

## Terms of reference

- Support harmonized implementation of cross-border ATFM, including common operating procedures and a common network level CDM process, between States and groups of States in the Asia/Pacific Region;
  - We will continue the discussion among sub-regional ATFM initiatives. Please update your ideas at the meeting.
  
- Develop operational requirements for information exchange models, including Flight Information Exchange Model (FIXM) and any other standardized exchange models, necessary for the exchange of ATFM information in the Asia/Pacific Region;
  - FIXM discussions have been taken place at ATFM/SG and SWIM/TF, if any please let us know.
  
- Develop a draft operational requirements document detailing:
  - a) Items of ATFM Information that collaborative ATFM participants should distribute or publish, amend or cancel, including but not limited to:
    - i. ADP;
    - ii. Initiation, amendment or cancellation of ATFM measures;
    - iii. Compliance monitoring information such as ATOT, ATO and ALDT;
  - b) Network and/or node administrator arrangements;
  - c) Required reliability and availability of the distributed multi-nodal network and its links and interfaces, where not already established.
  - d) Notification parameters guidance for ATFM measure implementation.
  - ADP portion has been already agreed at the previous tele-con, and the Distributed Multi-Nodal ATFM Network group was tasked to draft the final version.
  - It would be great if you could bring your own procedures or ideas to be discussed to the next meeting.
  
- Make recommendations to ATFM/SG on interim methods of ATFM information exchange in the absence of FIXM capability between States, including:
  - a. Systems and methods of information exchange ; and
  - b. Minimum data elements to be exchanged;
  - India, NARAHG member States and CANSO were tasked to review “Distributed Multi-Nodal Air Traffic Flow Management, ATFM/AMHS Based Interface Control Document Version 0.1”
    - Feedback from India: Some changes are suggested in the attached file. Changes are marked in italics, highlighted in yellow, with striking out the original characters. Also, in table no 4, taxi time has been made mandatory, we consider it should not be made mandatory as it may not be possible to populate taxi time data all the time.
    - Feedback from CANSO: No further comment, Good progress being made.
    - Feedback from NARAHG; No plan to use AFTN/AMHS. CRV connection is planned to be used. NARAHG is tasked to provide more details on this before the meeting.

- Further discussion will be made at the next meeting.
- Establish close working arrangement with other relevant ICAO regional groups on related issues, including ATFM Interface Control Document (ICD) and the implementation technical specifications required for cross-border ATFM described in the Regional Framework for Collaborative ATFM and the Regional ATFM Concept of Operations.
  - ICD (how and when to develop) will be discussed at the next meeting.