



ACT>>>
GLOBAL

ICAO: UNITING AVIATION ON CLIMATE CHANGE

ICAO Colloquium on Aviation and Climate Change

Session Two –

Aviation Emissions Quantification and MRV

12 May 2010

***“ICAO CAEP Modelling and Databases Task Force,
ICAO Goals Assessment Results”***

Gregg Fleming (US DoT, Volpe) – Co-Rapp

Urs Ziegler (FOCA) – Co-Rapp

Chris Eyers (QinetiQ) – Secretary



Contents of Presentation

- Introduction
- Background
- Results
 - Noise (*Not Shown*)
 - NO_x and PM Below 3,000 ft (*Not Shown*)
 - NO_x Above 3,000 ft
 - Fuel Burn and Commercial Aircraft System Fuel Efficiency (CASFE) Full-Flight
- Putting the Results in Context
- Summary



Introduction

- Results present the consensus view of MODTF, and are consistent across multiple models
- Primary results based on ICAO FESG central forecast of aviation demand, with some comparisons with low-demand case
- Supporting reports document goals assessment including modelled regional results and results for all models and various sensitivities



Background

- ➔ ICAO CAEP WG3 provided input on future technology improvements and WG2 provided input on future operational improvements
- ➔ 2006 baseline and future 2016, 2026 and 2036
 - NOx above 3,000 ft
 - Full-flight fuel burn and CASFE
- ➔ Full flight fuel burn scenarios extrapolated to 2050




GHG: NO_x Above 3,000 ft Results (1)

NO_x Scenarios:

- Scenario 1 - **CAEP 7 Baseline**
- Scenario 2 - **Moderate Aircraft Technology and Operational Improvement**
- Scenario 3 - **Advanced Aircraft Technology and Operational Improvement**




GHG: NOx Above 3,000 ft Results (2)





GHG: NO_x Above 3,000 ft Results (3)






GHG: Fuel Burn and CASFE Full-Flight Results

Fuel Burn and CASFE Scenarios:

- Scenario 1 - **CAEP 7 Baseline**
- Scenario 2 - **Low Aircraft Technology and Moderate Operational Improvement**
- Scenario 3 - **Moderate Aircraft Technology and Operational Improvement**
- Scenario 4 - **Advanced Aircraft Technology and Operational Improvement**
- Scenario 5 - **Optimistic Aircraft Technology and Advanced Operational Improvement**

Note: results were modelled for 2006, 2016, 2026, and 2036, then extrapolated to 2050


GHG: Fuel Burn Full-Flight Results (1)



Combined International and Domestic




GHG: Fuel Burn Full-Flight Results (2)




Combined International and Domestic



GHG: Fuel Burn Full-Flight Results (3)




Fuel burn (thousands of metric tonnes per 1° by 1° grid cell)



**Combined International and Domestic
Great Circle Routing Depicted**



GHG: Fuel Burn Full-Flight Results (4)




Mt = millions of metric tonnes

2006 International and Domestic Global Aircraft Fuel Burn




GHG: CASFE Full-Flight Results



Global Commercial Aircraft System Fuel Efficiency (CASFE)



Putting the Results in Context



2006 Transportation-related CO₂ Emissions Sources

*Percentages shown are based on the average of the 2006 IEA and UNFCCC data



Summary

- ➔ A rich aviation noise, emissions and fuel burn database exists which can assist policy makers at the route, airport, regional, national and global levels
- ➔ Aircraft technology and operational improvements can go a long way toward limiting the increase in aircraft-related GHG emissions
- ➔ The lack of certainty regarding aviation growth is the most substantial variable in forecasting GHG emissions
- ➔ Aircraft account for about 12 percent of the total of CO₂ transportation emissions