



International Civil Aviation Organization

**FOURTEENTH MEETING OF THE  
COMMUNICATIONS/NAVIGATION/SURVEILLANCE  
AND METEOROLOGY SUB-GROUP OF  
APANPIRG (CNS/MET SG/14)**



Jakarta, Indonesia, 19 – 22 July 2010

**Agenda Item 16: Review and update Regional Performance Framework Objectives and forms:**

**1) CNS related Performance Framework Forms**

**PERFORMANCE-BASED APPROACH AND MEASUREMENT  
AND CNS RELATED PFF**

(Presented by the Secretariat)

**SUMMARY**

One of the key aspects of the performance based approach is the development of regional performance objectives with measurable outcomes and associated metrics. This will facilitate regional and global management. This paper reviews an initial set of metrics adopted by APANPIRG/20 and provides updated Performance Framework Forms in the CNS fields for review by the meeting.

This paper relates to

**Strategic Objectives:**

- A: Safety – Enhance global civil aviation safety
- C: Environmental Protection – Minimize the adverse effect of global civil aviation on the environment
- D: Efficiency – Enhance the efficiency of aviation operations
- E: Continuity – Maintain the continuity of aviation operations

**Global Plan Initiatives:**

All GPIs

**1. Introduction**

1.1 The performance-based approach to planning stems from requirements associated with the results based environment that ICAO, industry and States have been steadily moving toward. The ICAO *Global ATM Operational Concept* (Doc 9854) provides a clear statement of the expectations of the Air Traffic Management (ATM) Community. Eleven of these expectations also referred to as key performance areas (KPAs), have been identified in the operational concept. To support this approach, the *Manual on Global Performance of the Air Navigation System* (Doc 9883) was developed. Doc 9883 provides a step by step approach to performance-based planning on the basis of the KPAs identified in the operational concept.

1.2 Assessment of achievements must be periodically checked through performance reviews, which in turn require adequate performance measurement and data collection capabilities.

Putting in place a performance-based approach requires knowledge sharing, training and some specific expertise. As the work effort is challenging, requiring a globally coordinated effort, the aviation community is encouraged to follow a common approach toward development and implementing a performance-based approach to safety of aviation and air navigation system planning and implementation. It was recognized that data collection, processing, storage and reporting were fundamental to the performance-based approach.

## 2. Discussion

2.1 APANPIRG/20, through Conclusion 20/4 adopted following metrics as a part of Asia/Pacific regional performance monitoring and measurement as proposed by ATM/AIS/SAR SG/19 meeting. States are expected to collect and process data to support the regional metrics and report to APANPIRG/21.

**APAC Safety-1:** Percentage of RMA sub-regions meeting the regional Target Level of Safety (TLS) for RVSM operations, referenced as of end April each year

**APAC Efficiency-2:** Percentage of instrument runway ends with an approach procedure with vertical guidance (APV), (BARO-VNAV and/or augmented GNSS) either as the primary approach or as a back-up for precision approaches

**APAC Efficiency-3:** Percentage of en-route and terminal PBN routes implemented on a sub-regional basis in accordance with the regional PBN plan

**APAC Efficiency-4:** Average delay for departures at State's primary international airports for the busiest hour on a weekly basis

2.1.1 ATM/AIS/SAR SG/20 noted that States were required to provide a large number of data making it more difficult to report such information and IATA expressed need to indicate number of measurements that would impact specific benefits based on the outcome of PBN TF/6.

2.1.2 It was recalled that CNS/MET SG/13 discussed the proposed metrics in particular APAC Efficiency-4 and considered it necessary to further develop the harmonized methodology for measurement once the Metrics are adopted by APANPIRG because the delay could be because of various reasons.

2.1.3 The Secretariat drew to the attention of ATM/AIS/SAR SG/20 meeting of the above concern. The meeting was of the view that data on metrics should be readily available and guidance would be needed on the methodology. It was recognized that a common set of performance metrics for all the regions could be available and States would carry on following the existing four APAC metrics. As result of discussion ATM/AIS/SAR SG/20 developed a draft Conclusion to invite ICAO to develop a common set of performance metrics for all the ICAO regions so as to facilitate comparative analysis and establish the globally harmonised guidance on methodology of how to collect the data in order to achieve commonality.

2.1.3 NAT SPG/46 held in end of June considered the need to have a clearly defined common approach to performance monitoring and measurement and the need to agree on a uniform set of metrics. The NAT SPG acknowledged the need to identify a suitable set of metrics related to key performance areas of: access, capacity, cost effectiveness, efficiency, environment, flexibility, predictability and safety. These metrics would then be incorporated into a performance monitoring process. The NAT SPG developed following Conclusion:

***NAT SPG Conclusion 46/1 - NAT Region Performance Metrics***

*That the NAT Implementation Management Group (NAT IMG), in coordination with the NAT Economic and Financial Group (NAT EFG):*

- a) identify appropriate Key Performance Indicators (KPI) to measure NAT Region performance in the Key Performance Areas (KPA) of access, capacity, cost- effectiveness, efficiency, environment, flexibility, predictability and safety;*
- b) determine reporting mechanisms for the KPIs and the associated potential economical impact; and*
- c) report to NAT SPG/47.*

2.2 APANPIRG/20 reviewed adopted the five Regional Performance Objective in the CNS fields and associated performance framework Forms (PFFs) though Conclusion 20/2. The PFFs are attached for review and updates by the meeting.

2.3 APANPIRG/20 also adopted Conclusion 20/3 to encourage States to use the similar template format from the regional objectives as the basis for their national objectives to align with Regional & National performance Objectives.

**3. Action required by the Meeting**

3.1 The meeting is invited to note the information provided in this paper and review and update PFFs in the CNS fields as provided in the Attachment to this paper.

-----

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

**ASIA/PACIFIC REGION**

**PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK FORM**  
(REGIONAL)

*Amended by ATNICG/5 in May 2010*

<b>REGIONAL PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: - <u>APAC Objective 8</u></b>				
<b>IMPLEMENTATION OF AERONUTICAL TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORK (ATN) FOR GROUND – GROUND COMMUNICATION NETWORK</b>				
<b>Benefits</b>				
<b>Safety</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Will provide reliable means of communication for Air Navigation Services, with the provision of automatic switching capability, in the event of failure of current media</li> </ul>			
<b>Efficiency</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Routers will have the capability of choosing between different media based on defined criteria.</li> <li>Multiplicity of protocols used for different communication requirements will be avoided;</li> <li>Provision for lower case characters and graphic message included;</li> </ul>			
<b>Strategy</b>				
<b>Implementation strategy, short term (2009-2012)</b>				
<b>ATM OC COMPONENTS</b>	<b>TASKS</b>	<b>TIME FRAME</b>	<b>RESPONSIBILITY</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
<b>SDM</b> (ATM Service Delivery Management)	Ensure implementation of Ground to Ground Aeronautical Telecommunication Network (ATN) in the Asia and Pacific Regions			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Review the ATN Implementation Strategy</u>, revise it when necessary taking into account the current developments.</li> </ul>	2010	ATNICG.	The strategy to be reviewed and updated by ATNICG/5 Meeting scheduled to be held from 31 May to 4 June 2010
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Review the Status of implementation of ATN at the Backbone Boundary Intermediate System hubs</u></li> </ul>	2010	ATNICG	ATNICG to review the progress of ATN Implementation in its Fifth Meeting
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>States hosting Backbone Boundary Intermediate Stations to organize Testing of their system on bilateral basis</u></li> </ul>	2010	States hosting Backbone Boundary Intermediate Systems	States to report the outcome of pre-operational trials/tests carried out by them at the ATNICG/5 meeting
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Implementation of AMHS Directory Service</u>. Availability of off-line support by Eurocontrol AMC considered essential for the efficient management of AMHS Addresses. ICAO HQ has directed the States to register the operating personnel with AMC.</li> </ul>	2010	ICAO Asia/Pacific Office, Aerothai.	Progress made in the registration of operators with AMC and entering of data into AMC to be reviewed by ATNICG/5

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>States hosting Backbone Boundary Intermediate System hubs to implement dual stack ATN</u> (ATN over OSI and ATN over IPS). APANPIRG, through Conclusion 19/20 urges States to complete the implementation of dual stack ATN by 2011</li> </ul>	2011	Asia and Pacific Region States hosting Backbone Boundary Intermediate Systems	States hosting BBIS hubs have been reminded of APANPIRG Conclusion 19/20 and urged to complete the installation by 2011
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Completion of Networking with the BIS States</u></li> </ul>	2012	Asia and Pacific Regions States	Some States started implementation and conducted operational trials
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Review if implementation objectives have been met.</u></li> </ul>	2009 - 2012	ATNICG	ATNICG to periodically review the status and direction in which the implementation is progressing and to ensure that the implementation efforts are leading towards the defined objectives
<b>GPIs</b>	GPI/17: Data link applications, GPI/22: Communication infrastructure			
<b>References</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Annex 10, Aeronautical Telecommunications, Volume III (Part I – Digital Data Communication Systems)</i></li> <li>• <i>Manual on Detailed Technical Specifications for the Aeronautical Telecommunications Network (ATN) using ISO/OSI (Doc 9880)</i></li> <li>• <i>ICAO Aeronautical Telecommunication Network (ATN) Manual for ATN using IPS Standards and Protocols (Doc 9896)</i></li> <li>• <i>Manual on Required Communication Performance (Doc 9869)</i></li> <li>• <i>Comprehensive Aeronautical Telecommunication Network (ATN) Manual (Doc 9739)</i></li> <li>• <i>Manual of Technical Provisions for the Aeronautical Telecommunication Network (Doc 9705)</i></li> <li>• <i>Regional Implementation guidance materials adopted by APANPIRG</i></li> </ul>			

**ASIA/PACIFIC REGION**

**PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK FORM  
(REGIONAL)  
ASIA/PACIFIC REGION**

**PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK FORM  
(REGIONAL)**

*(to be amended July 2010)*

<b>REGIONAL PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: <u>APAC Objective 9</u></b>				
<b>ENHANCED COMMUNICATIONS AND SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITY IN OCEANIC AREAS</b>				
<b>Benefits</b>				
<b>Environment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• reductions in fuel consumption and gaseous emissions as a result of efficiency gains;</li> </ul>			
<b>Safety</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• improved monitoring of airspace will result in safety enhancement</li> </ul>			
<b>Efficiency</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• facilitate utilization of advanced technologies (e.g. area navigation, UPRs, DARPs) and ATC decision support tools (e.g., vertical and lateral adherence monitors, short and medium term conflict detection), thereby enhancing safety and increasing efficiency.</li> <li>• enable aircraft to conduct flight more closely to preferred trajectories;</li> <li>• increase airspace capacity by enabling implementation of RASM using data link;</li> </ul>			
<b>Strategy Short term (2009-2011)</b>				
<b>ATM OC COMPONENTS</b>	<b>TASKS</b>	<b>TIME FRAME</b>	<b>RESPONSIBILITY</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
<b>AOM</b> <i>(Airspace Organisation and Management)</i>  <b>CM</b> <i>(Conflict Management)</i>  <b>AUO</b> <i>(Airspace Users Operations)</i>	Improve provision of satellite based communications and surveillance capabilities to enable FANS 1/A data link (ADS-C, CPDLC) to RNP 4 and RCP 240 specifications.			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• codify/quantify existing anecdotal information and combine with available end-to-end system performance data. to summarise current satellite data link performance;</li> </ul>	2009	Regional ANSPS, operators, FITS, CRAs. Communications Service providers (CSP)	Reported to Satellite Operational Continuity Meeting (SOCM), Bangkok, Thailand, August 2009

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• identify non conformities in current satellite data link performance against; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ specifications in Global Operations Data Link Document (GOLD);</li> <li>○ specifications in RCP Manual (Doc 9869); and</li> <li>○ specifications in Oceanic SPR)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2009	Regional ANSPs, operators, FITS, CRAs.	reviewed status and identify issues at Satellite Operational Continuity Meeting (SOCM), August 2009
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• provide summary information on non conformities in current satellite data link performance to all affected parties in the end-to-end communications chain.</li> </ul>	2009	Satellite Operational Continuity Meeting (SOCM) April 2009 to summarize and circulate information to affected parties, including CSP, Ground Earth Station (GES) providers, equipment suppliers and satellite service providers.	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• develop a regional strategy and work programme to identify/design suitable long term mitigations and solutions to non conformities that will enable continuous operational compliance with specifications for RNP4 and RCP 240.</li> </ul>	2010	Regional ANSPs, operators, FITS, CRAs, CSP, Ground Earth Station (GES) providers, equipment suppliers and satellite service providers.	The Satellite Communication Datalink Service has been improved since late 2009 to some extent. But still does not meet operational requirements satisfactorily.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a sample service level agreement for possible use by ANSPs</li> </ul>	2010	Regional ANSPs, operators, FITS, CRAs, CSP	Consider convening SOCM/2 to progress this work
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implement mitigations and solutions in accordance with timelines in regional strategy</li> </ul>	2010	Regional ANSPs, operators, FITS, CRAs, CSP, Ground Earth Station (GES) providers, equipment suppliers and satellite service providers.	State Letter dated 12 July 2010 issued conveying mitigation solution suggested by ICAO
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• monitor implementation progress</li> </ul>	2011	Regional FITS, CRAs provide feedback to all affected parties	Assess implementation of mitigation solution in the next SOCM meeting
<b>GPIs</b>	GPI/5: RNAV and RNP, GPI/7: dynamic and flexible ATS route management, GPI/17: data link applications and GPI/22: Communication Infrastructure;			

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

---

<b>References</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>Manual on Required Communication Performance (Doc 9869)</i></li><li>• <i>RTCA DO-306/EUROCAE ED-122, Safety and Performance Standard for Air Traffic Data Link Services in Oceanic and Remote Airspace (the “Oceanic SPR”)</i></li><li>• <i>FANS-1/A Operations Manual (FOM)</i></li><li>• <i>Global Operational Data Link Document (GOLD)</i></li><li>• <i>Guidance Material for End-to-End Safety and Performance Monitoring of Air Traffic Service (ATS) Data Link Systems in the Asia/Pacific Region</i></li><li>• <i>CEANS Report(2008) on ANS Infrastructure</i></li><li>• <i>APANPIRG Conclusion 19/24, 20/31, 20/32/20/33, 20/34 and 20/73</i></li></ul>
-------------------	---

**ASIA/PACIFIC REGION**

**PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK FORM  
(REGIONAL)**

*(amended 11 September 2009)*

<b>REGIONAL PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: <u>APAC Objective 11</u></b>				
<b>IMPLEMENTATION OF ATS INTER-FACILITY DATA COMMUNICATION (AIDC) IN ASIA/PACIFIC REGION</b>				
<b>Benefits</b>				
<b>Safety</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Will provide efficient and more reliable means of communication between ACCs in adjacent FIRs for the exchange of traffic coordination related operational messages.</li> <li>• Significantly reduce the coordination errors observed in controller to controller verbal communication across FIR boundaries thus enhance flight safety</li> </ul>			
<b>Efficiency</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased efficiency for air traffic handover between ATS units</li> <li>• Will improve ATS direct communication between ATS units along the major traffic</li> <li>• Will improve the speed and capacity ;</li> <li>• Will facilitate inter-automation systems communication.</li> </ul>			
<b>Strategy Short term (2009-2015)</b>				
ATM OC COMPONENTS	TASKS	TIME FRAME	RESPONSIBILITY	STATUS
<b>AOM</b> <i>(Airspace Organisation and Management)</i>  <b>CM</b> (Conflict management)  <b>SDM</b> (ATM service delivery management)	Facilitate implementation of ATS Inter-facility Data Communication in the Asia and Pacific Regions			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the Status of Implementation</li> </ul>	2009	ATNICG. ADS-B SITF	The status to reviewed and updated by ATNICG/4 and ADS-B SITF Meetings held in May 2009
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Review the Options available for the implementation of AIDC in the region. Discuss options adopted by different states.</li> </ul>	2009	ATNICG AEROTHAI	Options available were reviewed in ATNICG/4 meeting
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review implementation issues related to ATS automation systems and recommend methods of mitigating those issues</li> </ul>	2009	ADS-B SITF CNS/MET SG	The automation issues discussed in the ADS-B SIFT/8

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AIDC Seminar: A Seminar to be conducted to discuss various implementation issues and promote implementation</li> </ul>	2010	ICAO Asia/Pacific Office	Seminar to be conducted and scheduled from 12-13 Oct. 2010 in Bangkok
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop implementation strategy to decide whether to continue pursuing AFTN AIDC or to choose ATN AIDC over OSI or IPS</li> </ul>	2010	APANPIRG	ATN AIDC implementation deferred.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trials to be conducted. Monitoring mechanism to be developed</li> </ul>	2011	APANPIRG	State Letter be issued urging the States to expedite implementation and status to be monitored.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review to ensure implementation objectives are met.</li> </ul>	2009 - 2015	APANPIRG	APANPIRG to periodically review the status and direction in which the implementation is progressing and to ensure that the implementation efforts are leading towards the defined objectives
<b>GPIs</b>	GPI/17: Data link applications, GPI/22: Communication infrastructure			
<b>References</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Air Traffic Management</i> (Doc 4444)</li> <li>• <i>Manual of Air Traffic Services Data Link Applications</i> (Doc 9694)</li> <li>• <i>Manual of Technical Provisions for the Aeronautical Telecommunication Network</i> (Doc 9705)</li> <li>• <i>Asia/Pacific Regional Interface Control Document (ICD) for ATS Interfacility Data Communication (AIDC)</i></li> </ul>			

*(Amended in Jan. 2010 – SEA ADB WG)*

<b>REGIONAL PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: <u>APAC Objective 10</u></b>					
<b>IMPROVED SITUATIONAL AWARENESS AND SURFACE SURVEILLANCE- IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ADS-B TO GROUND SURVEILLANCE</b>					
<b>Benefits</b>					
<b>Environment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reductions in fuel consumption and subsequent lower gas emissions</li> </ul>				
<b>Efficiency</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased flexibility and flow of traffic operations</li> <li>• Ultimately, when performing <i>radar-like</i> control, potential redesign of airspace taking into account the application of reduced separation minima, integrate use of aircraft navigation and surveillance capability</li> </ul>				
<b>Safety</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introduction of surveillance in a non-radar environment</li> <li>• Support to search and rescue operations</li> </ul>				
<p><i>Strategy</i> <i>Medium Term (2011-2015)</i> <i>Short term (2010)</i></p>					
ATM OC COMPONENTS	TASKS	TIME FRAME STARTED	RESPONSIBILITY	STATUS	REMARKS
<b>AOM</b> <i>(Airspace Organization and Management)</i>  <b>CM</b> <i>(Conflict Management)</i>  <b>AUO</b> <i>(Airspace Users Operations)</i>	Implementation of ADS-B based surveillance service in the sub-regions.				
<b>ATM SDM ( ATM Service Delivery Management)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compare current technologies with respect to concept of operations, relative costing, technical and operational performance and maturity of alternative technology/solutions (primary, secondary radar including Mode-S, ADS-B, multilateration, ADS-C)</li> </ul>	2009	ADS-B Study and Implementation Task Force (ADS-B SITF)	In progress	<p style="text-align: center;">COMPLETED</p> <p>Regional Guidance material on comparison of technologies issued</p>

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop an implementation plan for near term ADS-B applications in the Asia Pacific Region including implementation target dates taking into account:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ available equipment standards;</li> <li>○ readiness of airspace users and ATS providers;</li> <li>○ identifying sub-regional areas (FIRs) where there is a positive cost/benefit outcome expected for near-term implementation of ADS-B OUT;</li> <li>○ developing a standardized and systematic task-list approach to ADS-B OUT implementation;</li> <li>and</li> <li>○ holding educational seminars and provide guidance material to educate States and airspace users on what is required to implement ADS-B OUT</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2009-10	ADS-B Study and Implementation Task Force	In progress	<p>The FASID Table CNS 4A and 4B – surveillance and ATM automation being updated; ADS-B Seminar conducted annually; potential sub-regions for using ADS-B identified; Requirement for avionics specification for the near term application are being developed based on AMC2024 and Australian CASA document.</p>
--	--	---------	---	-------------	---

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop Guidance Material to support harmonized regulation of ADS-B systems required on board the aircraft.</li> </ul>	2010	ADS-B Study and Implementation Task Force	To be started	<p>Forty Fifth DGCA Conference, through its Action Item 45/3 invited ICAO APANPIRG ADS-B Study and Implementation Task Force (ADS-B SITF) to develop the guidance material. Regulators Workshop is scheduled for August 2010.</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Study and identify applicable multilateration applications in the Asia and Pacific Region considering: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Concept of use/operations;</li> <li>- Required site and network architecture;</li> <li>- Expected surveillance coverage;</li> <li>Cost of system;</li> <li>Recommended separation minimas; and</li> <li>- If multilateration can be successfully integrated into an ADS-B OUT system for air traffic control</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2011	ADS-B Study and Implementation Task Force	In progress	<p>Concept of using multilateration has been developed; Some states have plan in place to introduce multilateration in particular &amp; integrate it with A-SMGCS and Terminal area and en-route surveillance application</p>

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Coordinate ADS-B implementation plan and concept of operations with other ICAO regions where ADS-B implementation is going on and with relevant external bodies such as EUROCONTROL, EUROCAE, RTCA and Industry.</li> </ul>	2013	ADS-B Study and Implementation Task Force	On- going	<p>Updated information on ADS-B in Europe and North American Regions is provided to Task Force Meeting annually; Some Industry representatives provide input at ADS-B Seminar and meetings</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop <b>Terms of Co-operation</b> for SEA which will include:</li> <li>• Establishing model documents for possible use by States when <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Agreeing to share ADS-B data and DCPC (such as VHF radio voice communication) capability between adjoining States for various ADS-B applications (including a sample letter of agreement); or</li> <li>- Establishing ADS-B avionics fitment mandates</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Identifying optimum coverage for ADS-B ground stations and associated VHF radio voice communication in the sub-regional FIR boundary areas.</li> </ul>	2011	South East Asia (SEA) Sub-Regional ADS-B Implementation Working Group	In progress	<p>Terms of co-operation developed; sample agreement of data sharing developed; Some location for ADS-B ground stations identified. CBA for SEA project has been completed; Implementation plan for Australia-Indonesia and South China Sea Data and VHF communication capacity sharing projects are being developed by the SEA ADS-B WG.</p>

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

	<p>Develop an <b>implementation plan</b> for near term ADS-B application in SEA which will deliver efficient airspace and increased safety on a regional basis that includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Schedule and priority dates to bring into effect ADS-B based services taking into account: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Timing of any equipage mandates;</li> <li>- Timing of any ATC automation upgrades to support ADS-B;</li> <li>- Timing of commissioning of any ADS-B data sharing and associated VHF radio voice communication facilities</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Consideration of major traffic flows:</li> </ul>	2013	South East Asia (SEA) Sub-Regional ADS-B Implementation Working Group	In progress	<p>Major traffic flow from Australia to Singapore through Indonesia and Singapore to Hong Hong along L642 and M771 in South China Sea being progressed.</p>
<b>linkage to GPIs</b>	GSI-12 Use of Technology to Enhance Safety; GPI/9 Situational Awareness; GPI/5: RNAV and RNP, GPI/7: dynamic and flexible ATS route management, GPI/17: data link applications and GPI/22: Communication Infrastructure;				
<b>References</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Report of AN CONF/11;</i></li> <li>• <i>Global ATM Operational Concept (Doc9854);</i></li> <li>• <i>Global Air Navigation Plan (Doc9750);</i></li> <li>• <i>Technical Provisions for Mode S Services and Extended Squitter (Deco9871)</i></li> <li>• <i>APANPIRG/16/17/19/20 report on ADS-B</i></li> <li>• <i>ADS-B related regional guidance materials adopted by APANPIRG</i></li> </ul>				

**ASIA/PACIFIC REGION**  
**PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK FORM**  
**(REGIONAL)**

*(to be amended July 2010)*

<b>REGIONAL PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: <u>APAC Objective 18</u></b>	
<b>IMPLEMENTATION OF ICAO PERFORMANCE BASED NAVIGATION PROVISIONS FOR TERMINAL AREA OPERATIONS</b>	
Implement ICAO Performance Based Navigation (PBN) provisions for terminal area operations in collaboration with stakeholders based on the Regional PBN Implementation Plan agreed by APANPIRG, to improve terminal area safety and efficiency by use of advanced navigation specifications for SIDs, STARs and instrument approach procedures.	
<b>Benefits</b>	
<b>Environment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• reduction in fuel consumption and resulting emissions</li> </ul>
<b>Safety</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• enhance safety by use of modern capabilities onboard aircraft;</li> <li>• implementation of more precise approach, departure, and arrival paths that will reduce dispersion and will foster smoother traffic flows;</li> <li>• increased airspace safety through the implementation of continuous and stabilized descent procedure using vertical guidance;</li> <li>• improved airport and airspace arrival paths in all weather conditions; and</li> <li>• decrease ATC and pilot workload by utilizing RNAV/RNP procedures and airborne capability and reduce the need for ATC-pilot communication and radar vectoring</li> </ul>
<b>Efficiency</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• allows for more efficient use of airspace and increase airspace capacity through reduction of lateral and longitudinal separation between aircraft;</li> <li>• increase of predictability of the flight path;</li> <li>• reduced delays in high density airspace and airports through the implementation of additional parallel routes and additional arrival and departure points in terminal areas;</li> <li>• ability of air navigation service providers to make maximum use of aircraft capabilities;</li> <li>• ability of aircraft to conduct flights more closely to their preferred trajectories;</li> <li>• Reduced aircraft flight time due to the implementation of optimal flight paths;</li> <li>• facilitate utilization of advanced technologies thereby increasing efficiency;</li> <li>• optimized demand and capacity balancing through the efficient exchange of information;</li> <li>• reduces the need to maintain sensor-specific route and procedures, and their associated costs;</li> <li>• avoids the need for developing sensor-specific operations with each new evolution of navigation system, which would be cost prohibitive;</li> <li>• clarifies how RNAV systems are used; and</li> <li>• facilitate the operational approval process for operators by providing a limited set of navigation specifications intended for global use.</li> </ul>

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

SAFETY COMPONENTS	TASKS	TIME FRAME	RESPONSIBILITY	STATUS
<p><b><u>APANPIRG Conclusion 18/52</u></b></p>	<p><b>Establishment of a Regional Performance Based Navigation Task Force (PBN/TF)</b></p> <p>An Asia/Pacific PBN Task Force, with terms of reference as outlined in Appendix A to the APANPIRG/18 Report on Agenda Item 3.5, be established to develop a PBN implementation plan for the Asia/Pacific Region and address related regional PBN implementation issues.</p>	<p><b>PBN TF –</b> As soon as practicable</p> <p><b>Regional PBN Implementation Plan –</b> by 2008 (Before APANPIRG-19)</p>	<p>APANPIRG</p> <p>PBN Task Force</p>	<p>Regional Performance Based Navigation Task Force (PBN/TF) established</p> <p>Meetings of PBN T/F held as per following schedule</p> <p>1<sup>st</sup> 9–11 Jan 2008 2<sup>nd</sup> 1 – 3 April 2008 3<sup>rd</sup> 14-17 July 2008 4<sup>th</sup> 4-6 March 2009 5<sup>th</sup> 15-17 July 2009 6<sup>th</sup> 3-5 Feb.2010</p> <p>APANPIRG/19 approved the Regional PBN Plan Interim Edition</p> <p>RASMAG reviewed the Plan in Dec 2008 suggested some changes</p> <p>PBN/TF 4 reviewed RASMAG proposals and incorporated comments in the <b>Version 0.2 of the Plan</b></p> <p>Plan was further reviewed by: ATM/AIS/SAR/SG/19 ; and CNS/MET/SG /13</p> <p>Version 0.3 was adopted by APANPIRG/20 as Asia/Pac Regional PBN Plan as Ver. 1.0</p> <p>PBN TF/6 proposed revision to the Plan</p>



APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

<i>Strategy</i> <b>Short term (2008 – 2012)</b>				
<b>• TMA- Arrival</b>	1. RNAV 1 in radar environment and with adequate navigation infrastructure.  2. Basic-RNP 1 in non-radar environment	RNAV 1 STAR for 50% of international airports by 2010 and 75% by 2012.  Priority should be given to airports with RNP Approach	STATES APANPIRG PBN TF	
<b>• TMA- Departure</b>	1. RNAV 1 in radar environment and with adequate navigation infrastructure.  2. Basic-RNP 1 in non-radar environment	RNAV 1 SID for 50% of international airports by 2010 and 75% by 2012.  Priority should be given to airports with RNP Approach	STATES APANPIRG PBN TF	
<b>• Approach</b>	1. RNP APCH with Baro-VNAV in most possible airports  2. RNP AR APCH in airport where there are obvious operational benefits.	RNP APCH (with Baro-VNAV) in 30% of instrument runways by 2010 and 50% by 2012.  Priority should be given to airports with operational benefits	STATES APANPIRG PBN TF	

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

<i>Strategy</i> <b>Medium Term (2013 – 2016)</b>				
<b>SAFETY COMPONENTS</b>	<b>TASKS</b>	<b>TIME FRAME</b>	<b>RESPONSIBILITY</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>TMA– Arrival</b></li> </ul>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Expand RNAV 1 or RNP 1 Application</li> <li>2. Mandate RNAV 1 or RNP 1 approval for aircraft operating in higher air traffic density TMAs</li> </ol>	<p>RNAV 1 or RNP 1 STAR for 100% of international airports by 2016</p> <p>RNAV 1 or RNP 1 STAR for 70% of busy domestic airports where there are operational benefits</p>	<p>STATES PBN TF APANPIRG</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>TMA- Departure</b></li> </ul>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Expand RNAV 1 or RNP 1 Application</li> <li>2. Mandate RNAV 1 or RNP 1 approval for aircraft operating in higher air traffic density TMAs</li> </ol>	<p>RNAV 1 or RNP 1 SID for 100% of international airports by 2016</p> <p>RNAV 1 or RNP 1 SID for 70% of busy domestic airports where there are operational benefits</p>	<p>STATES PBN TF APANPIRG</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Approach</b></li> </ul>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Expansion of RNP APCH (with Baro-VNAV) and APV</li> <li>2. Expansion of RNP AR APCH where there are operational benefits</li> <li>3. Introduction of landing capability using GNSS and its augmentations</li> </ol>	<p>RNP APCH with Baro-VNAV or APV in 100% of instrument runways by 2016</p>	<p>STATES APANPIRG PBN TF</p>	

APANPIRG/20  
Appendix A to the Report on Agenda Item 3.0

---

<b>Strategy</b> <b>Long Term (2016 and beyond)</b>	
<p>In this phase, GNSS is expected to be a primary navigation infrastructure for PBN implementation. States should work co-operatively on a multinational basis to implement GNSS in order to facilitate seamless and inter-operable systems and undertake coordinated research and development programmes on GNSS implementation and operation.</p> <p>During this phase, States are encouraged to consider segregating traffic according to navigation capability and granting preferred routes to aircraft with better navigation performance.</p> <p>With the expectation that precision approach capability using GNSS and its augmentation systems will become available, States are encouraged to explore the use of such capability where there are operational and financial benefits.</p>	
<b>GPIs</b>	<p>GPI/5: Performance based navigation, GPI/9: Situational awareness, GPI/11: RNP and RNAV SIDs &amp; STARs,</p>
<b>References</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>ICAO Asia Pacific Regional Performance-Based navigation Implementation Plan - Version 2</i></li> <li>• <i>APANPIRG 18 Decision - ; APANPIRG 19 Decision -</i></li> <li>• <i>ICAO Guidance Material – Performance-Based Navigation Manual Doc 9613 AN/937 Third Edition – 2008</i></li> <li>• <i>Assembly Resolution 36-23</i></li> </ul>