MID AIR NAVIGATION PLAN

VOLUME III

MID AIR NAVIGATION PLAN

VOLUME III

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MID ANP, VOLUME III

PART 0 – INTRODUCTION

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The background to the publication of ANPs in three volumes is explained in the Introduction in Volume I. The procedure for amendment of Volume III is also described in Volume I. Volume III contains dynamic/flexible plan elements related to the implementation of the air navigation system and its modernization in line with the ICAO Aviation System Block Upgrades (ASBUs) and associated technology roadmaps described in the Global Air Navigation Plan (GANP).

1.2 The information contained in Volume III is related mainly to:

- Planning: objectives set, priorities and targets planned at regional or sub-regional levels;
- Implementation monitoring and reporting: monitoring of the progress of implementation towards targets planned. This information should be used as the basis for reporting purposes (i.e.: global and regional air navigation reports and performance dashboards); and/or
- Guidance: providing regional guidance material for the implementation of specific system/procedures in a harmonized manner.

1.3 The management of Volume III is the responsibility of the MIDANPIRG.

1.4 Volume III should be used as a tool for monitoring and reporting the status of implementation of the elements planned here above, through the use of tables/databases and/or references to online monitoring tools, as endorsed by MIDANPIRG. The status of implementation is updated on a regular basis as endorsed by MIDANPIRG.

2. AVIATION SYSTEM BLOCK UPGRADES (ASBUs), MODULES AND ROADMAPS

2.1. The ASBU Modules and Roadmaps form a key component to the GANP, noting that they will continue to evolve as more work is done on refining and updating their content and in subsequent development of related provisions, support material and training.

2.2. Although the GANP has a worldwide perspective, it is not intended that all Block Upgrade Modules are required to be applied in every State, sub-region and/or region. Many of the Block Upgrade Modules contained in the GANP are specialized packages that should be applied only where the specific operational requirement exists or corresponding benefits can be realistically projected. Accordingly, the Block Upgrade methodology establishes an important flexibility in the implementation of its various Modules depending on a region, sub-region and/or State's specific operational requirements. Guided by the GANP, ICAO MID regional, sub-regional and State planning should identify Modules which best provide the needed operational improvements.

MID ANP, VOLUME III

PART I - GENERAL PLANNING ASPECTS (GEN)

1. PLANNING METHODOLOGY

1.1 Guided by the GANP, the regional planning process starts by identifying the homogeneous ATM areas, major traffic flows and international aerodromes. An analysis of this data leads to the identification of opportunities for performance improvement. Modules from the Aviation System Block Upgrades (ASBUs) are evaluated to identify which of those modules best provide the needed operational improvements. Depending on the complexity of the module, additional planning steps may need to be undertaken including financing and training needs. Finally, regional plans would be developed for the deployment of modules by drawing on supporting technology requirements. This is an iterative planning process which may require repeating several steps until a final plan with specific regional targets is in place. This planning methodology requires full involvement of States, service providers, airspace users and other stakeholders, thus ensuring commitment by all for implementation.

1.2 Block 0 features Modules characterized by technologies and capabilities which have already been developed and implemented in many parts of the world today. It therefore features a near-term availability milestone, or Initial Operating Capability (IOC), of 2013 for high density based on regional, sub-regional and State operational need. Blocks 1 through 3 are characterized by both existing and projected performance area solutions, with availability milestones beginning in 2018, 2023 and 2028 respectively.

2. REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF AIR NAVIGATION PLANNING

2.1. The progress and effectiveness against the priorities set out in the regional air navigation plans should be annually reported, using a consistent reporting format, to ICAO.

2.2. Performance monitoring requires a measurement strategy. Data collection, processing, storage and reporting activities supporting the identified global/regional performance metrics are fundamental to the success of performance-based approaches.

2.3. The air navigation planning and implementation performance framework prescribes reporting, monitoring, analysis and review activities being conducted on a cyclical, annual basis. An Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF) reflecting selected key performance areas as defined in the Manual on Global Performance of the Air Navigation System (ICAO Doc 9883) has been developed for each ASBU Module. The ANRF is a customized tool which is recommended for the application of setting planning targets, monitoring implementation, and identifying challenges, measuring implementation/performance and reporting. If necessary, other reporting formats that provide more details may be used but should contain as a minimum the elements described in the ANRF template. A sample of the ANRF is provided in **Appendix A**. A sample Template of a planning table which may be used to show the elements planned in an ICAO region is provided in **Appendix B**.

3. REPORTING AND MONITORING RESULTS

3.1 Reporting and monitoring results will be analyzed by the PIRGs, States and ICAO Secretariat to steer the air navigation improvements, take corrective actions and review the allocated objectives, priorities and targets if needed. The results will also be used by ICAO and aviation partner stakeholders to develop the annual Global Air Navigation Report. The report results will provide an opportunity for the international civil aviation community to compare progress across different ICAO regions in the establishment of air navigation infrastructure and performance-based procedures.

3.2 The reports will also provide the ICAO Council with detailed annual results on the basis of which tactical adjustments will be made to the performance framework work programme, as well as triennial policy adjustments to the GANP and the Block Upgrade Modules.

3.3 **Table GEN III-1** contains a minimum set of Implementation Indicator(s) for each of the eighteen ASBU Block 0 Modules necessary for the monitoring of these Modules (if identified as a priority for implementation at regional or sub-regional level). These indicators are intended to enable comparison between ICAO Regions with respect to ASBU Block 0 Modules and will apply only to commonly selected ASBU Modules. All regions/PIRGs reserve the right to select the ASBU Modules relevant to their needs and to endorse additional indicators, as deemed necessary. No reporting is required for ASBU Block 0 Modules that have not been selected.

Note: The priority for implementation as well as the applicability area of each selected ASBU Block 0 Module is to be defined by the MIDANPIRG. This should be reflected in Part II – Air Navigation System Implementation.

TABLE GEN III-1 – IMPLEMENTATION INDICATOR(S) FOR EACH ASBU BLOCK 0 MODULE

Explanation of the Table

1 Block 0 Module Code
2 Block 0 Module Title
3 High level Implementation Indicator
4 Remarks ~~*Additional information as deemed necessary.*~~

Module Code	Module Title	Implementation Indicator	Remarks
1	2	3	4
B0-APTA	Optimization of Approach Procedures including vertical guidance	% of international aerodromes having at least one runway end provided with APV Baro-VNAV or LPV procedures	
B0-WAKE	Increased Runway Throughput through Optimized Wake Turbulence Separation	% of applicable international aerodromes having implemented increased runway throughput through optimized wake turbulence separation	1. Not to be considered for the first reporting cycles due to lack of maturity. 2. List of ADs to be established through regional air navigation agreement.
B0-RSEQ	Improve Traffic flow through Runway Sequencing (AMAN/DMAN)	% of applicable international aerodromes having implemented AMAN / DMAN	1. Not to be considered for the first reporting cycles due to lack of maturity. 2. List of ADs to be established through regional air navigation agreement.
B0-SURF	Safety and Efficiency of Surface Operations (A-SMGCS Level 1-2)	% of applicable international aerodromes having implemented A-SMGCS Level 2	List of ADs to be established through regional air navigation agreement.
B0-ACDM	Improved Airport Operations through Airport-CDM	% of applicable international aerodromes having implemented improved airport operations through airport-CDM	List of ADs to be established through regional air navigation agreement.
B0-FICE	Increased Interoperability, Efficiency and Capacity through Ground-Ground Integration	% of FIRs within which all applicable ACCs have implemented at least one interface to use AIDC / OLDI with neighbouring ACCs	
B0-DATM	Service Improvement through Digital Aeronautical Information Management	- % of States having implemented an AIXM based AIS database - % of States having implemented QMS	
B0-AMET	Meteorological information supporting enhanced operational efficiency and safety	- % of States having implemented SADIS / WIFS - % of States having implemented QMS	

Module Code	Module Title	Implementation Indicator	Remarks
1	2	3	4
B0-FRTO	Improved Operations through Enhanced En-Route Trajectories	% of FIRs in which FUA is implemented	
B0-NOPS	Improved Flow Performance through Planning based on a Network-Wide view	% of FIRs within which all ACCs utilize ATFM systems	
B0-ASUR	Initial capability for ground surveillance	% of FIRs where ADS-B OUT and/or MLAT are implemented for the provision of surveillance services in identified areas.	1. Not to be considered for the first reporting cycles due to lack of maturity.
B0-ASEP	Air Traffic Situational Awareness (ATSA)	% of States having implemented air traffic situational awareness	1. Not to be considered for the first reporting cycles due to lack of maturity.
B0-OPFL	Improved access to optimum flight levels through climb/descent procedures using ADS-B	% of FIRs having implemented in-trail procedures	1. Not to be considered for the first reporting cycles due to lack of maturity.
B0-ACAS	ACAS Improvements	% of States requiring carriage of ACAS (with TCAS 7.1 evolution)	
B0-SNET	Increased Effectiveness of Ground-Based Safety Nets	% of States having implemented ground-based safety-nets (STCA, APW, MSAW, etc.)	
B0-CDO	Improved Flexibility and Efficiency in Descent Profiles (CDO)	- % of international aerodromes / TMAs with PBN STAR implemented - % of international aerodromes/TMA where CDO is implemented	
B0-TBO	Improved Safety and Efficiency through the initial application of Data Link En-Route	% of FIRs utilising data link en-route in applicable airspace	
B0-CCO	Improved Flexibility and Efficiency Departure Profiles - Continuous Climb Operations (CCO)	- % of international aerodromes / TMAs with PBN SID implemented - % of international aerodromes/TMA where CCO is implemented	

Appendix A

SAMPLE TEMPLATE

1. AIR NAVIGATION REPORT FORM (ANRF)

(This template demonstrates how ANRF to be used.
The data inserted here refers to ASBU B0-05/CDO as an example only)

Regional and National planning for ASBU Modules

2. REGIONAL/NATIONAL PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE – B0-05/CDO: Improved Flexibility and Efficiency in Descent Profiles

Performance Improvement Area 4: Efficient Flight Path – Through Trajectory-based Operations

3. ASBU B0-05/CDO: Impact on Main Key Performance Areas (KPA)

	Access & Equity	Capacity	Efficiency	Environment	Safety
Applicable	N	N	Y	Y	Y

4. ASBU B0-05/CDO: Planning Targets and Implementation Progress

5. Elements	6. Targets and implementation progress (Ground and Air)
1. CDO	
2. PBN STARs	

7. ASBU B0-05/CDO: Implementation Challenges

Elements	Implementation Area			
	Ground system Implementation	Avionics Implementation	Procedures Availability	Operational Approvals
1. CDO				
2. PBN STARs				

8. Performance Monitoring and Measurement
8A. ASBU B0-05/CDO: Implementation Monitoring

Elements	Performance Indicators/Supporting Metrics
1. CDO	Indicator: Percentage of international aerodromes/TMAs with CDO implemented Supporting metric: Number of international aerodromes/TMAs with CDO implemented
2. PBN STARs	Indicator: Percentage of international aerodromes/TMAs with PBN STARs implemented Supporting metric: Number of international aerodromes/TMAs with PBN STARs implemented

8. Performance Monitoring and Measurement **8 B. ASBU B0-05/CDO: Performance Monitoring**	
Key Performance Areas (Out of eleven KPAs, for the present until experienced gained, only five have been selected for reporting through ANRF)	**Where applicable, indicate qualitative Benefits,**
Access & Equity	Not applicable
Capacity	Not applicable
Efficiency	Cost savings through reduced fuel burn. Reduction in the number of required radio transmissions.
Environment	Reduced emissions as a result of reduced fuel burn
Safety	More consistent flight paths and stabilized approach paths. Reduction in the incidence of controlled flight into terrain (CFIT).
9. Identification of performance metrics: It is not necessary that every module contributes to all of the five KPAs. Consequently, a limited number of metrics per type of KPA, serving as an example to measure the module(s)' implementation benefits, without trying to apportion these benefits between module, have been identified on page 5. For the family of ASBU modules selected for air navigation implementation, States/Region to choose the applicable performance (benefit) metrics from the list available on page 5. This approach would facilitate States in collecting data for the chosen performance metrics. States/Region, however, could add new metrics for different KPAs based on maturity of the system and ability to collect relevant data.	

AIR NAVIGATION REPORT FORM
HOW TO USE - EXPLANATORY NOTES

1. **Air Navigation Report Form (ANRF):** This form is nothing but the revised version of Performance Framework Form that was being used by Planning and Implementation Regional Groups (PIRGs)/States until now. The ANRF is a customized tool for Aviation System Block Upgrades (ASBU) Modules which is recommended for application for setting planning targets, monitoring implementation, identifying challenges, measuring implementation/performance and reporting. Also, the PIRGs and States could use this report format for any other air navigation improvement programmes such as Search and Rescue. If necessary, other reporting formats that provide more details may be used but should contain as a minimum the elements described in this ANRF template. The results will be analysed by ICAO and aviation partners and utilized in the Regional Performance Dashboards and the Annual Air Navigation Report. The conclusions from the Air Navigation Report will serve as the basis for future policy adjustments, aiding safety practicality, affordability and global harmonization, amongst other concerns.

2. **Regional/National Performance objective:** In the ASBU methodology, the performance objective will be the title of the ASBU module itself. Furthermore, indicate alongside corresponding Performance Improvement area (PIA).

3. **Impact on Main Key Performance Areas:** Key to the achievement of a globally interoperable ATM system is a clear statement of the expectations/benefits to the ATM community. The expectations/benefits are referred to eleven Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and are interrelated and cannot be considered in isolation since all are necessary for the achievement of the objectives established for the system as a whole. It should be noted that while safety is the highest priority, the eleven KPAs shown below are in alphabetical order as they would appear in English. They are access/equity; capacity; cost effectiveness; efficiency; environment; flexibility; global interoperability; participation of ATM community; predictability; safety; and security. However, out of these eleven KPAs, for the present, only five have been selected for reporting through ANRF, which are Access & Equity, Capacity, Efficiency, Environment and Safety. The KPAs applicable to respective ASBU module are to be identified by marking Y (Yes) or N (No). The impact assessment could be extended to more than five KPAs mentioned above if maturity of the national system allows and the process is available within the State to collect the data.

4. **Planning Targets and Implementation Progress:** This section indicates planning targets and status of progress in the implementation of different elements of the ASBU Module for both air and ground segments.

5. **Elements related to ASBU module:** Under this section list elements that are needed to implement the respective ASBU Module. Furthermore, should there be elements that are not reflected in the ASBU Module (example: In ASBU B0-80/ACDM, Aerodrome certification and data link applications D-VOLMET, D-ATIS, D-FIS are not included; Similarly in ASBU B0-30/DAIM, note that WGS-84 and eTOD are not included) but at the same time if they are closely linked to the module, ANRF should specify those elements. As a part of guidance to PIRGs/States, every Regional ANP will have the complete list of all 18 Modules of ASBU Block 0 along with corresponding elements, equipage required on the ground and in the air as well as metrics specific to both implementation and performance (benefits).

6. **Targets and implementation progress (Ground and Air):** Planned implementation date (month/year) and the current status/responsibility for each element are to be reported in this section. Please provide as much details as possible and should cover both avionics and ground systems. This ANRF being high level document, develop necessary detailed action plan separately for each element/equipage.

7. **Implementation challenges**: Any challenges/problems that are foreseen for the implementation of elements of the Module are to be reported in this section. The purpose of the section is to identify in advance any issues that will delay the implementation and if so, corrective action is to be initiated by the concerned person/entity. The four areas, under which implementation issues, if any, for the ASBU Module to be identified, are as follows:

- Ground System Implementation:
- Avionics Implementation:
- Procedures Availability:
- Operational Approvals:

Should be there no challenges to be resolved for the implementation of ASBU Module, indicate as "NIL".

8. **Performance Monitoring and Measurement:** Performance monitoring and measurement is done through the collection of data for the supporting metrics. In other words, metrics are quantitative measure of system performance – how well the system is functioning. The metrics fulfil three functions. They form a basis for assessing and monitoring the provision of ATM services, they define what ATM services user value and they can provide common criteria for cost benefit analysis for air navigation systems development. The Metrics are of two types:

A. **Implementation Monitoring**: Under this section, the indicator supported by the data collected for the metric reflects the status of implementation of elements of the Module. For example- Percentage of international aerodromes with CDO implemented. This indicator requires data for the metric "number of international aerodromes with CDO".

B. **Performance Monitoring**: The metric in this section allows to asses benefits accrued as a result of implementation of the module. The benefits or expectations, also known as Key Performance Areas (KPAs), are interrelated and cannot be considered in isolation since all are necessary for the achievement of the objectives established for the system as a whole. It should be noted that while safety is the highest priority, the eleven KPAs shown below are in alphabetical order as they would appear in English. They are access/equity; capacity; cost effectiveness; efficiency; environment; flexibility; global interoperability; participation of ATM community; predictability; safety; and security. However, out of these eleven KPAs, for the present until experienced gained, only five have been selected for reporting through ANRF, which are Access & Equity, Capacity, Efficiency, Environment and Safety. Where applicable, mention qualitative benefits under this section.

9. **Identification of performance metrics:** It is not necessary that every module contributes to all of the five KPAs. Consequently, a limited number of metrics per type of KPA, serving as an example to measure the module(s)' implementation benefits, without trying to apportion these benefits between module, have been identified on page 6. For the family of ASBU modules selected for air navigation implementation, States/Region to choose the applicable performance (benefit) metrics from the list available on page 6. This approach would facilitate States in collecting data for the chosen performance metrics. States/Region, however, could add new metrics for different KPAs based on maturity of the system and ability to collect relevant data.

Appendix B - Main Planning Table Template

	Objectives					Priorities and targets			Reference
Block	**ASBU modules and elements Enablers**	**Performance Improvement Area**	**Applicable or not in [Region] (Yes/No)**	**Regional planning elements**	**Enablers**	**Priority allocated in [Region]**	**Target(s) in [Region]**	**Indicator(s) / Metric(s)**	**Supporting Planning Document (ANRF, other)**

MID ANP, VOLUME III

PART II – AIR NAVIGATION SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The planning and implementation of the ICAO Aviation System Block Upgrades (ASBUs) should be undertaken within the framework of the MIDANPIRG with the participation and support of all stakeholders, including regulatory personnel.

1.2 The ASBU Blocks and Modules adopted by the MID Region should be followed in accordance with the specific ASBU requirements to ensure global interoperability and harmonization of air traffic management. The MIDANPIRG should determine the ASBU Block Upgrade Modules, which best provide the needed operational improvements in the ICAO MID Region.

2. ICAO MID REGION AIR NAVIGATION OBJECTIVES, PRIORITIES AND TARGETS

2.1 In accordance with Recommendation 6/1 of the Twelfth Air Navigation Conference (AN-Conf/12), PIRGs are requested to establish priorities and targets for air navigation, in line with the ASBU methodology.

2.2 The achievement of the intended benefits along each routing or within each area of affinity is entirely dependent on the coordinated implementation of the required elements by all provider and user stakeholders concerned.

2.3 Considering that some of the block upgrade modules contained in the GANP are specialized packages that may be applied where specific operational requirements or corresponding benefits exist, States and PIRGs should clarify how each Block Upgrade module would fit into the national and regional plans.

2.4 As Block 0 modules in many cases provide the foundation for future development, all Block 0 modules should be assessed, as appropriate, for early implementation by States in accordance with their operational needs.

2.5 In establishing and updating the MID air navigation plan, the MIDANPIRG and States should give due consideration to the safety priorities set out in the Global Aviation Safety Plan (GASP) and MID Region safety strategy.

2.6 States in the MID Region through the MIDANPIRG should establish their own air navigation objectives, priorities and targets to meet their individual needs and circumstances in line with the global and regional air navigation objectives, priorities and targets.

3. MONITORING OF ASBU MODULES IMPLEMENTATION

3.1 The monitoring of air navigation performance and its enhancement should be carried out through identification of relevant air navigation Metrics and Indicators as well as the adoption and attainment of air navigation system Targets.

3.2 The monitoring of the regional implementation progress and performance metrics/indicators should be done for all elements planned by MIDANPIRG. The monitoring should allow global correlation of status and expectations, appreciation of benefits achieved for the airspace users, as well as corrective actions to be taken by the PIRG on implementation plans.

3.3 The MIDANPIRG should determine appropriate mechanisms and tools for the monitoring and the collection of necessary data at national and regional levels.

MID Region ASBU Block 0 Modules Prioritization and Monitoring

On the basis of operational requirements and taking into consideration the associated benefits, MID Region has prioritized the implementation of the Block "0" Modules**,** also agreed on the subsidiary bodies that will be monitoring and supporting the implementation of the modules as in Table below:.

MID REGION ASBU BLOCK 0 MODULES PRIORITIZATION AND MONITORING

Module Code	Module Title	Priority	Monitoring		Remarks
			Main	Supporting	
***Performance Improvement Areas (PIA) 1*: Airport Operations**					
B0-APTA	Optimization of Approach Procedures including vertical guidance	1	PBN SG	ATM SG, AIM SG, CNS SG	
B0-WAKE	Increased Runway Throughput through Optimized Wake Turbulence Separation	2			
B0-RSEQ	Improve Traffic flow through Runway Sequencing (AMAN/DMAN)	2			
B0-SURF	Safety and Efficiency of Surface Operations (A-SMGCS Level 1-2)	1	ANSIG	CNS SG	Coordination with RGS WG
B0-ACDM	Improved Airport Operations through Airport-CDM	1	ANSIG	CNS SG, AIM SG, ATM SG	Coordination with RGS WG
***Performance Improvement Areas (PIA) 2* Globally Interoperable Systems and Data Through Globally Interoperable System Wide Information Management**					
B0-FICE	Increased Interoperability, Efficiency and Capacity through Ground-Ground Integration	1	CNS SG	ATM SG	
B0-DATM	Service Improvement through Digital Aeronautical Information Management	1	AIM SG	-	

B0-AMET	Meteorological information supporting enhanced operational efficiency and safety	1	MET SG	-	
Performance Improvement Areas (PIA) 3 **Optimum Capacity and Flexible Flights – Through Global Collaborative ATM**					
B0-FRTO	Improved Operations through Enhanced En-Route Trajectories	1	ATM SG		
B0-NOPS	Improved Flow Performance through Planning based on a Network-Wide view	1	ATM SG		
B0-ASUR	Initial capability for ground surveillance	2			
B0-ASEP	Air Traffic Situational Awareness (ATSA)	2			
B0-OPFL	Improved access to optimum flight levels through climb/descent procedures using ADS-B	2			
B0-ACAS	ACAS Improvements	1	CNS SG		
B0-SNET	Increased Effectiveness of Ground-Based Safety Nets	2			
Performance Improvement Areas (PIA) 4 **Efficient Flight Path – Through Trajectory-based Operations**					
B0-CDO	Improved Flexibility and Efficiency in Descent Profiles (CDO)	1	PBN SG		
B0-TBO	Improved Safety and Efficiency through the initial application of Data Link En-Route	1	ATM SG	CNS SG	
B0-CCO	Improved Flexibility and Efficiency Departure Profiles - Continuous Climb Operations (CCO)	1	PBN SG		

Priority 1: Modules that have the highest contribution to the improvement of air navigation safety and/or efficiency in the MID Region. These modules should be implemented where applicable and will be used for the purpose of regional air navigation monitoring and reporting for the period 2013-2014.

Priority 2: Modules recommended for implementation based on identified operational needs and benefits.

APPENDIX – ASBU BLOCK 0 MODULES APPLICABLE IN THE MID REGION~~(S)~~

B0 – APTA, CCO and CDO

(Monitoring Results as July 2014)

BO-APTA: Optimization of Approach Procedures including vertical guidance
B0 – CCO: Improved Flexibility and Efficiency Departure Profiles - Continuous Climb Operations (CCO)
B0 – CDO: Improved Flexibility and Efficiency in Descent Profiles (CDO)

Int'l Aerodrome (Ref. MID ANP)	RWY	Conventional Approaches			APTA			CCO		CDO		Remarks
		Precision		VOR or NDB	PBN PLAN	LNAV	LNAV / VNAV	RNAV SID	CCO	RNAV STAR	CDO	
		xLS	CAT		Update date							
BAHRAIN												
OBBI	12L	ILS	I	VORDME		Y						SIDs and STARs withdrawn
	30R	ILS	I	VORDME		Y						SIDs and STARs withdrawn
Total	**2**	**2**		**2**	**Y**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
%		**100**		**100**		**100**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
EGYPT												
HEAX	4			VORDME		Y						
	18											
	22			VORDME		Y						
	36			VORDME								
HEBA	14											
	32	ILS	I			Y		Y				
HESN	17			VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
	35	ILS	I	VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
HEAT	13					Y		Y		Y		
	31	ILS	I	VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
HECA	05L	ILS	I	VORDME		Y						

Int'l Aerodrome (Ref. MID ANP)	RWY	Conventional Approaches			APTA			CCO		CDO		Remarks
		Precision		VOR or NDB	PBN PLAN	LNAV	LNAV / VNAV	RNAV SID	CCO	RNAV STAR	CDO	
		xLS	CAT		Update date							
	05C	ILS	II	VORDME		Y						
	05R	ILS	I									
	23L	ILS	I	VORDME								
	23C	ILS	II	VORDME		Y						
	23R	ILS	I	VORDME		Y						
HEAR	16											
	34			VORDME								
HEGN	16			VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
	34	ILS	I	VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
HELX	2	ILS	I	VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
	20	ILS	I	VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
HEMA	15			VORDME								
	33			VORDME								
HEPS	10			VORDME								
	28											
HEOW	1			NDB								
	19											
HESH	04L	ILS	I	VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
	04R			VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
	22L			VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
	22R			VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
HESC	17			NDB								
	35			NDB								

Int'l Aerodrome (Ref. MID ANP)	RWY	Conventional Approaches			APTA			CCO		CDO		Remarks
		Precision		VOR or NDB	PBN PLAN	LNAV	LNAV / VNAV	RNAV SID	CCO	RNAV STAR	CDO	
		xLS	CAT		Update date							
HETB	4	ILS	I	VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
	22			VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
HEAL	13			VORDME		Y						
	31			VORDME		Y						
HESG	15			VORDME								
	33			VORDME								
Total	**40**	**14**		**32**	**Y**	**23**	**0**	**15**	**0**	**14**	**0**	
%		**35**		**80**		**58**	**0**	**38**	**0**	**35**	**0**	
I.R. IRAN												
OIKB	03L											
	03R			VORDME / NDB								
	21L	ILS	I	VORDME / NDB								
	21R											
OIFM	08L			VORDME / NDB								
	08R			VORDME / NDB								
	26L			VORDME / NDB								
	26R	ILS	I	VORDME / NDB								
OIMM	13L			VORDME								
	13R			VORDME								
	31L			VORDME / NDB								

Int'l Aerodrome (Ref. MID ANP)	RWY	Conventional Approaches			APTA			CCO		CDO		Remarks
		Precision		VOR or NDB	PBN PLAN	LNAV	LNAV / VNAV	RNAV SID	CCO	RNAV STAR	CDO	
		xLS	CAT		Update date							
	31R	ILS	I	VORDME / NDB								
OISS	11L											
	11R											
	29L	ILS	I	VORDME / NDB								
	29R			VORDME / NDB								
OITT	12L											
	12R											
	30L	ILS	I	VORDME								
	30R	ILS	I	VORDME								
OIIE	11L	ILS	I	VORDME / NDB								
	11R			VORDME / NDB								
	29L			VORDME								
	29R	ILS	II	VORDME / NDB								
OIII	11L			VORDME								
	11R			VORDME								
	29L	ILS	I	VORDME								
	29R											
OIZH	17											
	35	ILS	I	VORDME								
Total	**30**	**10**		**22**	**N**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
%		**33**		**73**		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	

Int'l Aerodrome (Ref. MID ANP)	RWY	Conventional Approaches			APTA			CCO		CDO		Remarks
		Precision		VOR or NDB	PBN PLAN	LNAV	LNAV / VNAV	RNAV SID	CCO	RNAV STAR	CDO	
		xLS	CAT		Update date							
IRAQ												
ORBI	15L	ILS	I	VORDME								
	15R					Y						
	33L					Y						
	33R	ILS	I	VORDME								
ORMM	14			VORDME								
	32	ILS	I	VORDME								
ORER	18	ILS	II			Y				Y		
	36	ILS	I			Y				Y		
ORSU	13	ILS	I	VOR								
	31	ILS	I	VOR								
ORNI	10											
	28	ILS		VOR								
ORBM												NO DATA
Total	**12**	**8**		**7**	**N**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	
%		**67**		**58**		**33**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**17**	**0**	
JORDAN												
OJAM	6					Y	Y	Y		Y		
	24	ILS	I	VORDME / NDB		Y	Y	Y		Y		
OJAI	08L	ILS	I	NDB DME		Y	Y	Y		Y		
	08R			NDB DME		Y	Y	Y		Y		
	26L	ILS	II	VOR / NDB		Y	Y	Y		Y		

Int'l Aerodrome (Ref. MID ANP)	RWY	Conventional Approaches			APTA			CCO		CDO		Remarks
		Precision		VOR or NDB	PBN PLAN	LNAV	LNAV / VNAV	RNAV SID	CCO	RNAV STAR	CDO	
		xLS	CAT		Update date							
	26R	ILS	I	VORDME / NDB		Y	Y	Y		Y		
OJAQ	1	ILS	I	VORDME		Y	Y	Y		Y		
	19	N/A	N/A			Y	N/A	Y		Y		LNAV/VNAV not feasible
Total	**8**	**6**		**6**	**Y**	**8**	**8**	**8**	**0**	**8**	**0**	
%		**75**		**75**		**100**	**100**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**0**	
KUWAIT												
OKBK	15L	ILS	II			Y	Y	Y		Y		
	15R	ILS	II	VORDME		Y	Y	Y		Y		
	33L	ILS	II	VORDME		Y	Y	Y		Y		
	33R	ILS	II			Y	Y	Y		Y		
Total	**4**	**4**		**2**	**Y**	**4**	**4**	**4**	**0**	**4**	**0**	
%		**100**		**50**		**100**	**100**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**0**	
LEBANON												
OLBA	3	ILS	I	VORDME		Y				Y		
	16	ILS	I	VORDME		Y				Y		
	17	ILS	I	VORDME / NDB		Y				Y		
	21					Y				Y		
	34	N/A		N/A		N/A				N/A		Not used for landing
	35	N/A		N/A		N/A				N/A		Not used for landing
Total	**6**	**5**		**5**	**N**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**0**	
%		**83**		**83**		**100**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**0**	

Int'l Aerodrome (Ref. MID ANP)	RWY	Conventional Approaches			APTA			CCO		CDO		Remarks
		Precision		VOR or NDB	PBN PLAN	LNAV	LNAV / VNAV	RNAV SID	CCO	RNAV STAR	CDO	
		xLS	CAT		Update date							
LIBYA												
HLLB	15R			VORDME								VOR not flight checked
	15L			VORDME								VOR not flight checked
	33R			VORDME								VOR not flight checked
	33L	ILS	I	VORDME								ILS not flight checked
HLLS	13	ILS	I	VORDME								ILS not flight checked
	31			VORDME								VOR not flight checked
HLLT	9			VORDME								VOR not flight checked
	27	ILS	I	VORDME								ILS not flight checked
Total	**8**	**3**		**8**	**N**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
%		**38**		**100**		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
OMAN												
OOMS	08R	ILS	I	VORDME								
	26L	ILS	I	VORDME								
OOSA	7			VORDME								
	25	ILS	I	VORDME								
Total	**4**	**3**		**4**	**Y**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
%		**75**		**100**		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
QATAR												
OTBD	15	ILS	I	VORDME		Y	N/A	Y		Y		LNAV/VNAV not feasabile
	33	ILS	II/III	VORDME/NDB		Y	Y	Y		Y		
OTHH	16L	ILS	I/II/III	VORDME		Y	Y	Y		Y		

Int'l Aerodrome (Ref. MID ANP)	RWY	Conventional Approaches			APTA			CCO		CDO		Remarks
		Precision		VOR or NDB	PBN PLAN	LNAV	LNAV / VNAV	RNAV SID	CCO	RNAV STAR	CDO	
		xLS	CAT		Update date							
	16R	ILS	I/II/III	VORDME		Y	Y	Y		Y		
	34L	ILS	I/II/III	VORDME		Y	Y	Y		Y		
	34R	ILS	I/II/III	VORDME		Y	Y	Y		Y		
Total	**6**	**6**		**6**	**Y**	**6**	**6**	**6**	**0**	**6**	**0**	
%		**100**		**100**		**100**	**100**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**0**	
SAUDI ARABIA												
OEDF	16L	ILS	II	VORDME								
	16R	ILS	II	VORDME								
	34L	ILS	II	VORDME								
	34R	ILS	II	VORDME								
OEJN	16L	ILS	I	VORDME		Y				Y		
	16C	ILS	II			Y				Y		
	16R	ILS	II			Y				Y		
	34L	ILS	II			Y				Y		
	34C	ILS	II	VORDME		Y				Y		
	34R	ILS	I	VORDME		Y				Y		
OEMA	17	ILS	I	VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
	18			VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
	35	ILS	I	VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
	36	ILS	I	VORDME		Y		Y		Y		
OERK	15L	ILS	I	VORDME								
	15R	ILS	I									
	33L	ILS	I									

Int'l Aerodrome (Ref. MID ANP)	RWY	Conventional Approaches			APTA			CCO		CDO		Remarks
		Precision		VOR or NDB	PBN PLAN	LNAV	LNAV / VNAV	RNAV SID	CCO	RNAV STAR	CDO	
		xLS	CAT		Update date							
	33R	ILS	I	VORDME								
Total	**18**	**17**		**13**	**Y**	**10**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**10**	**0**	
%		**94**		**72**		**56**	**0**	**22**	**0**	**56**	**0**	
SUDAN												
HSKA	2											Charts are Not Published
	20											
HSSS	18	ILS	I	VORDME		Y	Y					
	36	ILS	I	VORDME		Y	Y					
HSPN	17			VORDME / NDB		Y	Y					
	35	ILS	I	VORDME / NDB		Y	Y					
Total	**6**	**3**		**4**	**Y**	**4**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
%		**50**		**67**		**67**	**67**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
SYRIA												
OSAP	9			VORDME								
	27	ILS	II	VORDME / NDB								
OSLK	17	ILS	I	VORDME / NDB								
	35											
OSDI	05L			VOR								
	05R	ILS	II	VORDME / NDB								
	23L			VORDME / NDB DME								

Int'l Aerodrome (Ref. MID ANP)	RWY	Conventional Approaches			APTA			CCO		CDO		Remarks
		Precision		VOR or NDB	PBN PLAN	LNAV	LNAV / VNAV	RNAV SID	CCO	RNAV STAR	CDO	
		xLS	CAT		Update date							
	23R	ILS	II	VORDME		Y	Y					
Total	**8**	**4**		**7**	**Y**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
%		**50**		**88**		**13**	**13**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES												
OMAA	13L	ILS	II					Y		Y		
	13R	ILS	I	VOR				Y		Y		
	31L	ILS	II/III	VOR				Y		Y		
	31R	ILS	II					Y		Y		
OMAD	13			VORDME		Y				Y		
	31	ILS	I	VORDME		Y				Y		
OMAL	1	ILS	I	VOR								
	19			VOR								
OMDB	12L	ILS	I/II/III	VOR		Y	Y	Y		Y		
	12R	ILS	I/II/III	VOR		Y	Y	Y		Y		
	30L	ILS	I/II/III			Y	Y	Y		Y		
	30R	ILS	I/II/III	VOR		Y	Y	Y		Y		
OMDW	12	ILS	II/III			Y	Y	Y		Y		
	30	ILS	II/III			Y	Y	Y		Y		
OMFJ	11							Y				
	29	ILS	I	VOR				Y				
OMRK	16			VOR								
	34	ILS	I	VOR								
OMSJ	12	ILS	I			Y	Y	Y		Y		

Int'l Aerodrome (Ref. MID ANP)	RWY	Conventional Approaches			APTA			CCO		CDO		Remarks
		Precision		VOR or NDB	PBN PLAN	LNAV	LNAV / VNAV	RNAV SID	CCO	RNAV STAR	CDO	
		xLS	CAT		Update date							
	30	ILS	II			Y	Y	Y		Y		
Total	**20**	**16**		**12**	**Y**	**10**	**8**	**14**	**0**	**14**	**0**	
%		**80**		**60**		**50**	**40**	**70**	**0**	**70**	**0**	
YEMEN												
OYAA	8	ILS	I	VORDME								
	26			VORDME								
OYHD	3			VOR								
	21			VOR / NDB		Y				Y		
OYRN	6											
	24			VORDME								
OYSN	18	ILS	I	VORDME/NDB		Y	Y	Y		Y		
	36			VOR		Y	Y	Y		Y		
OYTZ												NO DATA
Total	**8**	**2**		**7**	**Y**	**3**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**3**	**0**	
%		**25**		**88**		**38**	**25**	**25**	**0**	**38**	**0**	

Results

Total	**180**	**103**		**137**	**11**	**81**	**33**	**53**	**0**	**67**	**0**	**4 PBN APV + 103 ILS (107/180)**
Percentage (%)		**57**		**76**	**73**	**45**	**18**	**29**	**0**	**37**	**0**	**59% RWY Ends with Vertical Guidance**

B0 – SURF: Safety and Efficiency of Surface Operations (A-SMGCS Level 1-2)

Aerodrome	City	State	Level 1	Level 2	Remarks
1	*2*	*3*	*4*	*5*	*6*
OBBI	Bahrain	Bahrain-			
HECA	Cairo	Egypt-			
OIII	Tehran Mehrabad	Iran			
OKBK	Kuwait	Kuwait			
OOMS	Muscat	Oman			
OTBD	Doha Intr	Qatar			
OTHH	Doha Hamad Intr.	Qatar			
OEJN	Jeddah	Saudi Arabia			
OERK	Riyadh	Saudi Arabia			
OMAA	Abu Dhabi	UAE			
OMDB	Dubai	UAE			
OMDW	Dubai WTC	UAE			
Percentage of implementation			**……%**	**……..%**	

B0 – ACDM: Improved Airport Operations through Airport-CDM

Aerodrome	City	State	Apron Management	ATM-Aerodrome Coordination	Declared terminal & runway capacity	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
OBBI	Bahrain	Bahrain-				
HECA	Cairo	Egypt-				
OIII	Tehran Mehrabad	Iran				
OKBK	Kuwait	Kuwait				
OOMS	Muscat	Oman				
OTBD	Doha Intr	Qatar				
OTHH	Doha Hamad Intr.	Qatar				
OEJN	Jeddah	Saudi Arabia				
OERK	Riyadh	Saudi Arabia				
OMAA	Abu Dhabi	UAE				
OMDB	Dubai	UAE				
OMDW	Dubai WTC	UAE				
Percentage of implementation			**……%**	**……..%**	**……..%**	

B0 – FICE: Increased Interoperability, Efficiency and Capacity through Ground-Ground Integration

	AMHS Capability	AMHS Interconnection	AIDC/OLDI Capability	AIDC/OLDI Implementation	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
Bahrain-					
Egypt-					
Iran					
Iraq					
Jordan					
Kuwait					
Lebanon					
Libya					
Oman					
Qatar					
Saudi Arabia					
Sudan					
Syria					
UAE					
Yemen					

Monitoring the AMHS supports B0-FICE

	Bahrain-OB	Egypt-HE	Iran-OI	Iraq-OR	Jordan-OJ	Kuwait-OK	Lebanon-OL	Libya-HL	Oman-OO	Qatar-OT	Saudi Arabia-	Sudan-HS	Syria-OS	UAE-OM	Yemen-OY
AMHS Capability	A	AI	CS	CS	AI	CS	A	A	AI	AI	AI	A	NI	AI	NI
Interconnection	NO	O	NO	NO	O	NO	NO	NO	O	O	O	NO	NI	O	NI
Legend:															
A Available	**I** Implemented		**CS** Contract Signed		**NI** No Information		**NO** Not Operational			**O** Operational					

B0 – DATM: Service Improvement through Digital Aeronautical Information Management

Description and purpose

The initial introduction of digital processing and management of information, through aeronautical information service (AIS)/aeronautical information management (AIM) implementation, use of aeronautical information exchange model (AIXM), migration to electronic aeronautical information publication (AIP) and better quality and availability of data.

Applicability

Applicable at State level, to all States

Scope

The Global Air Traffic Management Operational Concept presented in ICAO Doc 9854 depends upon a System Wide Information Management (SWIM). The management, utilization and transmission of data and information are vital to the proper functioning of the ATM system and are at the core of air navigation services.

As part of SWIM, AIM is required to support evolving requirements for, inter alia, collaborative decision making (CDM), performance-based navigation (PBN), ATM system interoperability, network-centred information exchange, and to take advantage of improved aircraft capabilities.

In the short- to medium-term, the focus is on the continuing transition of the services provided by aeronautical information services (AIS) from a product-centred, paper-based and manually transacted focus to a digitally-enabled, network-centred and service-oriented aeronautical information management (AIM) focus. AIM envisages a migration to a data centric environment where aeronautical data will be provided in a digital form and in a managed way. This can be regarded as the first step of SWIM implementation, which is based on common data models and data exchange formats. The next (long-term) SWIM step implies the re-thinking of the data services in terms of a "network" perspective.
The transition to AIM requires that all aeronautical information, including that currently held in AIP be stored as individual digital standardized data sets to be accessed by user applications. The distribution of these data sets will both enhance the quality of output and ultimately provide a platform for new applications. This will constitute the future integrated aeronautical information package that will contain the minimum regulatory requirement to ensure the flow of information necessary for the safety, regularity and efficiency of international air navigation.

The transition from AIS to AIM will have to, inter-alia:

a) support or facilitate the generation and distribution of aeronautical information which serves to improve the safe and cost-effective accessibility of air traffic services in the world;
b) provide a foundation for measuring performance and outcomes linked to the distribution of quality assured aeronautical information and a better understanding of the determinants of ATM, safety and effectiveness not related to the distribution of the information; and
c) ensure, to the greatest extent possible, that solutions are internationally harmonized and integrated and do not unnecessarily impose multiple equipment carriage requirements for aircraft or multiple systems on the ground.

AIM requires all aeronautical information to be stored as datasets that can be accessed by user applications. The establishment and maintenance of an Integrated Aeronautical Information Database where datasets are integrated and used to produce current and future AIS/AIM products and services is a fundamental step in the transition to AIM.

Expected performance benefits

Access/Equity : N/A

Capacity : N/A

Efficiency : Reduced costs in terms of data inputs and checks, paper and post, especially when considering the overall data chain, from originators, through AIS to the end users

Environment : Reducing the time necessary to promulgate information concerning airspace status will allow for more effective airspace utilization and allow improvements in trajectory management

Safety: Reduction in the number of possible inconsistencies. Module allows reducing the number of manual entries and ensures consistency among data through automatic data checking based on commonly agreed business rules.

B0-DATM Implementation Roadblocks/Issues/Challenges

- Lack of electronic Database.
- Lack of electronic access based on Internet protocol services.
- Lack of procedures to allow airlines provide digital AIS data to on-board devices, in particular electronic flight bags (EFBs).
- Lack of training for AIS/AIM personnel

(List from ASBU Document, to be reviewed/customized by the Regions)

B0-DATM Elements/KPIs/Metrics

B0 – DATM: Service Improvement through Digital Aeronautical Information Management		
Element	**Key Performance Indicators**	**Supporting Metrics**
1-AIXM	% of States that have implemented an AIXM-based Integrated Aeronautical Information Database (IAID)	Number of States that have implemented an AIXM-based Integrated Aeronautical Information Database (IAID)
2-eAIP	% of States that have implemented an IAID driven AIP Production (eAIP)	Number of States that have implemented an IAID driven AIP Production (eAIP)
3-QMS	% of States that have implemented QMS for AIS/AIM	Number of States that have implemented QMS for AIS/AIM
4-WGS-84	% of States that have implemented WGS-84 as horizontal reference system	Number of States that have implemented WGS-84 as horizontal reference system

	% of States that have published the WGS-84 Geoid Undulation, in accordance with Annex 4 and Annex 15 provisions	Number of States that have published the WGS-84 Geoid Undulation, in accordance with Annex 4 and Annex 15 provisions
5-eTOD	% of States that have implemented required Terrain datasets	Number of States that have implemented required Terrain datasets
	% of States that have implemented required Obstacle datasets	Number of States that have implemented required Obstacle datasets
6-Digital NOTAM*	Plan for the implementation of Digital NOTAM	

B0-DATM Enablers/Tables

In order to assist States in the planning for the transition from AIS to AIM in an expeditious manner, the following Tables, which provide more details than the standard ANRF, should be used:

1- **Table B0-DATM 3-1** sets out the requirements for the Provision of AIS/AIM products and services based on the Integrated Aeronautical Information Database (IAID). It reflects the transition from the current product centric AIS to data centric AIM. For the future digital environment it is important that the authoritative databases are clearly designated and such designation must be published for the users. This is achieved with the concept of the Integrated Aeronautical Information Database (IAID), a single access point for one or more authoritative databases (AIS, Terrain, Obstacles, AMDB, etc) for which the State is responsible. This Table will be used for the monitoring of the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) related to elements Nr. 1 and 2 of the Module B0-DATM.

2- **Table B0-DATM 3-2** sets out the requirements for aeronautical data quality. It will be used for the monitoring of the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) related to the element Nr. 3 of the Module B0-DATM.

3- **Table B0-DATM 3-3** sets out the requirements for the implementation of the World Geodetic System – 1984 (WGS-84).The requirement to use a common geodetic system remains essential to facilitate the exchange of data between different systems. The expression of all coordinates in the AIP and charts using WGS-84 is an important first step for the transition to AIM. This Table will be used for the monitoring of the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) related to the element Nr. 4 of the Module B0-DATM.

4- **Table B0-DATM 3-4-1** sets out the requirements for the provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Area 1 and Area 4. It will be used for the monitoring of the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) related to the element Nr. 5 of the Module B0-DATM.

5- **Table B0-DATM 3-4-2** sets out the requirements for the provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Area 2. It will be used for the monitoring of the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) related to the element Nr. 5 of the Module B0-DATM.

6- **Table B0-DATM 3-4-3** sets out the requirements for the provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Area 3 and implementation of Airport Mapping Databases (AMDB). It will be used for the monitoring of the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) related to the element Nr. 5 of the Module B0-DATM.

Table B0-DATM 3-1

Provision of AIS/AIM products and services based on the Integrated Aeronautical Information Database (IAID)

EXPLANATION OF THE TABLE

Column:

1 Name of the State or territory for which the provision of AIS/AIM products and services based on the IAID is required.

2 Requirement for the implementation and designation of the authoritative IAID, shown by:
FI – Fully Implemented
PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not Implemented
Note 1 — The IAID of a State is a single access point for one or more databases (AIS, Terrain, Obstacles, AMDB, etc). The minimum set of databases which should be integrated is defined in Annex 15.
Note 2 — Information providing detail of "PI" should be given in the Remarks column (the implemented components of the IAID).
Note 3 — The information related to the designation of the authoritative IAID should be published in the AIP (GEN 3.1)

3 Requirement for an IAID driven AIP production, shown by:
FC – Fully compliant (eAIP: Text, Tables and Charts)
PC – Partially compliant
NC – Not compliant
Note 4 — AIP production includes, production of AIP, AIP Amendments and AIP Supplements

4 Requirement for an IAID driven NOTAM production, shown by:
FC – Fully Compliant
NC – Not compliant

5 Requirement for an IAID driven SNOWTAM production, shown by:
FC – Fully Compliant
NC – Not compliant

6 Requirement for an IAID driven PIB production, shown by:
FC – Fully compliant
NC – Not compliant

7 Requirement for Charting systems to be interoperable with the IAID, shown by:
FC – Fully compliant
PC – Partially compliant
NC – Not compliant

8 Requirement for Procedure design systems to be interoperable with the IAID, shown by:
FI – Fully Implemented
PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not Implemented

Note 5 — full implementation includes the use of the IAID for the design of the procedures and for the storage of the encoded procedures in the IAID

9 Requirement for ATS systems to be interoperable with the IAID, shown by:

FI – Fully Implemented

PI – Partially Implemented

NI – Not Implemented

10 Action Plan — short description of the State's Action Plan with regard to the provision of AIM products and services based on the IAID, especially for items with a "PC", "PI", "NC" or "NI" status, including planned date(s) of full compliance, as appropriate.

11 Remarks — additional information, including detail of "PC", "NC", "PI" and "NI", as appropriate.

TABLE B0-DATM-3-1

Provision of AIS/AIM products and services based on the Integrated Aeronautical Information Database (IAID)

State	IAID	AIP	NOTAM	SNOWTAM	PIB	Charting	Procedure Design	ATS	Action Plan	Remarks
1	*2*	*3*	*4*	*5*	*6*	*7*	*8*	*9*	*10*	*11*
BAHARAIN										
EGYPT										
IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF										
IRAQ										
JORDAN										
KUWAIT										
LEBANON										
LIBYA										
OMAN										
QATAR										
SAUDI ARABIA										
SUDAN										
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC										
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES										
YEMEN										

Table B0-DATM-3-2
Aeronautical Data Quality

EXPLANATION OF THE TABLE

Column:

1 Name of the State or territory.

2 Compliance with the requirement for implementation of QMS for Aeronautical Information Services including safety and security objectives, shown by:

FC – Fully compliant

PC – Partially compliant
NC – Not compliant

3 Compliance with the requirement for the establishment of formal arrangements with approved data originators concerning aeronautical data quality, shown by:

FC – Fully compliant
PC – Partially compliant
NC – Not compliant

4 Implementation of digital data exchange with originators, shown by:

FI – Implemented
PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not implemented

Note 1 — Information providing detail of "PI" and "NI" should be given in the Remarks column (percentage of implementation).

5 Compliance with the requirement for metadata, shown by:

FC – Fully compliant
PC – Partially compliant
NC – Not compliant

6 Compliance with the requirements related to aeronautical data quality monitoring (accuracy, resolution, timeliness, completeness), shown by:

FC – Fully compliant
PC – Partially compliant
NC – Not compliant

7 Compliance with the requirements related to aeronautical data integrity monitoring, shown by:

FC – Fully compliant
PC – Partially compliant
NC – Not compliant

8 Compliance with the requirements related to the AIRAC adherence, shown by:

FC – Fully compliant
PC – Partially compliant
NC – Not compliant

9 Action Plan — short description of the State's Action Plan with regard to aeronautical data quality requirements implementation, especially for items with a "PC", "PI", "NC" or "NI" status, including planned date(s) of full compliance, as appropriate.

10 Remarks — additional information, including detail of "PC", "NC", "PI" and "NI", as appropriate.

TABLE B0-DATM-3-2
Aeronautical Data Quality

State	QMS	Establishment of formal agreements	Digital data exchange with originators	Metadata	Data quality monitoring	Data integrity monitoring	AIRAC adherence	Action Plan	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
BAHARAIN	FC						FC		
EGYPT	FC						FC		
IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF	FC						FC		
IRAQ	NC						FC		
JORDAN	FC						FC		
KUWAIT	FC						FC		
LEBANON	NC						FC		
LIBYA	NC						NC		
OMAN	PC						FC		
QATAR	FC						FC		
SAUDI ARABIA	FC						FC		
SUDAN	NC						FC		
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	NC						NC		
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	FC						FC		
YEMEN	NC						NC		

Table B0-DATM-3-3

World Geodetic System-1984 (WGS-84)

EXPLANATION OF THE TABLE

Column:

1 Name of the State or territory for which implementation of WGS-84 is required.

2 Compliance with the requirements for implementation of WGS-84 for FIR and Enroute points, shown by:
- FC – Fully compliant
- PC – Partially compliant
- NC – Not compliant

3 Compliance with the requirements for implementation of WGS-84 for Terminal Areas (arrival, departure and instrument approach procedures), shown by:
- FC – Fully compliant
- PC – Partially compliant
- NC – Not compliant

4 Compliance with the requirements for implementation of WGS-84 for Aerodrome, shown by:
- FC – Fully compliant
- PC – Partially compliant
- NC – Not compliant

5 Compliance with the requirements for implementation of Geoid Undulation, shown by:
- FC – Fully compliant
- PC – Partially compliant
- NC – Not compliant

6 Action Plan — short description of the State's Action Plan with regard to WGS-84 implementation, especially for items with a "PC", "PI", "NC" or "NI" status, including planned date(s) of full compliance, as appropriate.

7 Remarks — additional information, including detail of "PC" and "NC", as appropriate.

TABLE B0-DATM-3-3
World Geodetic System-1984 (WGS-84)

State	FIR/ENR	Terminal	AD	GUND	Action Plan	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
BAHARAIN	FC	FC	FC	FC		
EGYPT	FC	FC	FC	FC		
IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF	FC	NC	FC	FC		
IRAQ	PC	PC	PC	NC		
JORDAN	FC	FC	FC	FC		
KUWAIT	FC	FC	FC	FC		
LEBANON	FC	FC	FC	NC		
LIBYA	PC	PC	NC	NC		
OMAN	FC	FC	FC	FC		
QATAR	FC	FC	FC	FC		
SAUDI ARABIA	FC	FC	FC	FC		
SUDAN	FC	FC	FC	FC		
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	FC	FC	FC	NC		
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	FC	FC	FC	FC		
YEMEN	FC	FC	FC	FC		

Table B0-DATM-3-4-1
Provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Areas 1 and 4

EXPLANATION OF THE TABLE

Column

1 Name of the State or territory for which Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Areas 1 and 4 are required.

2 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Terrain data sets for Area 1, shown by:
FC – Fully Compliant
PC – Partially Compliant
NC – Not Compliant

3 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Terrain data sets for Area 4, shown by:
FC – Fully Compliant
PC – Partially Compliant
NC – Not Compliant

4 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Obstacle data sets for Area 1, shown by:
FC – Fully Compliant
PC – Partially Compliant
NC – Not Compliant

5 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Obstacle data sets for Area 4, shown by:
FC – Fully Compliant
PC – Partially Compliant
NC – Not Compliant

6 Action plan — short description of the State's Action Plan with regard to compliance with the requirements for provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Areas 1 and 4, especially for items with a "PC" or "NC" status, including planned date(s) of full compliance, as appropriate.

7 Remarks— additional information, including detail of "PC" and "NC", as appropriate.

TABLE B0-DATM-3-4-1

Provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Areas 1 and 4

State	Terrain data sets		Obstacle data sets		Action Plan	Remarks
	Area 1	Area 4	Area 1	Area 4		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
BAHARAIN	FC	FC	FC	FC		
EGYPT	FC	FC	PC			
IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF	PC	NC	PC	NC		
IRAQ	NC	NC	NC	NC		
JORDAN	NC	NC	NC	NC		
KUWAIT	NC	NC	NC	NC		
LEBANON	NC	NC	NC	NC		
LIBYA	NC	NC	NC	NC		
OMAN	NC	NC	NC	NC		
QATAR	FC	FC	FC	FC		
SAUDI ARABIA	FC	FC	FC	FC		
SUDAN	NC	NC	NC	NC		
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	NC	NC	NC	NC		
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	FC	FC	FC	FC		
YEMEN	NC	NC	NC	NC		

Table B0-DATM-3-4-2
Provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Area 2

EXPLANATION OF THE TABLE

Column

1 Name of the State or territory for which Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Area 2 are required.

2 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Terrain data sets for Area 2a, shown by:
FC – Fully Compliant
PC – Partially Compliant
NC – Not Compliant

3 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Terrain data sets for Area 2b, shown by:
FI – Fully Implemented
PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not implemented
N/A – Not Applicable

4 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Terrain data sets for Area 2c, shown by:
FI – Fully Implemented
PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not Implemented
N/A – Not Applicable

5 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Terrain data sets for Area 2d, shown by:
FI – Fully Implemented
PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not Implemented
N/A – Not Applicable

6 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Obstacle data sets for Area 2a, shown by:
FC – Fully Compliant
PC – Partially Compliant
NC – Not Compliant

7 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Obstacle data sets for Area 2b, shown by:
FI – Fully Implemented
PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not implemented
N/A – Not Applicable

8 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Obstacle data sets for Area 2c, shown by:
FI – Fully Implemented

PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not Implemented
N/A – Not Applicable

9 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Obstacle data sets for Area 2d, shown by:

FI – Fully Implemented
PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not Implemented
N/A – Not Applicable

10 Action plan — short description of the State's Action Plan with regard to compliance with the requirements for provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Area 2, especially for items with a "PC", "PI", "NC" or "NI" status.

11 Remarks— additional information, including detail of "PC", "PI" and "NC", "NI", as appropriate.

TABLE B0-DATM-3-4-2

Provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Area 2

State	Terrain data sets				Obstacle data sets				Action Plan	Remarks
	Area 2a	Area 2b	Area 2c	Area 2d	Area 2a	Area 2b	Area 2c	Area 2d		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
BAHARAIN										
EGYPT										
IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF										
IRAQ										
JORDAN										
KUWAIT										
LEBANON										
LIBYA										
OMAN										
QATAR										
SAUDI ARABIA										
SUDAN										
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC										
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES										
YEMEN										

Table B0-DATM-3-4-3
Provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Area 3 and Airport Mapping Databases (AMDB)

EXPLANATION OF THE TABLE

Column

1 Name of the State or territory for which Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Area 3 and AMDB are required.

2 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Terrain data sets for Area 3, shown by:
FI – Fully Implemented
PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not Implemented
N/A – Not Applicable

3 Compliance with requirement for the provision of Obstacle data sets for Area 3, shown by:
FI – Fully Implemented
PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not Implemented
N/A – Not Applicable

4 Implementation of AMDB, shown by:
FI – Fully Implemented
PI – Partially Implemented
NI – Not Implemented
N/A – Not Applicable

5 Action plan — short description of the State's Action Plan with regard to compliance with the requirements for provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Area 3 and AMDB implementation, especially for items with a "PC", "PI", "NC" or "NI" status.

6 Remarks— additional information, including detail of "PI" and "NI", as appropriate.

TABLE B0-DATM-3-4

Provision of Terrain and Obstacle data sets for Area 3 and Airport Mapping Databases (AMDB)

State	Terrain data sets (Area 3)	Obstacle data sets (Area 3)	AMDB	Action Plan	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
BAHARAIN					
EGYPT					
IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF					
IRAQ					
JORDAN					
KUWAIT					
LEBANON					
LIBYA					
OMAN					
QATAR					
SAUDI ARABIA					
SUDAN					
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC					
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES					
YEMEN					

B0 – AMET: Meteorological information supporting enhanced operational efficiency and safety

The MET SG/5 meeting updated the Draft MID Region Air Navigation Strategy parts related to B0-AMET, including the agreement on the performance targets that measure the implementation of SADIS 2G and Secure SADIS FTP as well as QMS. The implementation of these elements and consideration to other elements (e.g. Meteorological Watch Offices, OPMET availability) could form the basis of Volume III of the electronic Air Navigation Plan.

B0 – FRTO: Improved Operations through Enhanced Enroute Trajectories

(Monitoring results as of November 2014)

Applicability State	FUA Implemented	Total number of ATS Routes	Total number of required routes to be implemented through segregated areas	number of routes that are NOT implemented due military restrictions (segregated areas)	Remarks
Bahrain	Yes	50	5	0	example
Egypt	No	49	4	2	example
Iran	No	130	10	4	example
Iraq	No	55	6	4	example
Jordan	Yes	16	5	5	example
Lebanon	Yes				
Libya	No				
Kuwait	No				
Oman	No				
Qatar	Yes				
Saudi Arabia	Yes				
Sudan	Yes				
Syria	No				
Unite Arab Emirates	Yes				
Yemen	No				
Total for the Region	9	300	30	15	example
Percentage	60		10%	50%	example

- END -