Case Study: Considerations for Implementation of Amendment 1, Doc 4444 in the United States

Workshop on the ICAO 2012 Flight Plan Provisions (2012 FPL) Nairobi, Kenya 14-15 February 2011



Overview

- Assembling a Focus Team
- Identification of Affected Systems
- Analysis of System Changes
- Identification of Operational Impacts
- Formulation of a Transition Plan
- Harmonization with Adjacent ANSPs
- Execution of Test Strategy
- Communication with Users/Filers

Assembling a Focus Team

- Consider expertise needed for success
 - Engineering
 - Operational
 - Organizational
 - Flight data & flight planning
- Educate team members
 - Amendment 1 & ICAO Transition Guidance
 - Regional transition guidelines & strategies
- Set regular meetings, timelines & due dates
- Name participant to regional task forces

Identification of Affected Systems

- List systems which may be affected
 - Internal (owned), external (contracted), user/filer
- Determine system category
 - Filers: Individuals/organizations that file an FPL/ATS message
 - Flight Planning Services: Systems that send an FPL/ATS message over AFTN to an FDP System Examples: flight service organizations, commercial services
 - FDP Systems: Flight data processing systems that accept & process an FPL/ATS message for ATC purposes
 - Flight Data Users: Systems that receive data derived from an FPL/ATS message, but do not directly receive an FPL/ATS message

Analysis of System Changes

- **Step 1**: Evaluate each system using "Implementation Guidance and Checklist"
- Overview: How to use the spreadsheet
- Guidance: Describes 27 substantive changes (non-editorial) in Amendment 1 with probable impacts to each system category
- Checklist: Spreadsheet with impact questions to answer on the 27 substantive changes
- Detailed changes: All 150 changes mapped to the 27 substantive changes or to editorial
- A/C Perf Cat: Description of ICAO aircraft performance category (info only)

Analysis of System Changes (cont.)

Step 2: Use "Detailed Changes" tab

- Filter on change being evaluated
- Shows each line-by-line amendment relating to change

- **Step 3**: Tabulate information on the checklist spreadsheet or other means
- Use "Checklist" tab to enter evaluation results

Identification of Operational Impacts

- Assess impacts to procedures
 - Pilot/controller phraseology
 - ATC-to-ATC coordination
- Computer Human Interface (CHI) for input of NEW data
 - Flight plan entry/correction
- Develop information for incorporation into publications
 - AIP, Regulations, etc.
- Training for controllers, flight data units, pilots
 & other affected personnel

Formulation of a Transition Plan

- How will you:
 - Harmonize implementation in multiple systems?
 - Harmonize with adjacent ANSP systems?
 - Plan & test changes prior to transition implementation?
- Will software delivery occur once, or does it need to be grouped into phases?
 - Software with format changes to allow testing
 - Software to translate NEW to PRESENT
 - Software to accept messages up to 120 hours in advance

Harmonization with Adjacent ANSPs

- Implementation dates
 - All-at-once?
 - Phases?
- Testing
 - System testing
 - Interface testing
- Translation table for NEW to PRESENT
 - FPLs & CPLs
 - Associated Messages
- Advance acceptance of messages



FAA Inter-Regional Coordination

- Task Forces
 - FAA/NavCanada Bilateral TF (N12)
 - FAA/SENEAM Bilateral TF (S12)
 - Asia/Pacific Region: ICAO Flight Plan & ATS Messages Implementation (FPL&AM/TF)
 - European Region: 2012 Task Force (2012 FPL TF)
 - Caribbean/South America Region: CAR/SAM Project C3, Implement the new ICAO flight plan format
 - North Atlantic Region: EANPG, NAT IPG
- Technical support & information exchange
 - Middle East Region: ICAO New Flight Plan Format Study Group (INFPL SG)

Inter-Regional Transition Strategy

Phased transition approach

- Uses internal system, ANSP-to-ANSP & user testing to mitigate risk of single-day global transition
- ➤ Phase 1: January to March 2012 *
 - ANSP software delivery & internal testing
- ➤ Phase 2: April to June 2012
 - ANSP external testing & implementation
- ➤ Phase 3: July to November 15, 2012
 - Airspace users testing & implementation

* NOTE: FAA software delivery by end of 2011 due to testing complexities



Execution of Test Strategy

- If multiple systems are involved, critical to test internal system compatibility
- Determine detailed test procedures & schedules for external systems interface testing
- Provide testing opportunities for filers/users to test their system changes
 - Manual review to assure format understood
 - Submission of messages to test system
 - May need to test in the "live" environment

Communication with Users/Filers

- Provide forum for issue discussion & questions
 - Telcons
 - Website
 - Briefings
- Provide education on changes & impacts
 - Provisions of Amendment 1
- Communicate Transition Plans
 - ICAO Transition Guidance
 - User/filer choices for NEW or PRESENT
 - Regional Transition Strategies
 - ANSP Transition Plan

Questions?

Diane Bodenhamer 202-385-8490 diane.bodenhamer@faa.gov

-or-

Ray Ahlberg 202-385-8290 ray.ahlberg@faa.gov