

NAM/CAR Regional State Safety Programme (SSP) Implementation

Marcelo Orellana

Regional Officer, Flight Safety Implementation

ICAO NACC Regional Officer, 13 August 2019



BACKGROUND

During the 8th Meeting of Directors of Civil Aviation of North America, Central America and the Caribbean (NACC/DCA/8), the Secretariat presented the ICAO NAM/CAR Regional State Safety Programme (SSP) Implementation Strategy for 2018-2023, following CONCLUSION NACC/DCA/07/6 NACC SSP IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY





PROGRESS



State Safety Programme [SSP] Meeting for the NAM/CAR Regions / Reunión sobre el Programa de Seguridad Operacional del Estado [SSP]

NAM/CAR/SSP/1

ICAO NACC Regional Office, Mexico City, Mexico, 20 to 22 November 2018 / Oficina Regional NACC de la OACI, Ciudad de México, México 20 al 22 de noviembre de 2018

kick-off State Safety
 Programme (SSP) Meeting for
 the NAM/CAR Regions was
 held in the ICAO NACC
 Regional Office, from 20 to 22
 November 2018, led with the
 good practices of Transport
 Canada





NACC REGION SSP GAP ANALYSIS STATUS

FOLLOW UP MEETING

- On January 2019, the NACC office conducted a follow up Teleconference A step by step process was agreed:
- Recap strategy and status of each state regarding the upload of the respective SSP GAP analysis

REF.	QUESTION	neues es	Contenary Contenary	Samo	orlier bra.	Contraction Contraction	AUSLANDS Co.	Ginequ.	COC.	and Rice	Eller Law	OOMINGO OOMING	El Sa.	and and a second	Cold Land	Provence.	Line.	Starilon.	Dinou.	Olio,	UNITED.	al at E
1.1-01	Has [State] established a national aviation legislative framework that addresses the proactive management of safety in the State?			in progress			ok		in progress	ok		ok	in progress		ок		ok		not updated	ok	ok	
1.2-01	Has [State] identified the organization that is responsible for coordinating the maintenance and implementation of the SSP?			in progress			ok		ok	ok		ok	ok		ок		ok		not updated	ok	ok	
1.2-02	Has [State] established an SSP coordination group responsible for the implementation and maintenance of the SSP?			in progress			ok		ok	ok		ok	ok		ок		ok		not updated	ok	ok	
1.2-03	Has [State] identified, defined and dacumented the State requirements, obligations, functions and activities regarding the establishment and maintenance of the SSP?			in progress			ok		not defined	in progress		ok	in progress		ОК		ok		not updated	ok	ok	
1.2-19	maintenance of the SSP? Has [State] assessed the organizational structure to determine if any changes are needed to support the implementation and maintenance of the SSP?			not updated			in progress		not updated	not updated		ok	not updated		not updated		not updated		not updated	ok	not updated	
1.2-04	Does State have an SSP implementation plan in place, which includes the timing and sequencing of key tasks and responsibilities?			in progress			ok		ok	in progress		ok	in progress		ок		ok		not updated	ok	ok	
1.2-05	Is there a documented statement about the provision of the necessary resources for the implementation and maintenance of the SSP?			in progress			ok		in progress	in progress		ok	ok		ок		ok		not updated	ok	ok	
1.2-06	Are the organizations involved in the implementation and maintenance of the SSP provided with the necessary resources?			in progress			ok		ok	in progress		ok	ok		ОК		ok		not updated	ok	ok	
1.2-07	Has [State] defined the specific activities and responsibilities related to the management of safety in the State for each aviation authority?			in progress			ok		not defined	ok		ok	ok		ОК		ok		not updated	in progress	ok	
1.2-09	Does the head of organization responsible for the implementation and maintenance of the SSP coordinate the activities of the different State aviation organizations under the SSP?			not defined			in progress		not defined	ok		ok	ok		ОК		ok		not updated	ok	ok	
1.2-10	Has [State] established a safety policy?			ok			ok		ok	ok		ok	in progress		ОК		ok		not updated	ok	ok	
1.2-11	Is [State] safety policy endorsed by the State aviation authorities? Is [State] safety policy reviewed			ok			ok		ok	in progress		ok	in progress in		ОК		ok		not updated not	ok	ok	
1.2-12	is [State] safety policy reviewed periodically?			ok			ok		ok	ok		ok	in progress		ОК		ok		updated	ok	ok	



Step by step process (cont.)

• Establishment of a compliance verification process within the region, starting with those states in group 1, in accordance with The SSP Foundation Tool, in order to stablish the real status of the states involved and the possible weaknesses that needs to be solved, focusing the technical guidance with the support of Canada (TRANSPORT CANADA) in coordination with NACC.



CAO **istars 3.0**

integrated Safety Trend Analysis and Reporting System

😭 MY APPS CATALOGUE GROUP MANAGER SPACE-EXCHANGE WORKSHOP NEWS MY ACCOUNT CONTACT US PROFILE



SSP Foundation

Status of SSP Foundation Protocol Questions

This application displays a sub-set of 299 Protocol Questions (PQs) out of the 1,047 PQs used to calculate the USOAP Effective Implementation (EI). This sub-set of questions are considered as the foundation for a State Safety Programme (SSP) implementation. A SSP Foundation indicator is calculated, as the percentage of PQs which are either validated by USOAP or submitted as completed* through the corrective action plans(CAP) on the USOAP CMA Online Framework.



Step by step process (cont.)

Establishment of a compliance verification process

Action 1: verification of the states on the group 1 (SSP Foundation Tool).

Action 2: Identification of strengths and possible weaknesses

Action 3: NACC Coordinates with the champion state the technical support to solve the weaknesses found

Action 4: Establishment of an ad-hoc work plan for the states





Step by step process (cont.)

Those states that have made more progress in the SSP implementation process will be designated to provide technical support to the other states within the region, in specific areas where they have shown strengths..





PROGRESS

So far, 2 states have been evaluated and the respective work plan is under development in order to solve the issues found.



