

International Civil Aviation Organization

MIDANPIRG AIM Sub-Group

Third Meeting (AIM SG/3) (Cairo, Egypt, 15 - 18 May 2017)

## Agenda Item 4: AIM Planning and Implementation in the MID Region

## MID REGION AIM IMPLEMENTATION ROADMAP

(Presented by the Secretariat)

SUMMARY											
This working paper presents the MID Region AIM implementation Roadmap and the National AIM implementation Roadmap template for the update by the meeting.											
Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.											
References											
- MIDANPIRG/16 Report											
MSG/5 Report											

MSG/5 Report

### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The MSG/5 meeting, through MSG Conclusion 5/10, urged States to take into consideration the "MID Region AIM implementation Roadmap" in planning for the transition from AIS to AIM in a prioritized manner; and provide the ICAO MID Regional Office with their updated National AIM Implementation Roadmap on an annual basis (by end of December).

## 2. DISCUSSION

### MID Region AIM implementation Roadmap

2.1 The meeting may wish to recall the MID Region AIM implementation Roadmap was initiated by the AIM SG/1 meeting (Cairo, Egypt, 6-8 May 2014), with a focus on the Phase 2 of transition from AIS to AIM, in order to support regional harmonization in AIM implementation.

2.2 The meeting may wish to recall that the MIDANPIRG/16 meeting, through the review of MID Region Air Navigation Strategy, agreed to update certain timelines/targets of the Priority 1 ASBU Block 0 Modules included in the MID AN Strategy, for harmonization purpose. Accordingly, some timelines of the B0-DATM elements and the MID Region AIM implementation Roadmap were updated, as at **Appendix A**.

### National AIM implementation Roadmaps

2.3 The meeting may wish to recall that the National AIM implementation Roadmap template was developed by AIM SG/1 to support States in their national AIM planning. The template was used by States to develop and provided further update of their National AIM implementation Roadmaps.

2.4 It is to be noted with appreciation that twelve (12) States (Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan and UAE) have provided their National AIM Implementation Roadmaps. The MSG/5 meeting recognized that the "National AIM Implementation Template" was a useful tool to support States in the development of their National AIM Implementation Roadmaps; and agreed, through MSG Conclusion 5/10, that States should provide their updated National Implementation Roadmap on an annual basis (by end of December) using the Roadmap Template at **Appendix B**.

2.5 As a follow-up action to the MSG Conclusion 5/10, the ICAO MID Office issued SL Ref.: AN 8/4 - 16/261 dated 22 Sep 2016. Updated Versions (2016-2017) of the National AIM implementation Roadmaps have been received from Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan and UAE:

S	tatus of the National	AIM Roadmaps						
	State	Date of Issue						
1	BAHRAIN	Jan 2016						
2	EGYPT	Nov 2016						
3	IRAN	Aug 2016						
4	IRAQ	Sep 2015						
5	JORDAN	Nov 2014						
6	KUWAIT	Nov 2016						
7	LEBANON	Aug 2016						
8	LIBYA	-						
9	OMAN	Nov 2016						
10	QATAR	Sep 2016						
11	SAUDI ARABIA	April 2017						
12	SUDAN	April 2017						
13	SYRIA	-						
14	UAE	Nov 2016						
15	YEMEN	-						

#### 3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

- 3.1 The meeting is invited to:
  - a) urge States to implement the provisions of the MSG Conclusion 5/10;
  - b) review and update, as necessary, the MID Region AIM implementation Roadmap at **Appendix A**; and
  - c) review and update, as necessary, the National AIM implementation Roadmap Template at **Appendix B**.

-----

### AIM SG/3-WP/7 Appendix A

## MID REGION AIM IMPLEMENTATION ROADMAP FOR THE TRANSITION FROM AIS TO AIM

		<del>201</del> 4 <mark>2016</mark>		<del>2015</del> 2017					<del>2016</del>	5 <mark>2018</mark>			<del>2017</del> 2019				<del>2018</del>	<mark>2020</mark>		Priority	Remarks		
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4			
AIXM																					1	The t <u>T</u> arget <u>:</u> is to have 60% by 2015, 80% by 2018 and 100% by 2019	
eAIP																					1	T <del>he t</del> arget <u>:</u> is to have 60% by 2016, 80% by 2018 and 100% by 2020	
Terrain A-1																					2	The target: is to have 50% by 2015, 70% by 2018	
Obstacle A-1																					2	The target: is to have 40% by 2015, 60% by 2018	
Terrain A-4																					2	The target: is to have 50% by 2015, 100% by 2018	
Obstacle A-4																					2	The target: is to have 50% by 2015, 100% by 2018	
Terrain A-2a																					3	The target: is to have 30% by 2017, 50% by 2018	
Obstacle A-2a																					3	The target: is to have 30% by 2017, 50% by 2018	
Data Quality Monitoring																					3	Target for 2018: To be implemented by 50% of the States that have implemented QMS at least for the	
Data Integrity Monitoring																					3	segment originator-AIS (excluding the segment AIS-End user)	
Agreement with data originators																					3	Target for 2018: 50% of the States that have implemented QMS	
Terrain and Obstacle for Areas 2b, 2c, 2d and 3																					4	Optional based on the States' decision to be reflected in the States' national Regulations and AIM National Plans, in accordance with operational needs	
Aerodrome Mapping																					4	Optional based on the States' decision to be reflected in the States' national Regulations and AIM National Plans, in accordance with operational needs	
New steps/elements of phase 3, as necessary																						TBD	
New steps/elements of phase 3, as necessary																						TBD	
New steps/elements of phase 3, as necessary																						TBD	

White: Not started

Yellow: Initial Target Orange

t Orange: Intermediate Target

Green: Target for full implementation

\_\_\_\_\_

## APPENDIX B

# NATIONAL AIM IMPLEMENTATION ROADMAP TEMPLATE

Phase/Step	Step		Timeline												Start	End	Remarks							
	No.	<del>201</del> 4 <mark>2016</mark>			<mark>5</mark>	2	2	<del>:016</del>	<mark>201</mark>	<mark>8</mark>	4	2017	2019	<mark>)</mark>	4	2018	<mark>202</mark>	<mark>0</mark>						
Phase I					_					_				_				_						
AIRAC adherence	P-03																							
WGS-84 implementation	P-05																							
QMS	P-17																							
Phase II	•			• •				•										•	•			•	•	
Data Quality Monitoring	P-01																							
Data Integrity Monitoring	P-02																							
AIXM	P-06																							
Unique identifiers	P-07																							
Aeronautical information conceptual model	P-08																							
eAIP	P-11																							
Terrain A-1	P-13																							
Obstacle A-1	P-14																							
Terrain A-4	P-13																							
Obstacle A-4	P-14																							
Terrain A-2	P-13																							Please specify implementation of Area 2a, 2b, 2c and/or 2d
Obstacle A-2	P-14																							Please specify implementation of

AIM SG/3-WP/7 Appendix B

Phase/Step	Step		Timeline														Start	End	Remarks					
	No.	<del>201</del> 4 <mark>2016</mark>				2	<del>2015</del>	<mark>201</mark> ′	7	2	<u>2016</u>	<mark>201</mark>	<mark>8</mark>	2	2 <del>017</del>	<mark>2019</mark>	<mark>)</mark>	<del>2018</del> 2020						
																								Area 2a, 2b, 2c and/or 2d
Terrain A-3	P-13																							
Obstacle A-3	P-14																							
AD Mapping	P-15																							
Phase III			•										•	•					•					
Aeronautical data exchange	P-09																							
Communication networks	P-10																							
Aeronautical information briefing	P-12																							
Training	P-16																							
Agreement with data originators	P-18																							
Interoperability with meteorological products	P-19																							
Electronic aeronautical charts	P-20																							
Digital NOTAM	P-21																							

	Not Started
Legend	In Progress
	Implemented