

RASMAG/31
Appendix H to the Report

APANPIRG ATM AND AIRSPACE SAFETY DEFICIENCIES LIST
(Updated 21 October 2025 + RASMAG/31)

States/facilities	Deficiencies			Corrective Action		
	Description	Date first reported	Remarks	Executing body	Target date	Priority **
	<u>Non Provision of Safety-related Data Requirement of Paragraph 3.3.5.1 of Annex 11 (provision of data for monitoring the height-keeping performance of aircraft) and APANPIRG Conclusion 16/6 – Non Provision of safety related data by States</u>					
Afghanistan	Non-provision of safety related data	12/07/2019	Failure to submit Kabul LHD data for January-December 2018 and 2020. Afghanistan had submitted data for the period January to July 2021, but no further LHD reports were received after August 2021.	Afghanistan	TBD	U
Myanmar	Non-provision of safety related data	RASMAG/31	Failure to submit Yangon LHD data for January–December 2025.	Myanmar	TBD	U
	State Responsibility to comply with the Annex 6 Height-Keeping Monitoring Requirement Annex 6 Part I Section 7.2.9 (10th Ed.) and Part II Section 2.5.2.10 (9th Ed.)					
Afghanistan	Non-compliance with LTHM requirement (remaining monitoring burden more than 30%)	RASMAG/23	Remaining monitoring burden of 50% (RASMAG/29) MAAR informed ICAO that all known airframes in Afghanistan have complied with the monitoring requirement (November 2022). Deficiency retained due to the unknown status of the Afghanistan aeronautical authority responsible	Afghanistan	TBD	A

RASMAG/31
Appendix H to the Report

States/facilities	Deficiencies			Corrective Action		
	Description	Date first reported	Remarks	Executing body	Target date	Priority **
			for ensuring monitoring is conducted.			
Cook Islands	Non-compliance with LTHM requirement (remaining monitoring burden more than 30%)	RASMAG/31	Remaining monitoring burden of 100% (RASMAG/31)	Cook Islands	TBD	A
India	Non-compliance with LTHM requirement (remaining monitoring burden more than 30%)	RASMAG/29	Remaining monitoring burden of 46% (RASMAG/30) Remaining monitoring burden of 48% (RASMAG/31)	India	TBD	A
Nepal	Non-compliance with LTHM requirement (remaining monitoring burden more than 30%)	RASMAG/28	Remaining monitoring burden of 45% (RASMAG/30) Remaining monitoring burden of 11% (RASMAG/31)	Nepal	TBD	A
Pakistan	Non-compliance with LTHM requirement (remaining monitoring burden more than 30%)	RASMAG/31	Remaining monitoring burden of 32% (RASMAG/31)	Pakistan	TBD	A
Vanuatu	Non-compliance with LTHM requirement (remaining monitoring burden more than 30%)	RASMAG/31	Remaining monitoring burden of 100% (RASMAG/31)	Vanuatu	TBD	A

** Note: In accordance with the *APANPIRG Handbook - Asia/Pacific Supplement to the Uniform Methodology for the Identification, Assessment and Reporting of Air Navigation Deficiencies*, priority for Air Navigation Deficiencies is guided by the principle that a deficiency with respect to an ICAO Standard is accorded a “U” status, while a non-compliance with a Recommended Practice or a PANS is considered as “A” or “B” subject to additional expert evaluation. The final prioritization of deficiencies is the prerogative of APANPIRG.