



International Civil Aviation Organization

MIDANPIRG Airspace Management Working Group

Third Meeting (ASM WG/3)  
(Virtual, 21 – 22 January 2026)

---

---

**Agenda Item 2:           ASM Developments**

**FOLLOW UP ON THE OUTCOMES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETINGS**

*(Presented by the Secretariat)*

**SUMMARY**

This paper presents the outcomes of previous meetings (MIDANPIRG/22, ATM SG/11 and ASM WG/2) Conclusions and Decisions relevant to ASM and the follow-up actions taken.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

**REFERENCES**

- ASM WG/2 Meeting (Virtual, 27 January 2025)
- ATM SG/11 Meeting (Abu Dhabi, UAE, 19-23 October 2025)
- MIDANPIRG/22 Meeting (Doha, Qatar, 4-8 May 2025)

**1.       INTRODUCTION**

1.1           The Airspace Management Working Group was established by the MIDANPIRG/21 Decision to address the challenges in Airspace Management and provide a forum for the ATM specialists in the Region to work together to improve safety and efficiency, increase airspace capacity to meet future demand requirements; and reduce the environmental impact of increasing air traffic by offering improved ATM operations.

**2.       DISCUSSION**

2.1           The meeting may recall that all Sub-Groups are tasked with the follow-up on the implementation process related to their work and to inform MIDANPIRG on progress, achievement, and challenges being encountered, the list of MIDANPIRG Conclusions, Decisions and follow-up actions taken as well as ATM SG/11 Draft Conclusions and Decisions are presented in **Appendix A**.

**3.       ACTION BY THE MEETING**

3.1           The meeting is invited to:

- a) note the follow-up actions on the list of Conclusions and Decisions, and the tasks emanating from the ATM SG/11 as at **Appendix A**; and
- b) provide updates, as appropriate.

-----

FOLLOW-UP ON MIDANPIRG/21 & 22 CONCLUSIONS & DECISIONS

No.	CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	CONCERNS/ CHALLENGES (RATIONALE)	DELIVERABLE/ TO BE INITIATED BY		TARGET DATE	STATUS/REMARKS
C.21/5	<p><b>OPTIMIZATION OF MID REGION ATS ROUTE DESIGNATOR</b></p> <p><i>That, the ICAO MID Office:</i></p> <p>a) <i>based on Traffic Data Sample (TDS) identify the main flows of the region to maintain their ATS route designators as much as possible through various consecutive FIRs and regions with coordination of relevant States and ICAO Regional Offices; and</i></p> <p>b) <i>process required Proposal for Amendment (PfA) to the MID eANP Vol II, Table ATM II-MID-I</i></p>	<p>Harmonize the use of ATS Route designators</p>	<p>PfA for revised ANP Vol II table ATM II-MID-1</p>	<p>MID States</p>	<p>2024</p>	<p>On going  (WP/3 refer)</p>
D.22/10	<p><b>PROJECT 30/10 ROADMAP</b></p> <p><i>That, the ATM SG develop roadmap for the implementation of Project 30/10 in the MID Region, including the inter-regional aspects.</i></p>	<p>Optimize the Longitudinal separation between FIRs</p>	<p>Project 30/10 implementation roadmap</p>	<p>MID States</p>	<p>2026</p>	<p>On going  (WP/6 refer)</p>
C.22/11	<p><b>IMPLEMENTATION OF REDUCED LONGITUDINAL SEPARATION IN THE MID REGION</b></p> <p><i>That,</i></p> <p>a) <i>States, that have not yet done so:</i></p> <p>i. <i>be urged to implement reduction of longitudinal separation where appropriate:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>reduce longitudinal separation down to 10 NM; where ATS surveillance service provided; and</i></li> <li>- <i>reduce longitudinal separation down to 30 NM, where no ATS surveillance service provided.</i></li> </ul> <p>ii. <i>be invited to agree with their adjacent FIRs/States on the date of implementation and updating of the LoAs.</i></p>	<p>Optimize the Longitudinal separation between FIRs</p>	<p>Revised LoA</p>	<p>MID States</p>	<p>2026</p>	<p>On going  (WP/5 refer)</p>

No.	CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	CONCERNS/ CHALLENGES (RATIONALE)	DELIVERABLE/ TO BE INITIATED BY		TARGET DATE	STATUS/REMARKS
	<i>b) the ATM SG monitors the progress of implementation and undertakes necessary measures to promote its advancement.</i>					
D.22/12	<b>AIRSPACE MANAGEMENT WORKING GROUP (ASM WG) TERMS OF REFERENCE</b>  <i>That, the Terms of Reference of the Airspace Management Working Group, at Appendix 5H, is endorsed.</i>	Required to identify the Working Group scope of activities	Terms of Reference	ASM WG	2025	Completed
C.22/13	<b>FREE ROUTE IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE MATERIAL</b>  <i>That, the Guidance material for Free Route implementation at Appendix 5I, is endorsed.</i>	To support MID States for implementation of FRA	Guidance material for development of FRA	MID States	2025	Completed

**FOLLOW-UP ON ATM SG/11 DRAFT CONCLUSIONS & DECISIONS**

No.	CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	CONCERNS/ CHALLENGES (RATIONALE)	DELIVERABLE/ TO BE INITIATED BY		TARGET DATE	STATUS/REMARKS
DC.11/2	<b>PROPOSAL FOR AMENDMENT TO THE MID eANP VOLUME II, PART I, TABLE GEN II-1</b>  <i>That, the ICAO MID Office follow the process of the required Proposal for Amendment (PfA) to revise MID eANP, Volume II, Part I, Table GEN II- 1(Homogeneous areas and major traffic flows identified in the Region).</i>	Keep update related table in MID ANP Volume II	Draft PfA	ASM WG	2026	On going  (WP/7 refer)
DC.11/3	<b>PROPOSAL FOR AMENDMENT TO THE MID eANP VOLUME II, FF-ICE B0/1 (AIDC/OLDI) APPLICABILITY AREA</b>  <i>That, the ICAO MID Office follows the process of the required</i>	Keep update related table in MID ANP Volume II based on operational needs	Draft PfA	ASM WG	2026	On going  (WP/8 refer)

No.	CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	CONCERNS/ CHALLENGES (RATIONALE)	DELIVERABLE/ TO BE INITIATED BY		TARGET DATE	STATUS/REMARKS
	Proposal for Amendment (PfA) to revise AIDC/OLDI applicability area priority 1 in ANP Volume II.					
DC.11/4	<p><b>IMPLEMENTATION OF REDUCED LONGITUDINAL SEPARATION IN THE MID REGION</b></p> <p><i>That,</i></p> <p><i>a) States, that have not yet done so:</i></p> <p><i>i. be urged to implement reduction of longitudinal separation where appropriate:</i></p> <p><i>- reduce longitudinal separation down to 10 NM; where ATS surveillance service is provided, and</i></p> <p><i>- reduce longitudinal separation minimum subject to PANS ATM Chapter 5, 5.4.2.2, 5.4.2.3, 5.4.2.4, 5.4.2.6 &amp; 5.4.2.9; where ATS surveillance service is not provided, and</i></p> <p><i>ii. be invited to agree with their adjacent FIRs/States on the date of implementation and updating of the LoAs.</i></p> <p><i>b) the ASM Working Group to:</i></p> <p><i>i. monitor the progress of implementation and undertakes necessary</i></p> <p><i>i. monitor the progress of implementation and undertakes necessary measures to promote its advancement.</i></p> <p><i>ii. develop a guidance material to implement the different method of separation mentioned in PANS ATM Chapter 5, 5.4.2.2, 5.4.2.3, 5.4.2.4, 5.4.2.6 &amp; 5.4.2.9.</i></p>	Optimize the Longitudinal separation between FIRs	Revised LoA	MID States		On going  (WP/5 refer)