MID Region Dashboard on Global Reporting Format (GRF) Implementation

Mohamed Iheb Hamdi

ICAO Regional Officer for Aerodromes and Ground Aids (AGA)

MIDANPIRG/19-RASG-MID/9

(Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, 14 - 17 February 2022)

(0000000 | 10 0000000000000

Background

- Runway Safety: A global safety priority
- Runway excursions: highest risk category
 - Top contributing factor: Poor braking action
 - Leading factor: Contaminated Runway
- Mitigation by ICAO's Global Reporting Format (GRF)
 - World-wide implementation agreed
 - Applicability date: 5 November 2020 extended to 4 November 2021 (Ref: SL AN 2/33-20/73)




GRF Benefits

Accurate reporting on runway surface conditions at the appropriate time.

 Runway Condition Report (RCR) will be used by the flight Crew to calculate the operational performance of the aeroplane during landing and take-off.

Reduce the risk related to Runway Excursion.

GRF: Runway Condition Report (RCR)



GRF: Stakeholder responsibilities











Aerodrome operators
 assess the runway
 surface conditions,
 including contaminants,
 for each third of the
 runway length, and
 report them by means
 of a uniform runway
 condition report (RCR).

- Aeronautical information services (AIS) provide the information received in the RCR to end users (SNOWTAM).
- Air traffic services (ATS)
 convey the information
 received via the RCR and/or
 special air-reports (AIREP)
 to end users (voice
 communications, ATIS,
 CPDLC).

• Aircraft operators utilize the information in conjunction with the performance data provided by the aircraft manufacturers to determine if landing or take-off operations can be conducted safely and provide runway braking action special air-reports (AIREP).

RCAM: Runway Condition Assessment Matrix

Table II-1-5. Runway condition assessment matrix (RCAM)

Runway condition assessment matrix (RCAM)				
Assessment criteria		Downgrade assessment criteria		
Runway condition code	Runway surface description	Aeroplane deceleration or directional control observation	Pilot report of runway braking action	
6	• DRY		-	
5	PROST WET (The runway surface is covered by any visible dampness or water up to and including 3 mm depth) Up to and including 3 mm depth: SLUSH DRY SNOW WET SNOW WET SNOW	Braking deceleration is normal for the wheel braking effort applied AND directional control is normal.	GOOD	
4	-15°C and Lower outside air temperature: - COMPACTED SNOW	Braking deceleration OR directional control is between Good and Medium.	GOOD TO MEDIUM	
3	WIET ("slippery wet" runnary) DRY SNOW or WET SNOW (any depth) ON TOP OF COMPACTED SNOW More than 3 mm depth: DRY SNOW WET SNOW Higher than -15°C outside air temperature*: COMPACTED SNOW	Braking deceleration is noticeably reduced for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is noticeably reduced.	MEDIUM	
2	More than 3 mm depth of water or slush: STANDING WATER SLUSH	Braking deceleration OR directional control is between Medium and Poor.	MEDIUM TO POOR	
1	• ICE 2	Braking deceleration is significantly reduced for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is significantly reduced.	POOR	
0	WET ICE? WATER ON TOP OF COMPACTED SNOW? DRY SNOW or WET SNOW ON TOP OF ICE?	Braking deceleration is minimal to non- existent for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is uncertain.	LESS THAN POOR	



RCAM: Two Scenarios faced by Airports



 Airports exposed to snow and ice to be fully prepared to use the global reporting format (fully equipped, fully trained).



 Airports are not be exposed to snow and ice and thereby have no need to use the full global reporting format other than for Wet/Water conditions;.



ICAO UNITING AVIATION RCAM: Runway Condition Assessment Matrix

Table II-1-5. Runway condition assessment matrix (RCAM)

Runway condition assessment matrix (RCAM)				
	Assessment criteria Downgrade asses			
Runway condition code	Runway surface description	Aeroplane deceleration or directional control observation	Pilot report of runway braking action	
6	• DRY			
5	PROST WET (The runway surface is covered by any visible dampness or water up to and including 3 mm depth) Up to and including 3 mm depth: SUSH ORY SNOW WET SNOW	Braking deceleration is normal for the wheel braking effort applied AND directional control is normal.	GOOD	
4	-15°C and Lower outside air temperature: • COMPACTED SNOW	Braking deceleration OR directional control is between Good and Medium.	GOOD TO MEDIUM	
3	WET ("slippery wet" nimvay) DRY NOW or WET SNOW (any depth) ON TOP OF COMPACTED SNOW More than 3 mm depth: DRY SNOW WET SNOW WET SNOW Higher than -19°C outside air temperature*: COMPACTED SNOW	Braking deceleration is noticeably reduced for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is noticeably reduced.	MEDIUM	
2	More than 3 mm depth of water or slush: STANDING WATER SLUSH	Braking deceleration OR directional control is between Medium and Poor.	MEDIUM TO POOR	
1	• ICE2	Braking deceleration is significantly reduced for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is significantly reduced.	POOR	
0	WATER ON TOP OF COMPACTED SNOW? DRY SNOW or WET SNOW ON TOP OF ICE?	Braking deceleration is minimal to non- existent for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is uncertain.	LESS THAN POOR	

RCAM — WET and DRY only (based on PANS-Aerodromes (Doc 9981))

Runway condition assessment matrix (RCAM)				
	Assessment criteria Downgrade assessment criteria			
Runway condition code	Runway surface description	Aeroplane deceleration or directional control observation	Pilot report of runway braking action	
6	• DRY		-	
5	WET (The runway surface is covered by any visible dampness or water up to and including 3 mm depth)	Braking deceleration is normal for the wheel braking effort applied AND directional control is normal.	GOOD	
4		Braking deceleration OR directional control is between Good and Medium.	GOOD TO MEDIUM	
3	WET ('slippery wet' runway)	Braking deceleration is noticeably reduced for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is noticeably reduced.	MEDIUM	
2	More than 3 mm depth of water or slush: • STANDING WATER	Braking deceleration OR directional control is between Medium and Poor.	MEDIUM TO POOR	
1		Braking deceleration is significantly reduced for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is significantly reduced.	POOR	
0		Braking deceleration is minimal to non- existent for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is uncertain.	LESS THAN POOR	

UNITING AVIATION

RCAM: Downgrade Assessment Criteria

Table II-1-5. Runway condition assessment matrix (RCAM)

	Runway condition assessment matrix	x (RCAM)	
	Assessment criteria	Downgrade assessment criteria	
Runway condition code	Runway surface description	Aeroplane deceleration or directional control observation	Pilot report of runway braking action
6	• DRY		
5	FROST WET (The runway surface is covered by any visible dampness or water up to and including 3 mm depth) Up to and including 3 mm depth: SLUSH DRY SNOW WET SNOW	Braking deceleration is normal for the wheel braking effort applied AND directional control is normal.	GOOD
4	-15°C and Lower outside air temperature: • COMPACTED SNOW	Braking deceleration OR directional control is between Good and Medium.	GOOD TO MEDIUM
3	WET ("slippery wet" runway) DRY SNOW or WET SNOW (any depth) ON TOP OF COMPACTED SNOW More than 3 mm depth: DRY SNOW WET SNOW WET SNOW Higher than -15°C outside air temperature*: COMPACTED SNOW	Braking deceleration is noticeably reduced for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is noticeably reduced.	MEDIUM
2	More than 3 mm depth of water or slush: • STANDING WATER • SLUSH	Braking deceleration OR directional control is between Medium and Poor.	MEDIUM TO POOR
1	• ICE 2	Braking deceleration is significantly reduced for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is significantly reduced.	POOR
0	WET ICE 2 WATER ON TOP OF COMPACTED SNOW 2 DRY SNOW or WET SNOW ON TOP OF ICE 2	Braking deceleration is minimal to non- existent for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is uncertain.	LESS THAN POOR


- Aeroplane Deceleration or Directional Control Observation
- Pilot report on braking action/ special air-report (AIREP)
- An assigned RWYCC 5, 4, 3 or 2 shall not be upgraded.
- An assigned RWYCC 1 or 0 can be upgraded.
- Upgrading of RWYCC 1 or 0 using the appropriate procedures shall not be permitted to go beyond a RWYCC 3.




For each third of the runway length

the Airport Operator assesses the:


- % coverage of the contaminant
- Depth of the contaminant
- Type of the contaminant



GRF in Practice




GRF in Practice





GRF in Practice



Runway condition Report (RCR)

• The RCR consists of two sections:

- Aeroplane take-off and landing performance calculations; and
- Situational awareness of the surface conditions on the runway, taxiways and aprons.

ICAO UNITING AVIATION GRF Implementation Challenges

National regulatory Framework Implementation on GRF.

- GRF Deployment on Aerodromes:
 - Runway surface assessment and reporting accuracy.
 - Operational Personnel qualification.
 - Coordination process with concerned stakeholders.
 - Management of change.



ICAO UNITING AVIATION GRF Implementation Challenges

Training, Training and Training

















ICAO Provisions on GRF











UNITING AVIATION MID States GRF Implementation Dashboard



MID Region GRF Implementation Status





1 July 2021 20