

INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION

TWENTY EIGHTH MEETING OF THE ASIA/PACIFIC AIR NAVIGATION PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION REGIONAL GROUP (APANPIRG/28)

Bangkok, Thailand, 11 to 14 September 2017

Agenda Item 1B: Aviation Safety and RASG-APAC Activities

APRAST/10 AND RASG/7 MEETING OUTCOMES

(Presented by the Secretariat)

SUMMARY

This paper shares with the APANPIRG and its sub-groups the outcomes of APRAST/10 and RASG-APAC/7 meetings which may be of interest to APANPIRG.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Regional Aviation Safety Group Asia and Pacific Regions (RASG-APAC) was established in October 2011 following the ICAO Council decision in May 2010 and supported by the 47th Conference of the Directors General of Civil Aviation, Asia and Pacific Regions in October 2010.
- 1.2 Consistent with the Planning and Implementation Regional Group (PIRG) mechanism established for addressing air navigation matters and deficiencies, the RASG-APAC has been established with the primary objective of addressing flight safety matters.
- 1.3 The RASG-APAC Term-of-Reference (TOR) calls for the development and implementation of a work programme that supports a regional performance framework for the management of safety.
- 1.4 To assist RASG-APAC in meeting its objectives, Asia Pacific Regional Safety Team (APRAST) supported by various sub-working groups like the APAC AIG, the SRP Working Group and SEI Working Group were established.
- 1.5 RASG-APAC and APRAST have provided the APAC States and industry partners with a forum to share discuss and address existing and emerging safety concerns in the APAC Region.

2. DISCUSSION

2.1 APRAST, the working arm of RSAG-APAC held its 10th meeting from 17 to 21 April 2017 in Bangkok, Thailand. APRAST/10 adopted 7 decisions and 10 conclusions. APRAST/10 Report is available on the ICAO APAC website http://www.icao.int/APAC/RASG/Pages/default.aspx. The summary of the 7 Decisions and 10 Conclusions is found in **Attachment A** to this paper.

Note: APRAST decision is adopted when the follow-up action is considered within APRAST's TOR, whereas APRAST Conclusion requires submission to RASG-APAC review and consideration before implementation.

- 2.2 On Decision APRAST 10/4 Issues of Coordination between APANPIRG and RASG-APAC subsidiary bodies, considering the many possible areas for coordination and in order to narrow these areas down, APRAST decided on large height deviations, English language proficiency and terrain/obstacle databases as possible areas for coordination to begin with.
- 2.3 On Conclusion APRAST 10/8 Coordination between APANPIRG and RASG-APAC subsidiary bodies terrain/obstacle databases and WGS-84, APANPIRG and RASG-APAC Secretariats discussed this Conclusion and will be updating APRAST that the accuracy of terrain/obstacle databases and compliance of ICAO WGS-84 standard by States/Administrations, in support of the use of Enhanced Ground Proximity Warning System (EGPWS) is already being discussed at APANPIRG.
- 2.4 Since its inception in 2011, RASG-APAC held 7 meetings. RASG-APAC/7 Meeting was held in Bangkok, Thailand, from 3 to 5 July 2017. Seven decisions and five Conclusions were adopted. Details of the adopted Decisions and Conclusions can be found in **Attachment B** to this paper.
- 2.5 Recognizing the amount of work to be accomplished, RASG-APAC approved a Work Programme to accomplish during the current year. Coordination with APANPIRG and its relevant sub-groups is an item featured. The 2017/2018 RASG-APAC Work Programme can be found in **Attachment C**. Items which may be of interest to APANPIRG are highlighted for easy reference.

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

- 3.1 The Meeting is invited to:
 - a) note the information contained in this paper; and
 - b) discuss any relevant matters as appropriate.

LIST OF APRAST/10 DECISIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

List of APRAST/10 Decisions

Decision APRAST 10/1 - Safety Tools Implementation Monitoring Mechanism		
That, the online portal for monitoring the implement of RASG-APAC endorsed safety tools, be enhanced an option for States/Administrations to indicate 100 implementation.	d with Achievement of global and regional	
Why: Monitoring the implementation of SEIs and safety tools		
When: 31 May 2017	Status: on-going	
Who: □ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/Administrations ☒ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ☒ Other: Bangladesh		
Decision APRAST 10/2 - Training on Accident Inv	vestigation	
That, APAC-AIG review the training needs of States/Administrations and, where necessary, develop additional training, taking into consideration experience and feedback from training conducted in 2017.	Expected impact: ☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets ☒ Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation ☐ Monitoring and Administration ☒ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information ☐ Inter-Regional	
Why: Improve APAC USOAP AIG EI scores		
When: Late 2017 (APRAST/11)	Status: On-going	
Who: □ APRAST ⋈ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/Administrations □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other:		

Decision APRAST 10/3 - Update of Capacity Building Task Force Breakout Session		
That, APRAST/10 approve the Standardized Capacity Building Programme developed by the Capacity Building Task Force.	Expected impact:	
Why: Capacity Building		
When: 21 Apr 2017	Status: Closed	
Who: ⊠ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG ⊠ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/ Administrations □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other:		
Decision APRAST 10/4 – Issues of Coordination between APANPIRG and RASG-APAC		
subsidiary bodies	weeli Al Alvi iko aliu kaso-al Ae	
That, Decisions APRAST 8/4, 8/23, 9/11 an consolidated (and superceded by this Decision) APRAST focuses on the following three issues: deviations, English language proficiency and terr databases, with respect to coordination between APARASG-APAC.	, such that large height regional aviation safety priorities and targets	
Why: Address CFIT and LOC operational risks in coordination with APANPIRG		
When: 21 April 2017	Status: On-going	
Who: ☐ APAC-AIG ☐ SRP WG ☐ SEI WG ☐ Capacity Building TF ☐ APAC States/Administrations ☐ ICAO APAC RO ☐ ICAO HQ ☐ Other: APANPIRG subsidiary bodies		

Decision APRAST 10/5 - SEI RE/1 (Causal factors with pilot decision to not go-around)		
That, FSF, with assistance from Secretariat disseminate the report on Go-Around Decision Making and Execution Project to APRAST members to seek comments on the report's recommendations for discussion on possible next steps at APRAST/11.	Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets	
Why: Address RS operational risks		
When: late-2017 (APRAST/11)	Status On-going	
Who: □ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF ⊠ APAC States/Administrations ⊠ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ⊠ Other: FSF		
Decision APRAST 10/6 — SEI LOC/2 (Hazard Identification and Risk Management)		
That, SEI LOC/2 be combined with SEI LOC/4, subject to agreement between the two Champions (AAPA and DCA Malaysia).	Expected impact:	
Why: Minimize duplication in LOC SEIs		
When: 31 May 2017 Status: On-going		
Who: □ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/Administrations □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ☒ Other: AAPA, DCA Malaysia		
Decision APRAST 10/7 – Cabin safety		
That, US CAST update SEI WG at APRAST/11 on treview of effectiveness of SE/78 on cabin safety, enable SEI WG to determine the next course of action	to Achievement of global and regional	
Why: Improve cabin safety		
When: Late 2017 (APRAST/11)	Status: On-going	
Who: ☐ APRAST ☐ APAC-AIG ☐ SRP WG ☒ APAC States/Administrations ☐ ICAO APAC RO	☐ SEI WG ☐ Capacity Building TF ☐ ICAO HQ ☒ Other: US CAST	

List of APRAST/10 Conclusions

Conclusion APRAST 10/1 — Inclusion of the online portal procedures in the RASG-APAC Procedural Handbook		
That, Secretariat update the RASG-APAC Procedural Handbook to include the use of the online portal which monitors the implementation of RASG-APAC endorsed safety tools, with the aim of seeking approval at RASG-APAC/7.	Expected impact: ☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets ☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation ☑ Monitoring and Administration ☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information ☐ Inter-Regional	
Why: Update the RASG-APAC Procedural Handbook		
When: July 2017 (RASG-APAC/7)	Status: on-going	
Who: □ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/Administrations □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other:		

Conclusion APRAST 10/2 — Proposed Revisions to the Regional Aviation Safety Priorities and Targets (WP/5)			
That, RASG-APAC/7 approve the proposed changes to Regional Aviation Safety Priorities and Targets as shown below: a. Title of Regional Priority 2 - "Improvements to safety oversight and compliance/Industry safety certification audits"; b. First target under Regional Priority 1 - "RASG-APAC to complete the development of current identified priority SEIs by end 2016 end- 2017"; c. Fourth to sixth targets under Regional Priority 2 - "By end of 2017 2020 (1) maintain at least 60% of increase the number of IOSA registered applicable APAC airlines to be IOSA certified by 50% over end-2016 figures; (2) achieve at least 15% of increase the number of ISSA registered applicable APAC airlines to be ISSA certified by 15% over end-2016 figures;	Expected impact:		
(3) pursue at least a 50% increase in ISAGO registrations over end-2016 figures"; and			
d. Third target under Regional Priority 4 - "By 2020, pursue 50% increase in participation in flight data sharing initiatives by of APAC air operators, with aircraft of mass 27,000kg and above, over end-2016 figures participating in flight data sharing initiative by 2016".			
Why: Update the regional aviation safety priorities and targets			
When: July 2017 (RASG-APAC/7)	Status: on-going		
Who: □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/Administrations □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other: RASG-APAC			

Conclusion APRAST 10/3 — Update of the Regional Aviation Safety Priorities and Targets (WP/5)		
That, States/Administrations and Industry provide on the progress of the APAC Regional Aviation Saf and Targets to the ICAO APAC Regional Office w updating RASG-APAC/7. Why: Improve the tracking of implementation status	Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation Monitoring and Administration Capacity Building and Sharing of Information Inter-Regional	
When: 31 May 2017	Status: on-going	
Who: APRAST □ APAC-AIG ⊠ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/Administrations ⊠ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ⊠ Other: IATA, AAPA, Industry		
Conclusion APRAST 10/4 - Update of APAC-AIC	Activities (WP/6)	
That, States/Administrations be strongly encouraged to actively participate in the training identified by the APAC-AIG, which has the potential to enhance their USOAP AIG EI.	 Expected impact: Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation Monitoring and Administration Capacity Building and Sharing of Information Inter-Regional 	
Why: Improve APAC USOAP AIG EI scores		
When: 31 December 2017	Status: on-going	
Who: APRAST APAC-AIG SRP WG SEI WG Capacity Building TF APAC States/Administrations ICAO APAC RO ICAO HQ Other:		

Conclusion APRAST 10/5 - Update of the Global Aviation Safety Plan (GASP) (WP/9)			
That States be encouraged: a) to develop a national safety plan in line with the GASP objectives and based on their operational safety needs; and b) to provide feedback to ICAO on the new Global Aviation Safety Roadmap and suggestions for the future 2020 – 2022 Edition of the GASP via email to: GASP@icao.int Why: Implement GASP	 Expected impact: Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation Monitoring and Administration Capacity Building and Sharing of Information Inter-Regional 		
When: On-going Status: on-going			
Who: □ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/Administrations □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other:			
Conclusion APRAST 10/6 – ICAO guidance on aerod	Irome certification		
That, ICAO consider developing guidance material in the area of new aerodrome developments and modifications as part of aerodrome certification.	Expected impact: ☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets ☑ Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation ☐ Monitoring and Administration ☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information ☐ Inter-Regional		
Why: Enhance aerodrome certification			
When: 31 August 2017	Status: on-going		
Who: □ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/Administrations □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other:			

Conclusion APRAST 10/7 - Regional Data Collection, Analysis and Information Sharing Status (WP/14)		
That, States/Administrations and Industry be strongly encouraged to participate in the Demonstration Project for Regional Data Collection, Analysis and Information Sharing for Aviation Sharing, by submitting Statement of Intent (Appendix B of the Governance Plan) to FSF/MITRE. Why: Improve regional data collection, analysis and information states and information states are strongly as a strongly described by the strongly describe	Expected impact: ☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets ☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation ☐ Monitoring and Administration ☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information ☐ Inter-Regional	
When: On-going	Status: On-going	
Who: □ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/Administrations □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other: Industry		
Conclusion APRAST 10/8 - Coordination between AP bodies - terrain/obstacle databases and WGS-84	ANPIRG and RASG-APAC subsidiary	
That, Secretariat, with the assistance of Airbus and IATA, emphasize the importance of accurate terrain/obstacle databases and compliance of ICAO WGS-84 standard by States/Administrations, in support of the use of Enhanced Ground Proximity Warning System (EGPWS), to APANPIRG.	 Expected impact: □ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets □ Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation □ Monitoring and Administration □ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information □ Inter-Regional 	
Why: Address CFIT operational risks	,	
When: end May 2017	Status: On-going	
Who: □ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/Administrations □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other: Airbus, IATA		

Conclusion APRAST 10/9 - SEI LOC/4 (Flight Crew Proficiency)			
That, RASG-APAC/7 approve the output of SEI LOC/4 (Flight Crew Proficiency) developed by DCA Malaysia and Malaysia Airlines and endorsed by APRAST/10.	Expected impact:		
Why: Address LOC operational risks			
When: July 2017 (RASG-APAC/7)	Status: On-going		
Who: ⊠ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/ Administrations □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ☒ Other: RASG-APAC			
Conclusion APRAST 10/10 – Improve AGA EI scores			
That, ICAO APAC Regional Office consider organizing suitable activities such as regional/ sub-regional workshops, to address the gaps identified from the analysis of AGA EI scores.	Expected impact: ☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets ☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation ☐ Monitoring and Administration ☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information ☐ Inter-Regional		
Why: Improve AGA EI scores			
When: 31 October 2017	Status: On-going		
Who: □ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG □ SEI WG □ Capacity Building TF □ APAC States/ Administrations □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other:			

-- END --

RASG-APAC/7

LIST OF DECISIONS AND CONCLUSIONS OF THE RASG-APAC/7 LIST OF DECISIONS

Decision RASG-APAC 7/1 — Review of Progress of RASG (WP/2)	G-APAC/6 Decisions and Conclusions	
That, the Meeting endorse the closure recommendation	Expected impact:	
described for Decision RASG-APAC 5/6 in Attachment A and the 9 Decisions and 23 Conclusions of RASG-APAC/6 in Attachment B to WP/2 as closed as actions have been	☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets	
carried out to meet the intent of these Decisions.	☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation	
	☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information	
	☐ Inter-regional	
Why: Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets.		
When: Immediate	Status: Closed	
Who: ⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other:		
Decision RASG-APAC 7/2 — Update of RASG-APAC 201	6/2017 Work Programme (WP/3)	
That, the recommended items as described in the	Expected impact:	
Attachment to WP/3 be closed and removed from the RASG-APAC 2016/17 Yearly Work Programme.	□ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets	
	☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation	
	☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information	
	☐ Inter-regional	
Why: Achievement of global and regional aviation safety p	riorities and targets.	
When: Immediate	Status: Closed	
Who: ⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States ⊠ ICAO APAC RO ⊠ ICAO HQ □ Other:		

as described in Attachments A and B be endorsed. Achiaviat Enha impl Mon Capa Infor	ed impact: ievement of global and regional tion safety priorities and targets ancement of USOAP effective lementation aitoring and administration acity Building and Sharing of rmation r-regional
Why : Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities a	and targets.
When: Immediate Status:	On-going
Who: ⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States □ ICAO APAC RO □ IO	CAO HQ Other:
Decision RASG-APAC 7/4 — Update on Asia Pacific Regional Price	orities and Targets (WP/5)
Priorities and Targets are approved: a) Title of Regional Priority 2 - "Improvements to safety oversight and compliance/Industry safety certification audits"; b) First target under Regional Priority 1 - "RASGAPAC to complete the development of current identified priority SEIs by end 2016 end- 2017"; □ Month implication in the complete the development of current identified priority SEIs by end 2016 end- 2017";	ed impact: ievement of global and regional tion safety priorities and targets ancement of USOAP effective lementation acity Building and Sharing of rmation r-regional

	sharing initiatives by of APAC air operators, with aircraft of mass 27,000kg and above, over end-2016 figures participating in flight data sharing initiative by 2016".	
Why:	Update the regional aviation safety priorities and targ	gets.
When:	Immediate	Status:
Who:	Sub-groups □ APAC States □ ICAO APAC F	RO 🗆 ICAO HQ 🗆 Other:
Decisio	on RASG-APAC 7/5 — Update on Asia Pacific Region	onal Priorities and Targets (WP/5)
	PRAST review the Asia Pacific Regional Priorities	Expected impact:
Global	rgets to achieve greater alignment with the ICAO Aviation Safety Plan Goals and Targets, taking into	 □ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets
emergii	t latest industry developments and current and ng issues; and complete the review by RASG-8 in 2018.	⊠ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation
		☐ Monitoring and administration
		☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information
		☐ Inter-regional
Why:	Monitoring and exchange of information.	<u> </u>
When:	RASG-APAC/8	Status: On-going
Who:	⊠ Sub-groups □ APAC States □ ICAO APAC F	RO 🗆 ICAO HQ 🗆 Other:
	on RASG-APAC 7/6 — Safety Enhancement Initiati eration and Approval (WP/20)	ve (SEI) Output for RASG
That, th	ne proposed guidance material as attached to the paper	Expected impact:
as the combined outputs of both SEI LOC/2 and SEI LOC/4 is approved.		☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets
		⊠ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation
		☐ Monitoring and administration
		☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information
		☐ Inter-regional
Why:	To achieve global and regional aviation safety priorit	ies and targets.
When:	Immediate	Status: On-going
Who:	Who: ⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States ⊠ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other:	
1		

Decision RASG-APAC 7/7 — Proposed RASG-APAC 2017/2018 Yearly and Standing Work Programmes (WP/15)					
	opposed RASG-APAC 2017/2018 Yearly and ork Programme is approved, as in Attachment D t.	Expected impact:			
Why: To	achieve global and regional aviation safety priorit	ies and targets.			
When: Im	mediate	Status: On-going			
Who:	Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States ⊠ ICAO APAC R	RO 🗵 ICAO HQ 🖾 Other: Nokscoot			

LIST OF CONCLUSIONS

Conclusion RASG-APAC 7/1 — Update on Work done by APAC-AIG (WP/6)								
a) b)	ates/Administrations be strongly encouraged to: Establish an independent accident investigation authority; Pledge support to the APAC Code of Conduct on Cooperation relating to Civil Aviation Accident/Incident Investigations; and Provide input to the APAC Database of Investigation Agencies.	Expected impact: ☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets ☒ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation ☒ Monitoring and administration ☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information ☐ Inter-regional						
Why:	Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation							
When:	Immediate	Status: On-going						
Who:	☐ Sub-groups ☐ APAC States ☐ ICAO APAC R	RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other:						

Conclusion RASG-APAC 7/2 — Regulation of small Unma (WP/9)	nnned Aircraft in Hong Kong, China				
That, ICAO be requested to: a) Coordinate with ISO the work to enhance safety of UAS/RPAS; and b) expedite the development of appropriate classification, manufacture, operational and safety management of small UAS, including those for recreational use, with a view to enhancing public safety as well as safety of manned aircraft.	Expected impact: ☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets ☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation ☐ Monitoring and administration ☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information ☐ Inter-regional				
Why: Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation.					
When: Immediate	Status: On-going				
Who: ☐ Sub-groups ☐ APAC States ☐ ICAO APAC R	tO ⊠ ICAO HQ ⊠ Other: ISO				
Conclusion RASG-APAC 7/3 — Regional Data Collection, Status Report (WP/14)	Analysis and Information Sharing				
That, States/Administrations, airlines and Industry organizations are encouraged to participate in the demonstration project for APAC Regional Data Collection, Analysis and information Sharing for Aviation Safety, by submitting a statement of intent as soon as possible. (The statement of intent is available in Appendix B of the Governance Plan Version 6)	Expected impact:				
Why : Achievement of global and regional aviation safety p	riorities and targets .				
When: December 2017 Status: On-going Who: □ Sub-groups ⋈ APAC States □ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ⋈ Other: Airlines and Industry Organizations					
Conclusion RASG-APAC 7/4 — ICAO USOAP CMA Imp	lementation (WP/16)				
That States are urged to fulfil their obligations under the USOAP CMA Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) and to take actions as needed to provide up-to-date information on their safety oversight systems, with particular attention to:	Expected impact: ☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets ☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective				

	States with an SSC, focus on implementing sustainable corrective and immediate mitigation actions to resolve the SSC with a high priority; complete and update the Corrective Action Plans (CAPs) on the Online Framework (OLF), as	implementation☐ Monitoring and administration☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information						
	(CAPs) on the On-line Framework (OLF), as required;	☐ Inter-regional						
c)	implement CAPs and report progress on the OLF, informing the ICAO Regional Office when ready for validation;							
	complete the compliance checklist/EFOD and self- assessment of the PQs on the OLF, including uploading the evidence documents; and request assistance from the ICAO Regional Office							
	and/or COSCAP/RSOO, if required.							
Why:	To achieve global and regional aviation safety priorit	ies and targets.						
When:	Immediate	Status: On-going						
Who:	Who: \square Sub-groups \boxtimes APAC States \boxtimes ICAO APAC RO \boxtimes ICAO HQ \boxtimes Other: $COSCAP / RSOO$							
Conclu	Conclusion RASG-APAC 7/5 —Regional Safety Oversight Organizations (RSOOs) (WP/17)							
	CAO present the proposed global strategy and action	Expected impact:						
•	improve RSOOs and the establishment of a global for the provision of safety oversight, including the	⊠ Achievement of global and regional						
study o	f a proposed global aviation safety oversight system	aviation safety priorities and targets ☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective						
,	S), to the next APAC DGCA Conference for ration and support.	implementation						
conside	ration and support.	☐ Monitoring and administration						
		☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information						
		☐ Inter-regional						
Why:	To achieve global and regional aviation safety priorit	ies and targets.						
When:	DGCA Conf	Status: On-going						
Who:	☐ Sub-groups ☐ APAC States ☐ ICAO APAC R	O 🗵 ICAO HQ 🖾 Other:						

	RASG APAC YEARLY WORK PROGRAMME (2017-2018)							
S/N	Decision RASG-	APRAST Ref	Subject Ref (if	Champion	Brief Description	Status		
1	4/10	Conclusion APRAST 5/13 Decisions APRAST 7/35, 8/12 APRAST/10 Report para 6.5.5	LOC 6	COSCAP-NA	Upset Prevention Recovery Training (UPRT)	APRAST/10 noted ICAO EB (EB 2017/21 AN 12/11.6) dated 12 April 2017 which notified ICAO Member States about the publication of Airplane Upset Prevention and Recovery Training Aid (AUPRTA) by ICAO (and OEMs) in February 2017 and the impending update of Manual on Aeroplane Upset Prevention and Recovery Training (Doc 10011). While the two documents would address SEI LOC/6 to a large extent, APRAST/10 requested that SEI WG consider providing guidance for regulators to implement ICAO UPRT requirements.		
2	2/2 Decision RASG- APAC 7/6	Conclusion APRAST 2/51 Decision APRAST 8/10 Decision APRAST 10/6	LOC 2	AAPA	Hazard Identification and Risk Management. The implementation of safety management practices (hazard identification and risk management) into operational processes & decision making. (Paragraph 3.2 of LOC working group report refers.)	APRAST/10 agreed to combine LOC/2 and LOC/4, as both outputs make use of SMS principles and methodology. As the LOC/2 champion (AAPA) was absent from APRAST/10, LOC/4 champion (Malaysia) will coordinate with LOC/2 champion prior to RASG-APAC/7. RASG-APAC/7 approved that the outputs of LOC/2 and LOC/4 be combined. The guidance material for the combined LOC/2 and LOC/4 is approved.(Decision RASG-APAC 7/6 refers)		
						Approved guidance material to be made available on the RASG-APAC/APRAST website and be uploaded onto the monitoring mechanism.		
3	2/2 Decision RASG- APAC 7/6	Conclusion APRAST 2/53 Decision APRAST 8/11 Conclusion APRAST 10/9	LOC 4	DCA Malaysia	LOC 4 Flight Crew Proficiency. The appropriate use of trend information from Safety Management Systems (SMS) in the training and qualification processes to mitigate risk that could lead to a LOC event. (LOSA, non-punitive reporting systems, FDA, etc). (Paragraph 3.4 of LOC working group report refers.)	APRAST/10 noted that draft guidance material for LOC/4 had been circulated for comments within SEI WG, and is ready to be submitted to RASG-APAC/7 for approval. RASG-APAC/7 approved that the outputs of LOC/2 and LOC/4 be combined. The guidance material for the combined LOC/2 and LOC/4 is approved.(Decision RASG-APAC 7/6 refers) Approved guidance material to be made available on the RASG-APAC/APRAST website and be uploaded onto the monitoring mechanism.		
4	4/4, 4/23	Decisions APRAST 5/9, 6/28 and 7/23 Conclusion APRAST 5/4 Decision APRAST 10/4 APRAST/10 Report para 6.5.11	-	APRAST Co- Chairs	Coordination with APANPIRG and its sub-groups Pursue the development of the coordination mechanism between APANPIRG and RASG-APAC at the next APANPIRG – RASG-APAC coordination meeting in 2015. The coordination mechanism should address issues such as: a. the attendance of RASG-APAC /APRAST representatives at relevant APANPIRG bodies and vice versa; b. the development of an analysis body (similar to the RMA/EMA models that report to RASMAG for vertical and horizontal safety analysis) that manages ATS safety concerns/occurrences for onward reporting to APRAST/RASG-APAC; and c. the review and endorsement of subsequent versions of the APAC Seamless ATM Plan by APANPIRG and RASG-APAC.	In addition to the progress updated at previous meetings, APRAST/10 agreed to focus on the following three issues: large height deviations, English language proficiency and terrain/obstacle databases, with respect to coordination between APANPIRG and RASG-APAC. Decision APRAST 10/4 refers. APRAST/10 also agreed for SRP WG to work with IATA to obtain more information on issues related to TCAS-RA and Large Height Deviations for further discussion at APRAST/11. (APRAST/10 Report para 6.5.11 refers)		

6	APAC 2/3, 4/23	Conclusions APRAST 2/2 and 5/2	any) -	Secretariat		
6						SL T 6/8.7 - AP0009/16(FS) was sent in Jan 2016 to seek updates on the nominated point-of-contacts (PoC). Reminders were sent to those States without nominees. There are still States without nominees. Reminders for nomination of PoC were sent to six remaining States in Feb 2017.
		Decisions APRAST 7/3, 8/24	-	Secretariat Nokscoot	b. A protected workspace to allow APRAST members to collaborate and	NokScoot and Secretariat have outlined the scope and contents of the two-tier website. NokScoot will continue to develop the website and later its website user guide. Pending further update from Nokscoot.
7		Decision APRAST 8/8 Decision APRAST 9/2 Decision APRAST 9/3 Decision APRAST 10/2 Conclusion APRAST 10/4		APAC-AIG	Identify potential initiatives to help improve accident investigation capabilities and USOAP AIG EI rates of States/ Administrations	Based on the results of the survey on training demands for AIG topics conducted in December 2016, APRAST/10 noted that a few of the training topics desired by States/Administrations had already been included in the APAC-AIG work plan. APAC-AIG identified possible training for States/Administrations, with the aim of achieving USOAP AIG EI rates, including the ICAO Asia Pacific Regional Accident Investigation Workshop which will be organised by APAC-AIG on 3-4 August 2017. APAC-AIG will review the training needs of States/Administrations, and where necessary, develop additional training, taking into consideration experience and feedback from training conducted in 2017.
8		Decisions APRAST 7/31, 8/13 Decision APRAST 10/5	RE 1	FSF and IFALPA	Promote pilot adherence to SOPs for approaches including go-around decision making	FSF presented to APRAST/10 its report on Go-Around Decision- Making and Execution Project Report related to SEI RE/1. FSF, with assistance from Secretariat, will disseminate the report to APRAST members, to seek comments on the report's recommendations, for discussion on possible next steps at APRAST/11.
9		Decision APRAST 8/21 Conclusions APRAST 8/11, 8/12	-	AP-SRP WG	Produce the 2016 APAC Annual Safety Report by the APRAST/9 meeting; and	SRP WG had completed and published both the 'public' and 'member' versions of the Annual Safety Report 2016. The timeline of producing 2017 APAC Annual Safety Report was agreed at APRAST/10.
10		Decision APRAST 9/21 Conclusion APRAST 10/10	-	ICAO APAC	Organisation of suitable activities to improve AGA EI scores Consider organising suitable activities such as regional/ sub-regional workshops, to address gaps identified from the analysis of AGA EI scores	
	Decision RASG- APAC 7/5 Decision RASG- APAC 7/7	-	-	APRAST Co- Chairs	Asia Pacific Regional Priorities and Targets That, APRAST review the Asia Pacific Regional Priorities and Targets to achieve greater alignment with the ICAO Global Aviation Safety Plan, taking into account latest industry developments and current and emerging issues; and complete the review by RASG-APAC/8 in 2018. RASG APAC STANDING WORK PROGRAMME	

S/N	Decision RASG-	APRAST Ref	Subject Ref (if	Champion	Brief Description	Status	
	APAC		any)				
S/N	Decision RASG-	APRAST Ref	Subject Ref	Champion	Brief Description	Status	
	APAC						
	Nil						
