

FAA Process for Interoperability Test

AMHS Workshop
27-28 January 2010
Bangkok, Thailand



Overview

At ATNICG WG/6 in September 2009, the FAA presented a Working Paper regarding the use of the AMHS Manual for Interoperability Test.

This presentation provides further information regarding how the FAA incorporates that process into its planning of Interoperability Test.



Factors in Interoperability Test Planning

The following factors must be considered in the planning of AMHS Interoperability Test:

- ❖ Regional Considerations
- ❖ Planned Configuration and Implementation
- ❖ Available Test Configurations and Resources
- ❖ Utilization of Existing Test Procedures
- ❖ Commonality of Equipment Under Test
- ❖ Compliance to Standards

Each of these factors is discussed in greater detail in the upcoming slides.

Regional Considerations

- ❖ Differences between regions mandate differences in test planning
- ❖ Example: Use of ATN Router
- ❖ These differences dictate both the utilization of the AMHS Manual and the equipment necessary for test activities

Planned Configuration and Implementation

- ❖ Planned network topology must be considered in planning for interoperability configuration
- ❖ Examples:
 - ◆ Will connection be point-to-point?
 - ◆ Will configuration involve only two end systems, or will tri-party test be involved?
 - ◆ Is this an entirely new implementation, or the integration of a new end system(s) into an existing AMHS implementation?

Available Test Configurations and Resources

- ❖ Interoperability test planning must consider not only the intended implementation, but the available resources for testing
- ❖ For example, while the planned operational circuit may be a point-to-point leased circuit or VPN, time and cost limitations may make this impractical for testing
- ❖ Need to consider all alternatives
 - ◆ Leased line (X.25 or IP)
 - ◆ Dialup line
 - ◆ Public internet
 - ◆ Others

Utilization of Existing Test Procedures

- ❖ As discussed at ATNICG WG/6, test cases provided by the AMHS Manual of either Asia/Pac or Europe should be used in interoperability testing
- ❖ Test case selection should be a bi-lateral activity involving all parties of the interoperability test
- ❖ Additional tests can be defined as needed, again subject to agreement by all parties

Commonality of Equipment Under Test

- ❖ Interoperability test planning should consider all equipment in the planned implementation
- ❖ May make sense to focus efforts on new or dis-similar pieces of equipment
- ❖ For example, recent interoperability test between Fiji and USA focused the effort on the AMHS systems rather than the ATN routers, as the ATN routers were from the same provider
- ❖ At some point prior to system cutover, however, need to verify entire configuration end-to-end

Compliance to Standards

- ❖ Interoperability test planning must consider level of standards compliance of existing implementations as well as new systems to be incorporated
- ❖ Standards compliance of currently fielded implementation may be different from that of new system
- ❖ Dictates the need to ensure backward compatibility as well as tri-party verification



FAA Plan for Future Interoperability Activities

- ❖ Support interoperability test requests from all AMHS partners
- ❖ All requests for test are handled through FAA AMHS Program Office
- ❖ Technical staff will then examine needs and options based upon factors detailed in this presentation
- ❖ FAA strives for the careful planning and successful completion of interoperability test in order to ensure a high level of confidence in successful deployment and operation of AMHS systems with its partners



Conclusion

Careful planning and consideration of all factors discussed in this presentation provide the opportunity for thorough and successful interoperability test between two or more network partners.

