

International Civil Aviation Organization North American, Central American and Caribbean Office

WORKING PAPER

NACC/DCA/09 — WP/06 14/05/19

# Ninth North American, Central American and Caribbean Directors of Civil Aviation Meeting (NACC/DCA/9)

Port-of-Spain, Trinidad and Tobago, 25 to 27 June 2019

# Agenda Item 7: NAM/CAR Regional Aviation Security/Facilitation Implementation

# 7.2 Aviation Security/Facilitation Implementation Matters

# CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS ADOPTED AT THE NINTH MEETING OF THE ICAO/LACAC NAM/CAR AND SAM AVIATION SECURITY AND FACILITATION REGIONAL GROUP (AVSEC/FAL/RG/9)

(Presented by Secretariat)

EXECUTIVE	SUMMARY
-----------	---------

This working paper presents the conclusions and decisions adopted at the Ninth Meeting of the ICAO/LACAC NAM/CAR and SAM Aviation Security and Facilitation Regional Group (AVSEC/FAL/RG/9) held in Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic, from 27 to 29 March 2019, as presented in the final report issued on 11 April 2019.

Action:	Suggested actions are presented in Section 4.
Strategic Objectives:	Security & Facilitation
References:	<ul> <li>Global Aviation Security Plan (GASeP)</li> <li>Annex 9 - Facilitation</li> <li>Annex 17 - Security</li> </ul>

#### 1. Introduction

1.1. The Ninth Meeting of the ICAO/LACAC NAM/CAR and SAM Aviation Security and Facilitation Regional Group (AVSEC/FAL/RG/9) was held in Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic, hosted by CESAC (*Cuerpo Especializado en Seguridad de la Aviación Civil*) from 27 to 29 March 2019.

1.2. The meeting was also combined with a Cybersecurity and Unmanned aerial vehicle and the civil aviation Workshop (C/UAVW), in which participants were able to acquire common understanding of potential threats to civil aviation in these specific areas and to share experiences and best practices.

# 2. Conclusions and decisions of the meeting

2.1 At AVSEC/FAL/RG/9 participants conducted a thorough review on the different programmes and projects established in Aviation Security and Facilitation, and States presented their different initiatives in these areas.

2.2 After the intense exchange of information and discussions maintained at the meeting, the group achieved a set of decisions and conclusions highly oriented towards the implementation of the ICAO Global Plan for Aviation Security (GASeP), whose objectives are adjusted to the NAM/CAR and SAM Regions through the NAM/CAR and SAM Aviation Security Regional Roadmap.

2.3 The conclusions and decisions adopted, which directly or indirectly concern all the States within the NAM/CAR and SAM Regions are presented in the **Appendix** to this WP.

# 3. Participation of States

3.1 In order to comply with the agreed actions and the ambitious programme established at AVSEC/FAL/RG/9, it is important to encourage States' collaboration and to foster the participation of relevant State experts in planned activities.

3.2 At the same time, States should not disregard the completion within their territories of their regular aviation security and facilitation plans. The update of the National Civil Aviation Security Programme (NCASP) and the National Air Transport Facilitation Programme (NATFP); the activation of the National Aviation Security Committee (NCASC) and the National Air Transport Facilitation Committees (NATFC); and the establishment of monitoring activities to observe AVSEC/FAL measures implementation are key to improve compliance in the region and passengers' experience.

3.3 Finally, ICAO articulates and develops its activities based on the feedback received from Member States. Replies to ICAO State Letters on AVSEC and FAL matters are an important source for this feedback, and they help in calculating statistics and shape ICAO's programme. Therefore, States are urged to reply ICAO State Letters on due time.

# 4. Suggested Actions

4.1 The Meeting is invited to:

- a) strongly continue the support to participate in the aviation security and facilitation activities in the region; and
- b) do the utmost to coordinate, within the respective national entities, the answer to ICAO requests and communications regarding AVSEC and FAL issues.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### APPENDIX

### CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS ADOPTED AT THE NINTH MEETING OF THE ICAO/LACAC NAM/CAR AND SAM AVIATION SECURITY AND FACILITATION REGIONAL GROUP (AVSEC/FAL/RG/9)

CONCLUSION			
AVSEC/FAL/RG/9/1		ATION OF STATES IN REGIONAL ACTIVITIES	
		COMMENTARIES REQUEST ON AVSEC/FAL	
	MATTERS BY ICAO		
What:		Expected impact:	
That, a) Civil Aviation Authorities of extend their commitment a implementing the endorsed Roadmap derived from the aviation security stakeholde among others, to reach	t the interior of their St I NAM/CAR and SAM F E ICAO GASeP, includir ers, from airports and an efficient and e	States for <ul> <li>Economic</li> <li>Regional</li> <li>Environmental</li> <li>Airlines,</li> <li>effective</li> </ul>	
implementation of the security standards, and to achieve the agreed increment of the regional percentage of the effective implementation planned in the AVSEC NAM/CAR and SAM Regional Roadmap;		e of the	
<ul> <li>b) NAM/CAR and SAM States' Civil Aviation Authorities establish or update internal mechanisms and procedures to ensure timely and appropriate answers to ICAO AVSEC and FAL requests; and</li> </ul>		ocedures O AVSEC	
c) in order to accomplish the a Security (AVSEC) and F authorities of the NAM/C/ their AVSEC and FAL person committed participation in t	acilitation (FAL) app AR and SAM Regions nel for achieving an act	propriate support ctive and	
Why:			
To ensure their commitment of participation in the AVSEC/FAL/RG activities, and to ensure their timely response with to ICAO AVSEC and FAL request of comments.			
When: Whenever required	Status:	⊠ Valid / □ Superseded / □ Completed	
Who: 🛛 States 🗆 ICAO 🗆 O	ther: NAM/CA	AR and SAM States	

CONCLUS	ION			
AVSEC/FA	L/RG/9/2 WORKING P	APER FOR FA	LP/11	
What: That, States develop a working paper for FALP/11 explaining challenges and barriers faced by persons with disabilities when accessing to air transport in the NAM/CAR/SAM Regions and suggesting potential Annex 9 SARPs on this subject.		ining when	<ul> <li>Expected impact:</li> <li>Political / Global</li> <li>Inter-regional</li> <li>Economic</li> <li>Environmental</li> <li>Operational/Technical</li> </ul>	
Why:				
The drafting of SARPs on this subject will help to harmonize the assistance to persons with disabilities worldwide.				
When:	For FALP/11 (draft for comments at	Status: 🖂	Valid /	′ □ Superseded / □ Completed

When:	AVSEC/FAL/RG/10).	Status:	$oxtimes$ Valid / $\Box$ Superseded / $\Box$ Completed
Who:	$oxtimes$ States $\Box$ ICAO $\Box$ Other:		

CONCLUSION				
AVSEC/FAL/RG/9/3 PREPARATION OF DOCUMENTATION ON CYBERSECURITY FOR THE THIRTIETH MEETING OF THE AVIATION SECURITY (AVSEC) PANEL				
What:				Expected impact:
That, the Secretariat submit the lessons learned on cybersecurity as an information paper to the next Aviation Security Panel AVSECP/30 (Montreal, 13-17 May 2019).		<ul> <li>Political / Global</li> <li>Inter-regional</li> <li>Economic</li> <li>Environmental</li> <li>Operational/Technical</li> </ul>		
Why:				
This contribution to the AVSECP could help other Member States in implementing cybersecurit SARPs and will foster dialogue and share the best practices on the subject.				
When: For AVSECP/30 (dramby 5 April 2019).	ft for comments	Status:	🛛 Valid	/ $\Box$ Superseded / $\Box$ Completed
Who: 🛛 States 🛛 ICAO 🗆	Other:			

CONCL	CONCLUSION					
AVSEC	AVSEC/FAL/RG/9/4 PROGRESS ON THE PROJECT TO DESIGN A SYSTEM TO MEASURE THE LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE OF THE GASeP					
		THE LEVEL OF CON	IPLIANCE OF			
What				Expected impact:		
<ul> <li>That,</li> <li>a) the National Action Plan templates be approved, presented in Appendix A to WP/06, as a GASeP measurement tool for its voluntary use by States while developing their work plans;</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Political / Global</li> <li>Inter-regional</li> <li>Economic</li> <li>Environmental</li> <li>Operational/Technical</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>b) a Working Group meeting on the Project to design a system to measure the GASeP implementation level be held, jointly with a Quality Control Workshop, for which the ICAO ISD- SEC would analyse funding possibilities; and</li> </ul>						
c)	c) the ICAO Regional Offices study the way to carry out at least five annual visits to the States of the Regions to measure GASeP effective implementation to further enhance aviation security thru implementation of SARPs, and build the necessary capacity for their implementation, according to a need assessment by the corresponding Regional Offices.					
Why:	Why:					
In order to comply with the NAM/CAR and SAM Regional Roadmap guidelines for the implementation of the ICAO Global Aviation Security Plan (GASeP).						
When:	Before 2020	Status: 🛛 V	alid / 🗆 Supe	rseded / $\Box$ Completed		
Who:	$oxtimes$ States $oxtimes$ ICAO $\Box$ Othe	ers				

CONCLUS	CONCLUSION				
AVSEC/FAL/RG/9/5 CONSOLIDATION AND STRENGTHENING OF STRATEGIC APPROACHES OF THE CIVIL AVIATION SECURITY MANAGEMENT (SeMS)					
What:			Expected impact:		
That,			🗆 Political / Global		
	ctions suggested in this WP were t States:	e approved as follows, and	<ul> <li>☑ Inter-regional</li> <li>☑ Economic</li> <li>☑ Environmental</li> <li>☑ Operation (Tools of the second secon</li></ul>		
i.	move forward in the impleme cooperation and continuous authorities and the industry.		Operational/Technical		
ii.	aviation security incidents. This statistics to improve perform security system vulnerabilities.	s will produce trends and mance and help reduce			
iii. ensure cooperation at all levels within service provider organizations (transport operators, airports, and other related organizations), to consolidate an increasingly participatory and comprehensive security culture.					
<ul> <li>iv. reinforce theoretical-practical academic processes to strengthen the entire civil aviation security management system (SeMS), and continue holding periodic academic meetings, such as the workshop held in Bogota, Colombia, for the exchange of best practices in NAM/CAR and SAM States.</li> </ul>					
v.					
b) a meeting/workshop of the Working Group on Consolidation and strengthening of the strategic approach of the civil aviation security management system (SeMS) be held, with the participation of the industry, to share the progress in the development of a SeMS standard model for the compilation and analysis of aviation security data for the Region interested States.					
Why:					
With the purpose of counting with a tool for the quality control in the States, and comply with one of the GASeP task					
When:	Before 2022	Status: 🛛 Valid / 🗆 Su	perseded / $\Box$ Completed		
Who:	oxtimes States $oxtimes$ ICAO $oxtimes$ Other:				

Environmental

⊠ Operational/Technical

 $\boxtimes$  Valid /  $\square$  Superseded /  $\square$  Completed

_	Α5	—
---	----	---

CONCLUSION				
AVSEC/FAL/RG/9/6 RESULTS OF THE CIVIL AVIATION CYBERSECURITY WORKSHO				
BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA 19 TO 22 FEBRUARY 2019				
What:		Expected impact:		
<ul> <li>That,</li> <li>a) the AVSEC/FAL/RG took note that ICAO logo should not be used in the future in the material of this workshop, and</li> <li>b) ICAO continue and expedite the development of a standardized training cybersecurity workshop that can be delivered at the regional level.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Political / Global</li> <li>Inter-regional</li> <li>Economic</li> <li>Environmental</li> <li>Operational/Technical</li> </ul>		
Why:				
To cover the need to develop training mate	erial on cybersecurity.			
When: Before 2020		ved/ $\Box$ Superseded / $\Box$ Completed		
Who: $\square$ States $\square$ ICAO $\square$ Other:	Industry			
CONCLUSION AVSEC/FAL/RG/9/7 TRAINING MATERIAL FOR A TRAIN THE TRAINERS PROGRAMME ON FACILITATION				
What:		Expected impact:		
That,		Political / Global		
<i>,</i>		$\boxtimes$ Inter-regional		
a) Bolivia produce the train the train				

The training material will help other NAM/CAR/SAM States to develop their own train the trainers

Status:

Bolivia

guidance material; and

programme on Facilitation.

As soon as feasible.

 $\boxtimes$  States  $\boxtimes$  ICAO  $\square$  Other:

Why:

When:

Who:

 b) once produced, the Secretariat submit the training material for the Train the trainers on Facilitation to the Facilitation Section at ICAO Headquarters, and upload it into the

AVSEC/FAL/RG Secure Site once the site is operative.

CONCLUSION

AVSEC/FAL/RG/9/8

What:	What:		Expected impact:
Tha	t, the Working Group on Cooperation and S	upport	🗌 Political / Global
a)			<ul> <li>☑ Inter-regional</li> <li>□ Economic</li> <li>□ Environmental</li> <li>☑ Operational/Technical</li> </ul>
b)	<ul> <li>b) consult GEPEJTA to learn about the legal analysis of this Group.</li> </ul>		
Why:			
Because it is necessary to understand the regulatory scope that States have reached commercial flight classification in order to obtain a harmonized legislation.			
When:	hen: For the next meeting Status: 🖂 Valio		/ $\Box$ Superseded / $\Box$ Completed
Who:	ho: □ States □ ICAO ⊠ Other: Working Group o		Cooperation and Support

CONCL	USION			
AVSEC	/FAL/RG/9/9 PROCEDURI		PLEMENTATION OF ONE STOP	
	SECURITY S	YSTEM (OSS)		
What:			Expected impact:	
Tha	t, the OSS Project Working Group:		🗆 Political / Global	
			🛛 Inter-regional	
a)	hold the second meeting, in order to an	nalyse and discuss	🗵 Economic	
	the procedures develop by this group and	l to prepare a plan	Environmental	
	for its implementation in accordance v	vith the needs of	⊠ Operational/Technical	
interested States; and				
b) present the missing documents of the works developed,				
which will serve as guidance material for interested States.		nterested States.		
Why:				
In order to improve connectivity on a Regional level.				
When:	When:    Before 2020    Status:    Nalid /      Superseded /      Complete		/ $\Box$ Superseded / $\Box$ Completed	
Who:	$\Box$ States $\boxtimes$ ICAO $\boxtimes$ Other:	O ⊠ Other: OSS Project Working Group		

DECISION				
AVSEC/FAL/RG/9/01 BEIJING CONVENTION				
What:	Expected impact:			
The Study Group chaired by Chile and integrated by Argentina, Guatemala and Panama drafted and presented a Manual of basic knowledge on biological, chemical and radiological agents. The Manual is aligned with the modernization of the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Civil Aviation Security (Montreal Convention, 1971) undertaken by the Beijing Convention and its Protocol (2010). The Manual and the training material developed were considered valuable documents that could assist States to better implement the Convention and to harmonize procedures, and the group consequently decided to make it available in the secure site of the Regional Group. The Secretariat will present both documents to the AVSECP/30 so that the Guidance Material and Training Task Forces (WGGM and WGT) of the AVSECP may consider them.	<ul> <li>Political / Global</li> <li>Inter-regional</li> <li>Economic</li> <li>Environmental</li> <li>Operational/Technical</li> </ul>			
Why:				
The drafting of recommendations in order to align inspection proc will serve States to better implement it and to harmonize procedu				

When:	Immediately	Status:	$oxtimes$ Valid / $\Box$ Superseded / $\Box$ Completed
Who:	$\Box$ States $\boxtimes$ ICAO $\Box$ Other:		

DECISION	J			
AVSEC/F	AL/RG/9/02 EXPLOSIVE	G/9/02 EXPLOSIVE DETECTION DOGS (EDD-K9) GUIDELINES		
What:			Expected impact:	
The Study Group on Cooperation and Support will address the development of Explosive Detection Dogs (EDD-K9) guidance material establishing minimum requirements for the certification and use of this method of inspection. The Dominican Republic will act as a Coordinator State and Rapporteur for this task.		<ul> <li>Political / Global</li> <li>Inter-regional</li> <li>Economic</li> <li>Environmental</li> <li>Operational/Technical</li> </ul>		
Why:				
The development of guidelines on Explosive Detection Dogs (EDD) would help Member States in harmonizing certification procedures and foster EDD use.				
When:	For AVSEC/FAL/RG/10	Status: 🛛 Valid	/ $\Box$ Superseded / $\Box$ Completed	
Who:	$\Box$ States $\Box$ ICAO $\boxtimes$ Other:	Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Dominican Republic (Coordinator State), Jamaica, Panama and COCESNA		

DECISION AVSEC/FAL/RG/9/3 ESTABLISHMENT OF WORKING GROUPS AND PARTICIPATING				
STATES Expected impact:				
The ICAO/LACAC NAM/CAR and SAM Aviat	•	Political / Global		
Facilitation Regional Group decided to crea Working Groups in order to progress with the a	•	☑ Inter-regional		
working droups in order to progress with the a		Economic		
<ol> <li>Group on risk awareness and response – C Canada; Member States: Argentina, Bra Venezuela.</li> </ol>		<ul> <li>Environmental</li> <li>Operational/Technical</li> </ul>		
2) Group for the development of a secu	urity culture and			
<i>human capability</i> – Coordinator State: Ar	•			
States: Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Jamai	-			
Uruguay.				
<ol> <li>Group on technological resources an Coordinator State: United States; Member and Jamaica.</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>Group on oversight and quality assuran State: Brazil; Member States: Jamaica, F</li> </ol>				
and Venezuela.				
5) <b>Group on cooperation and support</b> – C Dominican Republic, Member States: A				
and United States.				
6) <b>Group on Facilitation</b> – Coordinator State States: Brazil, Dominican Republic and Pan				
Why:				
To follow-up on tasks established by the AVSEC/FAL/RG.				
When: Immediately	Status: 🛛 Valid	/ $\Box$ Superseded / $\Box$ Completed		
Who: $\square$ States $\square$ ICAO $\square$ Other:				

DECISION			
AVSEC/FAL/RG/9/04 REGIONAL CONTINGENCY PLAN EXERCISE			
What:		Expected impact:	
<ul> <li>Argentina presented a proposal for the organization of a regional contingency plan exercise with the aim of harmonizing protocols and better coordinating assistance.</li> <li>The exercise would be conducted in Buenos Aires in coordination with ICAO ISD-SEC Section, and the participation of other States is absolutely welcome.</li> <li>The AVSEC/FAL/RG took note and agreed: <ul> <li>a) to nominate experienced specialists to participate in the organization of the contingency plan exercise; and</li> <li>b) to task the Secretariat for coordinating the participation of ICAO ISD-SEC Section, prevent duplicities on the resources and assistance offered by the States and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Political / Global</li> <li>Inter-regional</li> <li>Economic</li> <li>Environmental</li> <li>Operational/Technical</li> </ul>	
coordinate logistics.			
Why: Standard 5.1.4 of Annex 17 establishes for States to ensure the drafting of contingency plans that are verified on a regular basis, and that resources made available to safeguard civil aviation against acts of unlawful interference. Various meetings of AVSEC Authorities recently held in the Region identified			
the need to share best practices related re	gional contingency plan	S	
When: Immediately	Status: 🛛 Valid	/ $\Box$ Superseded / $\Box$ Completed	
Who: 🛛 States 🖾 ICAO 🗌 Other:	Argentina, Chile, P	Paraguay, Uruguay and ACI.	

— END —