

International Civil Aviation Organization

Middle East Air Navigation Planning and Implementation Regional Group

Sixteenth Meeting (MIDANPIRG/16) (Kuwait, 13 – 16 February 2017)

Agenda Item 5.2.2: Specific air navigation issues

COOPERATION COUNCIL FOR THE ARAB STATES OF THE GULF (GCC) UPPER FIR PROJECT

(Presented on behalf of GCC States)

SUMMARY

The aim of this paper is to provide an update on the GCC's Upper FIR project and to seek the support of ICAO Member States and participant organization.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 5.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The GCC Member States are planning to establish a common Upper Flight Information Region (GCC UFIR) in response to the recommendation of the GCC Air Navigation Committee (GCC ANC). This initiative is based on the establishment of a common block of airspace, which will cover the airspace at and above FL290 in the upper airspaces of the participating GCC States.

The GCC ANC's long-term aim is to:

- Develop a fully integrated Air Traffic Management (ATM) system in the GCC States;
- Enhance aviation safety and expand airspace capacity in the GCC States;
- Accommodate high growth in air traffic movements in the region; and
- Standardise Air Traffic Services (ATS) operation in the participating GCC Member States.

2. CONTEXT OF THE STUDY

2.1 The main objective of the study is to develop the technical, operational and financial requirements for the definition, planning and implementation of an FIR in the upper airspace of the GCC States. These points need to be achieved while maintaining airspace sovereignty of the GCC States.

The study is based on two operational scenarios:

- An Initial Scenario (2020) that focuses on arrangements that increase interoperability and seek to harmonise existing service provision. The arrangements need to be implementable and enable the delivery of benefits in the short term. This scenario considers the broadest definition of a UFIR and seeks to identify opportunities for developing common standards, operational concepts and improving operational and technical interoperability and, where appropriate, the standardisation of supporting functions. The progressive implementation of this scenario into operation will be a critical first step and enabler to providing the 'seamless' airspace envisaged by the GCC.
- A Target Scenario (2025) builds on the initial operational scenario to provide the GCC with a credible route to increasingly harmonised airspace, ATM/CNS infrastructure, seamless operations and delivery of ATS, and the supporting institutional, legal and non-technical arrangements.

2.2 The study considers operational, technical, and institutional and other organisational and functional arrangements for each scenario and, by comparing the scenarios to existing arrangements, will develop an implementation plan and roadmap for the initial operational scenario and a transition plan to the target operational scenario. The study also assesses the costs and benefits of the proposed measures to support any subsequent business cases necessary at the GCC or State level.

3. **PROGRESS TO DATE**

3.1 The progress on the work in individual Work Packages (WPs) to date is summarised in **Error! Reference source not found.**

WP		Progress		
WP1	WP Data collection	The team developed a set of stakeholder surveys and disseminated them among the relevant national stakeholders through the GCC UFIR Task Force (TF) Focal Points. The international organisations, namely AACO, ACAC, CANSO ME, IATA AME, and ICAO MID, were also contacted and provided their answers to the shared surveys. After receiving initial responses to the stakeholder surveys, a set of workshops was held in November and December in all six GCC States and with all the relevant local stakeholders, i.e. national regulators, military, home airlines and ANSPs. The updated surveys based on the discussions at the workshops were then disseminated to the attendees for their final review and also to provide additional required information and data, as agreed at the workshops. Subsequently, the team finalised the D1.1 Data		
		Collection Report, as the single deliverable for WP1. The final version of the report was shared with the UFIR TF at the beginning of March 2016.		

WP		Progress			
WP2	Scenario definition and gap analysis	Based on the information gathered in WP1, the team defined a Baseline Scenario, using 2015 flight data. Subsequently, the future scenarios were defined, utilising a framework that breaks down the scenarios into individual arrangements (Operational, Technical, Institutional), components, subcomponents, and High Level Concept Elements (HLCEs). This was performed for both the Initial and Target Scenario. An initial definition of the future operational scenarios, including a gap analysis against the baseline scenario, was then performed and shared with the UFIR TF. The final and agreed version of the deliverable was submitted at the end of May 2016. A summary of the outcomes of WP2 is provided in Section 4 of this document.			
WP3	Operational concept, requirements and ATM standardisation requirements	The team is currently developing the final draft of the report that will address the operational requirements for each HLCE in this element. In parallel, the team is performing an analysis of quantifiable benefits through the fast-time simulation exercise, modelling the Baseline Scenario (with 'do nothing' traffic projections in 2020 and 2025), Initial and Target Scenario.			
WP4	Technical requirements and specification	The team is currently finalising the report and the technical requirements for each HLCE in this element.			
WP5	Institutional, organisational and supporting functions	The team is currently finalising the report and the institutional requirements for each HLCE in this element.			
WP6	Implementation plan and roadmap				
WP7	Cost estimates and cost-benefit analysis	The work has not started yet, as these WPs will be based on the outcomes of WP3-5.			
WP8	Recommendations, summary report and presentation				

4. FUTURE OPERATIONAL SCENARIOS

4.1 This section provides overview of the future high-level operational scenarios for the GCC UFIR (Initial and Target Scenario), as defined in WP2. The scenarios may evolve as the detailed assessment of the operational, technical and institutional requirements develops (through the work in WP3-5).

4.2 It is important to note that the scenarios do not represent an exhaustive description of the future aviation operations in the GCC area. Instead, they should be used to paint the picture of the future operation at two distinctive points in time (in 2020 for the Initial Scenario and 2025 for the Target Scenario) and to communicate the vision of the UFIR TF.

4.3 An overview of the proposed initiatives and changes, broken down into operational and technical arrangements is shown in Figures 1-2. The future scenarios were developed to be implementable, but challenging at the same time, and reflect a series of consultations with the UFIR TF (in WP1-2) as well as wider stakeholders (WP1). They represent a plausible image of the future and, as such, should be used for the definition of future sub-regional initiatives up to 2025, as further defined in WP3-5.

5. ACTION BY THE MEETING

5.1 The meeting is invited to:

- a) take note of the contents of this Working Paper; and
- b) encourage Adjacent Member States and organization to support the GCC UFIR project throughout the project life cycle

Figure 1: Overview of the main operational elements of the future scenarios for the GCC UFIR

	20	15 Initial scenario	202	0 Target scenario 2025
Operational Arrangements	Airspace Organisation	GCC UFIR formally established, comprising State Sectors defined by the FIRs with common base level An optimised fixed route network with new routes, RNA 5 and 1, and the initial implementation of FUA, CDOs an CCOs		GCC UFIR operated as a homogenous block of airspace A dynamic UFIR route network with RNP1 and RNAV 1 priority routes, FUA elements, CDOs/CCOs, and initial FRA
	Airspace Management	A collaborative airspace planning environment Harmonised separation minima in priority sectors Optimised sectorisation to accommodate main traffic flows A GCC-wide business continuity and ATM Contingency Plan, a GCC Contingency Cell established		A common airspace planning and coordination function Harmonised separation minima across the UFIR Flexible sectorisation to accommodate main traffic flows, with dynamic vertical and optimised horizontal sector boundaries The GCC Contingency Cell will coordinate the contingency operations to assure continuity of service
	Demand and Capacity Balancing	An interoperable IFPS for en-route and TMA operations Initial CDM measures to balance demand and capacity priority areas, A-CDM for capacity-constrained airports FMP's in each GCC State, coordinating flow measures with the other FMPs within the GCC	-	A fully evolved CDM to manage flows of traffic at the UFIR level and between regions, A-CDM for all international airports A GCC-wide ATFM, interoperable with neighbouring regions
	Traffic Synchro- nisation	Harmonised cross-boundary arrangements between adjacent State Sectors with restrictions minimised to essential operational requirements	\rangle	Harmonised cross-boundary airspace design and cross- boundary sectorisation based on bilateral agreements
	Airspace User Operations	A GCC AMC for pre-tactical airspace management in priority restricted areas, with limited sectorisation of restricted areas and revised activation times		FUA strategic, pre-tactical and tactical level civil/military airspace management at the UFIR level, with sectorisation of restricted areas
	Conflict Mngt.	Harmonised deployment and use of conflict management tools)	Improved and harmonised conflict management tools to support cross-border operations, enhanced through ADD
	ATM Service Delivery Management	UFIR ATS delivered to a consistent standard and qualit A UFIR ATM Manual containing a common description of operational procedures		UFIR ATS delivered to a consistent standard and quality Individual GCC ANSP ATM manuals consistent with the GCC UFIR ATM Manual
	Information Services	GCC States AIS/AIM function ensures interoperability and data sharing, with harmonised access and types of data MET data shared among the GCC States through the integration of OPMET into the UFIR operations	\rangle	All GCC States have completed the transition to AIM MET data shared among the GCC States through the integration of OPMET into the UFIR operations
	Infor	Common procedures for SAR coordination	>	SAR coordination managed at a UFIR level

A-2

Figure 2: Overview of the main technical elements of the future scenarios for the GCC UFIR

		2015	Initial scenario	202	D Target scenario	2025
	uo		introduced with selected ACCs and airlines, ned common minimum level of functionality and		Datalink deployed where operationally required to lowe the controllers' workload and increase the level of safet and capacity	
	Communication	GCC leve	eployment coordinated and harmonised at the el, SYSCO OLDI function fully interoperable an ised among the GCC States	d	The harmonised AMHS function delivered over IP, the initial implementation of SWIM with first operational services	
		requirem	munication infrastructure will meet the ents of the GCC UFIR, with initial harmonisatio ntingency measures	n	The communication infrastructure will meet the requirements of the GCC UFIR, with fully harmonised contingency	
		DVOR/D	MEs used as contingency means only		All DVORs phased out for en-route operations	
	2	DMEs su	pport RNAV operations		DME infrastructure facilitates RNAV 1 on the priority ai	
Technical Arrangements	Navigation	harmonis	avigation gradually implemented to support PB sed GPMS infrastructure installed and operated		routes GNSS as the primary means of navigation on the RNP RNAV 1 and RNAV 5 routes.	1,
		primary r	navigation progressively implemented as the navigation infrastructure, with initial sation of the contingency measures		The navigation infrastructure will be harmonised at the GCC level, with fully harmonised contingency	
	Surveillance	separatic GCC-wid	d surveillance coverage to support harmonised on minima in priority sectors le cross-boundary sharing of surveillance data		Enhanced surveillance coverage to support standardise separation minima across the UFIR airspace An optimised and harmonised surveillance infrastructur	
		Improved	coverage and increase efficiency and capacity PSR/SSR infrastructure to provide the same ervice across the GCC UFIR		across the UFIR Harmonised PSR/SSR infrastructure to provide seamle airspace coverage in the GCC UFIR	SS
Ĕ		ADS-B in	troduced in the priority areas		ADS-B used increasingly within the UFIR airspace	
	0,	WAM intr	roduced in the priority areas		WAM used increasingly within the UFIR airspace	
		efficiency	sed surveillance infrastructure to increase y and effectiveness of operations, with initial sation of the contingency measures		Optimised surveillance infrastructure at the GCC level better cost-efficiency, with fully harmonised contingence	
	Automation	interoper implement	tems with increased functionality and the level of ability necessary to support the UFIR ntation, AMAN established for key international initial implementation of XMAN in priority areas		Fully interoperable ATC systems, optimised capacity and reduced delays through the implementation of AMAN a all the international airports, sequencing for all the required GCC UFIR en-route sectors through XMAN	
		each GC	lised IFPS implemented at GCC level, FMP in C State to regulate traffic in the UFIR and		A technical solution to provide ATFM at a UFIR level A common GCC AIXM database to assure the	
		The lates and type	te flow measures with other FMPs st AIXM standard in all GCC States, the quality of available data delivered to the same , GCC States publish information through eAIP	y	consistency of quality and content of all the national databases, all the eAIPs will have harmonised and standardised content	