

INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION

AFI PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION REGIONAL GROUP THIRTEENTH MEETING (APIRG/13) (Sal, CapeVerde, 25-29 June 2001)

Agenda item 5 Shortcomings and deficiencies

Communication survey

(Presented by IFALPA)

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The survey submitted as Attachment 1, was performed over the period October 2000 through April 2001 and is ongoing. Total number of returned surveys was 165. Not all surveys contained information on communications as a result of being carried out on domestic and/or Regional flights.
- 1.2 The data presented have been earlier sent to the ICAO Offices in both Nairobi and Dakar.
- 1.3 The surveys also contain information on congestion, however these data are not incorporated in this tabular presentation.
- 1.4 The surveys in addition carry information on whether a HF frequency was simultaneously used for controller-controller communication. This is not yet shown here.
- 1.5 The data on 1.3 and 1.4 above are, as stated in 1.2 above, available to ICAO.

2. How to read this presentation

- 2.1 The left column shows, in alphabetical order, all AFI (and MID) FIR's, with as well the frequencies published for use in these FIR's (both VHF, and, where applicable, HF)
- 2.2 Where crews rated, on VHF, a communication as 0,1 or 2, the qualification "poor" was given. A rating of 3 was qualified as "acceptable" and ratings 4 and 5 were qualified as "good"
- 2.3 On HF crew ratings were simply repeated from that given on the survey form.
- 2.4 The "1 through 5" are the normal "credits" in use in aviation, recall the phrase:"How do you read?", followed by "reading you 3"
- 2.5 A copy of the IFALPA Deficiency Form in use, the DF/9, is shown at Attachment 2.
- 2.6 For HF, the time of broadcast was included as this may have influence on the propagation qualities on HF

3. The survey results and APIRG

- 3.1 What the survey shows is the continuing widespread use of HF.
- 3.2 Not presented in this table is the continuing widespread use of HF for pilot-controller use and at the same time for controller-controller use, signifying non-availability or non-use of ATS/DS.
- 3.3 APIRG is requested to take these results and observations into considerations when discussing reduction of longitudinal, horizontal and vertical separation.

4. In addition

- 4.1 "Souls on board", "endurance", " type of aircraft" and "registration", continue to form part of information sought by controllers. Apart from these requests often being made at inopportune moments (on HF whilst some 10 minutes later VHF can be established with the same ACC unit, or on ground frequency and then again on tower frequency of the same airport), these requests clearly signify lack of proper AFTN
- 4.2 APIRG is requested to take this last comment into account when considering reducing separation.

Period October 2		2001				547		OUG	H 1	712	
			HF					HF		1.	
FIR/TMA	FREQ	POOR	ACC	GOOD	FREQ	0	1	2	3	4	5
Abidjan D111	121.10 129.10			2	6535 6586 6673 8861 13294			-	-		
Accra DGAC	126.70 130.90	1	1	11 6	5493 6586 8903 13294	2 2			1		
Addis Ababa HAAA	121.10 125.10 129.50	3	1	5	3467 5517 6574 8870 11300 13288 17961	4		1	3	4	
Alger DAAA	123.80 124.10 124.60 125.40 125.70 127.30 128.10 131.30 132.45	5 1 2 1	1 3	2 5 5 2 4 3	3419 5652 8894 13273 17961	1 6 2		4	4	7	
Antananarivo FMMM	125.10 126.70 128.90 129.50	1			3467 3476 4657 5634 8879 13306			-	1	1	
Asmara HHAA	120.70				3467 5517 5658 6574 8870 11300						
Bamako	119.10			1	6673 8861						
Bangui	119.70				6559 8903						

AFI/MID EN-ROUTE COMMUNICATIONS

			KOUTE CC /HF	OMMUNICA'	TIONS AFT			HF			
FIR/TMA	FREQ	POOR	ACC	GOOD	FREQ	0	1	2	3	4	5
	·		•	·					•	-	
Beira FQBE	126.10 130.90	3		3 1	2878 3476 5493 5634 6559 6586 8879 8888 8903 11300 13294	1		1	2	1	
Benghazi	121.90 126.50 129.20			9	17961 3467 5517 11300 13336						
Bloemfontein FABL	120.30	1	1	8							
Brazzaville	121.10				2878 5493 6559 8873 8903 13294	1	1		3	1	
Bujumbura HBBA	118.70 119.70				8879 8903 8913 11300						
Cairo HECC	$124.30 \\ 124.70 \\ 125.30 \\ 126.60 \\ 127.70 \\ 129.40 \\ 130.90 \\ 132.00 \\ 132.17 \\ 134.50 \\$	2 1 5 1	1 3 1 2	1 1 1 5	3467 5517 6574 11300 13288	2		1	1	4	5
Canarias GCCC	126.50 129.10 130.90 133.00										
Capetown FACT	125.10 126.50			2							
Casablanca GMMM	124.50 125.10 125.50 126.70 128.80			1 1	3452 5554 6535 8861 13357						

	EN-ROUTE COMMUNICATIONS AFI												
FIR/TMA	FREQ	POOR	ACC	GOOD	FREQ	0	1	2	3	4	5		
~ .	r	r	-T	- T		.		-	-	-			
Conakry					6589 8861								
						İ	Ī	Ī			ĺ		
Dakar	120.50				3452								
G000	127.30 129.50				5565 5680	ł	ł	ļ	ļ	I	I		
	129.30				6535								
	151.50				6673								
				i	8861	1	İ	Ī	Ì	Ì	Ī		
					11291								
					13315								
					13357				Ī	Ī	Ī		
					17955								
Dar es Salaam	119.30	1		2	5517						ļ		
HTDC	119.50	1		1	8870	1							
	123.30		2	1	8879	-		1	1				
					11300	İ	Î	İ	İ	İ	Ī		
					13306								
Douala	125 10	I		0	5402								
Douala	125.10 129.50			8	5493 6559								
	129.30				8873								
					8903			Ì		ł	Î		
					13294								
	100.50	Ī				ļ							
Durban	120.50												
FADN													
Entebbe	128.50				5517	Ì		ł					
HUEC					8903								
					11300	ļ	ļ			ļ			
Freetown					5680								
Fleetowii					5080 6610								
					6673			Ì		ł	Î		
					8861								
Gabarone	126 10	2	2	1	5493						ļ		
FBGR	126.10 127.10	2 11	2 4	1 12	5495 6586								
IDOK	127.10	11	4	3	8888								
	120.20			5	13294	Ì			Î	Î	İ		
House	105 10				0070		1	1					
Harare FVHA	125.10 131.50	1		12	8879	1	ł	ł			l		
		1				1							
Johannesburg	124.50			7	5565	1	ļ	ļ					
FAJS	126.70		2	21	6559		1	1					
	128.30			8	8861		1	1					
					13315 17955		1	-					
					21926	1							
Kano	121.70				6879	1							
DNKK	124.10	2	1	11	8903	1	1						
	128.50	ļ			9495	!	1	ł	-		ł		
					13294	1	1	1		1	1		

			ROUTE CO /HF	OMMUNICA'	TIONS AFI		1	HF			
FIR/TMA	FREQ	POOR	ACC	GOOD	FREQ	0	1	2	3	4	5
				1	1						
Khartoum	124.70			13	3467	2					
HSSS	125.50				5517	2					
	127.30			-	6574 8959	2	ł				
					8959 11300	1 15	2	4	11	17	1
					13288	1		'		17	1
Kigali	124.30				3467						
HRYR	121.50				13288		ļ				
Kinshasa	120.50				2851						
FZAA	120.30				2878						
	126.10			-	5493	Ì	İ	İ	Ì	Ì	Ì
	128.10				6559						
					8888		ļ				
					8903 8906				2		
					8906 8959						
				-	10009		1				
					10057						
					13294						
					13304						
	101 10				17961						
Kisangani FZIC	121.10 124.70				5493 8903						
I'ZIC	124.70				8905						
	128.90				10009						
	130.90	ĺ			13294		ĺ		Ī		
					17961						
Lagos	124.30	1	1	1	5493						
	124.70	2	2		6586						
	127.30	1	1	4	8903		ļ				
					9495 13294						
Libreville	126.50			6	6559						
					8873						
					8903						
Lilongwe	120.60				3425						
FWLL	128.00	1		2	4657		ļ		ļ	ļ	
Blantyre	124.90			1	6586						
					8873 8879						
					8888						
Luanda	126.90				2851					1	
FNAN	126.90				2851 2878	l	1			1	
	129.00				5493						
					6559				ļ		
			1		6884		1			1	
			1		8879		1			1	
					8888 8903					1	1
			1		8903 8906		1				1
					13294	L	L			1	

			ROUTE CO /HF	OMMUNICA'	FIONS AFI		н	IF			
FIR/TMA	FREQ	POOR	ACC	GOOD	FREQ	0	1	2	3	4	5
						1	1	1	1		
Lubumbashi	120.70				5493						
FZQA					6915						
		1	I		8903 8906						
					10009						
					13294						
					17961	İ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	İ	İ
Lusaka	120.50		1	2	2878						
FLFI	120.90		1	2	5493						
	128.90			1	5634						
					6586		<u>_</u>	<u>_</u>			
					8873						
					8879 8888						
					8903						
					8906						
					8913						
					10009						
					13306						
Maidiguri	120.70				5493						
	123.10				8903						
Maputo	121.30			2	9495		l	l	ļ		
Mapulo	121.30	1		3 2							
		-				ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
Maseru	120.70		1								
Matsapa	124.90			5			-	-	-		
Mauritius					3476						
FIMP					5634		ļ	ļ	ļ		
					8879					1	
					13306						
Mogadishu	120.90		Ī		3467		ĺ	ĺ	İ		
HCSM	122.50				5517						
	126.10				5658 7595		l	l	ļ		
					11300						
Nairobi	118.50	4	1	6	3467	1					
HKNA	119.70	1		4	5517						
	121.30 122.30	4	1	3	5634 6559						
	122.30		1		7595						
					8879		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
			1		8888						
			1		8903 8959	1					
					8959 11300	3		2	1	7	3
			1		13306	١		⁻	Ĺ	l í	Ĩ

	EN-ROUTE COMMUNICATIONS AFI										
	EDEO		/HF	GOOD	EDEO			HF			-
FIR/TMA	FREQ	POOR	ACC	GOOD	FREQ	0	1	2	3	4	5
						T					
N'Djamena	128.10			2	2878						
FTTT	129.10				5493						
					5652 8873			ł			
					8894						
					8903		2		3	2	1
					13294						
Niamey	126.10			2	2878						
DRRR	131.30		Ī	9	3419	İ		İ		Ī	Ì
					5493						
			_		5652 6586	ł					
					8894		1	2	9	16	
					8903				-		
					13273						
					13294						
Port Elizabeth	124.70	4	2			ł	Ì	Ì			
FAPE	131.70										
Roberts	124.50			2	3452			I	l		
GLRB	128.10			2 2	6535						
					6673	ļ					
					8861						
Sal	127.10				2854						
GVSC	128.30				6673						
					8861						
					11291 13315						
					13313		1	1			
					17955			ļ	ļ		
Sao Tome					5493			1			
Suo rome					8903						
					13294			ĺ			1

	EN-ROUTE COMMUNICATIONS AFI VHF HF										
FIR/TMA	FREQ	POOR	ACC	GOOD	FREQ	0	1	2	3	4	5
			•	·		•	•	•	•		
Seychelles	120.20				3425						
FSSS					3467						
					3476	ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
					4657						
					5517 5634						
					5658			ł		ł	
					7595						
					8879						
					10018						
					11300						
	I				13288 13306	l	ł	ł	ł		ł
					17961						
St. Denis	127.20			1	3476						
					5634						
					8879	l	ł	ł	ł		ł
Tamanrasset					3419						
Tulliulliussot					5652						
		Ì	Ī		8894		Ī	İ	Í	Ī	İ
					13273						
			-		17961						
Tripoli	120.90			3	3419						
HLLL	128.40			5	5517	2			2	2	
	132.50	ĺ	İ		5652		Ī	İ	Î	Ī	İ
	133.60				8894						
IZ C	136.15			1	11300	2	1	1	7	8	4
Kufra	121.90			1	13273 13315						
					15515						
Tunis	120.30			1		Ì	İ	İ	İ	Ì	İ
DTTC	120.70										
	125.15							ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
	128.90 129.30			1					1		1
	129.30			1					1		1
	132.55			1					1		İ
Windhoek	124.70	2	1	13	8861						
FYWH			1		8888		1			1	

EN-ROUTE COMMUNICATIONS MID E/W

_			HF]	HF			
FIR/TMA	FREQ	POOR	ACC	GOOD	FREQ	0	1	2	3	4	5
Aden					3467	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
Adeli					5517						
			Ì		5601		Ì			İ	Ì
					5658						
			ļ		5667					ļ	ł
					7595 8918						
					8918						
					8879		l			1	ł
					10018						
					11300		ļ				ļ
					13288						
Amman	125.80			3	2992						
OJAC	128.30			3 3 2	5667	İ	Ì	İ		İ	İ
	128.50			2	8918						
					13312						
Baghdad	125.90				2992						
ORBS	127.10				5667						
		-			8918		Ī			Ī	İ
	126 70			2	2002						
Bahrain OBBB	126.70	-		3	2992 5658					1	ł
OBBB					5667						
					8918						
				Ì	13288		Ī	Ī			I
					13312						
Beyrut	119.30	-		2	-	l	l	I	I	ł	
Deyrat	123.70			2							
			ļ								
Damascus	120.00			5	2992						
OSDI	121.30				5667						
		-			8918 13312		l			1	
					10012						
Emirates	124.85						ļ				ļ
OMAE	129.50										
Jeddah	124.00				5517						
OEJD	124.00				5667		l			Ì	
	128.10				8918						
	132.30				8959						
	132.70				11300						
	133.30			4	13312						
	133.90 134.00										
	134.00			2							
	134.40			-			ļ				
17 .	105.00			1	2002						
Kuwait	125.30			1	2992 5658						
OKAC	132.10 135.50				5658 5667	l		ł	ł		
	155.50				8918						
					13288						
					13312						

Muscat OOMM	123.95 124.55		3517 5658 10018 11300			
Riyadh	126.00 128.50		3467 5667 8918 8959 11300 13288			
Sanaa OYSC	124.50 125.30		3467 5517 5601 5658 7595 8879 10018 11300			
Teheran OIIX			5658 5667 8918 10018 13288 13312			
Tel Aviv	120.50 121.40 121.80 124.30 128.90 129.20	1				

EF	SECTION NO.	
FALPA Deficiency Fo	RM	DF/9

Dear Colleague: IFALPA is i	n the process of gathering data for the s	e study of the compliance with ICAO Standards and
Recommended Practices. We	would appreciate your completion of th	this form Please return it to your Member Association.
DATE:	NAME (optional)	
FLIGHT NO:	FROM:	TO:
	(Please add ICAO and/or IATA code)	

EN-ROUTE NAVIGATION: Unserviceable Navigation Aids

No.	FIR	NAV AID Ident/freq	VOR /DME / NDB	Published	by NOTAM
1				YES	NO
2				YES	NO
3				YES	NO
4				YES	NO
5				YES	NO

EN-ROUTE COMMUNICATIONS:

No	GND Stn Call Sign	Waypoint	Freq	Time (HF)	Congestion Low/Med/High	Freq in use for gnd - gnd coms	Readability			v		
	Curr Sign			(iii) i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Bra Bra comp	0	1	2	3	4	5	
1						Yes/No						
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												

0 - no contact, 1 - unreadable, 2 - readable now & then, 3 - readable but with difficulty, 4- readable, 5 - perfectly readable

APPROACH/AERODROME COMMUNICATION

Α	Approach communications		Good	Acceptable	Poor*
В	Aerodrome Communication (including Tower)		Good	Acceptable	Poor*
С	Congestion due frequency sharing (appr-twr/twr-gnd)	N/A	Good	Acceptable	Poor*

 \ast please specify in Any Other Comments box on reverse side

APPROACH AND LANDING – Runway Used

Α	Type of approach executed:	ILS	LLZ DME	VOR DME	VOR	NDB	Circling Visual	
В	Was Radar Service provided:		ËS	NO		N/A		
С	Quality of Radar Service provided:	Go	Good		Acceptable		Poor	
D	Quality of Appr. Nav Aids used:	Go	Good		Acceptable		Poor	
Е	Unserviceable aids / services published by NOTAM?	YES		NO*		N/A		
F*	Please specify which u/s Navaids were not NOTAMed					8		

LIGHTING

Α	Was approach lighting	Serviceable/ Unserviceable / partly serviceable / NA (daylight)					
В	Was VASI/PAPI serviceable / reliable	YES	NO	Not i	nstalled		
С	Were threshold lights: serviceable/partly serv/unserv/not appl	Serv	Part Serv	U/S	N/A		
D	Were r/w edge lights: servic/partly serv/unserviceable/not appl	Serv	Part Serv	U/S	N/A		
Е	Was taxiway lighting adequate?	YES	NO	N/A (Daylight			
F	Was apron lighting adequate?	YES	NO	N/A ()	Daylight)		
G	In case any of the above were unserviceable or only partly serviceable, was this fact published by NOTAM?	YES	NO		N/A		

RUNWAY CHARACTERISTICS (R/W)

А	Condition	Smooth	Rough		Damaged	
В	Slippery when wet	YES	NO		N/A (dry conditions)	
С	Surface State? HEAVY rubber accretion in TDZ	YES	YES		NO	
D	Were surface markings clear?	YES		NO		

METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION

А	Was valid forecast (TAF) available at departure airport?	YES	NO
В	Was weather information readily available upon first contact with destin. ATC?	YES	NO
С	Was weather information recent, if not pse specify	YES	NO
D	Was weather information accurate, if not pse specify	YES	NO
Е	If ATIS published was it available N/A	YES	NO
F	If ATIS provided, was it accurate, if not pse specify N/A	YES	NO
		• ••••••	

HAZARDS / SECURITY

А	Were airport manoeuvring areas secure of animals/humans?		YES	NO	
В	B Was there a significant bird/wildlife hazard?			NO	
С	Do you consider airport security	Good Good		Poor	
If unat	ble to assess this yourself, on any of the above, please include your agents' opini	on:			

NOTAMS (Were NOTAMS factually correct and up to date, if not pse specify)

.....

COMMENT ON ENGLISH LANGUAGE USED ON R/T

.....

ANY OTHER COMMENTS

.....