I. Status of implementation of the conclusions and decisions of the previous meetings of the SMO/SG, as well as those of the AASPG/1 meeting applicable to the Sub-Group

Conc./Dec. No Strategic Objective	Title of Conc./Dec.	Text of Conclusion / Decisions	Responsibility	Action by ANC	Deadline	Deliverable	Status of Implementation	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
SMO/SG1 Conclusion 1 /01	***Establishment of the Project Teams and the nomination of Project Team Coordinators***	That, to establish Project Teams for the SMO Sub-Group identified projects, the Secretariat to: a) Expedite the review of the curriculum vitae (CVs) of the list of Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) nominated by States and Organizations for the purpose of selecting suitable candidates, to be responsible for the development of project documents for the proposed projects; and support their implementation; and b) Facilitate the nomination of the Project Team Coordinators (PTC).	**a) ICAO Secretariat** **b) States**	-	14/10/25	**Establishment of Project Teams; and Nomination of PTCs and APTCs.**	**Completed**	The project teams were set up as follows: 1. Strengthening of States' Safety Oversight Systems; 2. Development/Revision and implementation of AFI-RASP and NASPs; 3. Establishment of SDCPS; 4. Safety Management – SSP; 5. Aircraft Accident and Incident Investigation (AIG); and 6. Monitoring of SMO/SG-related Conclusions and Decisions The PTCs and APTCs were nominated.
SMO/SG1 Decision 01 /01	***Review of proposed Projects Descriptions***	That, to capture broader perspectives of the activities to be undertaken by the Project Teams for the	**a) Subject matter experts nominated**	-	4/09/25	**Endorsement by PRCC of the project documents;**	**Ongoing**	The project documents on Strengthening of State Safety Oversight System and SDCPS was developed, subsequently endorsed by

Conc./Dec. No Strategic Objective	Title of Conc./Dec.	Text of Conclusion / Decisions	Responsibility	Action by ANC	Deadline	Deliverable	Status of Implementation	
	and Development of the Terms of Reference (ToR) for the Project Teams.	various projects identified by the SMO/SG, the Project Teams to: Review and develop the related project documents for further consideration by the AASPG.	**by States and Organizations;** **b) ICAO Secretariat**			**and Approval by AASPG**		the PRCC/2 Meeting for further consideration and endorsement by the AASPG/2 Meeting. Refer to AASPG/1 Decision 1/25
SMO/SG1 Decision 01 /02	***Review of proposed Projects Descriptions and Development of the Terms of Reference (ToR) for the Project Teams.***	That, to provide the required support to the States within the AFI Region, in the relevant priority areas, the following proposed projects are endorsed: 1. Strengthening of States Safety Oversight system; 2. Development and implementation of AFI - RASP and NASP; 3. Safety data collection and processing system; 4. State Safety Programme Implementation and documentation; 5. Establishment of Regional Pool of Investigators; and 6. Development and Implementation of a mechanism for the	**a) Subject matter experts nominated by States and Organizations and ICAO Secretariat** **b) PRCC/1 Meeting** **c) AASPG/1 Meeting**	-	a) 04/09/25 b) 12/09/25 c) 7/11/25	**Endorsement by PRCC of the projects descriptions and ToRs of Project Teams endorsed by PRCC; and Approval by AASPG**	**Completed**	The AASPG/1 Decision 1/25 endorsed projects descriptions and the TORs for the Project Teams.

Conc./Dec. No Strategic Objective	Title of Conc./Dec.	Text of Conclusion / Decisions	Responsibility	Action by ANC	Deadline	Deliverable	Status of Implementation	
		Monitoring of SMO/SG-related conclusions and decisions.						
AASPG/1 Conclusion 1/02	***Revision of the Abuja Safety Targets***	That, to foster the implementation of the revised Abuja Safety Targets (ASTs) 2024, a) States are encouraged to integrate the Revised Abuja Safety Targets into their respective national aviation plans and develop corresponding action Plans; and b) AFCAC is invited to establish a Monitoring and Reporting Framework by March 2026 to support States and regional entities to regularly report implementation progress.	**a) States**	-	-	**States' national aviation plans integrating the revised Abuja Safety Targets**	**Ongoing**	
			b) AFCAC	-	31/03/26	**Monitoring and Reporting Framework on implementation of the Abuja Safety Targets**	**Ongoing**	AFCAC to update the Meeting
AASPG/1 Decision 1/16	***Improvement of the GNSS RFI Risk Management***	That, to support States, Air Navigation Service Providers (ANSPs) and aviation stakeholders in identifying, assessing and mitigating the risks associated with Radio	**Secretariat**	-	31/12/26	**Workshop on Radio Navigation conducted**	**Completed**	The Secretariat implemented the decision through two back-to-back AFI regional workshops (*WRC-27 Preparatory Workshop*, 2–3 March 2026, and *AFI Regional Workshop on Spectrum Management*, 4–5 March 2026), which addressed GNSS RFI

Conc./Dec. No Strategic Objective	Title of Conc./Dec.	Text of Conclusion / Decisions	Responsibility	Action by ANC	Deadline	Deliverable	Status of Implementation	
		Frequency Interference (RFI) affecting GNSS, the Secretariat to organise a workshop on Radio Navigation.						and supported States with technical guidance, including ICAO positions and draft AFI GNSS RFI reporting procedures; these workshops engaged 22 AFI States and regional organizations (ASECNA, ATU), with approximately 60 in-person and 30 virtual participants, and contributions from FSMP members (ICAO, ITU and partner States). Additionally, the ESAF Office, supported the EAC/CASSOA Regional Workshop on Cybersecurity and GNSS Resilience (Bujumbura, 15–17 April 2026), attended by 43 participants from six EAC States, covering cybersecurity frameworks, GNSS RFI (jamming/spoofing), reporting procedures, and risk-based surveillance through practical exercises.
							Ongoing	**Follow-up** Further follow-up is ensured through a dedicated GNSS RFI session scheduled during AFI Week (end July 2026).
AASPG/1 Decision 1/21	***Terms of Reference (TOR), Composition, Working Methods and***	That, to avoid duplication item "d" and "f" of the tasks of the Sub-Group under the section of the TOR, the revised Terms of Reference, Composition, Working	**Secretariat**	-	7/11/25	**Endorsement of the terms of reference of the SMO Sub-Group**	**Completed**	On 7 November 2025, AASPG/1 Decision 1/21 approved the Composition, Working Methods and Work Programme of the SMO/SG.

Conc./Dec. No Strategic Objective	Title of Conc./Dec.	Text of Conclusion / Decisions	Responsibility	Action by ANC	Deadline	Deliverable	Status of Implementation	
	Work Programme of the Safety Management and Oversight Sub-Group (SMO/SG)	Methods, and Work Programme of the Safety Management and Oversight Sub-Group (SMO/SG) is endorsed, with deletion of item "f" under the section of the TOR on "Tasks of the Sub-Group."						
AASPG/1 Conclusion 1/23	***Establishment and Operationalization of the SMO Sub-Group - Project based approach***	That, to support the SMO Sub-Group activities: a) States and Organizations to nominate qualified subject matter experts for participation in the SMO/SG Project Teams, ensuring that they meet the specific requirements of the projects; and b) States to cooperate by releasing and sponsoring their nominated subject matter experts for participation in the implementation of the SMO/SG projects; and allocating the necessary resources.	**Secretariat, States and Organizations**	-	31/12/2025	**Establishment of project teams**	**Ongoing**	States have nominated subject matter experts in each project area. However, ensuring their effective participation in the respective Project Teams' activities remains a challenge. Further commitment from States to release and sponsor their nominated experts and ensure their active participation is needed to support effective project implementation. This challenge is reflected in SMO/SG 2 meeting report and the working paper on the SMO/SG outcomes.

Conc./Dec. No Strategic Objective	Title of Conc./Dec.	Text of Conclusion / Decisions	Responsibility	Action by ANC	Deadline	Deliverable	Status of Implementation	
AASPG/1 Decision 1/24	***Updates on the Global Aviation Safety Plan (GASP) and the Regional Aviation Safety Plan (AFI-RASP).***	That, to align the AFI-RASP with the upcoming GASP 2026-2028 Edition, a) The Safety Management and Oversight Sub-Group (SMO/SG) to establish a Project Team to review the AFI-RASP 2023-2025 Edition. b) ICAO to continue to provide support to States in developing or revising their NASPs including through Regional NASP Development Workshops.	**a) SMO/SG States**	-	Q3 2026	**SMO/SG Project Team for the development, update and Implementation of AFI-RASP and NASPs established**	**Completed**	a) SMO/SG Project Team has been established and currently reviewing the AFI-RASP 2023-2025 Edition for alignment with the GASP 2026-2028 Edition.
			b) ICAO		Q2 2026		**Ongoing**	c) The Secretariat implemented the decision through AFI RASP/NASP Development Workshop conducted in: 1. Windhoek, Namibia from 17-21 August 2026, the workshop was attended by 44 participants from 12 ESAF States and industry stakeholders. 2. Libreville, Gabon, from 31 august to September 2026.The Workshop was attended by 54 participants from 14 States, aviation industry, Regional and International Organizations. 3. The draft revision of the AFI-RASP will be presented for validation at a workshop from 19 to 23 October 2026. States were urged to develop/revise their NASPs in alignment with the Regional and Global Aviation Safety Plans.

Conc./Dec. No Strategic Objective	Title of Conc./Dec.	Text of Conclusion / Decisions	Responsibility	Action by ANC	Deadline	Deliverable	Status of Implementation	
								4. Ten WACAF States and 7 ESAF States have published their NASPs by 30 September 2026. Out of these, two States have already aligned their NASPs with the GASP 2026-2028 Edition.
AASPG/1 Decision 1/25	***Review of proposed Projects Descriptions and Development of the Terms of Reference (ToR) for the Project Teams.***	That, to provide targeted support to States, in priority areas within the AFI region, a) the proposed projects descriptions in Appendix 26 are endorsed. b) SMO/SG Project Teams to develop the corresponding project documents for further consideration by the AASPG.	**a) AASPG**	-	7/11/25	**Projects Documents and ToRs of Project Teams endorsed by PRCC; and Approved by AASPG**	**Completed**	The project documents on Strengthening of State Safety Oversight System and SDCPS was developed
			b) SMEs		30/09/26		**Ongoing**	Projects Documents on Strengthening of State Safety Oversight System and SDCPS endorsed by the PRCC/2 Meeting for further consideration and endorsement by the AASPG/2 Meeting.
AASPG/1 Decision 1/32	***AASPG Annual Reporting***	That to ensure the effective and timely release of the annual aviation system report and support the transition to an integrated reporting framework, a) The 2024 Annual safety and Air Navigation report is endorsed, and	**a) AASPG**	**-**	7/11/25	**2024 Report released** **AART established** **Annual report Template developed**	**Ongoing**	a) AAR1 is under development finalized and covers both 2024 and 2025, extending the reporting period beyond the 2024 report endorsed by AASPG/1. It comprises two parts: Part 1- Safety and Part 2 - Air Navigation. Each part is being developed using existing methodology by its

Conc./Dec. No Strategic Objective	Title of Conc./Dec.	Text of Conclusion / Decisions	Responsibility	Action by ANC	Deadline	Deliverable	Status of Implementation	
		the Secretariat to coordinate its release. b) The Secretariat to coordinate the nomination of the AASPG Annual Reporting Team members. c) The AART to submit the proposed template of the integrated annual aviation system report for consideration.						respective editorial teams (ASRT and AART, respectively). The delay between the reporting period and publication reduces the timeliness of the information and limits the report's value as a current regional performance and planning tool. The 2 years delay was attributable mainly to incomplete or late data submissions, limited States contribution and insufficient continuity in the reporting team, including the inactivity of some members.
			b) ICAO		31/12/25		**Ongoing**	b) The AART was yet to be established.
			c) AART		AASPG/2		**Ongoing**	c) The proposed structure and content of the Report are submitted to AASPG/2 for consideration and endorsement. The First Edition using the revised format is expected to be published in 2027. The high-level structure and content of the report would include, - Executive Summary; - Introduction;

Conc./Dec. No Strategic Objective	Title of Conc./Dec.	Text of Conclusion / Decisions	Responsibility	Action by ANC	Deadline	Deliverable	Status of Implementation	
								- Regional Aviation Safety Performance; - Air Navigation Capacity and Efficiency. - Other Regional Initiatives

II. Analysis of the conclusions and decisions of the RASG AFI and SMO SG meetings

1. Conclusions and Decisions

No.	Meeting	Conclusions	Decisions	Sub Total	Implementation Status		
					Completed	In progress	Not Started
1.	SMO/SG Establishment	2	0	2	1	1	Nil
2.	SMO/SG 1	1	Nil	1	1	Nil	Nil
3.	RASG – AFI/10	7	10	17	5	12	Nil
4.	RASG – AFI/9	9	-	9	3	6	Nil
5.	RASG – AFI/8	15	4	19	6	12	1
6.	RASG – AFI/7	13	5	18	11	7	Nil
7.	RASG – AFI/6	12	12	24	10	13	1
8.	RASG – AFI/5	17	13	30	13	13	4
9.	RASG – AFI/4	11	11	22	11	11	Nil
10.	RASG – AFI/3	15	18	33	21	12	Nil
11.	RASG – AFI/2	11	9	20	6	14	Nil
12.	RASG – AFI/1	Nil	5	5	5	Nil	Nil
Grand Total		113	87	200	93	101	6
Percentage		56.5 %	43.5 %		46.5 %	50.5 %	3 %

1. Further Action proposed

No.	Proposed Action	No. of conclusions and decisions	Percentage	Remarks
1.	Secretariat follow up and update	12	6 %	Require further analysis by the secretariat for relevance and effectiveness
2.	Coordination with AFCAC	17	8.5 %	Require update from AFCAC on Abuja Safety Targets
3.	Coordination with other Subgroups	11	5.5 %	Require final analysis by the other subgroups
4.	Coordination with other SMO SG project teams	32	16 %	Require final analysis by the other SMO SG project teams
5.	No further action requires	89	44.5 %	Completed and require archiving
6.	Overtaken by events	8	4 %	Affected by changing circumstances
7.	Merge with similar conclusions and decisions	14	7 %	Require to be merged with similar conclusions or decisions
8.	Revise the conclusions and decisions	17	8.5 %	May require splitting or revision to align with current circumstances
Total		200	100 %	

- END -