

International Civil Aviation Organization North American, Central American and Caribbean Office

WORKING PAPER

FPL/AD/MON — WP/13 23/02/15

FPL Ad hoc Group Missing/duplicated/erroneous Filed flight plan (FPL) evaluation meeting (FPL/AD/MON)

Mexico City, Mexico, 24-26 February 2015

Agenda Item 3: FPL Problems Mitigation/Resolution within the Curação FIR

REPORT OF THE CURAÇAO FIR FPL GROUP

(Presented by Ad-Hoc Group Rapporteur – CURAÇÃO FIR)

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | |
|---|---|
| This paper reports on the activities within the Curação FIR as they relate to errors and problems associated with Flight Plan data collection, processing and distribution. | |
| Strategic | • Safety |
| Objectives: | Air Navigation Capacity and Efficiency |
| | Environmental Protection |
| References: | The collection of FPL data from 21st July to 28th August 2014 |
| | Minutes of 1st FPL Monitoring Group Teleconference - 09 July, 2014 |
| | Minutes of 2nd FPL Monitoring Group Teleconference 29 July, 2014 |
| | Minutes of 3rd FPL Monitoring Group Teleconference 05 September 2014 |
| | Minutes of 4th FPL Monitoring Group Teleconference 20 October, 2014 |
| | Minutes of 5th FPL Monitoring Group Teleconference 05 November, 2014 |
| | ICAO recommended actions to mitigate FPL errors |

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Curaçao FIR FPL group consist of the Manager ATM, Manager AIS, ARO Supervisor and ACC Supervisor.
- 1.2 Based on the action plan the following tasks were accomplished:
 - A template was distributed within the ACC.
 - Data was collected and submitted to the Rapporteur FPL Monitoring Group for analysis.
 - The results were received and distributed to the Curação FIR FPL group.
 - Recommendations were made for immediate implementation.
 - Feedback was received from each individual group member.

2. Discussion

- 2.1 Collection of Data was not easy, because of lack of automated means and available personnel. In the next data collection phase, DC-ANSP will have more input and involvement to the process.
- 2.2 Based on analysis of the data collected, the following observations were made:
 - The FDP at DC-ANSP has the ability/functionality to reject duplicate FPL's. The following criteria's are checked for FPL duplication, ACID, DATE, ETD and Departure Airport. FPL's with NO DOF will create 1 duplicate if there is already a record of the same FPL with a DATE in the FDP.
 - Most errors were errors related to the proper completion of FPL data with respect to field 10 (a) and (b) and the related field 18. Errors were a result of the following:
 - Inadequate training and validation of FPL's by company's offering online services for FPL submission. (Example, flightplan.com)
 - Inadequate training of FOB/airline handling agencies who produce and present FPLs to the ARO office.
 - Inadequate inspection of these airline handling agencies by the appropriate authority.
 - A lot of expired FPL's because of inadequate/not to standard handling of FPL's by adjacent FIR. (The FPL delay functionality is not being used)
 - Incorrect routes or other errors in FPL's that are issued by adjacent FIR. We suspect this is related to the use of freeform instead of pre-programmed templates by adjacent FIR.
- 2.3 The distributed list by ICAO with suggested actions to be taken immediately.
 - > Items that were immediately implemented:
 - The use of RPLs only for domestic flights.
 - Better monitoring of Database for duplicate FPL's when activating flights in FDPS. FDPS has functionality to list duplicates based on ACID
 - Eelectronic Strip System was configured to warn for duplicate FPL's.
 - Contact was made with Flightplan.com to try to resolve issues with missing FPL's.

•

- Items that are pending/being evaluated for implementation :
 - Additional training of AIS/AIM personnel.
 - Better regulation and inspection of FOB and airline handling personnel by the appropriate authority.

- 3. Suggested Action
- 3.1 The meeting is invited to take note of:
 - a) the contents of this working paper; and
 - b) take any other action as deemed necessary

— END —