ESCENARIO: Low to medium traffic, most flights are IFRs, mountainous topography, only VOR/DME available, No PBN implemented, all procedures are based on VOR/DME reference

DEFICIENCY (HAZARD) IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT						
1. Description of identified deficiency:	There is no provision of information on the operational status of the "LLL" ILS services in the CCC TWR					
2. State/Territory/Organization:	xxxx					
3. Report N°:	CNS-XXXX CAR					
4. Date of identification:	03/05/13					
5. Deficiency reported by:	ICAO CNS Technical Assistance Mission 3 May 2013					
6. Air Navigation Area Facility/service involved:	FFF Tower Control Unit					
7. Specific requirement:	Annex 10, Vol. I, Chap 2, 2.3: <b>Provision of information on the operational status of radio navigation services</b> 2.3.1 Aerodrome control towers and units providing approach control service shall be provided with information on the operational status of radio navigation services essential for approach, landing and take-off at the aerodrome(s) with which they are concerned, on a timely basis consistent with the use of the service(s) involved.					
8. Potential consequences of the hazard caused by the deficiency:	Aircraft incident due to authorization of procedure when no navaid is available or malfunctioning					
9. Mitigation currently implemented (if known):	Navaid technician call the controller when navaid fails, when available.					
10. Remarks:						
11. Report prepared by: (ICAO Officer)	ICAO NACC RO/CNS					

	DEFICIE	NCY (HAZARD) I	DENTIFICATION	N AND RISK ASS	SESSMENT REPO	ORT		
	RISK SEVERITY							
		Catastrophic A	Hazardous B	Major C	Minor D	Negligible E		
RISK PROBABILITY	Frequent 5	5A	5B	5C	5D	5E		
	Occasional 4	4A	4B	4C	4D 3D	4E		
	Remote 3	<i>3A</i>	<i>3B</i>	3C		<i>3E</i>		
	Improbable 2	2A	2B	2C	2D	2E		
	Extremely Improbable 1	1A	1B	1C	ID	1E		
5A, 5B, 5C, 4A, 4B, 3A Intolerable region (equivalent to U-priority deficiencies) Unacceptable under the existing circumstances								
	, 4C, 4D, 3B, 3C, 2A, 2 , 2C, 4E, 3D		Tolerable region (equivalent to A-priority deficiencies) Acceptable based on risk mitigation. It may require management decision.					
1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 2E, 3E, 2D		Acceptable Acceptable	Acceptable region (equivalent to B-priority deficiencies) Acceptable					
Pro	obability	Is defined as	Is defined as the likelihood that an unsafe event or condition might occur					
Frequent:		•Likely to o	•Likely to occur many times (has occurred frequently)					
Occasional:		•Likely to o	Likely to occur sometimes (has occurred infrequently)					
Re	mote:	●Unlikely to	•Unlikely to occur, but possible (has occurred rarely)					
	probable:	•	Very unlikely to occur (not known to have occurred)					
Extremely improbable:			Almost inconceivable that the event will occur					
Severity:			Is defined as the possible consequences of an unsafe event or condition, taking as reference the worst foreseeable situation.					
Ca	Catastrophic  • Equipment destroyed • Multiple deaths							
A large reduction in safety margins, physical distress or a wooperators cannot be relied upon to perform their tasks accurately of Serious injury     Major equipment damage								
• A significant reduction in safety margins, a reduction in the ability of the oper cope with adverse operating conditions as a result of increase in workload, result of conditions impairing their efficiency     • Serious incident     • Injury to persons								
Mi	nor:	•Nuisance •Operating l •Use of eme	<ul> <li>Nuisance</li> <li>Operating limitations</li> <li>Use of emergency procedures</li> <li>Minor incident</li> </ul>					
Ne	gligible:	•Little conse	Little consequences					

## EXPLANATION OF THE "DEFICIENCY (HAZARD) IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT" FORM

- **1. Description of identified deficiency:** Specifies the deficiency identified or the occurrence of the event, validated by the corresponding Regional Office.
- **2. State/Territory/Organization:** Identifies the name of the State/Territory/Organization involved.
- **3. Report N°:** Unique Code that identifies the deficiency by State.
- **4. Date of identification:** Indicates the DD/MM/YY of the report of the deficiency identified or of the occurrence of the event, as applicable.
- **Deficiency reported by:** Indicates the source that identified and reported the deficiency.
- **6. Air Navigation Area Facility/service involved or activity:** Specifies the air navigation area directly involved in the identified deficiency. More than one area may be listed.
- 7. **Specific requirement:** Standard/Recommended Practice of ICAO Annex or the reference to the requirement of the deficiency-related Air Navigation Plan requirement. If known, the specific error or failure that affected the operation is included
- **8. Potential consequences of the deficiency caused by the deficiency:** Initial assessment of the consequence of the identified deficiency, either by the source reporting the deficiency, or by the Regional Office that sends the report.
- **9. Mitigation currently implemented (if known):** If known, existing defences are included.
- 10. **Remarks:** Observations or comments on the identified deficiency may be included.
- 11. Report prepared by (ICAO Officer): The reporting ICAO Regional Office and Official is specified.

## **ATTACHMENT**

RISK MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT						
1. Description of identified deficiency:	There is no provision of information on the operational status of the "LLL" ILS services in the CCC TWR					
2. State/Territory/Organization:	XXXX					
3. Report N°:	CCCCC					
4. Date of identification:	3 May 2013					
5. Level of risk before mitigation	2C					
measures are adopted:						
6. Solution #1						
7. Description of the solution:	Implementation of operation monitor of navaid in ATS units in TWR					
8. Estimated cost and time for implementation of this solution:	9. Revised risk assessment if only	10.Probability:	1			
\$ 5,000	this solution is to be	11. Severity:	С			
Ψ 2,000	implemented:	12. Level of risk:	1C			
13. Potential implementation problems:	<ul> <li>Navaid limitation for remote monitor</li> <li>Budget limitation</li> <li>Licenses/permission for wiring into Control Tower</li> <li>Console available space</li> </ul>					
14. Solution # 2						
15. Description of the solution:	Established a formal communication procedure to notify the ATC TWR					
16. Estimated cost and time for implementation of this solution	17. Revised risk assessment if <u>only</u> this solution is to be	18.Probability:	2			
<u> </u>	implemented:	19. Severity:	C			
	implementeu:	20. Level of risk:	2C			
21. Potential implementation problems:	<ul> <li>Source of information needs to be accurate and effective</li> <li>Trust and efficient communication to ATC</li> <li>Agreement with source of notification of failure of the navaid</li> <li>Update ATS Manual/ documentation</li> </ul>					
22. Solution #3						

RISK MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT							
23. Desc	ription of the solution:						
24. Estimated cost and time for implementation of this solution		25. Revised risk assessment if only			obability:		
\$		this solution is to be		27. Severity:			
		implemented:		28. L	evel of risk:		
29. Potential implementation problems:							
30. Recommended solution(s):							
31. Estimated cost and time for implementation of recommended solution(s):		<b>\$</b>					
32. Revised risk assessment if implemented as recommended:							
		RISK SEVERITY					
			1	I		1	
		Catastrophic A	Hazaro B	dous	Major C	Minor D	Negligible E
ΓY	Frequent 5	5A	5B		5C	5D	5E
	Occasional 4	4A	4B		4C	4D	4E
OBAB	Remote 3	<i>3A</i>	3В		<i>3C</i>	<i>3D</i>	<i>3E</i>
RISK PROBABIL]	Improbable 2	2A	2B		2C	2D	2E
	Extremely Improbable 1	1A		2	1C	1D	1E
		T					
33. Report prepared by (State/Territory/Organization):		XXXX					

## EXPLANATION OF THE "RISK MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT"

The State concerned shall complete the form based on the following explanations:

- **1. Description of identified deficiency:** Complete with the same text contained in the deficiency or event occurrence report, validated by the corresponding Regional Office.
- **2. State/Territory/Organization:** Complete with the name of the State/Territory/Organization.
- **Report N°:** Complete with the same code of the identified hazard reported by the Regional Office and to which the risk mitigation recommendations refer.
- **4. Date of identification:** Complete with the date (DD/MM/YY) of completion of the form.
- **5. Level of risk before mitigation measures are adopted:** Complete with the level of risk estimated with the current mitigation measures.
- **6. Solution** # **1:** Identifies the number of solution.
- **7. Description of the solution:** Complete with a brief description of the first solution to be implemented.
- **8. Estimated cost and time for implementation of this solution:** Complete with the estimated cost of implementing the first solution.
- **9. Revised risk assessment if only this solution is to be implemented:** Associated to boxes 10, 11 and 12.
- **10. Probability:** Complete with the coded and plain-language Probability index that would be achieved with the implementation of this mitigation measure.
- **11. Severity:** Complete with the coded and plain-language severity index that would be achieved with the implementation of this mitigation measure.
- **12. Level of risk:** Complete with the coded and plain-language tolerability index resulting from the implementation of this mitigation measure.
- **13. Potential implementation problems:** Complete with a brief description of the potential implementation problems that might prevent the application of the identified solution.
- **14. Solution # 2:** Identifies the number of solution or scenario.
- **15. Description of the solution:** Complete with a brief description of the second solution to be implemented.
- **16. Estimated cost and time for implementation of this solution:** Complete with the estimated cost of implementing the second solution.
- **17. Revised risk assessment if only this solution is to be implemented:** Associated to boxes 18, 19, and 20.

- **18. Probability:** Complete with the coded and plain-language Probability index that would be achieved with the implementation of this mitigation measure.
- **19. Severity:** Complete with the coded and plain-language severity index that would be achieved with the implementation of this mitigation measure.
- **20. Level of risk:** Complete with the coded and plain-language tolerability index resulting from the implementation of this mitigation measure.
- **21. Potential implementation problems:** Complete with a brief description of the potential implementation problems that might prevent the implementation of the identified solution.
- 22. Solution # 3: Identifies the number of solution or scenario.
- **23. Description of the solution:** Complete with a brief description of the third solution to be implemented.
- **24. Estimated cost and time for implementation of this solution:** Complete with the estimated cost of implementing the third solution.
- **25.** Revised risk assessment if <u>only</u> this solution is to be implemented: Associated to boxes 26, 27 and 28.
- **26. Probability:** Complete with the coded and plain-language Probability index that would be achieved with the implementation of this mitigation measure.
- **Severity:** Complete with the coded and plain-language severity index that would be achieved with the implementation of this mitigation measure.
- **28. Level of risk:** Complete with the coded and plain-language tolerability index resulting from the implementation of this mitigation measure.
- **29. Potential implementation problems:** Complete with a brief description of the potential implementation problems that might prevent the implementation of the identified solution.
- **30. Recommended solution(s):** Complete with the solution(s) to be implemented for reducing the tolerability index to an acceptable level.
- 31. Estimated cost and time for implementation of the recommended solution(s): Complete with the estimated cost of the solutions to be implemented.
- **32. Revised risk assessment if implemented as recommended:** Complete with the risk assessment once the solution(s) described above has (have) been implemented.
- **33. Report prepared by (State/Territory/Organization):** Complete with the name of the corresponding aeronautical authority or individual or area generating the report.