



ICAO

International Civil Aviation Organization

The Eighth Meeting of the Asia/Pacific
Aerodrome Assistance Working Group (AP-AA/WG/8)

Bangkok, Thailand, 21 to 24 April 2026

Agenda Item 4: Runway Safety and GRF Implementation

RUNWAY SAFETY TEAM (RST) AND RUNWAY SAFETY GO-TEAM

(Presented by the SECRETARIAT)

SUMMARY

This paper presents the ICAO requirements on RST and relevant guidance for its establishment at aerodromes. The paper also highlights the benefits in availing ICAO Runway Safety Go-Team assistance by States and airports in the area of runway safety.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Procedures for Air Navigations (PANS) – Aerodromes (Doc 9981) in its 3rd Edition, July 2020 incorporated a new chapter (Chapter 8) in Part II, which contains provisions pertaining to runway safety. The chapter deals with the prevention of runway incursions, excursions and confusion through different strategies. These include effective identification of hazards related to runway safety, risk mitigation, coordination and cooperation between different stakeholders, establishment of runway safety action plans and runway safety teams (RSTs), and the collection, sharing and exchange of safety information.

2. DISCUSSION

Runway Safety Team (RST)

2.1 An aerodrome operator shall establish a Runway Safety Team (RST) comprised of relevant organizations operating or providing services on the aerodrome in accordance with 8.2.1 of *PANS-Aerodromes (Doc 9981)*.

2.2 The terms of reference and composition of the RST should be established and included in the aerodrome manual. Activities to be included in the terms of reference as well as the proposed composition of an RST are included in the *Appendix to the Chapter 8 of Part II of PANS-Aerodromes (Doc 9981)*.

2.3 The primary role of the RST should be to develop a runway safety action plan. This action plan should, as a minimum, facilitate the identification of runway safety hazards and the conduct of runway safety risk assessments and recommend measures for hazard removal and mitigation of the residual risk.

2.4 Detailed information on the establishment of a RST is available in the ICAO RST Handbook which can be downloaded from: <https://www.icao.int/global-runway-safety-action-plan/Toolkits>.

2.5 APANPIRG/31 adopted the following Conclusion:

Conclusion APANPIRG/31/6 (AOP/SG/4–11): Runway Safety Team

That, States/Administrations to urge operators of aerodromes used for international operations to:

- a) establish runway safety teams (RSTs) in accordance with PANS-Aerodromes (Doc 9981) and ICAO RST Handbook; and*
- b) participate in the ICAO RST Survey to register their RSTs.*

2.6 To gather information from the States/Administrations on the establishment and operation of the RST at aerodromes, AOP/SG/8 adopted the following Conclusion formulated by AP-AA/WG/6:

Conclusion AOP/SG/8-6: Framework for Monitoring the Establishment and Implementation of Runway Safety Team (RST) at aerodromes in APAC States

*That, the “Framework for Monitoring the Establishment and Implementation of Runway Safety Team (RST) at aerodromes in APAC States” provided in **Appendix G** to the Report of AOP/SG/8 be circulated to States/Administrations for their response. The Framework be also published on the ICAO APAC eDocuments Webpage under AGA Heading.*

2.7 The “Framework for Monitoring the Establishment and Implementation of Runway Safety Team (RST) at aerodromes in APAC States” (RST Questionnaire) is now available on the ICAO Asia/Pacific Regional Office eDocuments webpage: <https://www.icao.int/APAC/Pages/eDocs.aspx> under AGA heading. The RST Questionnaire is also provided in **Attachment A** for easy reference.

2.8 ICAO APAC has circulated the RST Questionnaire to States/Administrations via State Letter Ref.: T 11/5.13.2 – AP111/24 (AGA) on 3 September 2024 and requested to send back the completed questionnaire by 30 December 2024. In response to the above State Letter, the following ten States have submitted the completed questionnaire to ICAO APAC Office:

- a) China;
- b) Hong Kong, China;
- c) Japan;
- d) Nepal;
- e) New Zealand;
- f) Pakistan;
- g) Philippines;
- h) Republic of Korea;
- i) Singapore; and
- j) Thailand.

2.9 Out of **386** aerodromes used for international operations in Asia/Pacific Region, only **215 aerodromes** established the RST. The details of RST establishment are provided in **Attachment B**.

ICAO Runway Safety Go-Team “FULL” Version

2.10 The ICAO Runway Safety (RS) Go-Team is a collaborative effort with the objective to provide assistance from ICAO, Runway Safety Programme (RSP) partner organisations and other safety related organizations (including existing RST’s, RSOO’s, etc.) to a State and airport when establishing an RST. It may also review an RST performance if already established. The RS Go-Team achieves its objective by providing technical assistance, including expert advice and guidance based

on ICAO documents (e.g. *Doc. 9981 PANS-Aerodromes*), State and Industry best practices and recommendations.

2.11 The RS Go-Team is a voluntary, multi-disciplinary form of assistance provided by an ad-hoc group of experts; it is not an ICAO audit, validation, inspection or certification action; however, it could help to prepare for such in the future.

2.12 RS Go-Team will be formed in response to recommendation from the RASG, a proposal by ICAO, or a request received from a State, airport or international organisation to corresponding ICAO Regional Office. Runway Safety Programme (RSP) partner organisations will be informed and invited to participate.

2.13 The duration of on-site activities is usually three days, which may include a runway safety workshop for runway safety stakeholders, airport visits, attendance in RST meeting and debriefing.

2.14 The detailed information on RS Go-Team is available in *ICAO RS Go-Team Methodology* posted on ICAO Website at the following URL: <https://www.icao.int/global-runway-safety-action-plan/Toolkits>.

2.15 Recognising the benefits the RS Go-Team brings to States and runway safety stakeholders, the RASG-APAC at its 5th Meeting had taken a Decision, which is reproduced below:

Decision RASG-APAC 5/6 (2015)

That, ICAO APAC RO, with assistance from the COSCAP Chief Technical Advisors, coordinate the implementation of ICAO Runway Safety Go-Teams in the APAC region, and share relevant information on implementation with States/ Administrations and industry.

2.16 Two RS Go-Team missions in the APAC Region were conducted in March 2020 – to Manila, Philippines and Makassar, Indonesia. Recommendations were provided to the States and airports for enhancing runway safety. The two missions utilized the funding available under COSCAP-SEA and were therefore available free-of-charge to the two States.

2.17 With the applicability of GRF-related SARPs and PANS, the scope of RS Go-Teams may be extended to assist States and airports in the implementation of GRF. In such cases, the duration of RS Go-Team on-site activities may be slightly extended to incorporate additional activities.

RS-Go Team “LITE” Version

2.18 To date, RS-Go Teams have been delivered using a 3 to 5 days on-site format, preceded by a virtual preparation phase. Due to COVID-19 restrictions, a “LITE” version of the RS Go-Team (i.e. Go-Team LITE) has been designed, facilitating a flexible approach, whilst ensuring that the important function performed by Go-Teams can continue. A Go-Team LITE is less comprehensive, does not include a site visit and would result in a set of recommendations that will focus upon facilitating the establishment of an RST, or improving performance of an existing RST, rather than the comprehensive set of runway safety-related agreed actions and timelines of a full Go-Team.

2.19 Characteristics of the Go-Team LITE are:

- it is entirely on-line;

- may be followed by a “FULL” Go-Team mission in the future, including more activities at site visit and the more comprehensive set of action and timelines;
- However, a Go-Team LITE will fulfil the objectives of preparing for the implementation of an RST or improving the performance of an existing RST;
- The benefits of a Go-Team LITE are ease of organisation and execution, reduced cost and effort.

2024 Edition of USOAP CMA Protocol Questions

2.20 As announced in Electronic Bulletins 2024/22 and 2025/8, the 2024 Edition of the Universal Safety Oversight Audit Programme (USOAP) Continuous Monitoring Approach (CMA) Protocol Questions (PQs) is posted on the USOAP CMA online framework, under the heading “CMA Library”.

2.21 In association with Chapter 8 of Part II of PANS-Aerodromes and relevant guidance materials, there is a PQ on the establishment of a runway safety team. The PQ is extracted as below.

PQ No.	Protocol Question	Guidance for Review of Evidence	ICAO References	PPQ	CE
8.204	Does the State ensure that aerodrome operators comply with requirements related to runway safety, including the establishment of a runway safety team?	1) Evaluate mechanism to ensure effective implementation. 2) Review evidence of a systematic approach to runway safety and collision avoidance strategy, e.g. establishment of runway safety teams.	PANS Doc 9981 (AGA) Part II, C8 GM A14 Vol. I, Att. A, Section 21.3 Doc 9870 C3	Yes	CE-6

USOAP CMA PQ – AGA 8.204

2.22 AOP/SG/5 meeting urged:

- a) States to take actions on RST establishment and participation in ICAO RST Survey (**Conclusion APANPIRG/31/6** refers); and
- b) States in need of assistance in the areas of runway safety to request for RS Go-Team Missions through ICAO APAC Office and/or its corresponding COSCAP and PASO Office.

Global Runway Safety Action Plan, Second Edition, February 2024

2.23 In January 2017 the Runway Safety Programme Partners established a Runway Safety Action Plan Working Group (RSAP-WG) with the aim of reviewing the Runway Safety Programme achievements, objectives and priorities, and to develop a global runway safety action plan, to be unveiled at the Second Global Runway Safety Symposium in Lima, Peru, 20-22 November 2017. The objectives of the RSAP-WG included:

- Review runway related accident and serious incident data;
- Conduct a safety risk assessment of runway safety accident occurrence categories;
- Identify the runway safety risk priorities and high-risk accident categories;
- Identify appropriate global mitigation actions; and
- Develop a Global Runway Safety Action Plan (GRSAP).

2.24 Through a review and analysis of runway safety occurrence data and risk analysis, the RSAP-WG identified runway excursions and runway incursions as the main high-risk occurrence categories.

2.25 GRSAP provides recommended actions for all runway safety stakeholders, with the aim of reducing the global rate of runway excursions and runway incursions. Initially published in 2017, it was reviewed by the RSP partners in 2022, with updates and improvements resulting in the publication of a revised version in February 2024 which is available at URL: <https://www.icao.int/Aerodromes/RunwaySafety/Pages/default.aspx>.

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

3.1 The meeting is invited to note the information contained in this paper and:

- a) urged States/Administrations to take actions on RST establishment (**Conclusion APANPIRG/31/6** refers);
- b) urged States/Administrations to response to ICAO APAC State Letter Ref.: T 11/5.13.2 – AP111/24 (AGA) dated 3 September 2024 regarding RST Questionnaire (paragraph 2.8 refers);
- c) encouraged States/Aerodrome Operators in need of assistance in the areas of runway safety to request for RS Go-Team Missions through ICAO APAC Office and/or its corresponding COSCAP and PASO Office; and
- d) discuss any other relevant matters.

**FRAMEWORK FOR MONITORING THE ESTABLISHMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION
OF RUNWAY SAFETY TEAM (RST) AT AERODROMES IN APAC STATES**

State / Administration:

No.	Questions	Option
1	Has the airport established the Runway Safety Team (RST)?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
2	If the answer to Question 1 is "Yes", then when was the RST established?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specify year
3	If the answer to Question 1 is "No", is runway safety formally included in the agenda of another aerodrome forum?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes, please specify • No
4	Has the airport established the Terms of Reference (TOR) of the RST?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
5	If the answer to Question 4 is "Yes", is the TOR in line with the recommended TOR in the State Runway Safety Programme or as per TOR provided in the ICAO Runway Safety Team Handbook?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
6	Has the RST developed the Runway Safety Team Handbook?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
7	What is the frequency of RST Meetings?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 time/year • 2 times/year • 3 times/year • 4 times/year • Others, please specify
8	Does the CAA also participate in the RST?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • Upon request
9	Does the RST prepare and maintain the record of discussion of the RST Meetings?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
10	Has the RST prepared the Register/Log of the RST Action Plan with the responsible entity for taking action within the defined timeline?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
11	Does the RST review and update the implementation of the RST Action Plan in the meeting?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
12	Does the aerodrome operator provide training on Runway Safety and other relevant Runway Safety matters to RST members?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No

Status of RST Establishment based on ICAO RST Survey and State's Response to ICAO APAC SL
Ref.: T 11/5.13.2 – AP111/24 (AGA) on 3 September 2024

States	Number of Aerodromes that Established RST	% Established	No information or Not Established	Total Aerodromes
Afghanistan	0	0%	4	4
American Samoa	0	0%	1	1
Australia	4	14%	24	28
Bangladesh	0	0%	4	4
Bhutan	1	50%	1	2
Brunei	0	0%	1	1
Cambodia	0	0%	3	3
China	88	95%	5	93
Cook Islands	0	0%	2	2
DPR Korea	0	0%	2	2
Fiji	1	50%	1	2
French Polynesia	0	0%	1	1
Guam	0	0%	1	1
Hong Kong, China	1	100%	0	1
India	20	47%	23	43
Indonesia	23	70%	10	33
Japan	7	18%	31	38
Kiribati	0	0%	2	2
Lao PDR	0	0%	4	4
Macao, China	1	100%	0	1
Malaysia	18	95%	1	19
Maldives	1	20%	4	5
Marshall Islands	0	0%	1	1
Micronesia	0	0%	4	4
Mongolia	1	33%	2	3
Myanmar	3	100%	0	3
Nauru	0	0%	1	1
Nepal	3	100%	0	3
New Caledonia	0	0%	1	1
New Zealand	3	43%	4	7
Niue	0	0%	1	1
N. Mariana Is.	0	0%	3	3
Pakistan	9	90%	1	10
Palau	0	0%	1	1
Papua New Guinea	0	0%	1	1
Philippines	9	100%	0	9
Rep. of Korea	8	100%	0	8

States	Number of Aerodromes that Established RST	% Established	No information or Not Established	Total Aerodromes
Samoa	0	0%	2	2
Singapore	2	100%	0	2
Solomon Islands	0	0%	2	2
Sri Lanka	1	25%	3	4
Thailand	10	100%	0	10
Timor Leste	0	0%	3	3
Tonga	0	0%	2	2
Tuvalu	0	0%	1	1
Vanuatu	0	0%	3	3
Viet Nam	1	10%	9	10
Wallis et Futuma	0	0%	1	1
Total	215	55.70%	171	386