STANDARDIZED FRAMEWORK FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF NATIONAL HIGH-RISK CATEGORIES OF OCCURRENCES

Note. – The criteria below may be used for inclusion and removal of occurrences from the HRC list

Criteria	Specifics	Methodology
Fatality	Fatalities by accident occurrence categories (as per the Commercial Aviation Safety Team/ICAO Common Taxonomy Team (CICTT))	Analyse the classification of occurrences Identify categories that resulted in the highest number of fatalities
Fatality risk	Fatality risk by accident or serious incident occurrence categories (as per CICTT)	1) Analyse the classification of occurrences: 2) Identify categories that are linked to occurrence categories with the highest number of fatalities (as severity outcome) • For example, serious incident coded as "MAC" due to air proximity issues, TCAS/ACAS alerts, loss of separation as well as near collisions or collisions between aircraft in flight would be included due to the fatality rate associated with a mid-air collision
Number of accidents and serious incidents	Number of accidents or serious incidents by occurrence categories (as per CICTT)	Analyse the classification of occurrences Identify categories that resulted in the highest number of accidents and serious incidents
Breakdown (based on a minimum of 5-year data set)	Frequency of occurrences	Use a 5-year rolling average Consider including use of rate-based data (e.g., sectors flown)
	Commonality of occurrence across the Region	If an occurrence category appears in multiple States in the Region, consider it potentially national
	Use of data/safety intelligence from accidents	Focus on pre-cursors and contributing factors Use different sources, such as ICAO and Industry Develop and monitor associated safety performance indicators

FINAL VERSION – ISSUED: 15-05-2024

Criteria	Specifics	Methodology
Consideration of Global and Regional HRCs in setting N-HRCs	Commonality of HRCs and other operational safety risks for the region as per the Global Aviation Safety Plan (GASP) and the applicable Regional Aviation Safety Plan (RASP)	1) Analyse GASP to identify common G-HRCs: GASP calls for NASPs of States to address the G-HRCs, consider them potential N-HRCs Reference the GASP public website: www.icao.int/gasp 2) Analyse RASP to identify common R-HRCs: RASP calls for NASPs of States in the Region to address R-HRCs, consider them potential N-HRCs Reference the RASP Library: www.icao.int/rasp 3) Consider other operational safety risks listed in GASP and RASP
Rationale for decision-making	Additional points for consideration when selecting N-HRCs	Consider constraints from limited resources, and the need to focus on a shorter list of high-risk items (limit number of HRCs) Although the process of selecting HRCs prioritizes fatalities and risk of fatalities and injuries: Onsider inclusion of serious incidents with low fatality risk but high frequency of occurrence.

---END---

FINAL VERSION – ISSUED: 15-05-2024