

International Civil Aviation Organization

MIDANPIRG/19 and RASG-MID/9 Meeting

(Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, 14 - 17 February 2022)

Agenda Item 4.5: Outcomes of the ASPIG/3 Meeting

AERODROMES SAFETY DASHBOARD

SUMMARY

This paper presents the outcome of the Third Aerodromes Safety Planning and Implementation Group (ASPIG/3) Meeting.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

References

- MIDANPIRG/18-RASG-MID/8 Report
- ASPIG/3 Report

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Third meeting of the Aerodrome Safety & Planning Implementation Group (ASPIG/3) was held virtually, 25-27 October 2021, using MS Teams. The meeting was attended by a total of Sixty (60) participants from thirteen (13) States (Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, UAE and Yemen and five (5) Organizations (ACI and IATA, IFATCA, IFALPA and WBA)

2. **DISCUSSION**

Aerodromes Safety Dashboard

2.1 The ASPIG/3meeting noted with appreciation the new methodology used by the MID Office to manage Aerodromes Ground Aids and Operations (AGA-AOP) matters, as well as, the new workflow established address of the ASPIG activities essentially based on collected and analysed data. The meeting emphasised on the importance of the Data-driven decision making process to effectively implement the ICAO requirements in line with the Regional and Global Aviation Safety Plans.

2.2 The meeting may wish to review and update the progress made at the Regional Level on Aerodromes Safety Management, as at **Appendix A**, in terms of :

- Aerodrome Certification Implementation,
- Runways Safety Teams Establishment, and
- Global Reporting Format Implementation/Deployment.

- 2 -

2.3 The meeting noted with appreciation the decision made by Iraq CAA the suspend all international Aerodromes Certificates considering the establishment of a national project in order to recertify those Aerodromes based on new applicable regulatory framework and the use of qualified inspectors, supported by necessary tools, to implement the Aerodromes certification process.

2.4 The meeting may wish to note that the ICAO MID Office received a request from both Iran and Iraq in order to update theirs International Aerodromes lists and their related data respectively included in the MID eANP Tables AOP I-1 and AOP II-1. Accordingly, ICAO MID Office is issuing a Proposal for Amendment (PFA) to amend the MID eANP in accordance with the PFA approval process.

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

3.1 The meeting is invited to review and update the progress made at the Regional Level on Aerodromes Safety Management, as at **Appendix A**

MIDANPIRG/19 & RASG-MID/9-WP/27 Appendix A

APPENDIX A

								APPENDI		· · · ·				
							Å	MID Regi Aerodromes Safet		ard				
		Total #			Location Indicator (AOP Table I-I)		AD Cer	tification Implementation	AD Local RST Establishment		AD Rea	diness for GRF Deployment		Aerodrome Traffic
State	Countr y Code	of AD (AOP Table I-I)	City	Aerodrome Name (AOP Table I-I)		Designation (AOP Table I-I)	Certified	Level of Implementation	Established	Level of Implementation	Ready	Level of Deployment	National GRF Implementation Plan Progress	Light Medium Heavy
Bahrain	BHR	1	Manama	Bahrain International Airport	OBBI	RS	0	100.00%	0	100.00%	0	100.00%	100.00%	-
			Borg ElArab	BORG ELARAB INT AIRPORT	HEBA	RS					\checkmark		- + +	
			Aswan	ASWAN INT AIRPORT	HESN	RS	Ŏ		Š		8			
			Cairo	CAIRO INT AIRPORT	HECA	RS	Ø		\bigcirc					
Egypt			Hurghada	HURGHADA INT AIRPORT	HEGN	RS	Ø							
	ypt EGY T	7	Luxor	LUXOR INT AIRPORT	HELX	RS	Ø	100.00%	8	100.00%	X	71.43%	40.00%	
			Marsa Alam	MARSA ALAM INT AIRPORT	HEMA	RNS								
			Sharm El Sheikh	SHARM EL SHEIKH INT AIRPORT	HESH	RS	0		0		0			
			Bander Abass	Bandar Abbas International Airport	ОКВ	RS	 S 		\checkmark		X			
			Esfahan	Shahid Beheshti International Airport	OIFM	RS								
			Mashhad	Shahid Hashemi Nejad International Airport	OIMM	RS	×				\bigcirc			
			Shiraz	Shahid Dastghaib International Airport	OISS	RS	8		\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
Iran	IRN	9	Tabriz	Tabriz International Airport	OITT	RNS	8	44.44%	\checkmark	100.00%	Image: Control of the second	77.78%	80.00%	
			Tahran	Imam Khomaini International Airport	OIIE	RS	\checkmark				\checkmark	77.78%		
			Tahran	Mehrabad Intl/ OIII	OIII	RS	×		\checkmark					
			Yazd	Shahid Sadooghi International Airport	OIYY	RS	\checkmark				✓✓✓			
			Zahedan	Zahedan International Airport	OIZH	RS								
		ļ	Al-Najaf	Al-Najaf Al-Ashraf International Airport	ORNI	RNS	8	-	. 😣		×			
			Baghdad	Baghdad International Airport	ORBI	RS	×		8		×			
			Basrah	Basrah International Airport	ORMM	RS	8		×		\otimes			
luce	IRQ	6	Erbil	Erbil International Airport	ORER	RS	8	0.00%	8	0.00%	8	0.00%	13.33%	
Iraq	IRQ	ь	Mosul	Mosul International Airport	ORBM	RS	⊗	0.00%	8	0.00%	⊗		13.33%	
			Sulaymaniyah	Sulaymaniyah International Airport	ORSU	RS	8		8		⊗			
			AMMAN	Queen Alia International Airport	IALO	RS	0		•		0			
Jordan	нкј	2	AQABA	King Hussein International Airport	QIAQ	RS	0	(100.00%)	•	100.00%	0	100.00%	93.3%	

								-2- MID Regio Aerodromes Safety		ord					
		Total #			Location			rtification Implementation	AD Local RST Establishment		AD Readiness for GRF Deployment				rome Traffic
State	Countr y Code	r of AD City Aerodrome Name		Indicator (AOP Table I-I)	Designation (AOP Table I-I)	Certified	Level of Implementation	Established	Level of Implementation	Ready	Level of Deployment	National GRF Implementation Plan Progress	Light	Medium Heavy	
Kuwait	KWT	1	KUWAIT	Kuwait International Airport	OKEK	RS	0	100.00%	•	100.00%	8	100.00%	40.00%	-	
Lebanon	LBN	1	BEIRUT	Rafic Hariri International Airport	OLBA	RS	8	0.00%	8	0.00%	8	0.00%	0.00%		
			BENGHAZI	Benina International Airport	HLLB	RS	⊗		⊗		⊗				
Libya	LBY	3	SEBHA	Sebha International Airport	HLLS	RS	8	0.00%	8	0.00%	8	0.00%	0.00%		
		-	TRIPOLI	Tripoli International Airport	HLLT	RS	8		8		8				
			Muscat	Muscat International Airport	OOMS	RS	•		Ø		Ø				
Oman	OMN	2	Salalah	Salalah International Airport	OOSA	AS	0	100.00%	•	100.00%	0	100.00%	100.00%		
Qatar	QAT	2	Doha	Doha International Airport	OTBD	RS	⊘	100.00%	⊘	100.00%	0	100.00%	73.33%		
Laren	QAI		Doha	Hamad International Airport	отнн	RS	•		⊘				13.33%		

-2-

								MID Regi Aerodromes Safet		ard					
State	Countr y Code	Total # of AD (AOP Table I-I)	City	Aerodrome Name (AOP Table I-I)	Location Indicator (AOP Table I-I)	Designation (AOP Table I-I)	AD Ce Certified	ertification Implementation	AD I Established	Local RST Establishment	AD Rea Ready	diness for GRF Deployment	National GRF Implementation Plan Progress	C	rome Traffic Density Medium Heavy
			DAMMAM	King Fahd International Airport	OEDF	RS									
Saudi Arabia	SAU	4	JEDDAH	King Abdulaziz International Airport	OEIN	RS		100.00%		100.00%		100.00%	93.33%		
			MADINAH	Prince Mohammad Bin Abdulaziz International Airport	OEMA	RS									
			RIYADH	King Khalid International Airport	OERK	RS									
			EL OBEID	El Obeid International Airport	HSOB	AS									
Sudan	SDN	4	KHARTOUM	Khartoum International Airport	HSSS	RS		75.00%		100.00%		100.00%	80.00%		
			NYALA	Nyala International Airport	HSNN	AS	8								
			PORT SUDAN	Port Sudan International Airport	HSPN	RS									
			ALEPPO	Aleppo International Airport	OSAP	RS	⊗		⊗		8				
Syria	SYR	3	DAMASCUS	Damascus International Airport	OSDI	RS	8	0.00%	8	0.00%	8	0.00%	13.33%		
			LATTAKIA	Lattakia International Airport	OSLK	RS	8		8		8				
			ABU DHABI	Abu Dhabi International Airport	OMAA	RS				<u>_</u>					
		-	ABU DHABI	Al Bateen International Airport	OMAD	RNS									
		-	AL AIN	Al Ain In International Airportti	OMAL	RS									
UAE	ARE	8	DUBAI	Al Maktoum International Airport Dubai International Airport	OMDW	RS	00	100.00%		100.00%		100.00%			
UAE	SILE	~	FUJAIRAH	Fujairah International Airport	OMFJ	RS	- V		$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$		Image: Constraint of the second sec	100.00%	100.00%		
		-	RAS AL KHAIMAH	Ras Al Khaimah International Airport	OMRK	RS	Ø		Ø		Ø				
			SHARJAH	Sharjah In International Airportti	UMSJ	RS		i		J. J. J.					
		ŗ	ADEN	Aden International Airport	OYAA	RS	×		⊗		8				
		-	HODEIDAH	Hodeidah International Airport	OYHD	RS	⊗		8		8				
Yemen	YEM	5	MUKALLA	Riyan International Airport	OYRN	RS	⊗	0.00%	8	0.00%	8	0.00%	0.00%		
		ŀ	SANA'A	Sana'a International Airport	OYSN	RS	⊗		⊗		8				
			TAIZ	Taiz International Airport	OYTZ	RS	⊗		\otimes		\otimes				

				MID Regi Aerodromes Safety	/ Dashbo								
	Total # of AD (AOP Table I-I		AD Cer	rtification Implementation	AD L	ocal RST Establishment	AD Rea	diness for GRF Deployment	/		Aerodrome Traffi		
			Certified	Level of Implementation	Established	Level of Implementation	Ready	Level of Deployment	National GRF Implementation Plan Progress		Density Medium		
MID RE AERODROM DASHBO	IES SAFETY	58	34	58.62%	40	68.97%	36	62.07%	55.11%	38	17	3	

General Guidance:

- Country Code : ISO 3-Letter Code of the Country
- *City/Aerodrome: Name of the city and aerodrome, preceded by the location indicator.*
- Designation: Operability of the aerodrome as indicated on the MID eANP Vol I (AOP Table I-1):
 - **RS** : international scheduled air transport, regular use;
 - **RNS** : international non-scheduled air transport, regular use;
 - AS : international scheduled air transport, alternate use;
 - ANS : international non-scheduled air transport, alternate use.

<u>Note 1</u>: when an aerodrome is needed for more than one type of use, normally only the use highest on the above list is shown. [Example : an aerodrome required for both RS and AS use would only be shown as RS in the list.]

Note 2: when the aerodrome is located on an island and no particular city or town is served by the aerodrome, the name of the island is included instead of the name of a city.

- Aerodrome certification process:
 - Phase 1: Dealing with the expression of interest by an intending applicant for the aerodrome certificate;
 Phase 2: Assessing the formal application, including evaluation of the aerodrome manual;
 Phase 3: Assessing the aerodrome facilities and equipment;
 Phase 4: Issuing or refusing an aerodrome certificate; and
 Phase 5: Promulgating the certified status of an aerodrome and the required details in the AIP.
- Aerodrome Traffic Density
 - a) Light. The number of movements in the mean busy hour is not greater than 15 per runway or typically less than 20 total aerodrome movements.
 - b) Medium. The number of movements in the mean busy hour is of the order of 16 to 25 per runway or typically between 20 to 35 total aerodrome movements.
 - c) Heavy. The number of movements in the mean busy hour is of the order of 26 or more per runway or typically more than 35 total aerodrome movements.

Note 1. The number of movements in the mean busy hour is the arithmetic mean over the year of the number of movements in the daily busiest hour. Note 2. Either a take-off or a landing constitutes a movement.