International Civil Aviation Organization
North American, Central American and Caribbean Office

WORKING PAPER

Second NAM/CAR Air Navigation Implementation Working Group (ANI/WG) Air Traffic Services Inter-facility Data Communication (AIDC) Task Force (AIDC/TF/2) Meeting
Mexico City, Mexico, 27 February 2015

Agenda Item 3   Implementation issues discussion
3.1   Review of implementation procedure

AIDC PERFORMANCE INDICATOR AND MEASUREMENT

(Presented by Secretariat)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
The following paper presents the IADC performance indicator and its tracking for achieving the Port of Spain goal.	
Action:	The suggested action is presented in section 3
Strategic Objectives:	• Safety • Air Navigation Capacity and Efficiency
References:	• NACC/DCA/05 • Port of Spain Declaration

1.   Introduction

1.1   The Fifth Meeting of the North America, Central America and Caribbean Directors of Civil Aviation (NACC/DCA/5), convened by the ICAO NACC Regional Office and with the participation of high level officials representing 22 States and 9 international organizations/industry agreed to commit on achieving several safety and air navigation goals as established in the Port of Spain Declaration.

2.   Discussion

2.1   Under the Air navigation goals of the port of Spain Declaration, one of them is the AIDC goal and performance indicator, which indicates:

Ground- ground Digital Coordination/ Transfer

50% of FIRs within which all applicable ACCs to have implemented at least one interface to use Air Traffic Services Inter-Facility Data Communication (AIDC)/ On-line Data Interchange (OLDI) with neighboring ACCs by December 2016

2.2   To track the progress of the NAM/CAR regions is this implementation and for achieving the AIDC goal, a Regional AIDC Regional Plan has been agreed since the ANIWG/01 Meeting. Similarly an implementation status of AIDC implementation has been conducted in January 2015 as shown in the **Appendix** to this paper.

3.   Suggested Actions

3.1   The meeting is invited to:

a)   review the AIDC implementation status information; and

b)   report any update or changes for improving the tracking of the performance indicator in AIDC implementation.

.

— — — — — — — —

		Region	AIDC/ OLDI implemented (Y/N)	If Yes, indicate ICDs used			
	Central American	CAR	N				
	Curacao	CAR	¥ N?		IMPLEMENTED:	35	
	Habana	CAR	Y	NAM			
	Houston Oceanic	CAR	Y	NAM, NAS	TOTAL FIRS:	43	
	Kingston	CAR	N				
	Mazatlan Oceanic	CAR	N - Scheduled 2 Feb 2015	AIDC	IMPLEMENTATION RATE:		81.40%
	Mexico	CAR	Y	NAM			
	Miami Oceanic	CAR	Y	NAM,NAS			
	Nassau	CAR	N	N			
	New York Oceanic	NAT	Y	AIDC (NAT now PAN)/NAS			
	Port-Au-Prince	CAR	N	N			
	PIARCO	CAR	N	N			
	San Juan	CAR	Y	NAS			
	Santo Domingo	CAR	N	N			
	Edmonton	NAM	Y	NAM			
	Gander Domestic	NAM	Y	AIDC			
	Montreal Domestic	NAM	Y	NAM			
	Vancouver Domestic	NAM	Y	NAM			
	Winnipeg Domestic	NAM	Y	NAM			
	Moncton Domestic	NAM	Y	NAM			
	Albuquerque	NAM	Y	NAM/NAS			
	Anchorage /Anchorage Artic	NAM	Y	AIDC/NAM			
	Anchorage continental Oceanic	NAM	Y	AIDC/NAM/NAS			
	Atlanta	NAM	Y	NAS			
	Boston	NAM	Y	NAS			
	Chicago	NAM	Y	NAS			
	Cleveland	NAM	Y	NAM/NAS			
	Denver	NAM	Y	NAS			
	Ft. Worth	NAM	Y	NAS			

		Region	AIDC/ OLDI implemented (Y/N)	If Yes, indicate ICDs used				
	Indianapolis	NAM	Y	NAS				
	Jackonville	NAM	Y	NAS				
	Kansas City	NAM	Y	NAS				
	Los Angeles	NAM	Y	NAM/NAS				
	Memphis	NAM	Y	NAS				
	Miami	NAM	Y	NAM/NAS				
	Minneapolis	NAM	Y	NAM/NAS				
	New York	NAM	Y	NAS				
	Toronto	NAM	Y	NAM				
	Oakland	NAM	Y	NAS				
added	Oakland Oceanic	NAM	Y	AIDC/NAS				
	Salt Lake	NAM	Y	NAM/NAS				
	Seattle	NAM	Y	NAM/NAS				
	Washington	NAM	Y	NAS				