker web based tool. | |
| Gabon | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) |
| Gambia | Full compliance with AIRAC | | |
| Ghana | Full compliance with AIRAC | | |
| Guinea | FULLY Implemented (Roberts FIR) | Monitored Since the Introduction of AIP 1 st edition (Roberts FIR) | The compliance of integrated aeronautical information package (IAIP) publication, AIP including amendment service, Supplement to the AIP, AIC, NOTAM, and PIB on State (Roberts FIR) |
| Guinea Bissau | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) |

| b) What is the status | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | adherence monitoring | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | |
| Kenya | Implemented up to the process step "publication" in the frame of the quality Management System | | There seems currently no effective means available to monitor the process steps after "publication", (which is beyond our influence and control (mailing) Data originators not keen on AIRAC | |
| | Implemented using P-tracker tool | | date during submission of data | |
| Lesotho | | | | |
| Liberia | FULLY Implemented (Roberts FIR) | Monitored Since the Introduction of AIP 1 st edition (Roberts FIR) | The compliance of integrated aeronautical information package (IAIP) publication, AIP including amendment service, Supplement to the AIP, AIC, NOTAM, and PIB on State (Roberts FIR) | |
| Libya | | | | |
| Madagascar | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) | |
| Malawi | | | | |
| Mali | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) | |
| Mauritania | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) | |
| Mauritius | Fully implemented | | | |
| Morocco | | | | |
| Mozambique | | | | |
| Namibia | | | | |
| Niger | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) | |
| Nigeria | Yes, manually | 2015 | | |
| Rwanda | | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | | |
| Senegal | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) | |

| b) What is the | status 01 | implementation of the following steps of Phase 1 | | |
|--|-----------|---|--|--|
| P-03 — AIRAC adherence monitoring Implemented Planned Additional | | | | |
| | | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required |
| Seychelles | | (openy now) | (speeny when now) | To be specified |
| Sierra Leone | | FULLY Implemented (Roberts FIR) | Monitored Since the Introduction of AIP 1 st edition (Roberts FIR) | The compliance of integrated aeronautical information package (IAIP) publication, AIP including amendment service, Supplement to the AIP, AIC, NOTAM, and PIB on State (Roberts FIR) |
| Somalia | | YES , MANUALLY | 2013 by making sure that the aeronautical information data is of the required quality and timely distributed /exchanged to recipients according ton AIRAC dates shown in Annex 15 and AIS Doc 8126 | |
| South Africa | | 2011 continuous process | Implemented | iAIP are adhering ICAO requirements Standard and AIRAC Cycle publications are being monitored accordingly |
| South Sudan | | | | |
| Sudan | | Implemented up to the process step "publication" in the frame of the Quality Management System. | | There seems currently no effective means available to monitor the process steps after "publication", (which is beyond our influence and control (mailing). |
| Swaziland | | | | control (maring). |
| Tunisia | | Implemented Tunisia AIS applies the quality control procedures for AIRAC | | |
| Togo | | Full compliance with AIRAC | Monitored since 2009 | Indicator is established to monitor the compliance of all publication (amendment-NOTAM-Supplement and AIC) |
| Uganda | | Implemented up to Distribution; | An online distribution plan - 2013 | Challenges being faced within the delivery chain |
| United Republic of | Tanzania | 2009 continues | implemented | All publications are adhering ICAO requirement system |
| Zambia | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | |
| b) What is the | status of | implementation of the following steps of Phase 1 | | |
| | | P-04 — Monitoring of States' d | lifferences to Annex 4 and Annex 15 | |
| | | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required |
| Algeria | | | | |
| Angola | | | | |
| Benin | | Ferences specified in AIP | | |
| Burkina Faso | | Ferences specified in AIP | | |
| Botswana | Implem | ented. When the new Standards are introduced, AIS | Intending to introduce a monitoring format of | |

| b) What is the | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | P-03 — AIRAC adherence monitoring | | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | |
| | identifies the differences and notifies ICAO of any differences and also publish them in the national AIP | making regular checks and evaluation twice a year from Jan 2012 | | |
| Burundi | | | | |
| Cameroon | Any differences specified in AIP | | | |
| Cape Verde | | | | |
| Central African Republic | Any differences specified in AIP | | | |
| Chad | Any differences specified in AIP | | | |
| Comoros | Any differences specified in AIP | | | |
| Congo | Any differences specified in AIP | | | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | Any differences specified in AIP | | | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | | |
| Djibouti | | | | |
| Egypt | x Through our CAA team. x Through our QMS procedures. | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | Any differences specified in AIP | | | |
| Eritrea | | | | |
| Ethiopia | Any differences specified in AIP | | | |
| Gabon | Any differences specified in AIP | | | |
| Gambia | Differences are specified in AIP but not much. | | | |
| Ghana | | | | |
| Guinea | Fully Implemented (Roberts FIR) | In accordance to Roberts FIR AIP General (GEN) 1.7-1/2 no significant difference from ICAO standard, recommended practices and procedures (Roberts FIR) | The State have reported that no significant differences exist at this stage in the application of the regulatory materials in the three member states of the Roberts FIR; however the slight variations in the application need to be recognized for the future development | |
| Guinea Bissau | Any differences specified in AIP | | | |
| Kenya | Difference monitoring included as a continuous activity in KCAA strategic plan latest update on AIP GEN 1.7 dated July 2012 updated | | | |
| Lesotho | | | | |
| Liberia | Fully Implemented (Roberts FIR) | In accordance to Roberts FIR AIP General (GEN) 1.7-1/2 no significant difference from ICAO standard, recommended practices and procedures (Roberts FIR) | The State have reported that no significant differences exist at this stage in the application of the regulatory materials in the three member states of the Roberts FIR; however the slight variations in the application need to be recognized for the future development | |

| b) What is the | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| | P-03 — AIRAC adherence monitoring | | | | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required | | |
| Libya | | | • | | |
| Madagascar | Any differences specified in AIP | | | | |
| Malawi | | | | | |
| Mali | Any differences specified in AIP | | - | | |
| Mauritania | differences specified | | | | |
| Morocco | ^ | | | | |
| Mauritius | Implemented – Differences are notified to ICAO and publ in AIP Mauritius | ished | | | |
| Mozambique | | | | | |
| Namibia | | | | | |
| Niger | Any differences specified in AIP | | | | |
| Nigeria | No | | | | |
| Rwanda | | | | | |
| Sao Tome and | | | | | |
| Principe | | | | | |
| Senegal | Any differences specified in AIP | | | | |
| Seychelles | | | | | |
| Sierra Leone | Fully Implemented (Roberts FIR) | In accordance to Roberts FIR AIP General (GEN) 1.7-1/2 no significant difference from ICAO standard, recommended practices and procedures (Roberts FIR) | The State have reported that no significant differences exist at this stage in the application of the regulatory materials in the three member states of the Roberts FIR; however the slight variations in the application need to be recognized for the future development | | |
| Somalia | No | 2013 by sending surveyors to Somalia to work on Geographical coordinates and covert them in WGS 84 | Geoid undulation not yet implemented No PBN without WGS 84 | | |
| South Africa | 2011-2015 | Implemented continuous process | The findings are indicated in AIP, General 1: 7-1 onwards | | |
| South Sudan | | | | | |
| Sudan | Differences identified, not published | Ongoing plan to identify all annexes differences by newly established Department. | Sudan CAA plan to enforce ICAO e-notification, ongoing. | | |
| Swaziland | | | | | |
| Tunisia | Differences to annex 4 and annex 15 reglementation are published in Tunisia AIP | | | | |
| Togo | Any differences specified in AIP | | | | |
| Uganda | Differences have been published in the AIP | With AIS automation plan, most differences will be minimised | | | |
| United Republic of Tanzania | 2009 continues | Implemented | The findings are indicated in AIP, General 1: 7-1 onwards | | |
| Zambia | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | | |

| b) What is the status of i | mplementation of the following steps of Phase | 1 in your State? | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--|---------|------------|
| | P-05 — WGS | S-84 implementation | | | | |
| | Implemented | Planned A | | | Planned | Additional |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | | | |
| Algeria | | | | | | |
| Angola | | | | | | |
| Benin | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 | | | |
| Burkina Faso | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 | | | |
| Botswana | Implemented and published in the national AIP | | A planned re-survey of all major airports due to new ongoing constructions and to sign a Service Letter Agreement with Directorate of Airports as the main source of the data to ensure accuracy and traceability of information by 2012. | | | |
| Burundi | | | information by 2012. | | | |
| Cameroon | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 | | | |
| Cape Verde | | | | | | |
| Central African Republic | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 | | | |
| Chad | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 | | | |
| Comoros | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 | | | |
| Congo | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 | | | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 | | | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | | | | |
| Djibouti | | | | | | |
| Egypt | YES – Ref AIP A.R.E page GEN 2.1-2 | | | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 | | | |
| Eritrea | | | | | | |

| Ethiopia | fully implemented | Ethiopia is intending to use WGS-84 coordinates system for any other new coordinates | Ethiopia is recognizing that the implementation of WGS-84 system is an important prerequisite for the implementation of Performance Based Navigation PBN. |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| Gabon | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 |
| Gambia | Implemented and published in the AIP | Maintenance and update are planned for 2013 | A planned re-survey will be conducted in 2013 |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | Survey 2003 | | The basic problem is to transform the national coordinates to WGS-84 and express all coordinates in the global system in relation to RNAV implementation. |
| Guinea Bissau | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 |
| Kenya | Implemented since 2000. Maintenance Survey for 3 airports conducted last month and 3 others scheduled 2013/2014 | | |
| Lesotho | | | |
| Liberia | Survey 1996 | Resurvey programmes 2013-2014 | The basic problem is to transform the national coordinates to WGS-84 and express all coordinates in the global system in relation to RNAV implementation. |
| Libya | | | |
| Madagascar | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 |
| Mauritanie | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | |
| Mauritius | Implemented – since 1998 | | |
| Morocco | | | |
| Mozambique | | | |
| Namibia | | | |
| Niger | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 |
| Nigeria | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | |
| Rwanda | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | |
| Senegal | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 |

| Seychelles | | 1 | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Sierra Leone | Survey 1997 | Paguryay programmas 2012 2014 | The basic problem is to transform the |
| | | Resurvey programmes 2013-2014 | national coordinates to WGS-84 and express all coordinates in the global system in relation to RNAV implementation. |
| Somalia | Yes | By 2013 – showing the differences in the Somalia AIP – GEN section in order to be included in ICAO supplements and in Annex 4 and 15 | Somalia AIP is obsolete. |
| South Africa | 1990-2013 | Implemented continuous process | To conduct WGS84 coordinates maintenance and resurvey the relocated ground navigational aids, airport facilities and convert waypoints coordinates |
| South Sudan | | | |
| Sudan | Implemented – since 1998, resurveyed 2010. | | Geoid Undulation not yet implemented |
| Swaziland | | | |
| Tunisia | Implemented All coordinates mentioned in Tunisia AIP are based on WGS-84 coordinates system (fully implemented) | | |
| Togo | 100% implemented for all important aerodromes | Maintenance and update are planned | Additional survey is planned for 2013 |
| Uganda | Part implementation since 2008 | Complete Implementation – 2014 | Geoid Undulation not yet implemented |
| United Republic of Tanzania | 2010-2013 | Ongoing | Waypoints need to be converted Survey the remained aerodromes |
| Zambia | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | |
| b) What is the status of | implementation of the following steps of Phase 1 | | |
| | P-17 | — Quality | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required |
| Algeria | | | |
| Angola | | | |
| Benin | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Burkina Faso | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Botswana | | Planned for 2011-2013, and this will be done by training Management and staff on Quality | |

| | | Assurance. This will be carried out as a project which will involve the Top Management, AIS staff and aeronautical/data providers | |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Burundi | | | |
| Cameroon | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Cape Verde | | | |
| Central African Republic | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Chad | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Comoros | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Congo | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units and NOF. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Cote d'Ivoire | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti | | | |
| Egypt | ISO 9001:2000 certified since DEC 2007 and renewed as ISO 900 1/2008 on DEC 2010 | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Eritrea | | | |

| Ethiopia | | Ethiopia is planning to implement and now on | Ethiopia is recognizing that the provision of quality assured and |
|---------------|--|---|---|
| | | the process of preparing Quality manual. And will be fully implement in 2014. | timely aeronautical information/data to the aviation community is a significant enabling activity for the globalization of ATM. |
| Gabon | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Gambia | QMS implementation is in the planning stages at the moment but not yet completed. | QMS implementation is in the planning stages at the moment but not yet completed. | QMS implementation is in the planning stages at the moment but not yet completed. |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | | | |
| Guinea Bissau | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Kenya | Implemented QMS and got certified in April 2011. Maintenance of QMS a continuous exercise | | Aeronautical Data Quality Course scheduled for next year to empower data providers and AIS in implementation |
| Lesotho | | | |
| Liberia | | | |
| Libya | | | |
| Madagascar | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units and NOF. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units . SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Mauritania | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units . SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Mauritius | Implementation of ISO 9001: 2008 is in progress | | |
| Morocco | | | |
| Mozambique | | | |

| Namibia | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Niger | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units . SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Nigeria | Implemented | | |
| Rwanda | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | |
| Senegal | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units and NOF SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Seychelles | | | |
| Sierra Leone | | | |
| Somalia | Yes , Manually | 2013 by introducing quality system which will contain the procedures and resources necessary for each stage and making sure that received, originated, collated, edited, published and stored aeronautical information meet the needs of the recipients. | Data exchange system will improve data integrity |
| South Africa | 2011-2013 | Implemented continuous process | Training of staff on QMS Implementation Module ongoing, to conduct Gap Analysis in the processes of Implementation. Audited and get certified with ISO 9001:2008 |
| South Sudan | | | |
| Sudan | QMS implemented, will be certified during 2013. | | |
| Swaziland | | | |
| Tunisia | Implemented Tunisia AIS and aerodrome AIS unit have got the certification of ISO 9001:2008 on JAN 2009 | | |
| Togo | QMS is established in AIS aerodrome units. SLA with data originators elaboration are in progress. Automation system between aerodrome AIS units and NOF is on test | SLA establishment are in progress under regulatory autority | Automation with THALES system ANAIS and AIXM+ |
| Uganda | Implementation ongoing | Total implementation with AIM automation by – 2014 | However, we are faced with Challenges regarding data verification |
| United Republic of Tanzania | Implemented | 2009-2010 | Got certified with ISO 9001 of 2008 |
| Zambia | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | |

3. Phase 2 – Going Digital (2009 – 2011)

| Agesia Agesia Agesia Burisan 2016- due to e TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Burisan Faso 2016- due to e TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Burisan 2 years Burnardi 2016- due to e TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cape Verde 2016- due to e TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Control Afficia Republic Chard 2016- due to e TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Compose 2016- due to e TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to e TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to e TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to e TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Democratic Republic of Congo Diploant Egypt Mild of 2012 Egypt Mild of 2012 Egypt Mild of 2012 Egypt AlMits software is developed and expected to work with some upgrade works 2014 Regardin cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Fireta Finical Gambia Gambia Gambia Gambia Chana Quite Ages of the to e TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Except of the total congress of the total congre | a) | What do you consider a realistic timeframe for the implementation of Phase 2? |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Argola Benin 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Burkina Faso 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Burkina Faso 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cameroon 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Control African Republic 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Control 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Control 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Control 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cord Ovirc 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cord Ovirc 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cord Ovirc 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Encoratic Republic of Congo 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Elimona 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Elimona 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Gabon 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Gabon 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Gabon 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Gabon 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Gabon 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Fire Cause Bissau 2016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Gabon 3016- due to cTOD implementation which needs important me | | the state of the s |
| Berlin 2016- due to el (OD) implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Botswana 2 years Cameroon 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cape Verde 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Comoros 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to el TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | | |
| Burkundi 2 years Burtundi Cameroon 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cape Venk Canera African Republic 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Control African Republic 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Control African Republic 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Control African Republic 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Control C | | 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) |
| Botswana 2 years | | |
| Burundi Cameroon 2016- due to e*TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cameroon 2016- due to e*TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Comoros 2016- due to to TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Comoros 2016- due to to TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Comoros 2016- due to to TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Comoros 2016- due to to TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cote d'Ivoire Democratic Republic of Congo Congo 2016- due to e*TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Democratic Republic of Congo Congo Congo 2016- due to e*TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Egypt Mid of 2012 Equatorial Gainea 2016- due to e*TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Eliticpia Planned: AMHS software is developed and expected to work with some upgrade works 2014 Regardin e*TOD implementation an action plan is prepared Gabon 2016- due to e*TOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Gambia Ghana Guinea Guinea Cutrea Cutrea Course | | |
| Caper Vorde Cape Verde Contral African Republic Contral African Republic Contral African Republic Contral African Republic Condo Contral African Republic Condo Contral African Republic Condo Condo Contral African Republic Condo | Burundi | 2 years |
| Cape Verde Central African Republic Chad 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Comoros 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Congo 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cote of Voire 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cote of Voire 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cote of Voire Congo Co | | 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) |
| Central African Republic 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | | 2010 due to 010D implementation which needs important means (comment and intanetar) |
| Chad 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | - | 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) |
| Compros 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | | |
| Congo 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Cote of Vovice 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Democratic Republic of Congo 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Egypt Mid of 2012 Equatorial Guinea 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Eritrea Planned: | | |
| Cote of Notice 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo Congo Djibouti Egypt Mid of 2012 Equatorial Guinea 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Eritrea Ethiopia Planned: | | |
| Djbouti Egypt Mid of 2012 | Democratic Republic of | |
| Egypt | | |
| Equatorial Guinea Eritrea Ethiopia Planned: AMHS software is developed and expected to work with some upgrade works 2014 Regardin eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Gabon 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Gambia Ginana Guinea Guinea Guinea Bissau 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Kenya Kenya Kenya's Phase 2 runs (2011-2012) and 70% so far completed. The other 30% is to be completed within 2012-2013 performance contract 3 year period is preferred to manage targets not met between 2011-2012. Lesotho Liberia Libya Madagascar Alloft due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Malawi Libya Mali 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritania 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritania Mauritania Mauritania Mauritania Mauritania Maury Seps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015. Morocco Mozambique Namibia Niger 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | | Mid of 2012 |
| Eritrea Ethiopia Planned: AMHS software is developed and expected to work with some upgrade works 2014 Regardin eTOD implementation an action plan is prepared Gabon 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Gambia Guinea Guinea Guinea Guinea Seasa 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Kenya Kenya's Phase 2 runs (2011-2012) and 70% so far completed. The other 30% is to be completed within 2012-2013 performance contract 3 year period is preferred to manage targets not met between 2011-2012. Lesotho Liberia Libya Madagascar Allof- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Malawi Malawi 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritania 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritania Mauritania Many steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015. Morocco Mozambique Namibia 1016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Niger 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | | |
| AMHS software is developed and expected to work.with some upgrade works 2014 Regardin eTOD implementation an action plan is prepared Gabon 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Gambia Guinea Guinea Bissau 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Kenya Kenya's Phase 2 runs (2011-2012) and 70% so far completed. The other 30% is to be completed within 2012-2013 performance contract 3 year period is preferred to manage targets not met between 2011-2012. Lesotho Liberia Libya Guinea Description of the developmentation which needs important means (technical and financial) Madagascar 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Malwi 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritania 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritius Many steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015. Mozambique Mamibia Liber Guine Guine Mamibia Namibia Liber Guine Guine Mamibia Namibia Liber Guine Guine Mamibia Namibia Namibi | | |
| GambiaGambiaGhanaGuineaGuinea Bissau2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)KenyaKenya's Phase 2 runs (2011-2012) and 70% so far completed. The other 30% is to be completed within 2012-2013 performance contract 3 year period is preferred to manage targets not met between 2011-2012.LesothoLiberiaLiberiaLibyaMadagascar2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Mali2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)MauritiusMany steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015.MoroccoMozambiqueNomibiaLibyaNamibia2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)MoritiusMany steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015.MorozmbiqueFormula of the covered by 2015.NamibiaLibyaNamibiaLibyaNamibiaLibyaNamibiaLibyaNiger2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Ешоріа | AMHS software is developed and expected to work.with some upgrade works 2014 |
| Ginea Guinea Guinea Bissau 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Kenya's Phase 2 runs (2011-2012) and 70% so far completed. The other 30% is to be completed within 2012-2013 performance contract 3 year period is preferred to manage targets not met between 2011-2012. Lesotho Liberia Libya Solfie Guine due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Madagascar Malawi Solfie Guine to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritania 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritus Many steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015. Morocco Morambique Normanibique Solfie Guine to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Niger 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Gabon | 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) |
| Guinea Bissau 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Kenya Kenya's Phase 2 runs (2011-2012) and 70% so far completed. The other 30% is to be completed within 2012-2013 performance contract 3 year period is preferred to manage targets not met between 2011-2012. Liesotho Liberia Libya Solfano | Gambia | |
| Guinea Bissau2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)KenyaKenya's Phase 2 runs (2011-2012) and 70% so far completed. The other 30% is to be completed within 2012-2013 performance contract 3 year period is preferred to manage targets not met between 2011-2012.LesothoLiberiaLiberiaLibyaMadagascar2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Mali2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Mauritania2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)MauritiusMany steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015.MozambiqueMozambiqueNamibiaLongon and the properties of the prop | Ghana | |
| KenyaKenya's Phase 2 runs (2011-2012) and 70% so far completed. The other 30% is to be completed within 2012-2013 performance contract 3 year period is preferred to manage targets not met between 2011-2012.LesothoLiberiaLibyaLibyaMadagascar2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Malawi2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Mauritania2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)MauritiusMany steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015.MoroccoMozambiqueNamibiaLibertal Complementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Niger2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Guinea | |
| KenyaKenya's Phase 2 runs (2011-2012) and 70% so far completed. The other 30% is to be completed within 2012-2013 performance contract 3 year period is preferred to manage targets not met between 2011-2012.LesothoLiberiaLibyaLibyaMadagascar2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Malawi2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Mauritania2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)MauritiusMany steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015.MoroccoMozambiqueNamibiaLibertal Complementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Niger2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Guinea Bissau | 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) |
| LesothoLiberiaLibyaLibyaMadagascar2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Mali2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Mauritania2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)MauritiusMany steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015.MoroccoMozambiqueNamibiaNamibiaNiger2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | | Kenya's Phase 2 runs (2011-2012) and 70% so far completed. The other 30% is to be completed within 2012-2013 performance contract 3 year period is |
| Libya Madagascar 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Malawi Mali 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritania 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritius Many steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015. Morocco Mozambique Namibia Niger 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Lesotho | |
| Madagascar Malawi Mali 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mali 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritania 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritius Many steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015. Morocco Mozambique Namibia Niger 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Liberia | |
| MalawiMali2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)Mauritania2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)MauritiusMany steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015.MoroccoMozambiqueNamibiaNamibiaNiger2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Libya | |
| Mali 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritania 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) Mauritius Many steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015. Morocco Mozambique Namibia Niger 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Madagascar | 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) |
| Mauritania2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)MauritiusMany steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015.MoroccoMozambiqueNamibiaNiger2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Malawi | |
| Mauritania2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial)MauritiusMany steps of Phase 2 are being implemented; however the entire scope of data will be covered by 2015.MoroccoMozambiqueNamibiaNiger2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Mali | 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) |
| Morocco Mozambique Namibia Niger 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Mauritania | |
| Mozambique Namibia Niger 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | | |
| Namibia 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Morocco | |
| Namibia 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | Mozambique | |
| Niger 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) | | |
| | Niger | 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) |
| | | |

| a) | What do you consider a realistic timeframe for the implementation of Phase 2? |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Rwanda | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | |
| Senegal | 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) |
| Seychelles | |
| Sierra Leone | |
| Somalia | 2014, by going digital in using computer technology or digital communication and introducing digital data from data base in our production process. |
| South Africa | 2014 – 2016 - due to eTOD implementation which needs legislative, technical and financial input. |
| South Sudan | |
| Sudan | Transfer National Plan will be in place by the end of March 2013. |
| Swaziland | |
| Tunisia | The timeframe is not realistic for the implementation of phase 2. |
| Togo | 2016- due to eTOD implementation which needs important means (technical and financial) |
| Uganda | Some of the activities will be implemented after AIM Automation: 2013 - 2015 |
| United Republic of Tanzania | |
| Zambia | |
| Zimbabwe | |

| b) What is the | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| | P-01 — Data quality monitoring | | | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional comments/clarification | | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | required | | |
| Algeria | | | | | |
| Angola | | | | | |
| Benin | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection | | |
| Burkina Faso | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection | | |
| Botswana | Not yet implemented AIS keeps records and checks all the Integrated Aeronautical Information Package | To introduce QMS Implementation by 2011-2013 | The step will be fully implemented after QMS implementation during 2011-2013 | | |
| Burundi | | | | | |
| Cameroon | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection | | |
| Cape Verde | | | | | |
| Central African Republic | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection | | |
| Chad | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection | | |
| Comoros | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and | | |

| | Data quality indicator is available and monitored | | CAA for national data collection |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Congo | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection |
| Cote d'Ivoire | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored. | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti | | | |
| Egypt | Implemented inside AIS by: x Applying quality control procedures for both technical check for the raw data and editorial check before publication x Using an automated Archiving system for storing and retrieving of raw data. | Development of KPIs software is ongoing, will be in operation by the end of JUL 2011. It is intended to be measured on a quarterly basis. | Its will known that data quality monitoring is extended beyond the AIS (Data originators, End users and sometimes commercial agents i.e Jeppessen). So applying such step on the wide range requires extra efforts especially from State AIS and that's apparent in Egypt through holding monthly meeting with the originators as well as some end users. |
| Equatorial Guinea | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection |
| Eritrea | | | |
| Ethiopia | | SLA Established with some data providers. | |
| Gabon | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection |
| Gambia | | | |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | | | |
| Guinea Bissau | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators-Data quality indicator is available and monitored. | SLA establishment is in progress. | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection |
| Kenya | Implemented through continuous monitoring of QMS- Internal audits | | Aeronautical Data Quality Course scheduled for the next year to empower data providers and AIS on implementation |
| Lesotho | | | |
| Liberia | | | |
| Libya | | | |
| Madagascar | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored. | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored. | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection |

| Mauritania | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality- | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---|
| | SLA must be established with data originators- Data quality indicator is available and monitored. | SZIT COMONOMICH IS IM Progress | coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection |
| Mauritius | A structured monitoring system is not implemented. Introduction of QMS ISO 9001:2008 will resolve this issue. | | State policy under development |
| Morocco | | | |
| Mozambique | | | |
| Namibia | | | |
| Niger | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators-Data quality indicator is available and monitored. | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection |
| Nigeria | Not yet implemented | | |
| Rwanda | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | |
| Senegal | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators-Data quality indicator is available and monitored. | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection |
| Seychelles | | | |
| Sierra Leone | | | |
| Somalia | A structured monitoring system and quality system not implemented | 2014, by making sure that the quality of aeronautical information given suits the recipients and that the recipients are provided with appropriate quality information | |
| South Africa | QMS (CHAIN, OPADD, etc) already implemented by ANSP. Data Quality Monitoring will be continually revised to incorporate new systems, technologies and associate processes. | The centralised repository for Aeronautical information (2013) would ensure quality within all systems across South Africa. | |
| South Sudan | | | |
| Sudan | A structured monitoring system is not implemented. Quality management in the chain is fractured. | | State policy under development. |
| Swaziland | | | |
| Tunisia | Implemented Tunisia AIS applies the quality control procedures for the raw data and editorial check before publication and archiving system for storing and retrieving of raw data | | |
| Togo | A structured monitoring system is implemented in 2012. Quality-SLA must be established with data originators-Data quality indicator is available and monitored. | SLA establishment is in progress | Closer and permanent collaboration and coordination between ASECNA and CAA for national data collection |
| Uganda | A structured monitoring system is not implemented. Quality management in the chain is fractured | | Ensure that the procedure for data quality monitoring is adhered to |
| United Republic of Tanzania | Continues | Continues | geodatabase to be created for a reference to spatial data |
| Zambia | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | |

b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 2 in your State? P-02 — Data integrity monitoring

| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Algeria | (1) | | 1 |
| Angola | | | |
| Benin | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Burkina Faso | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Botswana | Partially implemented AIS verify with the source information/data before publication | | The step will be fully implemented after QMS implementation during 2011-2013 |
| Burundi | | | |
| Cameroon | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Cape Verde | | | |
| Central African Republic | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Chad | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Comoros | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Congo | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Cote d'Ivoire | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti | | | |
| Egypt | Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC) values are applied inside Egypt AIS through an automated system based on AIXM 4.5 DB | Intention to use Standard Input Forms (SIF) which will enable data to be processed electronically avoiding human interference and numerous manual re-entries. (under study) | Since exchanging of data is done in paper form the only method used for the time being is the manual check on every entry |
| Equatorial Guinea | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Eritrea | | | |

| Ed: : | D41' ' ' 1' C 1' D 1 1 CI 1 (CDC) 1 ' '1 | T-1: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|
| Ethiopia | Ethiopia is applying Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC) values inside Ethiopian AIS through an automated system based on (AMHS)AIXM 5.1 DB. | Ethiopia is planning to use Standard Input Forms (SIF) which will enable data to be processed electronically avoiding human interference and numerous manual reentries. | |
| | | interference and numerous manual recitires. | |
| Gabon | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Gambia | | | |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | | | |
| Guinea Bissau | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Kenya | Data Integrity monitoring processes are implemented within automated AIS Systems | | A 3 Step validation process before data is accepted in the database |
| Lesotho | | | |
| Liberia | | | |
| Libya | | | |
| Madagascar | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Mauritania | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Mauritius | Partially implemented | Introduction of QMS ISO 9001: 2008 and the implementation of AIXM 5.1 Implementation date: June 2013 | |
| Morocco | | • | |
| Mozambique | | | |
| Namibia | | | |
| Niger | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Nigeria | Partially implemented. AIS verifies information/data with the source before publication | | |
| Rwanda | r | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | |
| Senegal | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Seychelles | | | |

| Sierra Leone | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Somalia | Not implemented | 2014, by making sure those safety objectives are measurable and adequate. | |
| South Sudan | | | |
| Sudan | Not implemented. | Staff trained, a mechanism for data monitoring ongoing. | |
| South Africa | Partially Implemented. QMS (CHAIN, OPADD, etc) already implemented by ANSP. Data Integrity Monitoring will be continually revised to incorporate new systems, technologies and associate processe | The centralised repository for Aeronautical information (2013) would ensure integrity within all systems across South Africa. | |
| Swaziland | | | |
| Tunisia | Implemented Only for paper form, Tunisia AIS applies the quality control procedures from the raw data until publication | Will be planned when the integrated aeronautical information database will be implemented | |
| Togo | More awareness of actors to make a multiple check before publishing any data. Three checks are needed before data release (data originator-aerodrome AIS unit-NOF) | Since 2011 | Post-checks are done in order to correct timely any mistakes in publication |
| Uganda | No data integrity monitoring system in place yet | CRC tool to be procured with AIM Automation | Procurement ongoing |
| United Republic of Tanzania | August 2011-august 2012 | To be implemented | Purchasing AMHS with new FLP Model/AIS Database System/FDPS/ATIS Training needed and software to read AIXM/AICM e.g. XmlSpy |
| Zambia | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | |
| b) What is the s | status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 2 | in your State? | |
| | P-06 — Integrated aero | onautical information database | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional comments/clarification |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | required |

Algeria Angola Implementation with THALES solution Benin Static data base is implemented static data migration in progress. Dynamic data base under test. Static data base: ANAIS Dynamic data base: NOPIA Burkina Faso Static data base is implemented static data migration in progress. Implementation with THALES solution Dynamic data base under test. Static data base: ANAIS Dynamic data base: NOPIA AIS Databases are available as follows: Botswana In addition, the i. Flight Plan Management database organization has ESRI ii. NOTAM database (generates PIB's) ArcGIS and Adobe iii. OPMET (generates weather information) Illustrator for in-house iv. AIP database (web-based) aeronautical chart

| | The first three items are not integrated to the AIP | | production |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|
| | database and the chart production system is not | | - |
| | linked to any of the databases. | | , |
| | initiod to any of the databases. | | NOTAM Summaries and |
| | | | AIP Supplements are |
| | | | viewed at all the major |
| | | | airports in Botswana |
| | | | In order to have all the systems |
| | | | linked to each other, the |
| | | | organisation has an AIXM, but the |
| | | | challenge is that we do not have |
| | | | the knowledge of AIXM |
| Burundi | | | |
| Cameroon | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. | Implementation with THALES solution |
| I | | Dynamic data base under test. | Static data base : ANAIS |
| Cape Verde | | | Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Cape Verde Central African | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. | Implementation with THALES solution |
| Republic | Static data base is implemented | Dynamic data base under test. | Static data base : ANAIS |
| republic | | Dynamic data base dider test. | Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Chad | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. | Implementation with THALES solution |
| | | Dynamic data base under test. | Static data base : ANAIS |
| | | | Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Comoros | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. | Implementation with THALES solution |
| | | Dynamic data base under test. | Static data base : ANAIS |
| Congo | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. | Dynamic data base : NOPIA Implementation with THALES solution |
| Congo | Static data base is implemented | Dynamic data base under test. | Static data base : ANAIS |
| | | Bynamic data base under test. | Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Cote d'Ivoire | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. | Implementation with THALES solution |
| | · | Dynamic data base under test. | Static data base : ANAIS |
| | | | Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Democratic Republic | | | |
| of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti | | Egypt is intending to have a system based on | The integration of AIS DB with |
| Egypt | | Integrated DB (AIXM5.1) between NOTAM, | other DBs (ATS, MET etc) is |
| | | Briefing, AIP, Chart and procedure design as | taken in our concern and practical |
| | | well. It will be in operation on the MID of 2012. | steps is on the way. |
| Equatorial Guinea | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. | Implementation with THALES solution |
| • | · | Dynamic data base under test. | Static data base : ANAIS |
| | | | Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Eritrea | | | |
| Ethiopia | | Ethiopia is intending to have a system based on | The integration of AIS DB with other DBs |
| | | Integrated DB (AIXM5.1) between NOTAM, Briefing, AIP, . It will be in operation on the mid of | (ATS,MET etc) is taken in our concern and |
| | | , | practical steps is on the way. |
| | | 2014. | |
| Cohon | Statia data basa is implemented | static data migration in progress | Implementation with THALES colution |
| Gabon | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. | Implementation with THALES solution |

| | | Dynamic data base under test. | Static data base : ANAIS Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Gambia | eAIP is available in PDF | Since 2003 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | Dynamic database implemented and Static database is ongoing (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade of the AIXM 8.0 to AIXM 5.1 2013-2014 ongoing (Roberts FIR) | Implementation with COMSOFT's or ATALIS solutions |
| Guinea Bissau | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. Dynamic data base under test. | Implementation with THALES solution Static data base : ANAIS Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Kenya | AIXM 4.5 database implemented currently supporting AIP Charts since 2009 | Integrating for NOTAM and other real time data intended during the upgrade to AIXM 5.1 | Kenya is awaiting ICAO to adopt AIXM 5.1 before upgrating |
| Lesotho | | | 10 0 |
| Liberia | Dynamic database implemented and Static database is ongoing (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade of the AIXM 8.0 to AIXM 5.1 2013-2014 ongoing (Roberts FIR) | Implementation with COMSOFT's or ATALIS solutions |
| Libya | | | |
| Madagascar | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. Dynamic data base under test. | Implementation with THALES solution Static data base : ANAIS Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. Dynamic data base under test. | Implementation with THALES solution Static data base : ANAIS Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Mauritania | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. Dynamic data base under test. | Implementation with THALES solution Static data base : ANAIS Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Mauritius | Not implemented | With the Introduction of a system based on AIXM 5.1 an integration of the static and dynamic database is expected. The deadline for the transition to AIXM 5.1 is December 2014 | |
| Morocco | | | |
| Mozambique | | | |
| Namibia | | | |
| Niger | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. Dynamic data base under test. | Implementation with THALES solution Static data base : ANAIS Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Nigeria | | | |
| Rwanda | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | |
| Senegal | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. Dynamic data base under test. | Implementation with THALES solution Static data base : ANAIS Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Seychelles | | | |
| Sierra Leone | Dynamic database implemented and Static database is on-going (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade of the AIXM 8.0 to AIXM 5.1 2013-2014 on-going (Roberts FIR) | Implementation with COMSOFT's or ATALIS solutions |
| Somalia | Not implemented | 2014, by establishing and maintaining a database where digital aeronautical data is integrated and used to produce current and future AIM products and | |

| | | services. | |
|--------------------|---|---|--|
| South Africa | Implemented. NOTAM database linked to Flight | The implementation of a centralised repository | |
| | Planning System for PIB. These systems to be integrated | (2013) for Aeronautical information (CAD) | |
| | into the Centralised Aeronautical Database. | would ensure integrity within all systems across | |
| | | South Africa. | |
| South Sudan | | | |
| Sudan | Partially implemented. | We got phase 1 of THALES AIM TOP-Sky (MET, Static and dynamic DB) phase 2 will be within 2013 included AIXM 5.1 and eAIP. | |
| Swaziland | | | |
| Tunisia | Implemented only for NOTAM,SNOWTAM and PIB | Plan for the another Integrated aeronautical information elements | |
| Togo | Static data base is implemented | static data migration in progress. Dynamic data base under test. | Implementation with THALES solution Static data base : ANAIS Dynamic data base : NOPIA |
| Uganda | UGANDA Database not yet in place | With AIM automation, centralized database is expected -2014 | |
| United Republic of | | | |
| Tanzania | | | |
| Zambia | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | |

b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 2 in your State? P-07 — Unique identifiers Implemented Planned Additional (specify how) (specify when/how) comments/clarification required Algeria Angola ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with Benin 2013 the specifications of AIXM/AICM ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with 2013 Burkina Faso the specifications of AIXM/AICM Botswana Civil Aviation authority of Botswana (CAAB) needs the assistance of your office in this area, we do not understand what the unique identifiers are, and how it will be implemented Burundi Cameroon ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant 2013 with the specifications of AIXM/AICM Cape Verde ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with Central African 2013 Republic the specifications of AIXM/AICM ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with 2013 Chad the specifications of AIXM/AICM ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with Comoros 2013 the specifications of AIXM/AICM

| Congo | ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant | 2013 | |
|---------------------|--|---|---|
| G + 127 : | with the specifications of AIXM/AICM | 2012 | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with the specifications of AIXM/AICM | 2013 | |
| Democratic Republic | | | |
| of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti | | | |
| Egypt | Implemented as our data base is based on AIXM 4.5 | | From Egypt's point of view this step should be omitted from the road map steps as it only concerns the IT developers rather than the States |
| Equatorial Guinea | ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with the specifications of AIXM/AICM | 2013 | |
| Eritrea | | | |
| Ethiopia | implemented our data base is based on AIXM 5.1. | 2013 Ethiopia is upgrade its DB to (AIXM5.XX) which satisfies the need for the universal unique identified UUID. | |
| Gabon | ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with the specifications of AIXM/AICM | 2013 | |
| Gambia | Not Implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | The data model AIXM 8.0 implemented (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade data model to AIXM 5.1 to have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation 2013-2014 ongoing (Roberts FIR) | COMSOFT's or ATALIS solutions |
| Guinea Bissau | ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with the specifications of AIXM/AICM | 2013 | |
| Kenya | So far Kenya has implemented unique indentifyer accommodated in AIXM 4.5 only | Advance unique identifyers available in AIXM 5.1 will be implemented after the upgrade as above | |
| Lesotho | · | | |
| Liberia | The data model AIXM 8.0 implemented (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade data model to AIXM 5.1 to have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation 2013-2014 ongoing (Roberts FIR) | COMSOFT's or ATALIS solutions |
| Libya | | | |
| Madagascar | ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with the specifications of AIXM/AICM | 2013 | |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with the specifications of AIXM/AICM | 2013 | |
| Mauritania | ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with the specifications of AIXM/AICM | 2013 | |
| Mauritius | Not implemented | With the introduction of a system based on AIXM 5.1 the universally unique identifier (UUID) model will be implemented. We expect possible difficulties in the transition process to the new unique identifiers. The deadline for the transition to AIXM 5.1 is December 2014 | |
| Morocco | | | |

| Mozambique | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Namibia | | | |
| Niger | ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with | 2013 | |
| 1 (1861 | the specifications of AIXM/AICM | | |
| Nigeria | Not implemented | | |
| Rwanda | - | | |
| Sao Tome and | | | |
| Principe | | | |
| Senegal | ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with the specifications of AIXM/AICM | 2013 | |
| Seychelles | | | |
| Sierra Leone | The data model AIXM 8.0 implemented (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade data model to AIXM 5.1 to have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation 2013-2014 ongoing (Roberts FIR) | COMSOFT's or ATALIS solutions |
| Somalia | Not implemented | 2014, by improving the existing mechanism for the unique identification of aeronautical features so as to increase the effectiveness of information exchanged without the human intervention | |
| South Africa | Implemented. CAD is compliant with AIXM/AICM specifications. | The centralised repository (2013) for Aeronautical information (CAD) would ensure compliance with AIXM/AICM specifications (AIXM 4.5). | |
| South Sudan | | , | |
| Sudan | Not implemented. | Within the implementation of Sudan NP. | |
| Swaziland | • | • | |
| Tunisia | Not yet implemented | Planned (2013-2014) | |
| Togo | ASECNA Static data base named "AIMANT" is compliant with the specifications of AIXM/AICM | 2013 | |
| Uganda | AISP uses a model of unique feature identification based on natural keys in compliance with AIXM 4.5. | With the introduction of a system based on AIXM 5.1 the universally unique identifier (UUID) model will be implemented. We expect possible difficulties in the transition process to the new unique identifiers. | |
| United Republic of Tanzania | August 2011-august 2012 | To be implemented | Purchasing AMHS with new FLP Model/AIS Database System/FDPS/ATIS Training needed and software to read AIXM/AICM e.g. XmlSpy |
| Zambia | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | |
| b) What is the st | tatus of implementation of the following steps of Phase 2 ir | <u> </u> | |
| | P-08 — Aeronautical inf | formation conceptual model | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required |
| Algeria | | | |
| Angola | | | |
| Benin | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |

| Burkina Faso | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Botswana | | | (CAAB) needs the assistance of your office in this area, we do not understand Aeronautical information conceptual model |
| Burundi | | | |
| Cameroon | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |
| Cape Verde | | | |
| Central African Republic | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |
| Chad | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented | | |
| Comoros | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented | | |
| Congo | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti | Total months Department of the state of the | Constitution 24 and 12 | |
| Egypt | Implemented as Egypt has an automated system based on AICM/AIXM 4.5 | Coordination with our supplier to upgrade our Data from AICM/AIXM 4.5 to AICM/AIXM 5.1 Mid of 2012 | |
| Equatorial Guinea | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |
| Eritrea | , i | | |
| Ethiopia | Implemented as Ethiopia has an automated system based on AIXM 4.5 to AIXM | | |
| Gabon | | | |
| Gambia | | | |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | The AIXM/AICM 8.0 implemented described services and related aeronautical data | Upgrade to AIXM conceptual model 5.1 to have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation 2013-2014 ongoing (Roberts FIR) | COMSOFT's or ATALIS solutions |
| Guinea Bissau | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |
| Kenya | | | |
| Lesotho | | | |
| Liberia | The AIXM/AICM 8.0 implemented described services and related aeronautical data | Upgrade to AIXM conceptual model 5.1 to have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation 2013-2014 ongoing (Roberts FIR) | COMSOFT's or ATALIS solutions |
| Libya | | | |
| Madagascar | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |
| Mauritania | | | |
| Mauritius | Not implemented | With the introduction of a system based on AIXM 5.1 the appropriate data model will be implemented The deadline for the transition to AIXM 5.1 is December 2013 | |
| Morocco | | | |
| Mozambique | | | |

| Namibia | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Niger | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |
| Nigeria | Not implemented | | |
| Rwanda | | | |
| Sao Tome and | | | |
| Principe | | | |
| Senegal | The data model which is used by AIXM 4.5 is implemented. | | |
| Seychelles | | | |
| Sierra Leone | The AIXM/AICM 8.0 implemented described services and related | Upgrade to AIXM conceptual model 5.1 to have a | COMSOFT's or ATALIS solutions |
| | aeronautical data | complete and integrated solution for data processing | |
| | | automation 2013-2014 on-going (Roberts FIR) | |
| Somalia | Not implemented | 2013, by installing an aeronautical information model | |
| | | which will manage digital data structures | |
| South Africa | Implemented. CAD is compliant with AIXM/AICM | The centralised repository (2013) for | |
| | specifications. | Aeronautical information (CAD) would ensure | |
| | | compliance with AIXM/AICM specifications | |
| | | (AIXM 4.5). | |
| South Sudan | | | |
| Sudan | Not implemented | Phase 2 of THALES/Sudan roadmap, within 2013 | |
| Swaziland | | | |
| Tunisia | Not yet implemented | Planned (2013-2014) | |
| Togo | | | |
| Uganda | Not implemented | Should be implemented with AIM automation – 2013 | |
| United Republic of Tanzania | August 2011-august 2012 | To be implemented | Purchasing AMHS with new FLP Model/AIS Database |
| Tanzania | | | System/FDPS/ATIS |
| | | | Training needed and software to read AIXM/AICM e.g. XmlSpy |
| Zambia | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | |
| b) What is the st | tatus of implementation of the following steps of Phase 2 ir | your State? | |

P-11 — Electronic AIP Implemented Planned Additional (specify how) (specify when/how) comments/clarification required Algeria Angola Benin eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format Since 2006 AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web AIP available in digital format eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format Since 2006 Burkina Faso (PDF) on CD and on the web First version of the AIP is planned to be Botswana available in July 2012, and it will be in the form of PDF's saved in CD's. Burundi Cameroon eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format Since 2006 AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web Cape Verde

| Central African Republic | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Chad | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | web AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Comoros | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Congo | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Cote d'Ivoire | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti Egypt | In course of implementation | We already have the eAIP module in our AIP automated system and we are expecting to produce it by the End of 2011 | |
| Equatorial Guinea | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Eritrea | | | |
| Ethiopia | eAIP is available in PDF format | 2014 | |
| Gabon | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Gambia | | | |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | eAIP not yet available (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 database management we will have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation eAIP and AIS website (Roberts FIR) | AIP and eAIP publication features, based on AIXM exchange standards |
| Guinea Bissau | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Kenya | Implemented online the intranet and CD | External online version on kcaa website scheduled for Dec 2012 | |
| Lesotho | | | |
| Liberia | eAIP not yet available (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 database management we will have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation eAIP and AIS website (Roberts FIR) | AIP and eAIP publication features, based on AIXM exchange standards |
| Libya | | | |
| Madagascar | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Mauritania | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Mauritius | Partially implemented | Initial e-AIP produced as from June 2013 | AIP available on Website in PDF |

| | | | version |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Morocco | | | |
| Mozambique | | | |
| Namibia | | | |
| Niger | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Nigeria | Nigeria provides its AIP on CD ROM | | |
| Rwanda | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | |
| Senegal | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Seychelles | | | |
| Sierra Leone | eAIP not yet available (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 database management we will have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation eAIP and AIS website (Roberts FIR) | AIP and eAIP publication features, based on AIXM exchange standards |
| Somalia | Not implemented | 2014, compiling e AIP in a printable document and one that can be viewed by web browsers in CACAS website. | Somalia AIP at the moment is obsolete |
| South Africa | Implemented. Supplements, AIC and Charts (PDF format) already published in electronic form on SACAA website. | IAIP to be published via CAD by end 2013. | |
| South Sudan | | | |
| Sudan | Not implemented. | Phase 2 of THALES/Sudan roadmap, within 2013 | |
| Swaziland | | | |
| Tunisia | Tunisia provides its AIP on CD ROM and on internet since 2001 | | Tunisia AIP may be accessible for printing and/or for navigation via WEB browser tool |
| Togo | eAIP is available in PDF and HTML format | Since 2006 | AIP available in digital format (PDF) on CD and on the web |
| Uganda | eAIP not in place | Will be implemented with automation - 2014 | Acquisition of equipment on going |
| United Republic of Tanzania | eAIP on CD (august 2011-June 2012) eAIP online (august 2011-2012) | Ongoing To be implemented | Assembling data systematically Purchasing working equipments Need training on eAIP as well as its associated web application technologies |
| Zambia | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | |

| b) What is the stat | us of implementation of the following steps of Phase 2 i | — Terrain | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required |
| Algeria | (Specify 11011) | (Special William) | |
| Angola | | | |
| Benin | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Burkina Faso | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Botswana | Not implemented | Planned for 2009-2014 year, this will be carried out as project involving all stakeholders. This is subject to availability of funds | Due to financial constraints we request ICAO to assist in funding the project and also provide expertise |
| Burundi | | | |
| Cameroon | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Cape Verde | | | |
| Central African Republic | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Chad | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Comoros | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Congo | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti | | | |
| Egypt | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Eritrea | | | |
| Ethiopia | | | |
| Gabon | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Gambia | Not Implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | Implemented WGS 84 Survey 2003 | | |
| Guinea Bissau | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Kenya | Digital terrain for 6 airports already available and undergoing processing and verification. | Implementation scheduled between 2013 -2015 based on the airport | |
| Lesotho | | | |
| Liberia | Not yet implemented require resurvey | Resurvey for eTOD implementation 2013-2014 area 1, 2, 3, 4 respectively | We have to ensure the availability of electronic TOD, in accordance with stringent numerical requirements established for 4 distinct areas |
| Madagascar | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Mauritania | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Mauritius | Partially implemented | Terrain datasets are available, but unfit to cover all eTOD requirements. Implementation is planned until December 2014 | Survey of terrain is carried by qualified government organisation |
| Morocco | | | |
| Mozambique | | | |
| Namibia | | | |
| Niger | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Nigeria | Not yet implemented | | |

| b) What is the stati | b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 2 in your State? | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | P-14 — Obstacles | | | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required | |
| Alaamia | (specify now) | (specify when/now) | comments/ciarmcation required | |
| Algeria | | | | |
| Angola | Not be about 1 | Di 1 C . 2014/2015 | | |
| Benin | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Burkina Faso | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Botswana | Not yet implemented | Planned for 2009-2014 year, this will be carried out as project involving all stakeholders. This is subject to availability of funds | Due to financial constraints we request ICAO to assist in funding the project and also provide expertise | |
| Burundi | | | | |
| Cameroon | | | | |
| Cape Verde | | | | |
| Central African Republic | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Chad | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Comoros | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Congo | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | ^ | | | |
| Djibouti | | | | |
| Egypt | | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Eritrea | | | | |
| Ethiopia | Not implemented | Ethiopia planned to implement for the coming years measures aimed at continuously improving the quality of obstacle data in both areas. To start from 2014 | | |
| Gabon | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Gambia | Not Implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Ghana | r F | - | | |
| Guinea | Implemented WGS-84 Survey 2003 | | | |
| Guinea Bissau | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Kenya | Area 1 obstacle data available on AIXM database | Area 2 obstacle survey for 4 airports conducted in Oct-Nov 2012. Data undergoing processing | | |
| Lesotho | | | | |
| Liberia | Partially implemented need resurvey | Electronic TOD implementation requirements planned for 2013-2014 | Terrain and obstacle are in the same criteria in accordance to roadmap framework and guidance material | |
| Libya | | | | |
| Madagascar | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Malawi | | | | |

| | P-14 — | - Obstacles | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Implemented Planned Addition | | | | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | | |
| | (Fr. 2) | Charles of the control of the contro | | | |
| Mali | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Mauritania | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Mauritius | Implemented | Trainied for 2014/2013 | | | |
| Morocco | Impremented | | | | |
| Mozambique | | | | | |
| Namibia | | | | | |
| Niger | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Nigeria | Not yet implemented | 1 familed for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Rwanda | 1vot yet implemented | | | | |
| Sao Tome and Princ | ina | | | | |
| Senegal Senegal | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Seychelles | Not implemented | 1 faillied for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Sierra Leone | Partially implemented need resurvey | Electronic TOD implementation requirements | Terrain and obstacle are in the same | | |
| Sierra Leone | Partially implemented need resurvey | planned for 2013-2014 | criteria in accordance to roadmap framework and guidance material | | |
| Somalia | Not implemented | 2014, by compiling obstacles data in Geodetic form | Most of the obstacles in Somalia not verified | | |
| South Africa | Implemented by Regulator | | | | |
| South Sudan | | | | | |
| Sudan | Data collected and published for most of ADs | Planed within 2013 to be completed. | | | |
| Swaziland | | | | | |
| Tunisia | Not yet implemented | Planned (2013-2014) | | | |
| Togo | Not implemented | Planned for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Uganda | Partially provided for in the AIP but not compliant with chapter 10, | | | | |
| United Republic of Tanzania | | | | | |
| Zambia | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | | |
| b) What is the | status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 2 ir | • | | | |
| | | odrome mapping | | | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required | | |
| Algeria | | | | | |
| Angola | | | | | |
| Benin | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Burkina Faso | Available on PDF version | | | | |
| Botswana | | Planned for 2009-2014 year, this will be carried out as project involving all stakeholders. This is | | | |

| Implemented (specify how) | b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 2 in your State? | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Specify how Specify sher/how Comments/clarification residence | | | | | | |
| Burundi | | | | | | |
| Burundi Cameroon | | (specify how) | | comments/clarification required | | |
| Cameroon Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | subject to availability of funds | | | |
| Cape Verde Central African Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Chad Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Comoros Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Comgo Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Comgo Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Cotted Troite Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Democratic Republic of Congo Dijbount Egypt Equatorial Guinea Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Renya Available on PDF version Renya Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Planed for | Burundi | | | | | |
| Central African Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 | | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Republic Chad Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Compos Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Congo Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Cote d'Ivoire Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Democratic Republic of Congo Dipbouti Egypt Planed for structured format in 2015 Egistic Planed fo | * | | | | | |
| Comoros Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Congo Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Cote d'Noire Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Democratic Republic of Congo Djibouti Egypt Planed for structured format in 2015 Equatorial Guinea Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Eritea Planed for structured format in 2015 Eritea Planed for structured format in 2015 Eithiopia Available Planed for structured format in 2015 Eithiopia Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Gambia Ghana Implemented WGS 84 survey 2003 but no complex airports exist in Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Guinea Insplementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya of ar Aerodrome mapping was made a recommendation for complex airports to support eTOD Area 3. Kenya eTOD policy does not include implementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya so far Lesotho Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya so far Libya Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Planed for structured format in 2015 Madagascar Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Madawit Nadaritania Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented Not concrete planning available yet, still under review Moccan Mocambique | | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Cote of Proire Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 | Chad | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Cote d'Ivoire Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Democratic Republic of Congo Djibouti Egypt Egypt Eguatorial Guinea Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Eritrea Planed for structured format in 2015 Eritrea Pla | Comoros | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo Dijbouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Ethiopia Available Gabon Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Ethiopia Gambia Gambia Gianbia Gia | Congo | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Congo Dipbouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Ethicopia Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Ethicopia Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Planed for structure | Cote d'Ivoire | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Congo Dipbouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Ethicopia Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Ethicopia Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Planed for structure | Democratic Republic of | | | | | |
| Egypt Equatorial Guinea Available on PDF version Planned for structured format in 2015 Ethiopia Available Gabon Available on PDF version Planned for structured format in 2015 Gambia Ghana Guinea Implemented WGS 84 survey 2003 but no complex airports exist in Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Guinea Bissau Available on PDF version Planned for structured format in 2015 Kenya Aerodrome mapping was made a recommendation for complex airports to support eTOD area 3. Kenya eTOD policy does not include implementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya so far Lesotho Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Madagascar Available on PDF version Planned for structured format in 2015 Resurvey WGS 84 2013-2014 Resurvey WGS 84 2013-2014 Planned for structured format in 2015 Planned for structured format in 2015 Malawi Mali Available on PDF version Planned for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented Not implemented Not implemented Not implemented Not implemented Not implemented Not concrete planning available yet, still under review Mozambique | | | | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Eritrea Planned for structured format in 2015 Ethiopia Available Gabon Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Gambia Glana Implemented WGS 84 survey 2003 but no complex airports exist in Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Guinea Implemented WGS 84 survey 2003 but no complex airports exist in Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Guinea Bissau Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Kenya Aerodrome mapping was made a recommendation for complex airports to support eTOD Area 3. Kenya eTOD policy does not include implementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya so far Lesotho Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Madagascar Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented Not implemented version Planed for structured format in 2015 Morocco Nocambique | Djibouti | | | | | |
| Eritrea Planned for structured format in 2015 Ethiopia Available Gabon Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Gambia Gambia Implemented WGS 84 survey 2003 but no complex airports exist in Guinea in Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Guinea Bissau Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Kenya Aerodrome mapping was made a recommendation for complex airports exist in kenya so far Lesotho Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Nadagascar Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented Not implemented Not implemented format in 2015 No concrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco | Egypt | | | | | |
| Ethiopia Available Gabon Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Gambia Ghana Guinea Implemented WGS 84 survey 2003 but no complex airports exist in Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Guinea Bissau Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Kenya Aerodrome mapping was made a recommendation for complex airports to support eTOD Area 3. Kenya eTOD policy does not include implementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya so far Lesotho Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Madagascar Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Malariania Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented Not implemented Not implemented Planed for structured format in 2015 No concrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique | Equatorial Guinea | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Gabon Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Gambia Ghana Guinea Implemented WGS 84 survey 2003 but no complex airports exist in Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Guinea Bissau Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Kenya Aerodrome mapping was made a recommendation for complex airports to support eTOD Area 3. Kenya eTOD policy does not include implementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya so far Lesotho Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Planed for structured format in 2015 Madagascar Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented Not implemented Planed for structured format in 2015 No concrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique | Eritrea | | Planned for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Gambia Ghana Guinea Implemented WGS 84 survey 2003 but no complex airports exist in Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Guinea Bissau Available on PDF version Renya Aerodrome mapping was made a recommendation for complex airports to support eTOD Area 3. Kenya eTOD policy does not include implementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya so far Lesotho Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Madagascar Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Mali Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritania Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Moconcrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique | Ethiopia | Available | | | | |
| Ghana Guinea Implemented WGS 84 survey 2003 but no complex airports exist in Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Guinea Bissau Available on PDF version Lesotho Libra Libya Madagascar Available on PDF version Available on PDF version Libra Mauritius Morocco Mozambique Implemented WGS 84 survey 2003 but no complex airports exist in In Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Planed for structured format in 2015 Resurvey WGS 84 2013-2014 Resurvey WGS 84 2013-2014 Resurvey WGS 84 2013-2014 Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Not implemented Not implemented Not implemented Not implemented | Gabon | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Guinea Implemented WGS 84 survey 2003 but no complex airports exist in Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Guinea Bissau Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Kenya Aerodrome mapping was made a recommendation for complex airports to support eTOD Area 3. Kenya eTOD policy does not include implementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya so far Lesotho Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Resurvey WGS 84 2013-2014 Libya Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Planed for structured format in 2015 Mali Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritania Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented Not implemented Morocco Mozambique | Gambia | | | | | |
| in Guinea to support eTOD area 3 so far. Guinea Bissau Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Kenya Aerodrome mapping was made a recommendation for complex airports to support eTOD Area 3. Kenya eTOD policy does not include implementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya so far Lesotho Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Madagascar Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mouritius Not implemented Not implemented No concrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique | Ghana | | | | | |
| Guinea Bissau Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Kenya Aerodrome mapping was made a recommendation for complex airports to support eTOD Area 3. Kenya eTOD policy does not include implementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya so far Lesotho Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Resurvey WGS 84 2013-2014 Libya Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Planed for structured format in 2015 Mali Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritania Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented No concrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique | Guinea | | | | | |
| airports to support eTOD Area 3. Kenya eTOD policy does not include implementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in Kenya so far Lesotho Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Resurvey WGS 84 2013-2014 Libya Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Planed for structured format in 2015 Mali Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritania Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented No concrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique | Guinea Bissau | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Liberia Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far Libya Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Planed for structured format in 2015 Mali Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritania Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented Not implemented Not occorete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique | • | airports to support eTOD Area 3. Kenya eTOD policy does not include implementation of Area 3 as no complex airports exist in | | | | |
| Libya Madagascar Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Malawi Planed for structured format in 2015 Mali Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritania Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented No concrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique | Lesotho | | | | | |
| MadagascarAvailable on PDF versionPlaned for structured format in 2015MaliAvailable on PDF versionPlaned for structured format in 2015MauritaniaAvailable on PDF versionPlaned for structured format in 2015MauritiusNot implementedNo concrete planning available yet, still under reviewMoroccoMozambique | Liberia | | Resurvey WGS 84 2013-2014 | | | |
| Malawi Mali Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritania Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented No concrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique | Libya | | | | | |
| Mali Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritania Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius No concrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique | Madagascar | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Mauritania Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 Mauritius Not implemented No concrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique | Malawi | | | | | |
| Mauritius Not implemented No concrete planning available yet, still under review Morocco Mozambique No concrete planning available yet, still under review | Mali | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Morocco Mozambique review Morocco | Mauritania | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Mozambique | Mauritius | Not implemented | | | | |
| • | Morocco | | | | | |
| | Mozambique | | | | | |
| Namibia | Namibia | | | | | |
| Niger Available on PDF version Planed for structured format in 2015 | Niger | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Nigeria Partially implemented | | Partially implemented | | | | |

| b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 2 in your State? | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | P-14 — Obstacles | | | | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required | | |
| Rwanda | | | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | | | |
| Senegal | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Seychelles | | | | | |
| Sierra Leone | Not yet implemented to support eTOD area 3 as no complex airports exist in Liberia so far | Resurvey WGS 84 2013-2014 | | | |
| Somalia | Not implemented | 2014, no concrete planning available yet | | | |
| South Africa | 2015-2015 | To be implemented | Establishment of aerodrome Mapping Database. Assembling and storage of aerodrome data systematically. | | |
| South Sudan | | | | | |
| Sudan | Not implemented. | No concrete planning available yet, still under review. | | | |
| Swaziland | | | | | |
| Tunisia | Not yet implemented | Planned (2013-2014) | | | |
| Togo | Available on PDF version | Planed for structured format in 2015 | | | |
| Uganda | Negotiations are ongoing for the procurement of a consultant to carryout LIDAR survey for e-TOD areas 4 & 3 | LIDAR survey data to be used for Aerodrome mapping | | | |
| United Republic of Tanzania | 2012-2015 | To be implemented | Training needed on AD mapping electronic displays and assembling of ad mapping data | | |
| Zambia | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | | |

4. Phase 3 – Information Management (2011 – 2016)

| a) | What do you consider a realistic timeframe for the implementation of Phase 3? |
|-----------------|---|
| Algeria | |
| Angola | |
| Benin | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Burkina Faso | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Botswana | 4 years |
| Burundi | |
| Cameroon | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Cape Verde | |
| Central African | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Republic | |
| Chad | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Comoros | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |

| a) | What do you consider a realistic timeframe for the implementation of Phase 3? |
|--------------------------|--|
| Congo | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Cote d'Ivoire | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Democratic | |
| Republic of Congo | |
| Djibouti | |
| Egypt | |
| Equatorial Guinea | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Eritrea | |
| Ethiopia | We believe that we implemented most of the phases so we may need some system upgrading processes only. |
| Gabon | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Gambia | |
| Ghana | |
| Guinea | AIM data products and services will be based on requirements identified for each ATM component by 2014 (Roberts FIR). |
| Guinea Bissau | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Kenya | Kenya phase 3 runs 2012-2016. We believe a 5 year period is more realistic. Preferably 2013-2018 to allow room for overflow on unaccomplished projects. |
| Lesotho | |
| Liberia | AIM data products and services will be based on requirements identified for each ATM component by 2014(Roberts FIR). |
| Libya | |
| Madagascar | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Malawi | |
| Mali | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Mauritania | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Mauritius | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2013-2018 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Morocco | |
| Mozambique | |
| Namibia | |
| Niger | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Nigeria | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 can only be realistic after phase 1 & 2 is implemented. 2015-2020 would be a more |
| | realistic time frame to allow room for overflow on unaccomplished projects. |
| Rwanda | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | |
| Senegal | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Seychelles | The second state of the second state of the second state of the second s |
| Sierra Leone | AIM data products and services will be based on requirements identified for each ATM component by 2014 (Roberts FIR). |
| Somalia Somalia | We consider 2013 to 2018 the realistic time frame for the implementation of Phase 3 |
| South Africa | 2017 – 2020 is a more realistic timeframe |
| South Sudan | 2017 2020 to a more reminde dimentante |
| Sudan | Sudan NP will be in place by end of March 2013 all phase will be in a timeline to capture AFI Plan. |
| Swaziland | Sudan 141 with be in place by end of waten 2013 an phase will be in a unleane to capture API Flan. |
| Tunisia | The timeframe is not realistic for the implementation of phase 2 |
| | The timeframe is not realistic for the implementation of phase 3 We halique that the forescent implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015, 2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Togo | We believe that the foreseen implementation time frame of Phase 3 is too ambitious and think that 2015-2020 would be a more realistic time frame. |
| Uganda | 2014 – 2018 is a more realistic time frame |
| United Republic of | |
| Tanzania | |

| a) | What do you consider a realistic timeframe for the implementation of Phase 3? | |
|----------|---|--|
| Zambia | | |
| Zimbabwe | | |

| b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 in your State? | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | eronautical data exchange | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | |
| Algeria | | | | |
| Angola | | | | |
| Benin | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | |
| Burkina Faso | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | |
| Botswana | Not implemented | Planned for 2012-2013 by going AMHS way. | | |
| Burundi | | | | |
| Cameroon | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | |
| Cape Verde | | | | |
| Central African Republic | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | |
| Chad | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | |
| Comoros | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | |
| Congo | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | | |
| Djibouti | | | | |
| Egypt | | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | |
| Eritrea | | | | |
| Ethiopia | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | | | |
| Gabon | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical | It is planned to implement the exchange model | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD | |

| | P-09 — Aeronautical data exchange | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | | |
| | database (refer to P-06) is available. | and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | are to be established | | |
| Gambia | | | | | |
| Ghana | | | | | |
| Guinea | AIXM interface is dynamic not yet static to connect with other systems (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 interface dynamic and Static to exchange with other compatible systems 2013-2014 (Roberts FIR) | To exchange with other systems that are compatible to our systems (Roberts FIR) | | |
| Guinea Bissau | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | | |
| Kenya | Current data exchange implemented on AIXM 4.5 between AIP/MAP system and ATC strip processing systems in 5 Airports but not directly online. We use a CD-ROM to physically transport static airport data from AIP/MAP AIXM 4.5 database. Also direct exchange from AIP/MAP database to Procedure design software (geotitan) in available. The goal is to implement an online exchange with all AIS, ATc and a=data originators by 2016 | | | | |
| Lesotho | | | | | |
| Liberia | AIXM interface is dynamic not yet static to connect with other systems (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 interface dynamic and Static to exchange with other compatible systems 2013-2014 (Roberts FIR) | To exchange with other systems that are compatible to our systems (Roberts FIR) | | |
| Libya | | | | | |
| Madagascar | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | | |
| Malawi | | | | | |
| Mali | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | | |
| Mauritanie | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | | |
| Mauritius | Not implemented | e-AIP/Chart under AIXM 5.1 will be put into operation in December 2013 | | | |
| Morocco | | | | | |
| Mozambique | | | | | |
| Namibia | | | | | |
| Niger | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | | |
| Nigeria | Not yet implemented | | | | |
| Rwanda | | | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | | | |

| b) What is the | status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 | • | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| P-09 — Aeronautical data exchange | | | | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | | |
| Cananal | (specify how) An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | | |
| Senegal | database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | | |
| Seychelles | | | | | |
| Sierra Leone | AIXM interface is dynamic not yet static to connect with other systems (Roberts FIR) | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 interface dynamic and Static to exchange with other compatible systems 2013-2014 (Roberts FIR) | To exchange with other systems that are compatible to our systems (Roberts FIR) | | |
| Somalia | Not implemented | 2013, by installing exchange model in consideration of internet | | | |
| South Africa | South African CAD synchronized with European Aeronautical Database | Implemented | | | |
| South Sudan | | | | | |
| Sudan | Not implemented. | It is planned to implement the exchange model AIXM 5.1. This will start in 2013. | Fax QMS Format implemented between data providers and AIS. | | |
| Swaziland | | | | | |
| Tunisia | Not yet implemented | Planned (2013-2014) | | | |
| Togo | An AIXM interface from/to the central aeronautical database (refer to P-06) is available. | It is planned to implement the exchange model and mechanisms together with AICM 4.5. This starts in 2013 | Exchange with AFICAD and EAD are to be established | | |
| Uganda | AICM/AIXM partially available within ArcGIS software for charts/maps | Full implementation of the exchange model AICM/AIXM5.1 is planned for with AIM automation | | | |
| United Republic of Tanzania | 2014-2015 | To be implemented | Training needed for web exchanging languages e.g. XML, HTML | | |
| Zambia | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | | |
| b) What is the s | tatus of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3: | in your State? | | | |
| | P-10 — Comm | unication networks | | | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required | | |
| Algeria | | | | | |
| Angola | | | | | |
| Benin | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Burkina Faso | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Botswana | Not implemented | Planned for 2012-2013 by going AMHS way. | | | |
| Burundi | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | |
| Cameroon | | | | | |

| | P-09 — Aerona | utical data exchange | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required |
| Cape Verde | | | <u> </u> |
| Central African Republic | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013- 2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Chad | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Comoros | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Congo | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | • | |
| Djibouti | | | |
| Egypt | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Eritrea | | | |
| Ethiopia | AMHS is internet in use | | |
| Gabon | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | |
| Gambia | AFTN and INTERNET are in use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 | |
| Ghana | | _ | |
| Guinea | Direct speech, VSAT, IDD, Internet, FDPS, VHF, HF, AMHS and line phone implemented (Roberts FIR) | AMHS implemented 2012 (Roberts FIR) | Aeronautical information/data deliver to end users via AMHS, email, and hand delivery. |
| Guinea Bissau | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | · |
| Kenya | VSAT and AFTN currently in use | AMHS scheduled for implementation by 2013 | |
| Lesotho | Í | • | |
| Liberia | Direct speech, VSAT, IDD, Internet, FDPS, VHF, HF, AMHS and line phone implemented (Roberts FIR) | AMHS implemented 2012 (Roberts FIR) | Aeronautical information/data deliver to end users via AMHS, email, and |

| b) What is th | b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 in your State? P-09 — Aeronautical data exchange | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | A 3 3242 1 | | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required | | |
| | (A) | | hand delivery. | | |
| Libya | | | | | |
| Madagascar | | | | | |
| Malawi | | | | | |
| Mali | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Mauritania | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 | | | |
| Mauritius | AISP has been using the Internet for static and dynamic data exchange for some time already. AFTN is also being used. | Dynamic data exchange is still in planning stage. Implementation date not yet defined | | | |
| Morocco | | | | | |
| Mozambique | | | | | |
| Namibia | | | | | |
| Niger | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Nigeria | AFTN and INTERNET are in use | | | | |
| Rwanda | | | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | | | |
| Senegal | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | | | |
| Seychelles | | | | | |
| Sierra Leone | Direct speech, VSAT, IDD, Internet, FDPS, VHF, HF, AMHS and line phone implemented (Roberts FIR) | AMHS implemented 2012 (Roberts FIR) | Aeronautical information/data deliver to end users via AMHS, email, and hand delivery. | | |
| Somalia | We are still using AFTN | 2013, by making sure that data exchange on ground network is on internet so as to cope with future data needs. | ANSP deliver aeronautical data to customers via AFTN, Email or by hand. All airfields in Somalia have no AFTN. | | |
| South Africa | Implemented. | AMHS implemented. Communication networks within South Africa already IP based. Implemented | | | |
| South Sudan | | | | | |
| Sudan | Implemented | Transfer to AIM's steps, was set as Scope of work for contracted Consultant, ongoing | Within the frame QMS, improvements planned. | | |
| Swaziland | | | | | |
| Tunisia | Implemented AMHS was installed in Tunis COM Center since NOV 2008 | Planned Tunis AMHS will be up grated by the end of 2011 to support IPV6 protocol. The AMHS interoperability test is planned during | | | |

| b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 in your State? | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | P-09 — Aerona | utical data exchange | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | |
| | | 2012 | | |
| Togo | AFTN and INTERNET are use | Migration to AMHS is planned for 2013-2014 Internet width path is be improved for 2013-2014 IP network planned for 2014/2015 | | |
| Uganda | Internet and postal service for static data and AFTN for dynamic data | AMHS is a future upgrade plan - 2015 | | |
| United Republic of Tanzania | 2011-2012 | To be implemented | BenchmarkingAMHS training | |
| Zambia | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | |

| b) What is the s | b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 in your State? | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | P-12 — Aeronautical information briefing | | | | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required | | |
| Algeria | | | | | |
| Angola | | | | | |
| Benin | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | | |
| Burkina Faso | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | | |
| Botswana | This way implemented through the introduction of AIS Management System • Pilots can query PIB's at all Aerodrome AIS units at the major airports • Face to face Briefing provided | | Combination of graphical and textual information not implemented | | |
| Burundi | | | | | |
| Cameroon | | | | | |
| Cape Verde | | | | | |
| Central African Republic | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | | |
| Chad | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | | |
| Comoros | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | | |
| Congo | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | | | |

| b) What is the s | b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 in your State? P-12 — Aeronautical information briefing | | | |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | Implemented Planned Additional | | | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | |
| Djibouti | (opening norry) | (Specify Wildliam) | | |
| Egypt | | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | |
| Eritrea | | , | | |
| Ethiopia | Pilots can query PIB's at HAAB AIS unit at airports Face to face Briefing provided | | | |
| Gabon | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | |
| Gambia | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing. | | | |
| Ghana | | | | |
| Guinea | PIB and self-briefing not yet available | | Briefing will be provided in accordance with the NOTAM criteria DOC 8126 specification. | |
| Guinea Bissau | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | |
| Kenya | Implemented to NOTAM selection criteria currently defined in Doc 8126 Automated PIB processing available at all Aedrome units for Briefing. | Integrated and self briefing scheduled for implementation in BY 2016. | | |
| Lesotho | | | | |
| Liberia | PIB and self-briefing not yet available | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013-2014 | Briefing will be provided in accordance with the NOTAM criteria DOC 8126 specification. | |
| Libya | | | | |
| Madagascar | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | |
| Malawi | | | | |
| Mali | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | |
| Mauritanie | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | |
| Mauritius | Full aeronautical briefing is not yet implemented | December 2014 – Mauritius already operates an automated NOTAM Management System (ATALIS) | | |
| Morocco | | | | |
| Mozambique | | | | |
| Namibia | | | | |
| Niger | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS | |
| Nigeria | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM | <i>'</i> | Ongoing project by COMSOFT | |

| b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 in your State? | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| | | ical information briefing | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required |
| Rwanda | | | Germany |
| Sao Tome and | | | |
| Principe | | | |
| Senegal | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS |
| Seychelles | | | |
| Sierra Leone | PIB and self-briefing not yet available | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013-2014 | Briefing will be provided in accordance with the NOTAM criteria DOC 8126 specification. |
| Somalia | We are still using enhanced NOTAM selection criteria for the delivery of NOTAM to our recipients. | 2013, by making sure that pre-flight information bulletins, NOTAM, and graphics given to the users meet their requirement. | |
| South Africa | 2011 continuous | To be implanted | Staff training needs on queering information/data on integrated systems |
| South Sudan | | | |
| Sudan | Implemented | | The presentation of all required pre-flight information (AIS, FPL and MET) has been improved in an integrated system allowing for custom tailored information. Plan to provide self- briefing in line with ICAO DOC 9885. |
| Tunisia | An automated system for AIS briefing in Tunisian AD was installed and operated since MAR 2005 | Planned The combination of graphical and textual information in a digital briefing environment through the implementation of D-NOTAM will be applied in Tunisia by end of 2016 | |
| Swaziland | | | |
| Togo | Briefing is provided by using NOTAM criteria Self-briefing or home briefing is possible from the WEB | Integrated briefing is planned for 2013 (NOTAM-MET-FPL) | With THALES solution ANAIS |
| Uganda | Only state originated NOTAM are generated | Enhanced NOTAM selection criteria to be applied after AIM automation | Despite being manual, NOTAM selection criteria to improve with automation |
| United Republic of Tanzania Zambia | 2011-2013 | Ongoing | Purchasing of electronic display board is ongoing Training needs on integrating different systems and data/information |

| b) What is the | θ | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | P-12 — Aeronautical information briefing | | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | |
| E. 1 1 | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | |
| b) What is the | status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 | | | |
| | | — Training | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | |
| Algeria | | | | |
| Angola | | | | |
| Benin | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | |
| Burkina Faso | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | |
| Botswana | Not yet implemented | Training Plan for 2011/2012 has been developed, which includes AIM activities.AIS staff will be send to ICAO recognized schools for AIM training | ICAO to assist funding training as this a very expensive exercise. | |
| Burundi | | | | |
| Cameroon | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | |
| Cape Verde | | | | |
| Central African Republic | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations-ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | |
| Chad | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | |
| Comoros | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | |
| Congo | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at | A new ab-initial training program will be available | Two initial training are planned: | |

| b) What is the s | b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 in your State? P-12 — Aeronautical information briefing | | | | |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | | A 1 1144 1 | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | | |
| | (specify how) EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation- | (specify when/how) to update subject to AIM evolution | comments/clarification required Technician for AIM operators and | | |
| | NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | to update subject to Alivi evolution | High Technician for AIM supervisors. | | |
| Democratic | officer) | | supervisors. | | |
| Republic of Congo | | | | | |
| Djibouti | | | | | |
| Egypt | | | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | | |
| Eritrea | | | | | |
| Ethiopia | | | | | |
| Gabon | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | | |
| Gambia | | | | | |
| Ghana | | | | | |
| Guinea | Training is ongoing for the transition to AIM | AB-INITIO training program will be available to update subject to the transition from AIS-AIM environment | Training are planned on State level and on Regional level to understand the basis concept and software application | | |
| Guinea Bissau | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | | |
| Kenya | Kenya has conducted a Training need analysis (TNA) and scheduled officers for various AIM related courses AIS Officers certification requirements that include core trainings, knowledge and skills are also being developed for individual certification by 2014 as per KCAA strategic Plan | | | | |
| Lesotho | | | | | |
| Liberia | Training is ongoing for the transition to AIM | AB-INITIO training program will be available to update subject to the transition from AIS-AIM environment | Training are planned on State level and on Regional level to understand the basis concept and software application | | |
| Libya | | | | | |
| Madagascar | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | | |
| Malawi | | | | | |
| Mali | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation- | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and | | |

| | P-12 — Aeronautical information briefing | | | |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | |
| | NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | | High Technician for AIM supervisors. | |
| Mauritania | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | |
| Mauritius | Partly implemented | Awaiting ICAO guidelines on training requirement | | |
| Morocco | | • | | |
| Mozambique | | | | |
| Namibia | | | | |
| Niger | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | |
| Nigeria | Not yet implemented | | | |
| Rwanda | | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | | |
| Senegal | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | |
| Seychelles | , | | | |
| Sierra Leone | Training is ongoing for the transition to AIM | AB-INITIO training program will be available to update subject to the transition from AIS-AIM environment | Training are planned on State level and on Regional level to understand the basis concept and software application | |
| Somalia | By developing new training syllabus which meet requirements for training staff | 2014, by developing new training syllabus which meet requirements for training staff | Currently it is not clear what is expected under the training header .ICAO training manual has to be developed to reflect the new competencies required by the transition to AIM, before national requirements can be developed | |
| South Africa | Comprehensive training of staff on AIS to AIM, quality Management System (QMS), AIP and NOTAM Management | Implemented continuous process | | |
| South Sudan | | | | |
| Sudan | 2012 held INFPL, Data Quality Resolution and Integrity | Annual Training Plan in place. | However, it is not clear what is | |

| b) What is the s | status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | P-12 — Aeronautical information briefing Implemented Planned Additional | | | | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required | | |
| | courses. | (specify whell how) | expected under the training header. ICAO training manual has to be developed to reflect the new competencies required by the transition to AIM, before national requirements can be developed. | | |
| Swaziland | | | | | |
| Tunisia | Not yet implemented | Planned | | | |
| Togo | New program of on job training is implemented in 2012 at EAMAC for AIM agent (AIS unit operations- ARO operation-NOF operation-On job AIM teacher-AIM mapping-AIM officer) | A new ab-initial training program will be available to update subject to AIM evolution | Two initial training are planned: Technician for AIM operators and High Technician for AIM supervisors. | | |
| Uganda | Some of the AIM trainings are being undertaken | More of the AIM courses to be undertaken; 2013 - 2018 | Workshops on several competencies for the Transition should be organized by ICAO | | |
| United Republic of Tanzania | 2013-2015 | To be implemented | Proper trainings needed for users, trained by proper units | | |
| Zambia | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | | |
| b) What is the s | status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 | in your State? | | | |
| | P-18 — Agreemer | nts with data originators | | | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required | | |
| Algeria | | | _ | | |
| Angola | | | | | |
| Benin | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA | | |
| Burkina Faso | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA | | |
| Botswana | Not yet implemented | Planned meetings with aeronautical/data originators and introduce Service Level Agreements (SLA) tool by July 2012 | | | |
| Burundi | | | | | |
| Cameroon | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA | | |
| Cape Verde | | | | | |
| Central African Republic | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA | | |
| Chad | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer | | |

| | P-12 — Aeronautio | cal information briefing | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required |
| | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | | with ASECNA |
| Comoros | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA |
| Congo | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA |
| Cote d'Ivoire | Not implemented | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti | | | |
| Egypt | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA |
| Eritrea | | | |
| Ethiopia | | | |
| Gabon | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA |
| Gambia | Not implemented | | A national AIM coordination team will be appointed to work closer with ASECNA |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | Not yet implemented | Establishing SLA with data providers on State level | Service Level agreement under development |
| Guinea Bissau | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA |
| Kenya | Signing of agreements with dat originators was scheduled in phase 1 and 98% implemented | Evaluation of adherence to agreements and enforcement by regulator planned | Standards forms for data exchange to improve data exchange from originators planned once the AIS portal is implemented by 2013 |
| Lesotho | | | |
| Liberia | Not yet implemented | Establishing SLA with data providers on State level | Service Level agreement under development |
| Libya | | | |
| Madagascar | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is |

| b) What is the s | b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 in your State? | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | P-12 — Aeronautio | cal information briefing | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | |
| | | | appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA | |
| Mauritania | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA | |
| Mauritius | Partly implemented | December 2013 – by establishing agreements with data providers | SLA under development | |
| Morocco | | | | |
| Mozambique | | | | |
| Namibia | | | | |
| Niger | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA | |
| Nigeria | Not yet implemented | | | |
| Rwanda | | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | | |
| Senegal | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA | |
| Seychelles | | | | |
| Sierra Leone | Not yet implemented | Establishing SLA with data providers on State level | Service Level agreement under development | |
| Somalia | Not achieved | 2014, by having consultations with the countries, airlines and data agents who are our recipients/customers | | |
| South Africa | Not implemented | To be implemented by 2013 | | |
| South Sudan | • | • | | |
| Sudan | Partially implemented. | Plan for improvement using ICAO proposal, ongoing. | Signed SLAs under processing of improvement. | |
| Swaziland | | | | |
| Tunisia | Implemented There are Letters of Agreement between Tunisia AIS and all of the data originators | | | |
| Togo | in progress | 2013/2014 with CAA supervision | A national AIM coordinator is appointed by CAA to work closer with ASECNA | |
| Uganda | Partially achieved | SLAs with data originators under development; 2013 | By 2013 | |
| United Republic of Tanzania | 2012-2014 | Ongoing | Service level agreement template is ready e.g. TCAA and MET | |

| b) What is the status of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 in your State? | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| | P-12 — Aeronautic | cal information briefing | | | |
| | Implemented Planned Additional | | | | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | | |
| | | | Remained to meet and set agreements with other stakeholders | | |
| Zambia | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | | |

| b) What is the | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | P-19 — Interoperability with meteorological products | | | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | | |
| A1 ' | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | | |
| Algeria | | | | | |
| Angola | | | | | |
| Benin | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | | |
| Burkina Faso | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | | |
| Botswana | Not yet implemented | Planned meetings with aeronautical/data originators and introduce Service Level Agreements (SLA) tool by July 2012 | | | |
| Burundi | | | | | |
| Cameroon | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | | |
| Cape Verde | | | | | |
| Central African Republic | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | | |
| Chad | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | | |
| Comoros | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | | |
| Congo | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation | | | |

| b) What is the s | $\frac{7}{2}$ | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | P-19 — Interoperabili | ty with meteorological products | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | |
| | operation from 2013 | of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | | |
| Djibouti | | | | |
| Egypt | | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be I mplemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | |
| Eritrea | | | | |
| Ethiopia | | | | |
| Gabon | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | |
| Gambia | Not Implemented | | | |
| Ghana | | | | |
| Guinea | Partially implemented, PIB dynamic data is provided in the briefing office | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 we will have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation OPMET database, OPMET bulletin exchange (ROBEX) 2013-2014 | Automation with COMSOFT's or ATALIS Solution | |
| Guinea Bissau | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | |
| Kenya | | Planned for 2016. Plan to liaise with MET Department to ensure compatibility of systems | | |
| Lesotho | | | | |
| Liberia | Partially implemented, PIB dynamic data is provided in the briefing office | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 we will have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation OPMET database, OPMET bulletin exchange (ROBEX) 2013-2014 | Automation with COMSOFT's or ATALIS Solution | |
| Libya | | | | |
| Madagascar | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | |
| Malawi | | | | |

| b) What is the | P-19 — Interoperability with meteorological products | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional comments/clarification required | | |
| Mali | (specify how) Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | (specify when/how) Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | comments/crarincation required | | |
| Mauritania | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | | |
| Mauritius | Not implemented – still in planning stage | | | | |
| Morocco | | | | | |
| Mozambique | | | | | |
| Namibia | | | | | |
| Niger | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | | |
| Nigeria | Not yet implemented | | | | |
| Rwanda | | | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | | | | | |
| Senegal | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | | |
| Seychelles | | | | | |
| Sierra Leone | Partially implemented, PIB dynamic data is provided in the briefing office | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 we will have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation OPMET database, OPMET bulletin exchange (ROBEX) 2013-2014 | Automation with COMSOFT's or ATALIS Solution | | |
| Somalia | Not implemented | 2013, by making sure that MET data products are included/combined within AIM data model | | | |
| South Africa | Implemented. | Current systems already incorporate feed from meteorological stations for flight plan briefing and/or re-routing purposes. WX info also used in ATFM tool. To be expaned to towards CAD system in future. | | | |
| South Sudan | | | | | |
| Sudan Swaziland | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing is provided in harmonized way (one stop shop) in accordance with current ICAO Annex 3 and ICAO Annex 15 requirements. | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | | |
| Swaznanu | | | | | |

| b) What is the s | tatus of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 | in your State? | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| | P-19 — Interoperability with meteorological products | | | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | | |
| Tunisia | Not yet implemented | Planned (2015) | | | |
| Togo | Partially implemented, pre-flight information briefing will provided in harmonized way with the dynamic data base operation from 2013 | Next step (fully integrated briefing) will be implemented after the design and implementation of the appropriate data exchange technology is finished (WXXM – Weather Exchange Model). | | | |
| Uganda | The two systems are not yet interoperable | One stop shop planned for 2014 with acquisition of appropriate data exchange (WXXM) technology | To be implemented after installation of software. | | |
| United Republic of Tanzania | 2013-2015 | To be implemented | Agreements should be set- up Training needs for networking | | |
| Zambia | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | | |

| b) What is the | status of implementation of the following steps of | • | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | — Electronic aeronautical charts | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required |
| Algeria | | | |
| Angola | | | |
| Benin | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 Electronic Aeronautical Chart Display is too general. |
| Burkina Faso | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 Electronic Aeronautical Chart Display is too general. |
| Botswana | Not yet implemented | Planned for 2012-2015 by introducing Aeronautical Telecommunication Network (ATN) System. | |
| Burundi | | | |
| Cameroon | | | |
| Cape Verde | | | |
| Central African Republic | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 Electronic Aeronautical Chart Display is too general. |
| Chad | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 Electronic Aeronautical Chart Display is too general. |

| b) What is the s | tatus of implementation of the following steps of Phase: P-20 — Electronic Steps of Phase: | onic aeronautical charts | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required |
| Comoros | Only PDF format charts | (specify when now) | More detailed specification are required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 Electronic Aeronautical Chart Display is too general. |
| Congo | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 Electronic Aeronautical Chart Display is too general. |
| Cote d'Ivoire | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 Electronic Aeronautical Chart Display is too general. |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti | | | |
| Egypt | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 Electronic Aeronautical Chart Display is too general. |
| Eritrea | | | |
| Ethiopia | | | |
| Gabon | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 Electronic Aeronautical Chart Display is too general. |
| Gambia | Available in PDF Format | | In cooperated in AIP |
| Ghana | | | • |
| Guinea | Not yet implemented | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 we will have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation of electronic aeronautical charting, data originator integration, eAIP, AIS website | Automation with COMSOFT's or ATALIS Solutions |
| Guinea Bissau | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 Electronic Aeronautical Chart Display is too general. |
| Kenya | AIP Charts already exist in electronic form in eAIP WAC and Topo Charts also in both PDF and TAB files | Obstacle Charts planned for development after completion of Area 2 eTOD and system training | |
| Lesotho | | | |
| Liberia | Not yet implemented | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 we will have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation of electronic aeronautical charting, data originator integration, eAIP, AIS website | Automation with COMSOFT's or ATALIS Solutions |
| Libya | | | |
| Madagascar | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are |

| | P-20 - | — Electronic aeronautical charts | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required |
| | | | required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 |
| | | | Electronic Aeronautical Chart |
| Malawi | | | Display is too general. |
| Mali | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are |
| Wan | Only 1 D1 Tormat charts | | required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 |
| | | | Electronic Aeronautical Chart |
| | | | Display is too general. |
| Mauritania | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are |
| | | | required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 |
| | | | Electronic Aeronautical Chart |
| Maria | N | ATP/ CI | Display is too general. |
| Mauritius Morocco | Not implemented | e-AIP/ e-Chart will be operational by 2013 | |
| Mozambique | | | |
| Namibia | | | |
| Niger | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are |
| Nigei | Only FDF format charts | | required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 |
| | | | Electronic Aeronautical Chart |
| | | | Display is too general. |
| Nigeria | Only PDF format charts | | Tray State S |
| Rwanda | , | | |
| Sao Tome and | | | |
| Principe | | | |
| Senegal | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are |
| | | | required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 |
| | | | Electronic Aeronautical Chart |
| Seychelles | | | Display is too general. |
| Sierra Leone | Not yet implemented | Upgrade to AIXM 5.1 we will have a complete and | Automation with COMSOFT's or |
| Sicira Leone | Not yet implemented | integrated solution for data processing automation of | ATALIS Solutions |
| | | electronic aeronautical charting, data originator | |
| | | integration, eAIP, AIS website | |
| Somalia | Not implemented | 2017, by making sure that new electronic aeronautical | |
| | | charts based on digital data bases and the use of | |
| | | geographical information systems are well defined so | |
| | | as to complement some paper charts and replace the | |
| | | ones which are obsolete. | |
| | | ones man are observed. | |
| South Africa | 2011 continuous | | Training of cartographers on |
| | | To be implemented | PLTS-ArcGIS Aviation Solution |
| | | 2 3 00 impromotion | software |
| South Sudan | | | |
| Sudan | Not implemented | Contract signed with ENAC to train and establish | Planed 2011- 2013 |

| b) What is the s | tatus of implementation of the following steps of Phase | e 3 in your State? | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| | P-20 — Electronic aeronautical charts | | | | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional | | |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required | | |
| | | Procedure Design & Cartography Unit, ongoing. | | | |
| Swaziland | | | | | |
| Tunisia | Not yet implemented | Planned (2016) | | | |
| Togo | Only PDF format charts | | More detailed specification are required; Annex 4, Chapter 20 Electronic Aeronautical Chart Display is too general. | | |
| Uganda | | | | | |
| United Republic of | | | | | |
| Tanzania | | | | | |
| Zambia | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | | |

| b) What is the s | status of implementation of the following steps of | • | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | P-21 — Digital NOTAM | |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required |
| Algeria | | | |
| Angola | | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Benin | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Burkina Faso | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Botswana | Not yet implemented | Planned for 2012-2015 by introducing Aeronautical Telecommunication Network (ATN) System. | |
| Burundi | | | |
| Cameroon | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Cape Verde | | | |
| Central African Republic | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Chad | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Comoros | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Congo | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti | | | |
| Egypt | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |

| b) What is the | e status of implementation of the following steps of | | |
|----------------|--|---|--|
| | T1 | P-21 — Digital NOTAM | A 3.3% |
| | Implemented (specify how) | Planned (specify when/how) | Additional comments/clarification required |
| Eritrea | (specify now) | (specify when/now) | comments/ciarmication required |
| Entrea | | | |
| Ethiopia | | | |
| Gabon | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Gambia | Not implemented | | |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | Not yet implemented | Upgrade from AIXM 8.0 to AIXM 5.1 we will have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation of Digital NOTAM and accommodate legacy system and improve the quality of the information provided to legacy NOTAM users | Automation with COMSOFT's or ATALIS Solutions |
| Guinea Bissau | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Kenya | • | Monitoring developments of Digital NOTAM included as an activity in KCAA Strategic plan with the goal of understanding requirements for smooth implementation by 2016 once included as a standard in Annex 15. | |
| Lesotho | | | |
| Liberia | Not yet implemented | Upgrade from AIXM 8.0 to AIXM 5.1 we will have a complete and integrated solution for data processing automation of Digital NOTAM and accommodate legacy system and improve the quality of the information provided to legacy NOTAM users | Automation with COMSOFT's or ATALIS Solutions |
| Libya | | | |
| Madagascar | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Mauritania | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Mauritius | Not implemented | Plan to provide digital NOTAM by July 2016. | AIXM 5.1 will be the enabler to digital NOTAM. |
| Morocco | | | |
| Mozambique | | | |
| Namibia | | | |
| Niger | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Nigeria | Not yet implemented | | |
| Rwanda | | | |
| Sao Tome and | | | |
| Principe | | | |
| Senegal | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Seychelles | | | |
| Sierra Leone | Not yet implemented | Upgrade from AIXM 8.0 to AIXM 5.1 we will have a complete and integrated solution for data processing | Automation with COMSOFT's or ATALIS Solutions |

| b) What is the s | tatus of implementation of the following steps of Phase 3 | in your State? | |
|--------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| | P-21 — I | Digital NOTAM | |
| | Implemented | Planned | Additional |
| | (specify how) | (specify when/how) | comments/clarification required |
| | | automation of Digital NOTAM and accommodate | |
| | | legacy system and improve the quality of the | |
| a 11 | | information provided to legacy NOTAM users | |
| Somalia | Yes, by email | 2013, by making sure that a NOTAM is in a | |
| | | structured format that will be fully interpreted by a | |
| | | computer system for accurate and reliable up dates of aeronautical information both for automated | |
| | | information equipment and aviation personnel. | |
| South Africa | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| South Sudan | 1 vot implemented | waiting for ferro specifications | |
| Sudan | Not implemented | Sudan NP will determine our timeline. | |
| Swaziland | 1vot implemented | Sudan IVI will determine our timerine. | |
| Tunisia | Not yet implemented | Planned (2016) | |
| Togo | Not implemented | Waiting for ICAO specifications | |
| Uganda | Acquisition of ArcGIS software done. Foundation training | - Purchase of other extensions/modules planned | AIXM 5.1 will be the enabler to |
| Surrau | in ArcGIS undertaken | for 2013. | digital NOTAM |
| | in the one undertaken | - Training for ArcGIS for Aviation planned for | digital 110171111 |
| | | 2013. | |
| | | - Integration of the software with Automation | |
| | | system planned. | |
| United Republic of | 2011 continues | Ongoing | Purchasing ArcGIS – Aviation |
| Tanzania | 2011 continues | Ongoing | Solution Software |
| | | | Training needs on integrating |
| | | | different systems and |
| | | | data/information |
| Zambia | | | data momunon |
| Zimbabwe | | | |
| | 1 | I . | |

5. Do you expect any specific difficulty which could impede the transition from AIS to AIM?

| | | YES | NO |
|--------------|--|-----|----|
| Algeria | | | |
| Angola | | | |
| Benin | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | x Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states . x Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |
| Burkina Faso | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | x Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states . x Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |

| | | YES | NO |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|----|
| | | | |
| Botswana | Implementation of the e TOD will be a challenge financially since it is an expensive exercise and the massive training in transition for the AIS to AIM | X | |
| Burundi | | | |
| Cameroon | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | x Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states . x Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |
| Cape Verde | | | |
| Central African | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| Republic | Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states. Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |
| Chad | | | |
| Comoros | | | |
| Congo | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states. Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states. Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | |
| Djibouti | | | |
| Egypt | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states . Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |
| Eritrea | | | |
| Ethiopia | | | |
| Gabon | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | x Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states . x Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |

| | | YES | NO |
|---------------|--|--------|----|
| | | | |
| Gambia | Timely availability of material and human resources | X | |
| Ghana | | | |
| Guinea | High cost for conducting survey eTOD availability Non-conformity with signed service level agreement (SLA) by data provider on State level and lack of enforcement by the regulator The upgrade from AIXM 8.0 to AIXM 5.1 may increase transition cost Awareness of AIM concept in the AFI Region is very low in term of human resource development AIS Officer and Technician need the requisite training in preparedness to the transition to AIM AIM implementation may be outshined by the SWIM concept environment | | |
| Guinea Bissau | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | x Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states . x Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | 11 | |
| Kenya | Commercialisation of AIXM upgrades by system vendors especially from | X | |
| | AIXM 4.5 to 5.1 may increase the transition cost • Availability of AIM related courses may slow the implementation since the | X | |
| | courses are not available as ICAO standard courses Low awareness of AIM concept in the AFI region hence system operability in | X X | |
| | the region may result to be wanting Aim targets to support ATM system and yet many ATM providers treat AIM as an AIS field happening. Need for general industry everyones. | X | |
| | as an AIS field happening. Need for general industry awareness AIM implementation may be outshined by the SWIM concept even before many States implement hence may create confusion if implementation is not handled carefully Non conformity with signed SLA by data originators and lack of enforcement by regulator | X | |
| Lesotho | | | |
| Liberia | High cost for conducting survey eTOD availability Non-conformity with signed service level agreement (SLA) by data provider on State level and lack of enforcement by the regulator The upgrade from AIXM 8.0 to AIXM 5.1 may increase transition cost Awareness of AIM concept in the AFI Region is very low in term of human resource development AIS Officer and Technician need the requisite training in preparedness to the transition to AIM AIM implementation may be outshined by the SWIM concept environment | | |
| Libya | | | |
| | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| Madagascar | x Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states. x Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |
| Malawi | | | |
| Mali | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states . Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |

| | | YES | NO |
|----------------|--|------------|----|
| 36 | | | |
| Mauritania | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | | |
| | x Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states. | | |
| | x Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |
| | | | |
| Mauritius | Potential for the non-participation of key stakeholders providing e-TOD data. | | X |
| | • Continuation of downturn in aviation industry causing financial constraints on the State AIS provider and other key stakeholders | | X |
| | supplying aeronautical data. Non-agreement by airports to establishment of SLA with State AIS for provision of data. | | X |
| | Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping | | X |
| | • Funding, decision making on all levels, manpower capacity, availability of knowledge, technical infrastructure, acceptance by all | | X |
| | stakeholders, timescales unrealistic. | | |
| Morocco | | | |
| Mozambique | | | |
| Namibia | | ** | |
| Niger | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | x Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states. | | |
| | x Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |
| | | | |
| Nigeria | Implementation of the eTOD (Regulations and financing) | | |
| | Training (AIS to AIM) | | |
| | Adequate regulations governing AIM Need for general industry awareness | | |
| | Funding, decision making at all levels, availability | | |
| | Difficulty in establishing SLAs with data originators | | |
| Rwanda | | | |
| Sao Tome and | | | |
| Principe | | | |
| Senegal | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | x Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states. | | |
| | x Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |
| | | | |
| Seychelles | | | |
| Sierra Leone | High cost for conducting survey eTOD availability | | |
| | Non-conformity with signed service level agreement (SLA) by data provider on State level and lack of enforcement by the regulator | | |
| | The upgrade from AIXM 8.0 to AIXM 5.1 may increase transition cost | | |
| | Awareness of AIM concept in the AFI Region is very low in term of human resource development AIS Officer and Tackgriden models are regionally as a supplied to the transition to AIM as | | |
| | AIS Officer and Technician need the requisite training in preparedness to the transition to AIM μ AIM implementation may be outshined by the SWIM concept environment | | |
| Somalia | Aeronautical information for most of the airfields in Somalia not verified | X | |
| South Africa | Adequate regulations governing AIM | X | |
| ~ Juni i milou | Adequate regulations governing Anvi Different States have different priorities for their limited financial and physical resources and the transition of AIS to AIM | 4 1 | |

| | | YES | NO |
|--------------------|---|-----|----|
| | may not in all cases be accorded the necessary priority. | | |
| | • E_TOD implementation. (Adequate regulations governing e-TOD and obstacle assessments) | | l |
| South Sudan | | | |
| Sudan | • Speedy changes in ICAO Plans, etc Transfer from AIS to AIM 2009-2016, now we have to be ready for Block 0 by the end of 2013, even though Sudan planed before 2016. | | |
| Swaziland | | | |
| Tunisia | | X | |
| Togo | x High cost for to do the survey for eTOD availability | X | |
| | x Difficulties to establishment of SLA with data originators in the states. | | ĺ |
| | x Justification to aerodromes for additional costs related to the provision of survey data for digital mapping. | | |
| Uganda | Delay in procurement of the Automated AIS system, non-participation of key stakeholders providing eTOD, and non-agreement | X | |
| | by raw data providers to establish SLAs with AIS, Challenges with acquisition of financial resources and procurement process | | ĺ |
| | may impede the transition | | İ |
| United Republic of | | | |
| Tanzania | | | ĺ |
| Zambia | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | |

6. What kind of assistance/support do you expect from ICAO to expedite the transition from AIS to AIM?

| Algeria | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Angola | |
| Benin | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices examples and other supporting documents. x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Burkina Faso | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices examples and other supporting documents. x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Botswana | Due to lack of knowledge in the following areas CAAB need to be assisted to understand the following steps; • Unique identifiers • Aeronautical conceptual model • Aerodrome mapping • Interoperability with meteorological products • Electronic aeronautical charts • Digital NOTAM • Aeronautical data exchange |
| Burundi | |
| Cameroon | |
| Cape Verde | |
| Central African Republic | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices examples and other supporting documents. |

| | x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Chad | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices |
| | examples and other supporting documents. |
| | x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Comoros | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices |
| | examples and other supporting documents. |
| | x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Congo | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices |
| | examples and other supporting documents. |
| | x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Cote d'Ivoire | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices |
| | examples and other supporting documents. |
| | x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| - | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Democratic | |
| Republic of Congo Djibouti | |
| Djibouu | |
| Egypt | |
| Equatorial Guinea | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices |
| | examples and other supporting documents. |
| | x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Eritrea | |
| Ethiopia | |
| Gabon | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices |
| | examples and other supporting documents. |
| | x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Gambia | Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Ghana | |
| Guinea | ICAO to control the changes of AIXM 5.1 for consistency |
| | Service level agreement should be made a standard |
| | Regional workshops and seminars on the framework and guidance materials to ensure consistency of the concept from AIS to AIM |
| | Review of Annex 15, 4, DOC 8126 requirement to accommodate AIM and SWIM environment to ensure consistency of the concept |
| | ICAO needs to conduct a seminars and workshop on AIM and SWIM environment interoperability |
| | ICAO needs to review the business model and financial model for AFI-CAD implementation in accordance AFI-CAD DOC 007 of APIRG/17 report. |
| Guinea Bissau | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices |

| | examples and other supporting documents. x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
|-------------|---|
| | x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Kenya | I. ICAO TO CONTROL EVOLUTION OF AIXM 5.1. |
| Henya | 2. PROVIDE AIM Training at ICAO region offices |
| | 3. SLA to be made a standard |
| | 4. ICAO through technical bureau to support AFI-CAD Implementation |
| | 5. Promote AIM awareness to the Industry |
| Lesotho | |
| Liberia | ICAO to control the changes of AIXM 5.1 for consistency |
| | Service level agreement should be made a standard |
| | Regional workshops and seminars on the framework and guidance materials to ensure consistency of the concept from AIS to AIM |
| | • Review of Annex 15, 4, DOC 8126 requirement to accommodate AIM and SWIM environment to ensure consistency of the concept |
| | • ICAO needs to conduct a seminars and workshop on AIM and SWIM environment interoperability |
| | • ICAO needs to review the business model and financial model for AFI-CAD implementation in accordance AFI-CAD DOC 007 of APIRG/17 report. |
| Libya | |
| , | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices |
| | examples and other supporting documents. |
| Madagascar | Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| | |
| 361 | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Malawi | |
| Mali | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices |
| | examples and other supporting documents. |
| | Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Mauritania | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices |
| | examples and other supporting documents. |
| | Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| | |
| 3.6 | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Mauritius | Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices examples and other |
| | supporting documents. |
| | Expeditious revisions to Annex 15 and 4 when appropriate. |
| | Expeditious tevisions to Annex 15 and 4 when appropriate. |
| | Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| | Regional workshops and seminals to ensure consistency in the danisation to rinvi. |
| | Provide guidance on training and workshop for empowering AIS staff for the smooth transition from AIS to |
| Morocco | |
| Mozambique | |
| Namibia | |
| Niger | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices |
| | examples and other supporting documents. |
| | Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| | |
| NT ' | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Nigeria | X Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices examples |

| | and other supporting documents. X Publish a DOC relative to AIM personnel training. X Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. X Promote AIM awareness to the Industry |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Rwanda | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | |
| Senegal | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices examples and other supporting documents. x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. |
| | x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Seychelles | |
| Sierra Leone | ICAO to control the changes of AIXM 5.1 for consistency Service level agreement should be made a standard Regional workshops and seminars on the framework and guidance materials to ensure consistency of the concept from AIS to AIM Review of Annex 15, 4, DOC 8126 requirement to accommodate AIM and SWIM environment to ensure consistency of the concept ICAO needs to conduct a seminars and workshop on AIM and SWIM environment interoperability ICAO needs to review the business model and financial model for AFI-CAD implementation in accordance AFI-CAD DOC 007 of APIRG/17 report. |
| Somalia | Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance material, manuals, best practices examples and other supporting documents Expeditious revisions to Annex 15 and 4 when appropriate Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM Training for our staff and training material |
| South Africa | Review of contents and format of AIP and AIRAC specifications (More detailed definitions to eliminate ambiguity) Review of Annex 4 and Annex 15 (Doc 8126) requirements to accommodate AIM to IM. |
| South Sudan | - Noview of runner 1 and runner 13 (Boe of 20) requirements to decommodate run 1 to 1.1. |
| Sudan | Debriefing for CAA DGs, awareness of Transition from AIS to AIM importance, An AFI campaign. |
| Swaziland | 5 |
| Tunisia | A Task Force was implemented in the AFI Region to develop planning material related to the transition from AIS to AIM |
| Togo | x Specific guidance material for implementation of each subject. Development of more detailed guidance materials, manuals, best practices examples and other supporting documents. x Expeditious revisions to Annex 15, 4 and DOC 8126 when appropriate. Publish a DOC relative AIM personal training. x Regional workshops and seminars to ensure consistency in the transition to AIM. |
| Uganda | Specific guidance material, standardization of roadmap steps into Annex 15 and 4, and Regional workshops to ensure consistency in the transition from AIS to AIM |
| United Republic of Tanzania | |
| Zambia | |
| Zimbabwe | |

7. Do you have any suggestion to update/improve the ICAO Roadmap for the Transition from AIS to AIM?

| Algeria | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Angola | |
| Benin | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Burkina Faso | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Botswana | |
| Burundi | |
| Cameroon | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Cape Verde | |
| Central African Republic | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Chad | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Comoros | |
| Congo | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Cote d'Ivoire | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | |
| Djibouti | |
| Egypt Equatorial Guinea | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Eritrea | |
| Ethiopia | |
| Gabon | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Gambia | 7 Timorines should be permanently mointered and adapted accordingly. |
| Ghana | |
| Guinea | Extend the end of the implementation period from 2016-2020 Review the status of AIM implementation between phase two (2) and three(3) as new product are introduced, organizational changes will need to be made to implement better management of information in terms of: staff planning and staff training |

| | - impact on cost-recovery mechanisms |
|--------------------------|---|
| | formalization of agreement with data providers to ensure a high degree of data quality introduction of an extensive amount of explicit meta-information |
| | - explicit traceability of the changes to information and identification of liabilities |
| Guinea Bissau | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. |
| 77 | x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Kenya | 1. Review the status of AIM implementation by States and re-scheduled activities between phase 2 and 3 based on what is widely implemented and ^planned for in near future |
| | 2. Extend the end of implementation period from 2016 to 2018 |
| Lesotho | |
| Liberia | Extend the end of the implementation period from 2016-2020 Review the status of AIM implementation between phase two (2) and three(3) as new product are introduced, organizational changes will need to be made to implement better management of information in terms of: |
| | - staff planning and staff training |
| | - impact on cost-recovery mechanisms |
| | formalization of agreement with data providers to ensure a high degree of data quality introduction of an extensive amount of explicit meta-information |
| Libya | |
| Madagascar | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Malawi | Timelines should be permanently mointoired and adupted accordingly. |
| Mali | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be |
| | expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Mauritania | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Mauritius | In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. |
| Manage | Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Morocco | |
| Mozambique | |
| Namibia | |
| Niger | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Nigeria | |
| Rwanda | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | |
| Senegal | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be |
| Ü | expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. |
| Seychelles | x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Sierra Leone | Extend the end of the implementation period from 2016-2020 |
| Sicila Leone | Extend the end of the imprementation period from 2010-2020 |

| | Review the status of AIM implementation between phase two (2) and three(3) as new product are introduced, organizational changes will need to be made to implement better management of information in terms of: - staff planning and staff training |
|--------------------|---|
| | - impact on cost-recovery mechanisms - formalization of agreement with data providers to ensure a high degree of data quality - introduction of an extensive amount of explicit meta-information |
| Somalia | No |
| South Africa | |
| South Sudan | |
| Sudan | Transfer from AIS to AIM Presentation by the AISAIMSG to be held in Nairobi and Dakar. |
| Swaziland | |
| Tunisia | No |
| Togo | x In the first version of the Roadmap document the description of the steps is quite basic and insufficient. Those definitions should be expanded and/or reference to specific standards, manuals and other documents should be provided within it. x Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly. |
| Uganda | Timelines should be permanently monitored and adapted accordingly |
| United Republic of | |
| Tanzania | |
| Zambia | |
| Zimbabwe | |

8. Any other suggestion on the subject?

| Algeria | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Angola | |
| Benin | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Burkina Faso | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Botswana | The AFI Regional Office in conjunction with ICAO to assist in training for transition of AIS to AIM. Most of the African States are still behind in the implementation of QMS and conducting of workshops in these areas will be appreciated so as to evaluate the level of implementation |
| Burundi | |
| Cameroon | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Cape Verde | |
| Central African Republic | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Chad | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Comoros | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Congo | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Cote d'Ivoire | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | |

| Djibouti | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Egypt | |
| Equatorial Guinea | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Eritrea | |
| Ethiopia | |
| Gabon | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Gambia | |
| Ghana | |
| Guinea | The entire AIS-AIM document that has been developed by the AIMSG should be adopted by APIRG and release to States as a guidance material for implementation. |
| Guinea Bissau | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Kenya | Key AIM related documents such as Training manual, QMS and eTOD manual, And aerodrome mapping database manual already developed by AIS-Aim study group should be adopted and released to states for guidance Extend the AIS-AIM SG period which expires in 2013 to ensure developments of all standards required to guide AIM. The SG work should coincide with |
| | the implementation period of 2016 to ensure review of SARPS. |
| Lesotho | |
| Liberia | The entire AIS-AIM document that has been developed by the AIMSG should be adopted by APIRG and release to States as a guidance material for implementation. |
| Libya | |
| Madagascar | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Malawi | |
| Mali | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Mauritania | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Mauritius | ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Morocco | |
| Mozambique | |
| Namibia | |
| Niger | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Nigeria | |
| Rwanda | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | |
| Senegal | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Seychelles | |
| Sierra Leone | The entire AIS-AIM document that has been developed by the AIMSG should be adopted by APIRG and release to States as a guidance material for implementation. |
| Somalia | None |
| South Africa | ICAO to incorporate AICM and AIXM specifications within new ICAO doc or Doc 8126. |
| | Incorporate use GIS systems like google earth within AIM briefing specifications. |
| | ICAO to invest in development of tools/add-ons like google earth to support AIM operations. |
| | ICAO to linvest in development of tools/add-ons like google earth to support Any operations. ICAO to develop standardize forms for Data Quality assurance/tracking. (If different states implement different |
| | • ICAO to develop standardize forms for Data Quanty assurance/tracking. (If different states implement different |

| | processes/procedures, the outcome of the integrity and quality of the data will vary. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| South Sudan | |
| Sudan | |
| Swaziland | |
| Tunisia | No |
| Togo | x ICAO Doc 9881 is only a draft, but the content is paramount for the transition to AIM - e.g. the attributes of terrain and obstacle data need clear definitions and explanations – including examples of obstacles together with attributes. |
| Uganda | More clarification is required regarding UUIDs, Aeronautical Information Briefing |
| United Republic of Tanzania | |
| Zambia | |
| Zimbabwe | |