



*International Civil Aviation Organization*

**Second Meeting of the Southeast Asia Route Review Task Force  
(SEA-RR/TF/2)**

Bangkok, Thailand, 22 – 26 March 2010

---

**Agenda Item 4: Review Current Operations across South-East Asia and Identify Problem Areas**

**FORMATION OF DEDICATED SMALL WORKING GROUPS**

(Presented by the Secretariat)

**SUMMARY**

The purpose of this working paper is to suggest the formation of Small Working Groups (SWGs) of the SEA-RR/TF. This will allow more than one item to be studied and discussed by States and International organizations that have a particular interest in the subject matter by the particular SWG.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

1.1 The meeting is advised that, on many occasions where a particular Task Force is formed, there are usually many diverse issues to be discussed and agreed to which, in the first instance, does not necessarily require the Task Force as a whole to spend valuable time on the one subject.

1.2 The meeting would recall that, at the 1<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEA-RR/TF, this concept was raised and there appeared to be no objection in using this tool when and if required.

**2. DISCUSSION**

2.1 The name of the Task Force, Southeast Asia Route Review, opens many areas for discussion. Amongst these are subjects such as:

- a) Introduction of RNAV RNP 10 longitudinal separation outside VHF coverage;
- b) Unidirectional RNAV routes on tracks crossing the major traffic flow SW/NE;
- c) Unidirectional RNAV routes to replace A201 and A1 from Bangkok to Hong Kong and the matters which need to be addressed in the strategic plan for this proposal to successfully proceed;
- d) Data collection and analysis on most project items to ensure that qualified data indicates a reason to proceed;

- e) Necessary safety related issues which are required to be addressed before implementation; and,
- f) Realistic target dates to complete all projects within the overall framework of the SEA-RR/Task Force.

2.2 Taking all these matters into consideration, the meeting is invited to discuss a logical and effective method of combining this work into a sustainable package. It is recommended that where a subject can be separated from most other items, a small working group consisting of states concerned, along with international organizations where required, take the time to look in detail at the work required and when completed to the SWG's satisfaction, bring to the task Force for analysis and evaluation prior to agreement of the Task Force.

2.3 There may be periods where one group requires input from another group on a specific issue. This can be arranged by working together on the matter until resolved, then going back to respective groups to complete their allotted tasks.

### 3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

3.1 Taking into consideration the many variable tasks required to complete a full route review by the task force, the meeting is invited to:

- a) Establish the necessary SWGs as and when required;
- b) Due to the nature of the SWGs, their work should be specific and time driven to achieve the desired result. When the work of the SWG is considered completed, the SWG will be suspended;
- c) Records of the SWG should be reported back to the Task Force in the form of "Summary of Discussions of SWG xxx".

.....