

NATIONAL AVIATION SAFETY PLAN 2022-24



VERSION : 1.0

DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION: 15-06-2022 OFFICE OF PRIME INTEREST: DGCAA

15/06/2022 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



Contents

Sec	ction 1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1.	OVERVIEW OF THE NASP	1-1
1.2.	STRUCTURE OF THE NASP	1-1
1.3.	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE NASP AND THE STATE SAFETY PROGRAMME (1-1	SSP)
1.4. MON	RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE NASP DEVELOPMENT, IMPLEMENTATION	
1.5.	NATIONAL SAFETY ISSUES, GOALS AND TARGETS	1-2
1.6.	OPERATIONAL CONTEXT	1-2
Sec	ction 2. PURPOSE OF THE NASP	2-1
	ction 3. STRATEGIC APPROACH TO MANAGING AVIATION	3-1
3.1	PAKISTAN AVIATION SAFETY GOALS	
3.2	ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF SAFETY PERFORMANCE	
3.3	SAFETY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND TARGETS	
Sec	tion 4. NATIONAL OPERATIONAL SAFETY RISKS	
4.1.	SUMMARY OF ACCIDENTS & SERIOUS INCIDENTS	
4.2.	HIGH RISK CATEGORIES (HRC) OF OCCURRENCES	4-1
4.3.	SAFETY ENHANCEMENT INITIATIVES	4-1
Sec	ction 5. OTHER SAFETY ISSUES	5-1
Sec	ction 6. MONITORING IMPLEMENTATION	6-1
ΑP	PENDICES	
APP	ENDIX – A	1
OPS	ROADMAP	1
APP	ENDIX – B	1
ORG	ROADMAP	1
APP	FNDIX - C	1



Section 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. OVERVIEW OF THE NASP

- 1.1.1. Safety is always the primary consideration of Pakistan aviation agencies to ensure continued confidence in our aviation industry.
- 1.1.2. National Aviation Safety Plan (NASP) 2022-2024 of Pakistan complements the Pakistan State Safety Programme (SSP). It identifies initiatives that are being undertaken to reduce the risks associated with aviation operations in Pakistan, and details the strategic direction for the management of aviation safety in the short, medium and long term.
- 1.1.3. This first edition of the NASP presents the national strategy and roadmap of actions for enhancing aviation safety for the period 2022 to 2024. Pakistan safety enhancement initiatives (SEIs) not only support the improvement of safety domestically, but within the Asia-Pacific region and globally.
- 1.1.4. While the NASP is based on Pakistan's operating environment and risks, it is strategically aligned with the International Civil Aviation Organization's (ICAO) Global Aviation Safety Plan 2020-2022 (GASP) and the Asia Pacific Regional Aviation Safety Plan 2020 2022 (AP-RASP), in recognition that aviation activities are global in nature.
- 1.1.5. The NASP is subject to ongoing maintenance aligned to the review, development and publication of the GASP, the AP-RASP and the Pakistan SSP.

1.2. STRUCTURE OF THE NASP

- 1.2.1. This NASP presents the strategy for enhancing aviation safety for a period of three years. It comprises six sections. In addition to the introduction, sections include: the purpose of the NASP, Pakistan's strategic approach to managing aviation safety, the national operational safety risks identified for the NASP, other safety issues addressed in the NASP, and a description of how the implementation of the safety enhancement initiatives (SEIs) listed in the NASP is going to be monitored.
- 1.2.2. Competing priorities and interests can hinder achievement of SSP and NASP objectives and goals. However, to ensure efforts are aligned, Pakistan has established a governance structure that is inclusive of relevant regulatory functions and ensures oversight of all SSP and NASP activities. Pakistan will monitor the SSP governance framework to ensure alignment of agency activities and that the requirements of the SSP are met

1.3. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE NASP AND THE STATE SAFETY PROGRAMME (SSP)

- 1.3.1. As the SSP is in process of implementation in Pakistan, this NASP addresses operational safety risks identified in the ICAO GASP and APRASP. PCAA is committed to fully implement an SSP, as a State's responsibilities for the management of safety comprise both safety oversight and safety management, collectively implemented through an SSP.
- 1.3.2. The NASP is one of the key documents developed as part of Pakistan's SSP documentation. It is the means by which PCAA defines and drives the implementation of SEIs generated by the SSP process and drawn from the ICAO GASP and the AP-RASP. It also allows PCAA to determine initiatives to strengthen the SSP or otherwise needed to achieve its safety objectives.

15/06/2022 1-1 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



1.4. RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE NASP DEVELOPMENT, IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING

- 1.4.1. SSP Branch (PCAA) is responsible for the development, implementation and monitoring of the NASP, in collaboration with all other regulatory directorates and with the national aviation industry.
- 1.4.2. The NASP was developed in consultation with national operators and other stakeholders, and in alignment with the Global Aviation Safety Plan (GASP), as well as AP-RASP.

1.5. NATIONAL SAFETY ISSUES, GOALS AND TARGETS

- 1.5.1. Consistent with the ICAO's GASP and the Asia Pacific Regional Aviation Safety Plan (AP-RASP), the NASP establishes following goals and targets, to enhance aviation safety at the national level:
 - a) Improve the safety of Pakistani aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks.
 - b) Strengthen Pakistan's safety oversight capabilities.
 - c) Embed an effective State Safety Programme that delivers an acceptable level of safety performance;
 - d) Move towards data-driven regulatory oversight
 - e) Ensure the appropriate infrastructure is available to support safe operations

1.6. OPERATIONAL CONTEXT

- 1.6.1. There are 26 operational aerodromes in Pakistan, out of which 12 are certified aerodromes, (international aerodromes). There are 14 licensed airstrips in Pakistan.
- 1.6.2. Pakistani airspace is classified into Class A, B, C E and G. Pakistan Airspace is divided into 02 Flight Information Regions (FIRs) i.e., Karachi and Lahore FIRs. Lahore FIR includes airspace North of latitude 30° North whereas Karachi FIR includes Pakistan airspace south of latitude 30° North as well as those portions of high seas (upto 2330N) where the responsibility for provision of ATS rests with Pakistan. The services in respective FIRs are provided by Karachi, Lahore and Islamabad Area Control Centers (ACCs).
- 1.6.3. There were 232000 aircraft movements in Pakistan airspace including departures, arrivals and transit traffic, over the period of January to December 2021.
- 1.6.4. There are currently 17 Air Operator Certificates (AOCs) issued by Flight Standards Directorate (FSD), and of those 03 are issued to operators conducting international commercial air transport operations and also has one operator, which operates domestic services. There are 07 Heli-operators in Pakistan certified by FSD.
- 1.6.5. Pakistan Aviation Industry is facing following challenges:
 - Rapid growth in air traffic
 - Increasing complexity of our aviation system
 - Capability and capacity building
 - Global, regional and national priorities
 - Other emerging concerns like densely populated areas around airports, global economy issues and COVID-19 pandemic

15/06/2022 1-2 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



Section 2. PURPOSE OF THE NASP

- 2.1. The SSP sets out how PCAA identifies, monitors and maintains the effectiveness of its aviation safety performance, and sets key safety principles that underpin the system. The NASP is the continuous improvement element of the SSP. It prioritizes initiatives that aim to enhance aviation safety by identifying strategies and actions to reduce specific risks.
- 2.2. The NASP reaffirms Pakistan's commitment to aviation safety, and seeks to ensure initiatives are appropriately managed and resourced. For each safety initiative, the NASP clearly defines responsibilities, accountabilities, timelines and deliverables, whilst ensuring alignment to GASP and AP-RASP requirements.
- 2.3. The NASP integrates all SSP agencies' existing corporate planning documents to present a consistent national aviation safety strategy that is efficient to develop and implement. The NASP is not intended to replace SSP agencies' existing corporate planning documents or processes.
- 2.4. Implementing this NASP satisfies Pakistan's obligations under IICAO Assembly Resolution A39-12, which recognizes the importance of effective national aviation safety planning consistent with the vision and goals of the GASP.

15/06/2022 2-1 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



Section 3.

STRATEGIC APPROACH TO MANAGING AVIATION SAFETY

3.1 PAKISTAN AVIATION SAFETY GOALS

Pakistan's safety goals, represent our desired outcomes and objectives against the challenges faced by the state. Following safety goals that align with the GASP global safety goals and AP-RASP regional priorities, are also outlined in Table 1.

3.1.1. Goal 1 - Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Goal 1 seeks to achieve continuous reduction of operational safety risks faced by Pakistan SSP stakeholders (including industry) and reflects the ICAO HRCs.

3.1.2. Goal 2 - Strengthen Pakistan's safety oversight capabilities

Goal 2 seeks to improve Pakistan's organizational ability and oversight capabilities. Pakistan will continue to effectively implement the eight IICAO CEs and ensure the State oversight and governance structure is appropriate to meet State organizational challenges.

3.1.3. Goal 3 — Embed an effective SSP that delivers an acceptable level of safety performance

Goal 3 seeks to ensure the continued effectiveness and improvement of SSP, including in achieving aviation safety goals and service providers' level of SMS implementation.

3.1.4. Goal 4 - Move towards data-driven regulatory oversight

Goal 4 seeks to support regulatory oversight through data collection, processing and monitoring of safety trends, in conjunction with the Safety Goal 2.

3.1.5. Goal 5 - Ensure the appropriate infrastructure is available to support safe operations

Goal 5 seeks to ensure that Pakistan Aviation Industry has the appropriate infrastructure to support safe operations. It is linked to Pakistan's obligations under the ICAO Global Air Navigation Plan (GANP) and seeks ongoing investment in Pakistan's air navigation and airport core infrastructure to maintain compliance with safety standards.

	1	2	3	4	5	6
GASP	Achieve a decreasing trend of global Accident rate	Strengthen States safety oversight capabilities	Implement effective State safety programmes (SSPs)	Increase Collaboration at the regional level	Expand the use of Industry Programmes	Ensure the appropriate infrastructure is available to support safe operations
AP- RASP	Reduce Operational risks	Improve States' safety oversight and compliance	Implement effective SMS and SSP	Move towards data-driven regulatory oversight		Enhance aviation infrastructure
NASP	Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously	Strengthen Pakistan's safety oversight capabilities	Embed an effective SSP that delivers an acceptable level of safety performance	Move towards data-driven regulatory oversight		Ensure the appropriate infrastructure is available to support safe operations

15/06/2022 3-1 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



reducing			
operational			
safety risks			

Table-1

3.2 ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF SAFETY PERFORMANCE

- 3.2.1. The Acceptable Level of Safety Performance (ALoSP) defines the focus of a State towards the safety of aviation system.
- 3.2.2. The PCAA ALoSP is expected to set the safety standards for Civil Aviation in Pakistan to have the trust and confidence of those encountering that system.
- 3.2.3. Aviation is generally regarded as one of the safest modes of transportation. When assessing aviation safety performance across the Pakistan, it is acknowledged that different safety standards are acceptable in different aviation sectors. The intent is that, through State oversight, the safety performance of each sector will continue to inspire trust and confidence in Pakistan aviation industry.
- 3.2.4. Each safety goal contributes to an overall acceptable level of safety performance for Pakistan. Pakistan's acceptable level of safety performance, or the sum output of the safety goals, is:

No accidents involving commercial air transport that result in serious injuries or fatalities, no serious injuries or fatalities to third parties as a result of aviation activities and improving safety performance across all sectors.

3.3 SAFETY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND TARGETS

- 3.3.1. Each safety goal is accompanied by safety performance indicators (SPI) to measure State performance and provide evidence on whether desired outcomes are being achieved.
- 3.3.2. To define a benchmark for each SPI, PCAA has set safety performance targets (SPT) which represent the level of performance considered to be acceptable. A summary of Pakistan's safety goals, SPIs and SPTs is at Table 2.
- 3.3.3. PCAA focuses following key safety areas in aviation sector for the implementation of effective State Safety Programme:

3.3.4. Airborne Conflict

- a) TCAS RAs (Where systems onboard the aircraft alert the crew to take action to avoid another aircraft)
- b) Occurrences of 'level bust' (Where an aircraft descends below or climbs above their cleared level)
- c) 'AIRPROX' events
- d) Airspace infringement events;

3.3.5. Controlled Flight Into Terrain (CFIT)

- a) Enhanced Ground Proximity Warning System (EGPWS) warnings (Terrain etc)
- 3.3.6. Wildlife and Bird Strikes (WSH);
- 3.3.7. Runway Excursions due to
 - a) Weather
 - b) Human Performance

15/06/2022 3-2 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



c) Technical Failure

3.3.8. **Runway Incursions** by

- a) Aircraft (due to Pilots / ATC error)
- b) Vehicles (drivers / operators)
- c) People

3.3.9. Loss of Control Inflight (LOC-I) due to:

- a) Weather
- b) Human Performance
- c) Technical Failure

3.3.10. Ground Collisions (GCOL) & Ground Safety:

- a) Resulting in aircraft damage
- b) Relating to loading errors
- c) As a result of other ground services (cold weather, fuelling, etc.)

3.3.11. Poor Handling of Dangerous Goods; and

3.3.12. Poor / Deficient Maintenance

- a) Updated Aircraft Maintenance Programs
- b) Recurrence of audit Findings
- c) Reporting culture

3.3.13. Protocol Questions (PQs)

- a) PQs are the primary tool used by ICAO in its USOAP CMA to assess the effective implementation of the eight CEs of a State safety oversight system. The USOAP CMA Working Group is responsible for coordinating Pakistan's response to all PQs by SSP agencies and for tracking overall compliance.
- b) There are currently around 800 PQs, of which ICAO has identified a subset of Priority PQs with a higher correlation to operational safety risks that should be used to prioritize State resources. SSP Foundational PQs are another subset considered by ICAO as prerequisites for the sustainable implementation of a full SSP.

3.3.14. Safety Oversight Index

A State's Safety Oversight Index is defined by ICAO as the difference between the State's E score and the minimum E score the State should have based on its Traffic volume. A Safety Oversight Index can be positive or negative.

- 3.3.15. SPIs are established on the State safety objectives and focus on occurrences (quantitative) and contributing factors (qualitative). The SPIs are based on the available safety data including the sources detailed in the SSP inputs section. The SPIs are monitored and reviewed by the SSP Branch with the assistance of other regulatory directorates to ensure they remain appropriate.
- 3.3.16. Considering the above key safety areas, PCAA has determined following SPIs and SPTs:

15/06/2022 3-3 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



Safety Goal	Ref No.	SPI	SPT
Goal1:		Airborne Conflict	
Improve the safety of	1.1	Number of fatal accidents	No fatal accidents
Pakistan aviation	1.2	Number of risk bearing	5% improvement between
operations across all		AIRPROX per 10000 flights	each annual mean rate
sectors by		over Pakistan airspace.	
continuously reducing	1.3	Number of TCAS RA in	5% improvement between
operational safety		controlled airspace leading to	each annual mean rate
risks		breach of separation per	
		10000 flights over Pakistan	
		airspace.	
	1.4	Number of aircraft not or	5% improvement between
		incorrectly complying with	each annual mean rate
		ATC instructions (including	
		level bust) per 10000 flights	
		over Pakistan airspace	
	1.5	Number of AIRPROX	5% improvement between
		attributable to ATC / system	each annual mean rate
		failure per 10000 flights over	
	1.6	Pakistan airspace	E0/ improvement between
	1.6	Number of Airspace	5% improvement between each annual mean rate
		Infringements per 10000 flights over Pakistan airspace	each annuai mean rate
		Controlled Flight Into Terrain (C	PEIT)
	1.7	Number of GPWS warnings	5% improvement between
		(Scheduled airlines) per 10000	each annual mean rate
		departures	
		Wildlife and Bird Strikes (WSH)	
	1.8	Number of reported bird strikes	5% improvement between
		at all airports per 10,000	each annual mean rate
		movements	
		(only for 6 Major / Medium	
		(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP,	
		(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP)	
	1.9	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft	5% improvement between
	1.9	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird	5% improvement between each annual mean rate
	1.9	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements	each annual mean rate
		(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S	each annual mean rate afety)
	1.9	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between
		(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S	each annual mean rate afety)
	1.10	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate
	1.10	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway Sa	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety)
	1.10	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway Sa Number of runway incursions	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between
	1.10	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway Sa Number of runway incursions (aircraft / vehicle / person)	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate
	1.10	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway Sa Number of runway incursions (aircraft / vehicle / person) Other Runway Safety Issues (Fe	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate OD)
	1.10	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway Sa Number of runway incursions (aircraft / vehicle / person) Other Runway Safety Issues (Fe	each annual mean rate safety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate OD) 5% improvement between
	1.10	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway S Number of runway incursions (aircraft / vehicle / person) Other Runway Safety Issues (F Number of runway foreign object debris hazard reports	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate OD)
	1.10 1.11 1.12	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway Sa Number of runway incursions (aircraft / vehicle / person) Other Runway Safety Issues (Fe	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate OD) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate annual mean rate
	1.10	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway Sa Number of runway incursions (aircraft / vehicle / person) Other Runway Safety Issues (Fe) Number of runway foreign object debris hazard reports rate per 10000 flights Number of runway foreign	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate OD) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate
	1.10 1.11 1.12	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway Sa Number of runway incursions (aircraft / vehicle / person) Other Runway Safety Issues (For Number of runway foreign object debris hazard reports rate per 10000 flights Number of runway foreign object damage to aircraft per	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate OD) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate annual mean rate
	1.10 1.11 1.12	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway Sa Number of runway incursions (aircraft / vehicle / person) Other Runway Safety Issues (For Number of runway foreign object debris hazard reports rate per 10000 flights Number of runway foreign object damage to aircraft per 10000 flights	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate OD) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate
	1.10 1.11 1.12 1.13	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway Sa Number of runway incursions (aircraft / vehicle / person) Other Runway Safety Issues (For Number of runway foreign object debris hazard reports rate per 10000 flights Number of runway foreign object damage to aircraft per	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate OD) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate
	1.10 1.11 1.12	(only for 6 Major / Medium Airports – JIAP, AIIAP, IIAP, BKIAP, SIAL, MIAP) Number of substantial aircraft damage due to wildlife / bird strike per 10,000 movements Runway Excursions (Runway S Number of unstable approaches per 10000 approaches Number of runway excursions per 10000 approaches Runway Incursions (Runway Sa Number of runway incursions (aircraft / vehicle / person) Other Runway Safety Issues (For Number of runway foreign object debris hazard reports rate per 10000 flights Number of runway foreign object damage to aircraft per 10000 flights	each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate afety) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate OD) 5% improvement between each annual mean rate 5% improvement between each annual mean rate

15/06/2022 3-4 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



Safety Goal R		SPI	SPT	
	No. 1.16	Number of Laser Beam Incidents to pilots per 10000 flights (May be regarded as Separate SPI)	5% improvement between each annual mean rate	
		Ground Collisions (GCOL) & Gr	ound Safety (Runway Safety)	
	1.17	Number of fatal accidents	No fatal accidents	
	1.18	Number of ramp incidents (involving damage to aircraft, vehicles or loss of life/serious injury to personnel)	10% improvement between each annual mean rate	
		Inappropriate Handling of Dang		
	1.19	Number of airline DGR incident reports per 10000 flights	5% improvement between each annual mean rate	
		Poor / Deficient Maintenance		
	1.20	Incident involving component/system Failure per 10000 flights	5% improvement between each annual mean rate	
	1.21	Number of Maintenance errors per 10000 flights	5% improvement between each annual mean rate	
	1.22	Inflight Engine Shutdown incidents per 10000 flights	5% improvement between each annual mean rate	
	1.23	Smoke/Fire Warnings Incidents rate per 10000 flights	5% improvement between each annual mean rate	
	1.24	Recurring Discrepancy rate 5% improvement be each annual mean		
	1.25	Emergency Equipment Failure Incidents rate per 10000 flights	5% improvement between each annual mean rate	
Goal 2: Strengthen Pakistan's safety oversight	2.1	Safety regulatory implementation	80% of regulatory development delivered against plan	
capabilities	2.2	Priority PQs self-assessment (%)		
	2.3	PQs (all) self-assessment (%)	100% completion of all Priority PQs self- assessment	
	2.4	Safety Oversight Index (SOI)	95% compliance score	
	2.5	Number of ICAO significant	Nil ICAO Significant Safety	
	2.6	safety concerns findings ICAO audit findings (action)	Concerns Findings Nil ICAO Significant Safety Concerns Findings	
	2.7	Domestic safety surveillance events	80% of surveillance achieved against schedule	
	2.8	Unnecessary SAR incidents (false Emergency Locator Transmission activation, SARTIME errors)	10% reduction on the number of unnecessary SAR incidents	
Goal 3: Embed an effective SSP that delivers an	3.1	Foundational SSP PQs self- assessment (%)	90% completion of all foundational PQs (self-assessment)	
acceptable level of safety performance	3.2	Appropriate SSP governance	90% of defined SSP governance meetings conducted (based on annual schedule)	

15/06/2022 3-5 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



Safety Goal	Ref No.	SPI	SPT
	3.3	Implementation of strategy items included in the NASP	90% of NASP safety enhancement initiative actions completed in accordance with defined timeline
	3.4 Number of aviation safety awareness seminars to ind		95% of seminars delivered against annual plan
Goal 4: Move towards datadriven regulatory oversight	4.1		Develop a national mechanism for data collection, analysis and sharing (SDCPS)
Goal 5: Ensure the	5.1.	% EI in AGA of USOAP CMA	To achieve at least 75% EI in AGA of USOAP CMA
appropriate infrastructure is	5.2.	% EI in ANS of USOAP CMA	To achieve at least 75% EI in ANS of USOAP CMA
available to support safe operations	5.3.	Number of certified aerodromes that are used for international operations	Certify all aerodromes that are used for international operations

<u>Table - 2</u>

15/06/2022 3-6 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0

^{*} Defined service providers include all Certified Aerodromes, ANSP, all Airlines/Operators, ATOs and Approved Maintenance Organizations



Section 4.

NATIONAL OPERATIONAL SAFETY RISKS

4.1. SUMMARY OF ACCIDENTS & SERIOUS INCIDENTS

The summary of accidents and serious incidents that occurred in Pakistan, and those for aircraft registered in Pakistan involved in commercial air transport and aircraft involved in general aviation, is shown in the tables below:

Year	Fatal accidents	Non-fatal accidents	Serious incidents						
Occurrences in Pakistan									
2021	00	00	35						
2020	01+01 (Military Heli)	00	19						
2019	00	01	09						
Occurrences involving only aircraft registered in Pakistan									
2021	00	00	29						
2020	01+01 (Military Heli)	00	12						
2019	00	01	07						

4.2. HIGH RISK CATEGORIES (HRC) OF OCCURRENCES

- 4.2.1. The following five national high-risk categories of occurrences (HRCs) in the operational context were considered of the utmost priority because of the number of fatalities and risk of fatalities associated with such events. These were identified based on analyses from mandatory and voluntary reporting systems, accident and incident investigation reports, safety oversight activities over the past three years, as well as on the basis of regional analysis conducted by RASG-APAC and on the operational safety risks described in the GASP.
 - 1) Airborne Conflict (Mid Air Collision MAC)
 - 2) Controlled Flight into Terrain (CFIT)
 - 3) Runway Incursions (Runway Safety)
 - 4) Runway Excursions (Runway Safety)
 - 5) Loss of Control In Flight (LOC-I)
- 4.2.2. In addition to the national operational safety risks listed above, the following additional categories of operational safety risks have been identified:
 - 1) Wildlife / bird strike Hazard
 - 2) Inappropriate Handling of Dangerous Goods
 - 3) Deficient Maintenance
 - 4) Ground Collision
 - 5) Other Runway Safety Issues (FOD etc)

4.3. SAFETY ENHANCEMENT INITIATIVES

4.3.1. The NASP includes SEIs that address national operational safety risks, derived from lessons learned from operational occurrences and from a data-driven

15/06/2022 4-1 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



approach. These SEIs include actions such as: rule-making; policy development; targeted safety oversight activities; safety data analysis; and safety promotion. Separate sections are provided to address commercial air transport and general aviation to make the information more accessible to stakeholders.

- 4.3.2. To address the national operational safety risks listed above, Pakistan CAA identified the following contributing factors leading to HRCs, some of which are derived from the OPS roadmap, contained in the ICAO GASP:
 - 1) Regulatory Oversight
 - 2) Severe weather events
 - 3) Aircraft malfunction
 - 4) Manual handling / flight controls,
 - 5) Long / floated/ bounced / firm / off-center / crabbed landings, and
 - 6) overall crew performance
- 4.3.3. The NASP Ops and Org roadmaps are detailed in Appendix-A and Appendix-B, which cover following points:
 - **Goal**. Pakistan National Goals I-V support the State's strategic approach to managing safety at the national level.
 - **Target(s)**. Targets which serve to fulfil their respective Goal, including the year(s) in which the respective Target is expected to be achieved.
 - **c) GASP SEI.** Where the Actions stem from the SEIs in the GASP Roadmap, specific references are made for easier reference.
 - **d) Action**. A description of the specific SEI or initiative, and the tasks required for its implementation. The Actions support the Targets of the Goals.
 - **e)** Responsible Entity. Appointed by PCAA to lead the group of stakeholders identified to further develop specific details for implementation of the respective Action.
 - **Timeline**. The year(s) in which the respective Action is expected to be implemented.
 - **g)** Stakeholders. The entities/ stakeholders in the Pakistan, to which the Action(s) is addressed.
 - **h) Metrics**. A description of the specific Target, and the indicators required for performance measurement.

15/06/2022 4-2 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



Section 5. OTHER SAFETY ISSUES

- 5.1. In addition to the national operational safety risks listed in the NASP, PCAA has identified other safety issues and initiatives selected for the NASP. These are given priority in the NASP since they are aimed at enhancing and strengthening PCAA's safety oversight capabilities and the management of aviation safety at the national level.
- 5.2. The eight critical elements (CEs) of a safety oversight system are defined by ICAO. Pakistan is committed to the effective implementation of these eight CEs, as part of its overall safety oversight responsibilities, which emphasize Pakistan's commitment to safety in respect of its aviation activities. The eight CEs are presented in Figure 1 below.



Figure 1. Critical elements of a State's safety oversight system

5.3. As part of the ICAO Universal Safety Oversight Audit Programme (USOAP), the latest ICAO activities to measure the effective implementation of the eight CEs of Pakistan's safety oversight system in November 2021, have resulted in the following scores:

15/06/2022 5-1 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



Overall El score											
70.39 %											
	El score by CE										
CE-1 CE-2 CE-3 CE-4 CE-5 CE-6					CE-6	CE-7	CE-8				
65.52%	76.53%	71.88%	50.00%	89.92%	77.50%	50.52%	38.64%				
		E	I score by	audit area	1						
LEG	ORG	PEL	OPS	AIR	AIG	ANS	AGA				
50.00%	63.64%	69.77%	72.88%	94.48%	67.07%	43.12%	70.63%				

5.4. The safety oversight index (SOI) of a State is an ICAO indicator of its safety oversight capabilities. Every State audited by ICAO has an SOI. It represents a level at which the safety oversight capabilities of a State would indicate the minimum expected capabilities considering the number of departures as an indication of the size of State's aviation system. Pakistan's SOI have resulted in the following scores:

Score	Operations	Air Navigation	Support Functions
SOI	1.17	1.13	1.1
EI (%)	79.04	56.88	60.24

- 5.5. The following other safety issues in the organizational context were considered of the utmost priority because these are systemic issues, which impact the effectiveness of safety risk controls. These were identified based on analysis from USOAP data, accident and incident investigation reports, safety oversight activities over the past three years, as well as on the basis of regional analysis conducted by RASG-APAC. These issues are typically organizational in nature and relate to challenges associated with the conduct of States' safety oversight functions, implementation of SSP at the national level and the level of SMS implementation by national service providers:
 - 5.5.1. Increased need for capability and capacity building
 - 5.5.2. Limited collection of and use of safety data for decision-making
 - 5.5.3. COVID-19 pandemic outbreak
- 5.6. To address the issues listed above, PCAA will implement a series of SEIs, some of which are derived from the ICAO ORG roadmap, contained in the GASP. Complete list of the SEIs is presented in the Appendix-B to the NASP.

15/06/2022 5-2 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



15/06/2022 5-3 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



Section 6.

MONITORING IMPLEMENTATION

- 6.1. PCAA will continuously monitor the implementation of the SEIs listed in the NASP and measure safety performance of the national civil aviation system, to ensure the intended results are achieved, using the mechanisms presented in the appendix to this plan.
- 6.2. In addition to the above, SSP Branch, in consultation with all regulatory directorates will review the NASP every three years or earlier, if required, to keep the identified operational safety risks, safety issues and selected SEIs updated and relevant. The will periodically review the safety performance of the initiatives listed in the NASP to ensure the achievement of national safety goals and targets. If required, PCAA will seek the support of RASG-APAC to ensure the timely implementation of SEIs to address safety deficiencies and mitigate risks. Through close monitoring of the SEIs, PCAA will make adjustments to the NASP and its initiatives, if needed, and update the NASP accordingly.
- 6.3. PCAA will use the indicators listed in Section 3 of this plan to measure safety performance of the civil aviation system and monitor each national safety target. Annual safety report will be published to provide stakeholders with relevant up-to-date information on the progress made in achieving the national safety goals and targets, as well as the implementation status of the SEIs.
- 6.4. In the event that the national safety goals and targets are not met, the root causes will be presented. It identifies critical operational safety risks, reasonable measures will be taken to mitigate them as soon as practicable, possibly leading to an unscheduled revision of the NASP.
- 6.5. Pakistan adopted a standardized approach to provide information at the regional level, for reporting to the RASG-APAC. This allows the region to receive information and assess operational safety risks using common methodologies.
- 6.6. Any questions regarding the NASP and its initiatives, and further requests for information, may be addressed to the following:

Additional Director SSP, HQCAA Karachi – Pakistan

Email: adld.ssp@caapakistan.com.pk

15/06/2022 6-1 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



APPENDICES

15/06/2022 6-1 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



APPENDIX - A

NATIONAL OPERATIONAL SAFETY RISKS (OPS) ROADMAP

HRC 1: AIRBORNE CONFLICT (MID AIR COLLISION)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

	Targets: T1.1 to T1.6							
SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity	
GASP OPS3 (MAC)	 A1.1 MAC-1 b), c) & d) Ensure adherence to ACAS warning procedures Promote the improvement of air traffic control (ATC) systems, procedures and tools to enhance conflict management Promote the improvement of communications systems and procedures, such as controller-pilot datalink 	2023	• FSD • DAAR (AANS)	ANSPAirlines / Operators	Number of confirmed TCAS- RA incidents after investigations	High	PCAA Monitoring Tool, i.e., SDCPS	
GASP OPS3 (MAC)	A1.2 MAC-2 Validate the effectiveness of the SEIs through the analysis of MORs and VORs and accident/incident investigations (apply safety management methodologies)	2023	FSDDAAR (AANS)SSP	ANSPAirlines / Operators	Number of confirmed TCAS- RA incidents after investigations	High	PCAA Monitoring Tool, i.e. SDCPS	
GASP OPS3 (MAC)	 A1.3 MAC-3 Identify additional contributing factors, like Traffic conditions - traffic density, complexity, mixture of aircraft types and capabilities ATC performance related to workload, competence, teamwork, procedures, commitment, etc., as well as the 	2023	• FSD • DAAR (AANS)	ANSP Airlines / Operators	 Number of confirmed TCAS- RA incidents after investigations Number of Airborne Conflict incidents 	High	PCAA Monitoring Tool, i.e. SDCPS	



HRC 1: AIRBORNE CONFLICT (MID AIR COLLISION)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Targets: T1.1 to T1.6

SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
	influence of ANSPs' safety management						
	Flight crew training and corporate culture with workload, competence, teamwork, procedures, commitment etc., and the influence of aircraft operator's safety management						
	ATC systems - flight data processing, communication, short term conflict alert (STCA), etc., as well as the interaction with the human operators and the aircraft systems, and the procurement policy of the ANSP						
	Aircraft equipment - autopilots, transponders and ACAS, but also aircraft performance (e.g. rate-of-climb) and their physical size						
	Navigation infrastructure - both coverage and quality						
	Surveillance - both coverage and quality						
	Flight plan processing - efficiency and reliability of flight plan submission, approval and distribution						
	Airspace - complexity of airspace design, route layout, extent of controlled or uncontrolled airspace, proximity						



HRC 1: AIRBORNE CONFLICT (MID AIR COLLISION)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

	Targets: T1.1 to T1.6							
SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity	
	of military operational or training areas, etc.							
	 Flight in adverse environmental conditions that may influence conflict management and collision avoidance 							
GASP OPS3 (MAC)	A1.4 MAC-4 Develop and implement further SEIs to mitigate the risk of the identified contributing factors, if any, for MAC	2023	• FSD • DAAR (AANS) • SSP	ANSP Airlines / Operators	 Number of confirmed TCAS- RA incidents after investigations Number of Airborne Conflict 	High	PCAA Monitoring Tool, i.e. SDCPS	
					Airborne Conflict incidents			
GASP OPS3 (MAC)	A1.5 MAC-5 Conduct continuous evaluations of the performance of the SEIs	2023	• FSD • DAAR (AANS) • SSP	ANSP Airlines / Operators	Number of confirmed TCAS- RA incidents after investigations	High	PCAA Monitoring Tool, i.e. SDCPS	
					Number of Airborne Conflict incidents			



HRC 2: CONTROLLED FLIGHT INTO TERRAIN (CFIT)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

_				-	-	-
- 14	ar	മ		11		•
- 10	aı ı	uс	. L.		- 4	

	Target: 11.7										
SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity				
GASP OPS1 (CFIT)	 A2.1 CFIT1 Ensure aircraft are equipped with Enhanced Ground Proximity Warning System (EGPWS) in accordance with Annex 6 Promote the wider use of EGPWS beyond the requirements of Annex 6 Issue a Safety Advisory to increase adherence to EGPWS warning procedures Promote greater awareness of approach risks Consider the implementation of continuous descent final approaches (CDFA) Consider the implementation of minimum safe altitude warning (MSAW) systems Ensure the timeliness of updates and accuracy of Electronic Terrain and Obstacle Data (eTOD) Promote the use of GPS-derived position data to feed EGPWS 	2023	PCAA Airlines / Operators	 PCAA Airlines / Operators ANSP 	 Issuance of Safety Advisory Number of awareness sessions conducted Number of CFIT events 	High	 Surveillance of airlines / ANSP Monitoring of SPIs / SPTs 				
GASP OPS1 (CFIT)	A2.2 CFIT2 Validate the effectiveness of the safety enhancement initiatives (SEIs) presented in this roadmap through the analysis of mandatory	2023	All Regulatory Dtes SSP	PCAAAirlines / OperatorsANSP	Number of CFIT events	High	 Surveillance of airlines / ANSP Monitoring of SPIs / SPTs 				



HRC 2: CONTROLLED FLIGHT INTO TERRAIN (CFIT)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Target: T1.7

SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
	occurrence reporting (MORs) and voluntary occurrence reporting systems (VORs) and accident/incident investigations (apply safety management methodologies)						
GASP OPS1 (CFIT)	 A2.3 CFIT3 Identify additional contributing factors, like: Flight in adverse environmental conditions Approach design and documentation (e.g. approaches with vertical guidance (APV) or localizer performance with vertical guidance (LPV) approaches) Phraseology used (standard vs. non-standard) Pilot fatigue and disorientation 	2023	All Regulatory Dtes SSP	 PCAA Airlines / Operators ANSP 	 Number of awareness sessions conducted Number of CFIT events 	High	Surveillance of airlines / ANSP Monitoring of SPIs / SPTs
GASP OPS1 (CFIT)	A2.4 CFIT4 Develop and implement further SEIs to mitigate the risk of the identified contributing factors, if any, for CFIT	2023	All Regulatory DtesSSP	PCAAAirlines / OperatorsANSP	 Issuance of Safety Advisory Number of awareness sessions conducted Number of CFIT events 	High	Surveillance of airlines / ANSP Monitoring of SPIs / SPTs



HRC 3: RUNWAY INCURSIONS (RUNWAY SAFETY)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Target: T1.13 & T1.18

SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
GASP OPS5 (RI)	 A3.1 RI-1 Ensure the establishment and implementation of a State runway safety programme and runway safety teams Promote the establishment of policy, procedures and training that supports situational awareness for controllers, pilots and airside vehicle drivers Ensure effective use of suitable technologies to assist the improvement of situational awareness, such as improved resolution airport moving maps (AMM), electronic flight bags (EFBs), enhanced vision systems (EVS) and head-up displays 	2023	• DAAR	 Airlines APS ANSP GHAs ATOs 	Reduction in RI incidents	High	• MORs/ SDCPS
	 (HUD), advanced-surface movement guidance and control systems (A-SMGCS), stop bars, and runway incursion warning systems (ARIWS) Ensure the use of standard phraseologies in accordance with applicable State regulations and 						
	 ICAO provisions (e.g., Doc 9432, Manual of Radiotelephony) Ensure the identification and publication of hot spots at aerodromes in the AIP 						
	Ensure that suitable strategies to remove hazards or mitigate risks						



HRC 3: RUNWAY INCURSIONS (RUNWAY SAFETY)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Target: T1.13 & T1.18

SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
	associated with identified hot spots are developed and executed						
GASP OPS5 (RI)	A3.2 RI-2 Validate the effectiveness of the SEIs through the analysis of MORs, VORs and accident / incident investigations (apply safety management methodologies)	2023	DAAR	AirlinesAPSANSPGHAsATOs	Reduction in RI incidents	High	• MORs/ SDCPS
GASP OPS5 (RI)	 A3.3 RI-3 Identify additional contributing factors, Operations in low visibility conditions Complex or inadequate aerodrome design Complexity of traffic (multiple simultaneous line-ups) Conditional clearances Simultaneous use of intersecting runways Late issue of or late changes to departure clearances Phraseology use (e.g. nonstandard vs. standard, call-sign confusion) Concurrent use of more than one language for ATC communications 	2023	DAAR	AirlinesAPSANSPGHAsATOs	Reduction in RI incidents	High	• MORs/ SDCPS



HRC 3: RUNWAY INCURSIONS (RUNWAY SAFETY)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Target: T1.13 & T1.18

SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
	English language competence despite the introduction by ICAO of a system of validating competence in aviation English						
	 Inadequate manoeuvering area driver training and assessment programme 						
GASP OPS5 (RI)	A3.4 RI-4 Develop and implement further SEIs to mitigate the risk of the identified contributing factors, if any, for RI	2023	DAAR	AirlinesAPSANSPGHAsATOs	Reduction in RI incidents	High	MORs / SDCPS
GASP OPS5 (RI)	A3.5 RI-5 Conduct continuous evaluations of the performance of the SEIs	2023	DAAR	AirlinesAPSANSPGHAsATOs	Reduction in RI incidents	High	MORs / SDCPS



HRC 4: RUNWAY EXCURSIONS (RUNWAY SAFETY)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Targets: T1.11, T1.12 & T1.18

Targets: 11.11, 11.12 & 11.18									
SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity		
GASP OPS4 (RE)	 A4.1 RE-1 All airlines / operators / ATOs to provide training / awareness w.r.t rejected landings, goarounds, crosswind and tailwind landings runway overrun awareness and alerting systems on aircraft Increased emphasis on coordination between two pilots with respect to traffic clearances given by ATC Increased emphasis on situational awareness regarding traffic on approach / departures / taxiing on runways Ensure effective and timely reporting of meteorological and aerodrome conditions (e.g. runway surface condition in accordance to the ICAO global reporting format in Annex 14, Volume I, braking action and revised declared distances) Certify aerodrome in accordance with ICAO Annex 14, Volume I as well as Doc 9981, PANS-Aerodrome 	2023	FSD DAAR	 Airlines ATOs ANS APS 	Reduction in RE incidents Completion of training / awareness sessions	High	• MORs / SDCPS		



HRC 4: RUNWAY EXCURSIONS (RUNWAY SAFETY)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Targets: T1.11. T1.12 & T1.18

	Targets: T1.11, T1.12 & T1.18									
SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity			
	 Ensure that procedures to systematically reduce the rate of unstabilized approaches to runways are developed and used Continuous periodic monitoring 									
GASP OPS4 (RE)	through route/ in-flight checks A4.2 RE-2 Validate the effectiveness of the SEIs through the analysis of MORs, VORs and accident / incident investigations (apply safety management methodologies)	2023	FSD DAAR	AirlinesATOsANSAPS	Reduction in RE incidents Completion of training / awareness sessions	High	MORs / SDCPS			
GASP OPS4 (RE)	A4.3 RE-3 Identify additional contributing factors, Ineffective SOPs Failure to adhere to the appropriate SOPs Long / floated / bounced / firm / off-centre / crabbed landing Inadequate approach procedures design Inadequate regulatory oversight	2023	FSD DAAR	AirlinesATOsANSAPS	Reduction in RE incidents Completion of training / awareness sessions	High	• MORs / SDCPS			
GASP OPS4 (RE)	A4.4 RE-4 Develop and implement further SEIs to mitigate the risk of the identified contributing factors, if any, for RE	2023	FSD DAAR	AirlinesATOsANSAPS	 Reduction in RE incidents Completion of training / awareness sessions 	High	• MORs / SDCPS			



HRC 4: RUNWAY EXCURSIONS (RUNWAY SAFETY)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Targets: T1.11, T1.12 & T1.18

SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
GASP OPS4 (RE)	A4.5 RE-5 Conduct continuous evaluations of the performance of the SEIs	2023	FSD DAAR	AirlinesATOsANSAPS	 Reduction in RE incidents Completion of training / awareness sessions 	High	MORs / SDCPS

PLN-001-XXSP-1.0 App.A-11 15/06/2022



HRC 5: LOSS OF CONTROL INFLIGHT (LOC-I)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Targets: T1.16 to T1.17

SEI	Action	Timeline	Targets: T1. Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
GASP OPS2 (LOC)	 A5.1 LOC-1 Require upset prevention and recovery training in all full flight simulator type conversion and recurrent training programmes Require more time devoted to training for the pilot monitoring role 	2023	FSD	 Airlines / Operators ATOs FSD Pilot's Associations 	 Training programmes updated with upset prevention and recovery Number/percentage of pilots completing upset prevention and recovery training Upset occurrence rates in voluntary reporting Stick-shaker activation events in FDA data LOC-I occurrence rates 	High	Surveillance of operator and ATO training activities
GASP OPS2 (LOC)	A5.2 LOC-2 Validate the effectiveness of the SEIs through the analysis of MORs, VORs and accident / incident investigations (apply safety management methodologies)	2023	FSD	 Airlines / Operators ATOs FSD Pilot's Associations 	Reduction in LOC-I events	High	Surveillance of operator and ATO training activities through SDCPS
GASP OPS2 (LOC)	A5.3 LOC-3 Identify additional contributing factors, e,g, Distraction, Adverse weather, Complacency, Inadequate standard operating procedures (SOPs) for effective flight management, Insufficient	2023	FSD	 Airlines / Operators ATOs FSD Pilot's Associations 	Reduction in LOC-I events	High	Surveillance of operator and ATO training activities through SDCPS



HRC 5: LOSS OF CONTROL INFLIGHT (LOC-I)

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Targets: T1.16 to T1.17

SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
	height above terrain for recovery, Lack of awareness of or competence in procedures for recovery from unusual aircraft attitudes, Inappropriate flight control inputs in response to a sudden awareness of an abnormal bank angle						
GASP OPS2 (LOC)	A5.4 LOC-5 Conduct continuous evaluations of the performance of the SEIs	2023	FSD	 Airlines / Operators ATOs FSD Pilot's Associations 	Reduction in LOC-I events	High	Surveillance of operator and ATO training activities through SDCPS



OTHER SAFETY ISSUES: WILDLIFE / BIRD STRIKE HAZARD

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Targets: T1.8 to T1.10

			Paspansible				Monitorina
SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
NASP WSH	A6.1 Reiterate reporting of wildlife / bird sightings by pilots	2023	DAAR (AS) / FSD	Airport Operators (APS)Airlines / Operators	Bird Hit reports	High	• SDCPS
NASP WSH	A6.2 Awareness campaign in localities and schools regarding wildlife / bird hazards	2023	APS Dte	Airport	No. of awareness campaigns / sessions	Medium	Monitoring of Airports
NASP WSH	A6.3 Dedicated team managed by trained staff to ensure implementation of wildlife / bird strike control measures	2023	APS Dte	Airport OperatorsAirlines / Operators	Trained work force	Medium	Monitoring of Airports
NASP WSH	A6.4 Review of existing mitigation action and identify the areas of improvement with airport management	2023	APS Dte SQMS Dte	Airport OperatorsAirlines / Operators	Bird hit reports	Medium	• SDCPS
NASP WSH	A6.5 Apprise administration of all the Federal & Provincial Governments, through communication to the Chief Secretaries of the provinces on the importance of compliance of Rule 70 of the CARs	2023	APS Dte SQMS Dte	Airport OperatorsAirlines / Operators	No. of meetings	Medium	Monitoring of Airports
NASP WSH	A6.6	2023	APS Dte	Airport Operators	Bird hit reports	Medium	• SDCPS



	Instruct management of all airports to take effective steps for mitigation of wildlife / bird hazard			Airlines / Operators			
NASP WSH	A6.7 Detailed study of the wildlife species around the airport.	2023	APS Dte SQMS Dte	Airport Operators Airlines / Operators	Submission of report	Medium	•
NASP WSH	A6.8 Adoption of Habitat Management Strategies	2023	APS Dte	Airport Operators Airlines / Operators	•		•

This SPI is linked with LOC-I & RE



OTHER SAFETY ISSUES: INAPPROPRIATE HANDLING OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Target: T1.20

SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity		Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
NASP DGR	 A7.1 Establish relevant regulatory requirements for ADM operators to designate appropriate areas for the storage of dangerous goods. establish methods for the delivery storage, dispending and handling of dangerous goods at the aerodrome 	2023	DAAR (AS) FSD	•	Certified Aerodrome Operators Airline / Operators Cargo Handlers	Issuance of ANO / guidelines	Medium	•
NASP DGR	A7.2 To emphasize implementation of SMS by ground handling and to follow-up.	2023	FSD	•	Airline / Operators Cargo Handlers	Reduction in dangerous goods related incidents	Medium	• SDCPS
NASP DGR	A7.3 To encourage safety reporting among the ground handlers to identify the serious threats to aircraft on ground, especially in following areas Reporting of hazards and threats in ground handling; Promotion of the safety culture; Effective oversight on GHAs	2023	FSD	•	Airline / Operators Cargo Handlers	No of hazard reports	Medium	• SDCPS



OTHER SAFETY ISSUES: POOR / DEFICIENT MAINTENANCE

Goal 1: Improve the safety of Pakistan aviation operations across all sectors by continuously reducing operational safety risks

Targets: T1.21 to T1.26

SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
NASP MAINT	A9.1 Review of qualifying requirements for licensing of technical personnel due to advancement of technology	2023	AW PEL	AW DteAirlines / OperatorsMROs	•	High	• MORs
NASP MAINT	A9.2 PCAA, with the support of relevant service providers, shall develop programmes to encourage voluntary reporting in technical work through a non-punitive approach	2023	AW	AW DteAirlines / OperatorsMROs	No. of VORs	Medium	• VORs
NASP MAINT	A9.3 Integration of avionic system training along with other airframe / engine system	2023	AW	AW Dte Airlines / Operators MROs	No. of trainings		•
NASP MAINT	A9.4 Improve the qualifying requirement of senior technicians	2023	AW	AW DteAirlines / OperatorsMROs	•		•



APPENDIX - B

ORGANISATIONAL CHALLENGES (ORG) ROADMAP

STATE SAFETY OVERSIGHT SYSTEM

Goal 2: Strengthen Pakistan's safety oversight capabilities

			Targets: T2.1	to T2.8			
SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
GASP 1.1 SEI-1 (Consistent implementation of ICAO SARPs at the national level)	A10.1 Work at the national level to address significant safety concerns as a priority	2022	SSP	All Regulatory DtesAll Service Providers	Removal of SSC	High	ICAO Monitoring Tool
	A10.2 Address all priority protocol questions (PQs) of the USOAP CMA	2022	SSP	All Regulatory Dtes	 Number / percentage of responded PQs Number / percentage of unsatisfied PQs 	High	ICAO Monitoring Tool
	A10.3 Review primary aviation law and regulations, to empower the competent authority to conduct regulatory oversight, this includes separation of oversight functions and service provision functions (CE-1 and CE-2)	2022	SSP	All Regulatory Dtes	Implementation and enforcement of CAA ordinance	High	•
	A10.4 Increase the level of compliance with ICAO SARPs and the EI of CEs at the national level (CE-1 to CE-5)	2022	SSP	All Regulatory Dtes All Service Providers	Reduction in number of NCs / Observations during annual oversight audits / inspections	High	Oversight inspections of service providers
GASP 1.1 SEI-2 (Development of a	A10.5 Establish and maintain an independent regulatory oversight authority, which includes separation of oversight functions	2022	SSP	All Regulatory DtesAll Service Providers	Implementation and enforcement of CAA ordinance	High	•



comprehensive regulatory oversight	from service provision functions where these exist within the authority (CE-3)						
framework)	A10.6 Develop an effective system to promulgate technical guidance and tools, and provide safety-critical information needed for technical personnel to effectively perform their safety oversight functions (CE-5)	2022	SSP	All Regulatory Dtes	Issuance and implementation of the guidance material	Medium	•
	A10.7 Establish an effective system to attract, recruit, train and retain qualified and sufficient technical personnel to support regulatory oversight (CE-3 and CE-4)	2022	DHR / DGCAA	All Regulatory Dtes	 Recruitment system updated with new recruitment package Number of training sessions on safety inspector/oversight Number / percentage of personnel completing safety inspector/oversight training 	Medium	•
GASP 1.1 SEI-4 (Strategic allocation of resources to enable effective safety oversight)	A10.8 Confirm executive or legislative mandate to receive financial resources from government or other external sources and expend them (CE-1)	2022	Finance Dte / DGCAA	All Regulatory Dtes	Implementation and enforcement of CAA ordinance and segregation / establishment of financial resources	Medium	•
	A10.9 Establish a process for the resource planning and allocation in alignment with a competent authority's organizational structure, which is required to conduct effective safety oversight (CE-2	2022	DHR / DGCAA	All Regulatory Dtes	Implementation and enforcement of CAA ordinance and segregation / establishment of organizational structure	Medium	•



	and CE-3). SEI-1 and SEI-5 could be used to identify resource requirements (CE-1 to CE-5)					
	A10.10 Obtain a sustainable and stable source of financing through commitments from the national and agency leadership and other stakeholders (CE-1 to CE-3). For small scope short-term improvements: • Utilize the ICAO Safety Fund (SAFE), or other means to acquire technical and financial assistance in coordination with RASG-APAC/RSOO/ICAO Regional Office	2022	Finance Dte / DGCAA	All Regulatory Dtes	Implementation and enforcement of CAA ordinance and segregation / establishment of financial resources Implementation of MoUs established with SAFE/WB etc, if any	
	Seek assistance from sources of financing (World Bank, Asian Development Bank, etc.) in coordination with RASG / RSOO / ICAO Regional Office					
GASP 1.1 SEI-4 (Qualified technical personnel to	A10.11 Establish an effective system to identify and track qualifications and training of existing technical personnel (CE-4)	2022	DHR / DGCAA	All Regulatory DtesIT BranchHR Dte	Identification of the gaps in qualifications and training of existing technical personnel	•
support effective safety oversight)	A10.12 Identify the gaps in qualified technical personnel and training requirements necessary to implement the oversight mandate (CE-4)	2022	DHR / DGCAA	All Regulatory DtesHR Dte	 Training policies / programmes updated with new plan Number of training sessions of safety technical personnel Number / percentage of technical personnel 	•



				completing relevant trainings	
A10.13 Establish a compens for the attraction and qualified technical position 4)	d retention of	DHR / DGCAA	All Regulatory DtesHR Dte	 Implementation of compensation scheme Number/percentage of qualified technical personnel retained more than 12 months after recruitment 	•
A10.14 Establish human ressupport hiring and reappropriate number technical personnel 4)	etention of the of qualified	DHR / DGCAA	All Regulatory DtesHR Dte	 Implementation of HR plan(s) Number/percentage of qualified technical personnel retained more than 12 months after recruitment 	•
A10.15 Implement training programmes for tecl personnel and verify and frequency of training successfully comple recurrent, specialize job training) are suff acquire/maintain the qualifications and lecompetence correspassigned duties and responsibilities of tepersonnel (CE-4)	nnical that the type ining ted (i.e., initial, ad and on-the- icient to e required vel of bonding to the	DHR / SSP	 All Regulatory Dtes HR Dte 	 Training policies/ programmes updated with new plan Number of training sessions of safety technical personnel Number / percentage of technical personnel completing relevant trainings 	•
A10.16 Develop a process for changing needs for technical personnel and develop proced	qualified requirements	DHR	All Regulatory Dtes		•



hiring, retention and training of			
personnel needs, in coordination			
with SEI-4B (CE-4)			

15/06/2022 App.B-5 PLN-001-XXSP-1.0



SSP IMPLEMENTATION

Goal 3: Embed an effective SSP that delivers an acceptable level of safety performance

	Targ	ets:	T3.1	to	T3.4
--	------	------	------	----	------

			Targets: T3.1	to T3.4			
SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
GASP 2.2 SEI-10 to 12 3.1 SEI-7	A11.1 Support the robust implementation and continuous improvement of SMS and SSP	2022	SSP	 All Regulatory Dtes All Service Providers 	 No. of SSP-related courses/workshops conducted for aviation stakeholders No. of stakeholders participated in courses / workshops 	Low	Progress report to SSP
GASP 2.2 SEI-11 3.1 SEI-1 & SEI-7	A11.2 Improve the sharing of best practices in safety management, safety data and analyses among all the service providers	2022	SSP	 All Regulatory Dtes All Service Providers 	 No. of SSP-related sharing sessions / presentations; No. of SSP areas covered; No. of Regulatory Dtes / Service Providers which presented 	Low	Progress report to SSP



INCREASING CAPACITY BUILDING & COLLABORATION

Goal 4: Move towards data-driven regulatory oversight
Targets: T4.1 to T4.2

SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
GASP 2.1.1 SEI-5; 2.1.2 SEI-9	A12.1 Establish a mechanism to collect and analyse SSP SPIs data from service providers	2022	SSP / All Regulatory Dtes	 All Regulatory Dtes All Service Providers	Completion of documented action plan	High	• SDCPS
GASP 2.2 SEI-13; 3.1 SEI-4C; 3.1 SEI-7 (C,D,E,F)	A12.2 Establish and populate a State Risk Register	2022	SSP / All Regulatory Dtes	All Regulatory Dtes All Service Providers	Completion of Risk Register	High	• SDCPS
GASP 2.2 SEI-7	A12.3 Develop guidance on governance framework for aviation Safety data sharing projects	2022	SSP / All Regulatory Dtes	 All Regulatory Dtes All Service Providers	 Completion of communication 	Medium	 Progress report to SSP
GASP 2.2 SEI-16; 3.1 SEI-4C; 3.1 SEI-7 (C,D,E,F)	A12.4 Develop a more data-driven, precision-/targeted approach of identifying risks	2022	SSP / All Regulatory Dtes	All Regulatory DtesAll Service Providers	 No. of meetings represented 	Medium	 Progress report to SSP



INCREASING CAPACITY BUILDING & COLLABORATION

Goal 5: Ensure the appropriate infrastructure is available to support safe operations

Targets: T5.1 to T5.4

SEI	Action	Timeline	Responsible entity	Stakeholders	Metrics/Indicators	Priority	Monitoring Activity
GASP 1.1 SEI-1 1.1 SEI-3 1.1 SEI-4	A13.1 Support APS / Airport Operators on the implementation of the ICAO Annex 14 requirements to achieve compliance with regards to Aerodrome Design and Operations, through Workshops/Training	2023	DAAR / ICAO Cell	DAAR / SSPAPS / Airport OperatorsRASG-APAC	 Number of sessions conducted Reduced observations / findings on Annex 14 requirements 	High	Annual Safety Audit reports
GASP 1.1 SEI-6	A13.2 Enhance capacity building for CAA Regulatory and Airport Operators related to aerodromes certification through Workshops/Training	2023	DAAR / ICAO Cell	DAAR / SSPAPS / Airport OperatorsRASG-APAC	 Number of sessions conducted Reduced observations / findings on Annex 14 requirements 	High	Annual Safety Audit reports
GASP 1.1 SEI-6	A13.3 Develop guidance material on Apron Management	<mark>2022</mark>	DAAR / SSP	APS / Airport Operators	 Completion of guidance material 	Medium	 Progress report to SSP
GASP 1.1 SEI-4	A13.4 Upgradation of ATM System by ANSP	<mark>2023</mark>	DAAR / OPS Dte	CNS DteOPS Dte	Installation of ATM System	<mark>Medium</mark>	Progress report to SSP
GASP 1.1 SEI-4	A13.5 Review and revision of ATS routes	2023	DAAR / OPS Dte	OPS DteCNS Dte	 Implementation of revised ATS routes 	Medium	 Progress report to SSP



APPENDIX - C

ABBREVIATIONS & ACRONYMS

ADM Aerodrome

ANS Air Navigation Services

ANSP Air Navigation Services Provider

APAC Asia Pacific Region

APRASP Asia Pacific Regional Aviation Safety Plan

APS Airport Services

ADB Asian Development Bank
CAA Civil Aviation Authority

CE Critical Element

CFIT Controlled Flight Into Terrain

CMA Continuous Monitoring Approach

DAAR Directorate of Airspace & Aerodrome Regulations

DG CAA Director General Civil Aviation Authority

DHR Director Human Resource

Dte Directorate

EGPWS Enhanced Ground Proximity Warning System

El Effective Implementation
FSD Flight Standards Directorate

GASP Global Aviation Safety Plan

GHA Ground Handling Agency / Agent

HRC High Risk Categories of Occurrences

ICAO International Civil Aviation Organization

LOC-I Loss of Control in-flight

MAC AIRPROX/ TCAS alert/ loss of separation/ near miss collisions/ mid-air collisions

NASP National Aviation Safety Plan

OPS Flight Operations (USOAP Audit Area)

Ops Operational (Safety)

ORG Civil Aviation Organisation (USOAP Audit Area)

Org Organisational / Systemic

PCAA Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority

PQ Protocol Question

RASG Regional aviation safety group

RSOO Regional safety oversight organization

SAFE ICAO Safety Fund

SARPs Standards and Recommended Practices

SEI Safety Enhancement Initiative(s)



SM ICG Safety Management International Collaboration Group

SMS Safety Management System
SPI Safety Performance Indicator
SPT Safety Performance Target

SQMS Safety & Quality Management System

SSC Significant Safety Concern SSP State Safety Programme

UN United Nations

USOAP Universal Safety Oversight Audit Programme

VOR Voluntary Occurrence Report

WB World Bank

WSH Wildlife / Bird Strike Hazard