



**INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION  
ASIA AND PACIFIC OFFICE**

**FINAL REPORT OF  
THE SIXTH MEETING OF  
THE SPECTRUM REVIEW WORKING GROUP  
(SRWG/6)**

*Video Tele-Conferencing (VTC)*  
1 – 3 March 2022

The views expressed in this Report should be taken as those of  
SRWG/6 Meeting and not of the Organization.

Approved by the Meeting  
Published by the ICAO Asia and Pacific Office, Bangkok

**HISTORY OF THE MEETING**

Introduction.....	i-3
Attendance .....	i-3
Opening of the Meeting .....	i-3
Officers and Secretariat.....	i-3
Organization, working arrangement, language and documentation.....	i-3

**REPORT ON AGENDA ITEMS**

<b>Agenda Item 1:</b> Adoption of agenda.....	1
<b>Agenda Item 2:</b> Review outcomes of relevant meetings.....	1
<b>Agenda Item 3:</b> VHF COM Simulation for 2030.....	2
<b>Agenda Item 4:</b> Frequency planning requirements / criteria for the Asia/Pacific Region .....	4
<b>Agenda Item 5:</b> Update on Frequency Finder .....	8
<b>Agenda Item 6:</b> Review the regional guidance material .....	8
<b>Agenda Item 7:</b> State and regional updates.....	9
<b>Agenda Item 8:</b> Review POC of States on frequency affairs .....	13
<b>Agenda Item 9:</b> Review the action items .....	13
<b>Agenda Item 10:</b> Next meeting and any other business.....	13

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

- Appendix A:** Frequency Finder Installation Status in APAC  
**Appendix B:** The provisional Agenda for SRWG/7  
**Appendix C:** ICAO APAC Point of Contact on Frequency Affairs  
**Appendix D:** Updated SRWG Action List

**LIST OF ATTACHMENTS**

- Attachment 1:** List of Participants  
**Attachment 2:** List of Information and Working Papers

## **1. Introduction**

1.1 The Sixth Meeting of the Spectrum Review Working Group (SRWG/6) of APANPIRG was held via video tele-conferencing from 1 to 3 March 2022.

## **2. Attendance**

2.1 The meeting was attended by 74 participants from 16 States/Administrations and 2 International Organizations including Bhutan, China, Hong Kong China, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Mongolia, Pakistan, Philippines, Republic of Korea, Singapore, Sri Lanka, Thailand, USA, IATA and ICAO. The List of Participants is provided in **Attachment 1** to this Report.

## **3. Opening of the Meeting**

3.1 The meeting was opened by Mr Chainan Chaisompong, Chairperson of the SRWG, who extended a warm welcome to all participants and expressed deep appreciation and gratitude to the efforts of all parties to make great achievements. Mr Luo Yi, Regional Officer CNS, also expressed welcome and thanks to the participants.

## **4. Officers and Secretariat**

4.1 Mr Luo Yi, Regional Officer CNS and Mr Derek How, Regional Officer CNS, ICAO Asia and Pacific Regional Office, acted as secretary for the meeting. The meeting was assisted by Ms Mie Utsunomiya, Technical Officer CNS, and Ms Fabiola Chouha, Technical Associate CNSS and the person managing the Frequency Finder tool at ICAO HQs, Montreal, and by Mr. Robert Witzel, a former ICAO Officer, an expert in frequency management and main developer of Frequency Finder software tool, who offered his expertise voluntary from Texas, USA.

## **5. Organization, working arrangement, language and documentation**

5.1 The SRWG/6 met as a single body. The working language for the meeting was English inclusive of all documentation and this Report. A total of Fifteen (15) Working Papers, Three (3) Information Papers and Three (3) Flimsies were considered by the meeting. The List of Papers is provided in **Attachment 2** to this Report.

-----

### **Agenda Item 1: Adoption of agenda**

- 1.1 The provisional agenda presented in **WP/01** was adopted as the agenda for the meeting.

### **Agenda Item 2: Review outcomes of relevant meetings**

#### *Review of Relevant Meetings/Web-Conferences - Sec (WP/02)*

- 2.1 This paper summarized relevant information and updates with the highlight on the outcomes of SRWG/5 reviewed by CNS SG/25 and noted by APANPIRG/32.

2.2 The CNS SG/25 meeting adopted eight (8) Conclusions and five (5) Decisions. In addition, based on the outcome of discussions on various agenda items, the CNS SG/25 meeting developed four (4) Draft Conclusions which were adopted by APANPIRG/32. The meeting noted Conclusion/Decision adopted by CNS SG/25 and also reviewed the different Conclusions and Decisions adopted by APANPIRG/32 of interest to the group and discussed the follow-up.

2.3 The paper also reviewed various topics discussed in CNS SG/25 including the simulation of VHF COM frequency requirements for the next 10 years, the necessity of the follow-up action at the regional level to support CAAs work with State's spectrum regulators to avoid the future safety issues on radio altimeter due to 5G implementation, the discussion on ICAO Position for ITU WRC-23 and the latest revision of the Handbook on Radio Frequency Spectrum Requirement for Civil Aviation (DOC 9718), Volume I and Volume II.

#### *Outcome of APG23-3 Meeting – Sec (WP/03)*

2.4 This paper reviewed the outcome of the Third Meeting of Asia Pacific Telecommunity Conference Preparatory Group for World Radiocommunication Conference 2023 (APG23-3), which was held on 8 – 13 November 2021 via Video Teleconference. Regional Officers CNS from ICAO APAC Office attended the meeting and the latest ICAO Position, as the result of study progressed by FSMP based on the latest ITU studies, was presented to APG23-3. In addition, this provided an opportunity to monitor progress and status of Asia/Pacific regional preliminary views for WRC-23 to protect aeronautical spectrum and ensure ICAO Position is supported at regional forums in accordance with APANPIRG/27 Conclusion 27/45 and to report to CNS SG and its contributory bodies on the regional preparation progress made by APG.

2.5 ICAO representatives submitted Information Document APG23-3/INF-15 on the ICAO Position for WRC-23, and participated in various sessions of drafting groups on agenda items relevant to civil aviation and provided assistance, as required, to participants from civil aviation administrations. The overall outcome of the APG23-3 is in line with the ICAO Position. A summary of the ICAO position and APG23-3 Preliminary Views was provided in Appendix B to this paper. The meeting was invited to note the outcome of APG23-3, including agenda items where aviation is seeking actions by WRC on VHF voice over satellite, sub-orbital vehicles, UAS CNPC links etc.

#### *Potential Impacts from 5G Implementation on Aircraft Radio Altimeters – Outcomes in Relevant Meetings and Regional Updates – Chair and Sec (WP/07)*

- 2.6 Agenda Item 7 refers.

#### *Outcome of FSMP WG/13 on Radio Altimeter Issues – Sec (Flimsy/02)*

- 2.7 Agenda Item 7 refers.

**Agenda Item 3: VHF COM Simulation for 2030***VHF COM Simulation for 2030 – Sec (WP/11)*

3.1 This paper reviewed the latest development in simulating the VHF COM requirements for APAC in 2030. In 2016, the study of the requirement of 8.33 kHz channel spacing was considered as completed after SRWG/3. The simulation activities of the SRWG/3 in 2015 determined that implementation of 8.33 kHz channel spacing would not be necessary until at least 2025. In order to maintain 5 years as a buffer to transition to the 8.33 kHz spacing scheme for VHF COM in APAC, a new round of simulation was deemed necessary with the target year of 2030.

3.2 According to **Decision CNS SG/24/6(SRWG/4/1)** - Frequency requirements for VHF-COM systems and ILS, VOR, DME and GBAS/VDB facilities and **Conclusion CNS SG/24/7(SRWG/4/2)** – Simulation of VHF COM Frequency requirements for next 10 years, SRWG/5 prepared the format for *Submission of Frequency Requirements for the Period 2021 – 2030*, which was circulated to member States through State Letter with Subject: Simulation of VHF COM Frequency requirements for next 10 years and Ref.: T 8/8.6 – AP058/21(CNS) on 09 April 2021.

3.3 Up to the date of the meeting, the ICAO APAC Office has received submissions from **eight** States/Administrations. CNS SG Chair shared the concern in CNS SG/25 that low responses to the State Letter may create an obstacle for the meaningful simulation of VHF COM Frequency requirements for the next 10 years for the APAC region and there may be a delay in formulating regional requirements on this matter. The CNS SG/25 urged delegates to take necessary action to respond to the State Letter by providing required information for simulation by using Appendix A to the paper as early as possible. In addition, the meeting reviewed the Frequency Finder installation status in APAC, which is provided in **Appendix A** to this report.

3.4 Preliminary analysis on the States' submission revealed the challenge of uncertainty to determine the medium-term spectrum requirements for VHF communication services, in particular the specific location for using the frequency. Further analysis is being conducted with concerned States by using Frequency Finder to determine whether these requirements can be assigned with a frequency within the available 25 kHz channels. States/Administrations were invited to review and provide up-to-date information for Frequency List 3 by using Frequency Finder as the simulation result would only be meaningful provided that sufficient frequency data are given. In addition, States/Administrations were invited to report issues to the Secretariat team during this interactive process.

3.5 Indonesia informed the meeting that a response to the State Letter was sent to ICAO APAC Office dated 13 July 2021 attached with the simulation requirements, the ICAO Secretariat will follow up with Indonesia in near future, and with this update, the ICAO APAC Office has received submissions from **nine** States/Administrations. Lao PDR will approach the ICAO APAC Office shortly in this regard.

*Frequency Simulation for India – Sec (WP/14)*

3.6 This paper presented the outcome of frequency simulation for India, which stemmed from the outcome of discussion in SRWG/5 WP/07. The simulation analysed the requirements raised by India as follows (bracket indicates simulation details provided in which paragraphs):

- 100 frequencies for Aerodrome Surface (AS) communications (Para. 3 in Paper)
- 180 for Tower services (Para. 4 in Paper)
- 90 for Approach Service (APP-U) (Para. 5 in Paper)
- 60 for Area Control Services (ACC-U) (Para. 6 in Paper)
- 15 for ATIS (Para. 7 in Paper)

3.7 In summary, the simulation demonstrated that the frequency requirements requested by India for up to 2030 can be satisfied within the frequency band 117.975 - 137 MHz with certain conditions, including a re-organization for the pools to which frequencies are allotted may be required. In addition, heavy congestion is expected at that time throughout most of this frequency band. It was recommended to undertake a similar analysis in 3 - 5 years from now to assess the severity of the congestion. **ACTION ITEM 6-1**

3.8 India appreciated the effort on simulation by Mr Robert Witzgen and agreed on the outcome of the simulation exercise, and India also advised that the result of this simulation could be further refined to match more accurately to the actual frequency requirements by identifying more locations in the coming years. This paper has set an example on the frequency planning techniques with actual situation to address the comment from Thailand that it could be difficult to estimate the future traffic growth and subsequently the need for an increase in frequency channels due to the pandemic that would have delayed the original estimated growth.

3.9 China and IATA expressed appreciation of the effort for future planning of frequency. It was noted that similar simulation could be applied to other APAC States as well, and in case 8.33kHz channel spacing were identified as necessary in some airspaces within this region, strategic planning as in the case of Europe could be adopted to ease the concern of investment to aircraft to enable their use of 8.33kHz channel spacing.

3.10 The meeting noted the limited discussion in the paper on more flexible use of the VHF COM Frequency Allotment Plan. India, Singapore and Philippines also commented on restrictions and flexibility in the pooled APAC frequency allotments, the Secretariat commented that any changes to the frequency allotment plan implied the update to the Doc 9718 Vol II and modification of Frequency Finder tool, and that would be challenging but there is no room to wait further, and two relevant Action Items were proposed and endorsed in the meeting as follows:

- Review the registered frequencies from the simulation conducted in 2016 in the Frequency Finder, and remove those temporary frequencies in the Frequency Finder in the previous simulation service **ACTION ITEM 6-2**
- Establish an ad-hoc group to review the VHF COM Frequency Allotment Plan for APAC in terms of effective use of frequencies in the region, including the review of non-safety critical frequency use. This ad-hoc group will mainly work in offline mode through email list, and the Secretariat invited all interested States/Administrations to join this ad-hoc group by indicating their interest to the Secretariat **ACTION ITEM 6-3**

3.11 The meeting was informed that the simulated data for States is available in MS Excel format upon the request by State through the ICAO Secretariat.

*Preparation for Implementation of VHF Com 8.33 kHz Channel Spacing Requirements in APAC Region – Indonesia (Flimsy/03)*

3.12 This paper discussed Indonesia's preparations for the implementation of VHF COM 8.33 kHz channel spacing. Indonesia has accommodated the use of 8.33 kHz channel spacing in national regulation and will be updated regarding the actual event. Furthermore, the implementation of this policy directly impacts the ANSP, airline operators, ground service (e.g., ground handling, VHF data link frequencies), heliport operators as main users of the VHF COM Frequency.

3.13 Subject to response for simulation of VHF COM Frequency requirements for next 10 years, Indonesia has reported 405 frequencies allocated that have also registered with Frequency Finder. Based on a survey conducted by DGCA with the ANSP regarding the ability of the existing VHF COM facilities to operate, of all the 864 types of facilities operated, 680 (i.e. 79%) can operate at 8.33 kHz

channel spacing and the rest can't be changed. In addition, to ensure all 1497 registered civil aircraft operating in Indonesia are capable of operating at 8.33 kHz, Indonesia will conduct a further survey.

3.14 However, when 8.33 kHz channel spacing will be implemented, some issues were identified as follows:

- Operational use will have an impact on the ATC load (phraseology) when using the 3 decimal place;
- Implementation of 8.33 kHz channel spacing will impact ANSP on replacing all the VHF COM facilities which incapable of working on that channel space;
- It is necessary to consider the impact on airlines; and
- Need to update national regulation of the use 8.33 kHz if it has been recommended by ICAO APAC next future.

3.15 It was commented in the meeting that the paper provided adequate information and precise presentation as a good observation on the subject of implementation of 8.33kHz channel spacing in the Region, which is one of the core agenda items of the SRWG meeting. The meeting suggested considering formulating an implementation roadmap along with the deliberation with individual States when the matter matures in future.

3.16 In addition, IATA acknowledged the timely proposal by AAI for 8.33 kHz VHF Voice Channel Spacing and detailed analysis by Mr. Robert. Based on SRWG/5 discussions, information from aircraft manufacturers, and airline feedback, it appears that most of airline air transport fleet are already equipped with 8.33 kHz VHF Voice Channel Spacing. As it appears that the VHF band is expected to be congested by 2030, and considering the safety and flight efficiency role of VHF COM for flight and ATM operations, IATA suggested that the APAC region plan ahead and establish an agreement for a structured implementation plan and timeline for eventual migration to 8.33 kHz, including an accommodation strategy for non-complying aircraft.

#### **Agenda Item 4: Frequency Planning Requirements/Criteria for the Asia/Pacific Region**

##### *Aeronautical Frequency Spectrum Coordination and Planning Criteria in the APAC Region – Sec (WP/04)*

4.1 This paper reviewed the current practices and coordination procedures for aeronautical facilities and services operating in the aeronautical frequencies bands and the evolution of planning criteria employed.

4.2 On frequency coordination, it was discussed in the meeting that States should coordinate for all frequency assignments that may affect the use of frequencies in other States. In principle, States should coordinate with the Regional Office for all frequency assignments that may affect the use of frequency assignments coordinated through the ICAO mechanism. Not doing so will ultimately result in unforeseen interference, less efficient and less flexible assignment coordination and planning in a congested environment, as there will not be any possibility of optimizing assignments to solve congestion, as well as for a chance to conduct a meaningful simulation at the regional level. As such, the following Draft Conclusion was discussed and endorsed by the meeting:

<b>Draft Conclusion SRWG/6-1</b> - Frequency coordination for aeronautical frequency bands of 190-526.5 kHz, 108-117.975 MHz, 960 – 1215 MHz and 117.975 to 137 MHz.	
What: That, States are encouraged to coordinate with ICAO APAC Office before assigning frequencies for aeronautical services in the frequency bands of 190-526.5 kHz, 108-117.975 MHz, 960 – 1215 MHz and 117.975 to 137 MHz that may affect the use of aeronautical frequencies in other States.	Expected impact: <input type="checkbox"/> Political / Global <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inter-regional <input type="checkbox"/> Economic <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental

		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ops/Technical
Why: To optimize the frequency assignment planning and solve congestion at regional level.	Follow-up: <input type="checkbox"/> Required from States	
When: 25-Nov-22	Status: Draft to be adopted by PIRG	
Who: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sub groups <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APAC States <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ICAO APAC RO <input type="checkbox"/> ICAO HQ <input type="checkbox"/> Other: -		

4.3 Upon request of clarification from Singapore on the need for coordination on the use of these frequencies with ICAO, e.g. AM(OR) service, Mr Robert Witzten explained that it is essential to coordinate the use of such frequencies with ICAO to make sure they can operate without interferences with other aircraft stations and AM(OR) service, as the ICAO is hosting the only list of these frequencies. In addition, India commented that clarity is still required on guidelines for coordination based on the Draft Conclusion above as the States are only encouraged to coordinate and it would become an obligatory requirement to States.

4.4 On frequency assignment and planning criteria, the meeting discussed the principles that were adopted by the ASIA/PAC/3 RAN Meeting in 1993 and they covered frequency assignments for NDB (List 1), VOR, DME and ILS (List 2), VHF COM (List 3). The latest revisions of the Handbook on Radio Frequency Spectrum Requirement for Civil Aviation (Doc 9718), Volume I and Volume II, which have been approved by the Secretary-General for publication, are to be formally published in early 2022. Subsequently, the revised planning criteria contained in the revision for compatibility assessment of frequency assignments to VHF COM systems and NAV systems (ILS, VOR, DME and GBAS/VDB) have been incorporated in the new release of the Frequency Finder tool. The following Draft Conclusion was discussed and endorsed by the meeting:

<b>Draft Conclusion SRWG/6-2</b> - Planning Principle for aeronautical frequency bands of 108-117.975 MHz, 960 – 1215 MHz and 117.975 to 137 MHz.		
What: That, Doc 9718, Handbook on Radio Frequency Spectrum Requirements for Civil Aviation, Volume II, Second Edition – 2021, is adopted as the planning principle for aeronautical facilities and services operating in the aeronautical frequency bands of 108-117.975 MHz, 960 – 1215 MHz and 117.975 to 137 MHz in APAC.	Expected impact: <input type="checkbox"/> Political / Global <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inter-regional <input type="checkbox"/> Economic <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ops/Technical	
Why: To implement the updated ICAO provisions	Follow-up: <input type="checkbox"/> Required from States	
When: 25-Nov-22	Status: Draft to be adopted by PIRG	
Who: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sub groups <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APAC States <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ICAO APAC RO <input type="checkbox"/> ICAO HQ <input type="checkbox"/> Other: -		

*The Outcome of Ad-Hoc Group Activities on 50 kHz Channel Spacing for VOR/ILS Operations – India (WP/10)*

4.5 This paper summarized the outcome of the Ad-hoc Group activities with regard to the implementation of 50 kHz channel spacing in the frequency band 108.000-117.975 MHz for VOR/ILS operation in the APAC region. In 2021, the SRWG/5 meeting discussed the possible shortfall of VOR channels with the current 100 kHz channel spacing and agreed to explore the feasibility of the introduction of 50 kHz channel spacing for VOR and ILS/Localizer deployments in the frequency band 108.000-117.975 MHz in the APAC region. Accordingly, the meeting decided to constitute an ad-hoc group, under SRWG/5 Action Item A5-5 to explore the issue of 50 kHz channel spacing in the frequency band 108-117.975 MHz for ILS (LOC)/VOR operations and provide the inputs to SRWG/6, i.e. this meeting. An online ad-hoc meeting was held on 8<sup>th</sup> February 2022 and the ad-hoc group, led by India, produced this paper of the outcome of the discussion with a questionnaire prepared with the paper.

4.6 The real challenges identified of implementing 50 kHz channel spacing are, deemed by the ad-hoc group, primarily with airspace users (airline operators) and not with ground segment. The need for active coordination between the stakeholders including ANSP, Civil/Military and Airline Operators (IATA) was also stressed. The ad-hoc meeting also noted that the ICAO Frequency Finder tool fully supports 50 kHz channel spacing.

4.7 The paper presented the status of coordination within India and consultation with all stakeholders including IATA and its Military Organizations. The stakeholders have consented to implementing 50 kHz channel spacing within India. It was shared that both China and Japan have already implemented 50 kHz channel spacing and they shared their experiences. To speed up the process, the online meeting agreed to circulate a questionnaire to the State and Airline Operators including IATA through an ICAO APAC State Letter. The Secretariat thanked India for their effort to conclude ICAO provisions on the matter in the paper. With comments raised in the meeting that the questionnaire could be further simplified, it was determined in the meeting that the Ad-hoc Group could further review as necessary and the Secretariat could then format and publish the questionnaire via ICAO APAC State Letter by April 2022. **ACTION ITEM 6-4.**

*Minimum Coordination Distance and the Need for Coordination of Frequency Assignments – Sec (WP/13)*

4.8 This paper described the principles to observe and calculations with examples when establishing a minimum coordination distance between VHF COM and NAV facilities. Beyond this distance, no frequency coordination is required with respect to the desired facility. In aeronautical frequency assignment planning, the receiving station that is to be protected from harmful interference is typically the aircraft when operating at the edge of the Designated Operational Coverage (DOC) at the maximum range and height. Since the DOC is not the same for all frequency assignments, the minimum separation distance has been established as the distance between the (undesired) transmitter and the location of the (desired) aircraft receiver, in each case, taking into account the parameters to the desired and the undesired stations as in the relevant ICAO Table of frequency assignments.

4.9 The meeting was invited to note that while it is not feasible to establish a single value for a minimum coordination distance because of the variables to be considered in the relevant calculations, States are invited to note that all facilities that do not meet this requirement need to be coordinated with ICAO. China supplemented that while it is important to coordinate the use of frequency in order to avoid interference with other States, however, a State should be allowed to determine, based on ITU standards, other technical standards and geographical terrain (as supplemented by Mr Robert Witzgen) whether the minimum coordination distance requirements are met. As discussed in the meeting, the Regional Guidance Material being developed will deliberately address this issue clearly.

*Rationale for Placing Proper Frequency Coordination Mechanism and Framing Guidelines – India (WP/15)*

4.10 This paper by India reviewed the need for placing a proper coordination mechanism and framing explicit policy guidelines for States.

4.11 India informed the meeting all the new frequency assignments have been coordinated with ICAO Regional Office before being used in order to protect the frequencies being used in India, as well as, neighbouring countries from harmful interference. However, they noted that the ICAO frequency lists do not contain the complete assignments and the assignments from many states are not fully recorded in the relevant lists.

4.12 The issue was discussed during the online Ad-hoc Committee Meeting on 8, Feb 2022, with certain points as highlighted hereunder need to be addressed to give a comprehensive guidance to states for adoption:

- Clarity is required with respect to the RAN meeting conclusions and relevant Annex 10 Volume V provisions, in respect of whether the states are required to coordinate and record all the new assignments with the Regional Office or only those assignments near the border areas.
- In case the new assignments contemplated near the border areas are to be coordinated, what are the specific distances required for different services for which coordination is necessary.
- Whether the military assignments (all or near the borders) are required to be coordinated. Normally, classified assignments are not disclosed. India presently is coordinating quite a number of military assignments.
- The coordination practices followed by the states/administrations of other Regions may help to replicate in Asia/Pac region.
- Need for framing explicit guidelines to remove the ambiguity and for compliance by states may be considered.

4.13 India raised a comment on probable augmentation of the Frequency Finder tool could incorporate indication to states to coordinate or not to coordinate. Mr Robert Witzgen would consider such augmentation to be incorporated into the Frequency Finder. **ACTION ITEM 6-5**

*Implementation of 50 kHz Channel Spacing in China (IP/03)*

4.14 This paper shared the implementation of 50 kHz channel spacing in China. Since the frequency band 108.000-117.975 MHz with 100kHz channel spacing falls short to satisfy the actual demand from the rapid development of the civil aviation industry and the substantial increase in the number of Radio Navigation Stations (RNS), CAAC decided to assign ILS Localizer and VOR frequency with 50 kHz channel spacing after consulting the domestic airlines and facility operators, and notified the specific requirements that since October 1st, 2014, all new / relocated LOCs, GPs, VORs and DMEs shall meet the followings:

- The tunable frequency spacing of LOC and VOR equipment shall at least reach 50 kHz;
- The tunable frequency spacing of GP equipment shall at least reach 150 kHz; and
- Both X and Y channel modes are applicable for the DME equipment.

4.15 To ensure safe operation, CAAC adopts the mixing condition between 50 kHz and 100 kHz channel spacing when setting the protection distance of RNSs, and refers to Annex 10, Attachment C to Volume I, paragraphs 2.6, 3.4 and 3.5 for specific details. Implementation of 50 kHz channel spacing is progressing steadily.

4.16 The Secretariat supplemented that Japan has also reported their implementation of 50kHz channel spacing for VOR and LOC, as well as X and Y channels for DME.

**Agenda Item 5: Update on Frequency Finder***Latest Updates to Frequency Finder Tool - Sec (WP/05)*

5.1 This paper accompanied by a powerpoint presentation presented the latest work, enhancements and functionalities brought to the Frequency Finder tool to assist ICAO Regional Offices and States to manage and coordinate aeronautical frequency assignments in ICAO COM Lists 2 and 3 as well as SSR Mode S II/SI codes. Further Frequency Finder provides the calculation of interference areas and a geographical interface for plotting of the frequency assignments, including any interference area. Work on the development of a module for VHF/UHF navigation systems as in COM list 2 (ILS, VOR, DME and GBAS) has been completed using the frequency assignment planning criteria as per the Handbook on Radio Frequency Spectrum Requirements for Civil Aviation (Doc. 9718) Volume II which was approved in 2021.

5.2 On top of the developments mentioned, two features were further enhanced and implemented in the Frequency Finder Tool. The first feature, the plotting interference contours in the VHF-NAV module on the Google Map, provided the identifiable difference in colours between different NAV systems/facilities. The second feature, a global database for Mode S II/SI code assignments, is currently is under final evaluation. This feature is capable of assessing compatibility with Mode S II codes and Mode S SI codes in accordance with proposed modifications to the Aeronautical Surveillance Manual (DOC 9924) expected to be approved in 2022. It is expected the modified versions of Frequency Finder could be deployed during Q2/Q3 2022. The meeting was invited to make extensive usage of the Frequency finder tool for frequency coordination and provide feedback on FF tool usage, suggestions, bugs and recommendations.

5.3 The paper also updated the meeting on the development of online course on fundamentals of frequency management, which will be composed of three new self-study online courses to provide an overview of frequency spectrum used for aeronautical services, a familiarization with the regulations, frequency assignment planning criteria for aeronautical radio communication system and introduction of ICAO Frequency Finder tool. The first course may be available from August 2022 after further work to be completed. Each course will be independent, so interested parties could take the courses early. As a general plan, these courses will serve as prerequisites for attending regional workshops.

5.4 Philippines enquired whether the updated Frequency Finder tool was already updated with the latest frequencies assigned and where to update existing frequencies. The Secretariat informed that the database should contain all known frequencies registered with ICAO APAC Regional Office and they are synchronised to local installations being used in States. For any updates, the coordination is the same with ICAO APAC Regional Office.

5.5 In response to the query from India, the meeting was informed that with Frequency Finder the channel spacing to be considered in frequency assignment planning can be set by the user (100 kHz or 50 kHz). The default channels spacing is 100 kHz for frequency assignments ending with integer numbers of 100 kHz (e.g. 114.300 MHz) and 50 kHz for frequency assignments ending with 50 kHz (e.g. 114.350 MHz).

**Agenda Item 6: Review the regional guidance material***Draft of Asia Pacific Regional Aeronautical Radio Frequency Management Guidance Material - China (WP/06)*

6.1 This paper discussed and reviewed the second edition of the draft of Asia Pacific Regional Aeronautical Radio Frequency Management Guidance Material. The guidance includes

objective, scope, institutional framework, spectrum management and procedure of APAC region, air-ground communication and radio navigation aid frequency management information. The paper discussed the following issues for meetings' attention and discussion:

- The minimum coordination distance for radio navigation facilities;
- The minimum separation distance for Localizer and Glide Path;
- The minimum separation distance for adjacent channel of Localizer and VOR;
- Influence of Aircraft Contribution Factor on the Calculation of GBAS Protection Ratio; and
- Criteria for Identifications coordination.

6.2 China thanked the support from Chair, Mr Robert Witzten and ICAO APAC Regional Office for supporting them on the content of the Guidance Material. In return, Chair, Mr Robert Witzten and the Secretariat appreciated the effort for the hard work in preparing the draft guidance material, which brought closer of requirements in Annex 10, ICAO Doc.9718 and EUR DOC011 to guidance that could be readily referenced by States. Mr Robert Witzten expressed that he is happy to support China to complete pending items that need to look further. The Secretariat further suggested that the guidance material could be further improved by providing frequency planning criteria in easy-reading format (e.g. WP/04 of SRWG/1) for easy reference by frequency planners in CAAs or relevant authorities. China agreed to work on the suggestion. **ACTION ITEM 6-6**

6.3 In response to the enquiry from Philippines on the relationship of the APAC frequency allotment plan and this Guidance Material, the Secretariat explained that the Guidance Document is a living document, which is subject to continuous review and improvement. Should there be any changes in the APAC frequency allotment plan, the Guidance Document could be updated to reflect the changes.

6.4 To further proceed with the draft, the Secretariat mentioned that a State Letter would be issued to invite the APAC States to review and comment on the draft guidance document after further completion of the draft. **ACTION ITEM 6-7**

## **Agenda Item 7: State and Regional Updates**

### *Potential Impacts from 5G Implementation on Aircraft Radio Altimeters – Outcomes in Relevant Meetings and Regional Updates – Sec and Chair (WP/07)*

7.1 This paper reviewed the discussion in APAC after SRWG/5 about 5G implementation and potential impacts on aircraft radio altimeters, and relevant regional updates including:

- ICAO Headquarters issued a state letter on 25 March 2021 encouraging the States/Administrations to consider as a priority, public and aviation safety when deciding how to enable cellular broadband/5G services in radio frequency bands near the bands used by radio altimeters.
- Outcomes of 12<sup>th</sup> Meeting of Frequency Spectrum Management Panel Working Group (FSMP WG) including the reporting from Japan, Australia, ICCAIA and from the aviation industry, the national efforts to implement broadband mobile near 4.2-4.4GHz and outcomes from the Correspondence Group on Radio Altimeters (CG-RA) of FSMP WG.
- Outcomes of CNS SG/25 including sharing from Hong Kong China on their established mechanism in handling the issue, the ICAO Secretariat's paper to summarise discussion from SRWG/5 and the background in APAC.
- Outcomes of RASG-APAC/11 including a summary from the ICAO Secretariat, a sharing from Singapore, co-sponsored by Bangladesh, Fiji, Indonesia, New Zealand and Thailand, on their efforts to bring about greater awareness in managing the risk posed by the potential interference to aircraft radio altimeter by 5G, and a discussion

from Hong Kong China on their effort to bring the potential risk to the attention of the local industry and taken proactive actions with relevant parties, including collecting suspected occurrences from airline operators.

- The meeting was invited to note the **Conclusion RASG-APAC 11/3** — *Potential Interference to Aircraft Radio Altimeter by 5G Telecommunications System* and **Decision RASG-APAC 11/6** — *Potential Interference to Aircraft Radio Altimeter by 5G Telecommunications System*
- Regional and global development on the issue, including FAA issued Statements on 5G since December 2021 via <https://www.faa.gov/newsroom/faa-statements-5g> and Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), based on the latest studies conducted by Global System for Mobile Communications Association (GSMA), via [https://www.gsma.com/spectrum/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/GSMA\\_Roadmap-for-C-band-spectrum-in-ASEAN\\_WEB.pdf](https://www.gsma.com/spectrum/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/GSMA_Roadmap-for-C-band-spectrum-in-ASEAN_WEB.pdf), the current plans for 5G spectrum allocation are focused mainly on the 3 300 – 3 800 MHz range. Further information related to the use of certain frequency bands for IMT-2020 (5G) in Asia-Pacific countries can be found from the several survey studies in APT Reports.

7.2 As agreed in CNS SG/25, Member States would monitor the impact of 5G on radio altimeters in their States/Administration about the safety and frequency spectrum issues. Member States CAA and airworthiness office may collect all relevant information and past issues reported, if any, and inform RASG-APAC of any significant concern. The issues related to frequency spectrum may be brought to the attention of the CNS section of the ICAO APAC Office for further coordination with RASG-APAC and ICAO Headquarters.

*5G Interference to Aircraft Radio Altimeters – IATA and Thailand (WP/12)*

7.3 This paper discussed recent lessons learned concerning the deployment of 5G telecommunication networks and outlines recommendations to mitigate the potential risks to flight safety by 5G deployments.

7.4 The paper reviewed the criticality of Radio Altimeter onboard, the potential impact from the 5G interference to the equipment and a global summary of 5G deployment proposals. The collective global aviation community, including ICAO, IATA, IFALPA, RTCA, FAA and other State Aviation Regulators of Australia, Canada, France, South Africa, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates, has formally recognized and expressed safety concerns arising from the potentially harmful interference to radio altimeters (4.2–4.4 GHz) deployed on all types of civil aircraft that could result from the deployment of 5G telecommunications systems in the adjacent frequency band (3.7–3.98 GHz). Without appropriate mitigation, this harmful interference poses a risk to aviation operations across all ICAO regions, including APAC.

7.5 The paper further reviewed the risk mitigation strategies and next steps for implementation. ICAO High-Level Conference on COVID-19 (HLCC) recommended the risk mitigation measures of 5G implementation to safety-critical radio altimeter functions. As a minimum, actions and regulatory measures need to be taken and put in place to safeguard the use of radio altimeters. Some States/regions, e.g. Japan, Australia, Canada, Europe, have set an example by cooperating with 5G network providers with regards to the provision of location information for their stations, as well as details of the transmission characteristics required. It cited that maintaining current safety levels for aircraft, passengers and flight crews must be States'/governments' highest priority. States should ensure that every frequency allocation/assignment is comprehensively studied and is well proven not to adversely impact aviation safety and efficiency, and States must provide the necessary leadership and act as a fair facilitator to ensure open and positive information sharing between the two industries and national aviation and telecommunication regulators.

7.6 Thailand informed the meeting that Airworthiness Bulletin was issued in June 2021 to raise the awareness of the issue and concerned party was encouraged to submit report on the occurrence of disturbance to radio altimeters as detailed in the Continued Airworthiness Notice No. CAAT-CAN-2021-02.

7.7 The paper invited the meeting to review and acknowledge the safety concerns and potential operational impacts of 5G telecommunication system deployment to radio altimeter, and in this regard, to consider incorporating “C-Band mobile telecommunications interference impact on aviation operations” into the ToR of the SRWG, to request ICAO APAC office to consider issuing a State letter to States within the Asia/Pacific Region in a similar manner to the NACC letter; and to consider adopting actions taken by some States to mitigate 5G interference and suggest additional actions as necessary and appropriate. The paper also requested ANSPs and aviation safety regulators to brief relevant government and telecommunication regulatory/management agencies about the potential impact of 5G deployment on aviation safety, and propose necessary provisions for the spectrum auctioning/allotting process that will ensure the radio altimeter frequency is free from harmful interference at and around airports.

7.8 To address these proposed actions above, the Secretariat first introduced the original ToR of SRWG on studying necessary work for introducing 8.33kHz channel spacing and later included the topic of frequency spectrum planning. Due to the cross-domain and multi-facet nature of the issue involving frequency spectrum and flight safety, the ICAO recommended that the terms of reference of SRWG remains unchanged but the concern raised by IATA and Thailand could be addressed through a dedicated Agenda Item for discussion at future meetings as the need arises. The provisional agenda for SRWG/7 is provided in **Appendix B** to this report.

7.9 The Secretariat informed the meeting that the issue was well discussed in RASG-APAC/11 meeting with one Conclusion and one Decision addressing 5G interference to radio altimeter. A separate State Letter from APAC will be issued based on the outcome from RASG-APAC/11 after coordination with ICAO HQ. Philippines supplemented that ICAO HQ is preparing a State Letter or Electronic bulletin to be issued in 2022, hence APAC Region would not need to issue its own State Letter on the same subject right now. The Secretariat invited IATA to review and make improvements to the text for Action Item 5-9 to adequately address the concerned raised, which APAC States were informed *to take necessary follow up action at the regional level, to support CAAs working with State’s spectrum regulators to avoid the future safety issues on radio altimeter due to 5G implementation.* Revised wording was recorded as **ACTION ITEM 6-8.**

7.10 For the Draft Conclusion proposed in the paper, the meeting was informed that two RASG-APAC/11 Conclusion/Decision, i.e. **Conclusion RASG-APAC 11/3 — Potential Interference to Aircraft Radio Altimeter by 5G Telecommunications System** and **Decision RASG-APAC 11/6 — Potential Interference to Aircraft Radio Altimeter by 5G Telecommunications System**, have already covered the scope of the Draft Conclusion proposed by IATA and Thailand. In addition, it would be a duplication of effort should the same issue be under APANPIRG that have already been addressed under RASG-APAC. The Secretariat explained that despite the two RASG-APAC/11 outcomes endorsed, it depends on the effectiveness of how these RASG-APAC/11 Conclusion/Decision actually cover the concern on the issue in the Region, which could be further reviewed at future RASG-APAC meetings.

7.11 The meeting was further informed that the issue regarding the potential interference from 5G implementation to RA has been communicated and brought for attention by ICAO APAC Regional Officer to the ITU Regional Radiocommunication Seminar 2020 for Asia & Pacific held on 28 October 2020, as well as to the Twenty-Sixth Meeting of the Regional Interagency Working Group (IAWG) on Information and Communication Technology (ICT) held on 17 January 2022, which was hosted by International Telecommunication Union and co-organized by APT and UNESCAP.

7.12 Further to comment from IATA on no cases received in APAC Region, and the enquiry from Philippines on the procedure to report any cases of suspected interference issue, the Secretariat

reminded that States/Administrations and industry provide feedback to RASG-APAC and APANPIRG, and its sub-groups, on reports of interference from 5G networks as detailed in Decision RASG-APAC/11/6.

7.13 China commented that the risk of potential interference from 5G implementation to RA could vary depending on the parameters implemented, including Base Station transmitting power, antenna location, antenna tilts and, especially, frequency spectrum used by 5G etc. Further research work could be done to determine how to avoid the potential interference from 5G, the results of which could be discussed in high-level meetings, e.g. FSMP.

*Radio Altimeters and 5G Administration C-Band Deployment – United States (Flimsy/01)*

7.14 The flimsy reviewed the synopsis, intra-agency and industry coordination on the 5G deployment, and actions taken by Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) via Airworthiness Notices (AD), Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOC) and NOTAMs.

7.15 The FAA expressed that they believe the expansion of 5G C-band and aviation will safely co-exist. The FAA continues to work closely with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and wireless companies, and they are making progress toward safely implementing the 5G C-band expansion. They are confident with the ongoing collaboration we will reach this shared goal.

7.16 The flimsy introduced relevant ADs issued, as well as the AMOC process which allows anyone to propose to the FAA an alternative method of compliance or a change in the compliance time, if the proposal provides an acceptable level of safety. To date, the FAA issued 21 5G C-band-related AMOCs for airplanes in commercial service. In addition, NOTAMs will be maintained to identify locations with 5G C-band base station deployments. Further information could be referred to the FAA website as introduced in the flimsy.

*Outcome of FSMP WG/13 on Radio Altimeter Issues – Sec (Flimsy/02)*

7.17 This flimsy summarized the outcome of the Thirteenth Working Group Meeting of the Frequency Spectrum Management Panel (FSMP-WG/13), held between 21-25 February 2022, on potential interference to Radio Altimeter from 5G for information and reference by the meeting. Under its Agenda Item 4 - Radio Altimeter issues, the following papers were discussed:

- Report from correspondence group on radio altimeters (CG-RA) - *IP03*
- National efforts to implement broadband mobile near 4200-4400 MHz
  - Mitigation measures - *WP03, WP16, IP02, IP04*
  - Safety Cases/Compatibility Analyses

7.18 The SRWG/6 meeting was invited to note FSMP-WG/13 *IP/03*, which is the best overall fact-finding reference available today, while *IP/04* is more of a simplified “executive-level” briefing style text, they are provided in Appendices A and B to the paper respectively for easy reference. The secretariat also suggested the RTCA Technical Webinar: *Interference Risk on Radar Altimeters from Planned 5G Telecommunication* as a good reference which can be found at YouTube.

*Update on Space-based VHF – Singapore (IP/02)*

7.19 This paper updated the meeting on the progress of Space-based VHF discussions at International Telecommunications Union (ITU) Working Party 5B (WP 5B) and ICAO Frequency Spectrum Management Panel (FSMP) working group meetings. With the support of ICAO and the different Regional Groups of the ITU, the space-based VHF frequency allocation was formally accepted as an agenda item for World Radiocommunication Conference 2023 (WRC-23). Currently, the space-based VHF frequency compatibility study is still ongoing in ITU WP 5B meetings, and the FSMP is the designated ICAO point of liaison with ITU WP 5B.

7.20 The paper reviewed the three documents updated in the recent ITU WP 5B meeting held from 29 November to 10 December 2021 including a Liaison Statement (LS) to ICAO to update the progress of space-based VHF studies and to seek clarifications, in particular, on VHF data link (VDL) Mode 2, a Working document towards a Preliminary Draft New Report (PDNR) detailing the technical studies and assessments of space-based studies, and a Working document towards a Draft Conference Preparatory Meeting (CPM) report that details the proposed regulatory changes for WRC-23. The paper also shared a document from FSMP WG/13 IP/06 regarding the initial outcomes of the technical studies and test/validations performed by a European initiative called VOICE, a project to perform a proof of concept (POC) for a satellite-based CNS technology in a real operational environment. The POC will also include satellite relay of VHF voice and data.

7.21 As the activities within the ITU WP5B and the FSMP are intensifying as WRC23 draws nearer, to ensure aviation interests are safeguarded, the meeting was invited to support the agenda items as advised by ICAO Assembly Resolution A38-6 (*Support of the ICAO Policy on radio frequency spectrum matters*); and submit additional questions, if any, to FSMP through ICAO Regional Office.

**Agenda Item 8: Review POC of States on Frequency Affairs**

*Review of POCs – Sec (WP/08)*

8.1 This paper reviewed the current status of POCs of States on frequency-related affairs for review and update. ICAO APAC Office issued a State Letter Ref.: T 8/8.9 - AP057/21(CNS) with Subject: *Focal Point for Frequency Coordination* on 08 April 2021, to remind Administrations to revise and update the POC on frequency affairs. In addition, WP21 to CNS SG/25 highlighted the need to contact Air Navigation Service Providers (ANSPs) from time to time for CNS-related issues, both for urgencies such as network issues, cyber security and follow-up on cyber-attacks etc. and for administrative purposes and regional coordinated planning such as AMHS ad-hoc routing, frequency allocations etc. The updated POC list for Frequency Affairs is provided in **Appendix C** to this report.

**Agenda Item 9: Review the Action Items**

*Review of Action Items – Sec (WP/09)*

9.1 This paper invited the meeting to review the action items. The updated Action Items List is provided in **Appendix D** to this report.

**Agenda Item 10: Next meeting and any other business**

**Date for Next Meeting**

10.1 The Secretariat informed the meeting that the date for the next meeting would be coordinated with the Chair, all APAC States and relevant international organizations three months before the date of the meeting. The date would likely be after the FSMP WG meeting as per the usual arrangement.

**Note of appreciation**

10.2 The meeting thanked Mr Robert Witzen for his continuous support to SRWG and spectrum-related activities particularly in supporting this meeting remotely. The meeting also thanked Ms Fabiola Chouha and Ms Mie Utsunomiya for their attendance and presentation on the Frequency Finder Tool.

-----

SRWG/6  
Appendix A to the Report

---

**FREQUENCY FINDER INSTALLATION STATUS IN APAC**

No.	States/ Administrations	FF Installed?	FF version	Organization name	Contact	Remark
1	Australia	Yes	FF2020.06R	AsA	Spencer.Robinson@AirservicesAustralia.com	
2	China	Yes	FF2020.04R	CAAC	zhangjia@caac.gov.cn	
3	India	Yes	FF2020.04R	AAI	Freq Mgmt, CHQ afsmcnschq@AAI.AERO	
4	Indonesia	Yes	FF2020.06R	DGCA-INS	Abdul Aziz azizsabdul@gmail.com	
5	Malaysia	Yes	FF2020.04R	CAAM	Syahroni Bin Chek Rus syahroni@caam.gov.my	
6	Thailand	Yes	FF2020.04R	CAAT	Mr. Chavalit Ithiapa chavalit.i@caat.or.th	



ICAO

*International Civil Aviation Organization*

**SEVENTH MEETING OF SPECTRUM REVIEW WORKING GROUP (SRWG/7)**

Early March or Mid-April 2023

---

**PROVISIONAL AGENDA**

- Agenda Item 1:** Adoption of agenda
- Agenda Item 2:** Review outcomes of relevant meetings
- Agenda Item 3:** Update on VHF COM Simulation for 2030
- Agenda Item 4:** Frequency planning requirements/criteria for the Asia/Pacific Region
- Agenda Item 5:** Update on Frequency Finder
- Agenda Item 6:** Review the regional guidance material
- Agenda Item 7:** **Radio Altimeter issues**
- Agenda Item 8:** State and regional updates
- Agenda Item 9:** Review POC of States on frequency affairs
- Agenda Item 10:** Review the action items
- Agenda Item 11:** Next meeting and any other business

-----

**ICAO APAC POINT OF CONTACT ON FREQUENCY AFFAIRS**

1.	STATE/NAME	TITLE/ORGANIZATION	TEL/FAX/E-MAIL
<b>1.</b>	<b>AUSTRALIA</b>		
	1. Mr. Matthew Kelly (Primary contact) (updated on 29/04/21)	Senior Engineering Specialist Airservices Australia Locked Bag 747 Eagle Farm QLD 4009 <b><u>AUSTRALIA</u></b>	Tel: +61 7 3866 3542 Email: <a href="mailto:matthew.kelly@airservicesaustralia.com">matthew.kelly@airservicesaustralia.com</a>
	2. Mr. Spencer Robinson	Engineering Specialist – Communications (Frequency Assigner) Airservices Australia Locked Bag 747, Eagle Farm QLD 4009 <b><u>AUSTRALIA</u></b>	Tel: +61 417 978 963 Fax: E-mail: <a href="mailto:spencer.robinson@airservicesaustralia.com">spencer.robinson@airservicesaustralia.com</a>
<b>2.</b>	<b>CAMBODIA</b>		
	3. Ms. Heng Sovannrath (Main focal point) Update: 03 June 2021	Deputy Chief of CNS Bureau State Secretariat of Civil Aviation # Phnom Penh International Airport Russian Federation Blvd. <b><u>CAMBODIA</u></b>	Tel: +85578 961 616 E-mail: <a href="mailto:sovannrathheng@gmail.com">sovannrathheng@gmail.com</a>
	4. Mr. Neang To (Associate focal point) Update: 03 June 2021	Chief of CNS Bureau State Secretariat of Civil Aviation # Phnom Penh International Airport Russian Federation Blvd. <b><u>CAMBODIA</u></b>	Tel: +855 12 820 811 E-mail: <a href="mailto:neangto.ans@gmail.com">neangto.ans@gmail.com</a>

SRWG/6  
Appendix C to the Report

STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	TEL/FAX/E-MAIL
5.	Mr. Heng Mengkong (Associate focal point) Update: 03 June 2021	Deputy Chief of CNS State Secretariat of Civil Aviation # Phnom Penh International Airport Russian Federation Blvd. <b><u>CAMBODIA</u></b>	Tel: +855 16 398 599 E-mail: <a href="mailto:hengmengkong@gmail.com">hengmengkong@gmail.com</a>
<b>3.</b>	<b>CHINA</b>		
6.	Mr. Zhang Jia	Deputy Assistant Division Chief Office of Radio Management Committee Civil Aviation Administration of China (CAAC) No. 155, Dongsì, Xidajie, Beijing 100710 <b><u>CHINA (PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF)</u></b>	Tel: +86 (10) 6409 2664 Fax: +86 (10) 6409 2644 E-mail: <a href="mailto:zhangjia@caac.gov.cn">zhangjia@caac.gov.cn</a>
7.	Ms. Liu Rui	Engineer Aviation Data Communication Corp. Floor 16, Bai Yan Building No. 238, Bei Si Huan Road, Haidian District Beijing 100191 <b><u>CHINA (PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF)</u></b>	Tel: +86 (10) 6409 2684 Fax: +86 (10) 6409 2644 E-mail: <a href="mailto:liur@adcc.com.cn">liur@adcc.com.cn</a>
8.	Mr. Wang Kanlin	Director Division of Compilation and Translation International Cooperation and Service Center, CAAC 51211, Building D Galaxy SOHO, No.2 Nanzhugan Hutong, Chaoyangmen Inner Street, Dongcheng District Beijing 100010 <b><u>CHINA (PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF)</u></b>	Tel: +86 (10)5829 7391 Fax: +86 (10)5829 7394 E-mail: <a href="mailto:lopod@sina.com">lopod@sina.com</a>

SRWG/6  
Appendix C to the Report

	<b>STATE/NAME</b>		<b>TITLE/ORGANIZATION</b>	<b>TEL/FAX/E-MAIL</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>HONG KONG, CHINA</b>			
	9.	Mr. Ho Chi Yun, Joseph	Senior Electronics Engineer Civil Aviation Department Hong Kong China 1 Tung Fai Road Hong Kong International Airport, Lantau <b><u>HONG KONG, CHINA</u></b>	Tel: +852 2910 6555 Fax: +852 2845 7160 E-mail: <a href="mailto:jcyho@cad.gov.hk">jcyho@cad.gov.hk</a>
	10.	Mr. Lau Kin Hei, Arthur	Electronics Engineer Civil Aviation Department Hong Kong China 1 Tung Fai Road Hong Kong International Airport, Lantau <b><u>HONG KONG, CHINA</u></b>	Tel: +852 2910 6519 Fax: +852 2845 7160 E-mail: <a href="mailto:akhlau@cad.gov.hk">akhlau@cad.gov.hk</a>
	11.	Mr. Yan Ching Wah, Jarvis	Assistant Project Manager Civil Aviation Department Hong Kong China 1 Tung Fai Road Hong Kong International Airport, Lantau <b><u>HONG KONG, CHINA</u></b>	Tel: +852 2910 6571 Fax: +852 2845 7160 E-mail: <a href="mailto:jewyan@cad.gov.hk">jewyan@cad.gov.hk</a>
<b>5.</b>	<b>FIJI</b>			
	12.	Ms. Sereima Bolanavatu	Air Navigation Services Inspector (CNS) Civil Aviation Authority of Fiji Private Mail Bag NAP0354 Nadi Airport <b><u>FIJI</u></b>	Tel: +679 8923155 Mob: +679 9995217 Email: <a href="mailto:sereima.bolanavatu@caaf.org.fj">sereima.bolanavatu@caaf.org.fj</a>
<b>6.</b>	<b>INDIA</b>			

SRWG/6  
Appendix C to the Report

STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	TEL/FAX/E-MAIL
13.	Mr. Ajay Kumar Kapur (Main POC)	General Manager (CNS-Com) Airport Authority of India New Delhi - 110003 <b><u>INDIA</u></b>	Mob.: +91 85279 03456 Land Line/ Fax: +91 (11) 2462 0287 E-mail: <a href="mailto:gmcnscom@aai.aero">gmcnscom@aai.aero</a> <a href="mailto:akkapur@aai.aero">akkapur@aai.aero</a>
14.	Mr. Arvind Singh Yadav (Main POC)	Jt. General Manager (CNS) Airport Authority of India New Delhi – 110003 <b><u>INDIA</u></b>	Mob.: +91 95991 86558 E-mail: <a href="mailto:asyadav@aai.aero">asyadav@aai.aero</a> ;
15.	Mr. Rahul Chaudhary (Associate POC)	Asst. General Manager (CNS) Airport Authority of India New Delhi – 110003 <b><u>INDIA</u></b>	Mob.: +91 98182 62461 E-mail: <a href="mailto:afsmnschq@aai.aero">afsmnschq@aai.aero</a>
16.	Mr. Umesh Kumar (Associate POC)	Asst. General Manager (CNS) Airport Authority of India New Delhi – 110003 <b><u>INDIA</u></b>	Mob.: +91 99103 57799 E-mail: <a href="mailto:afsmnschq@aai.aero">afsmnschq@aai.aero</a>
<b>7.</b>	<b>INDONESIA</b>		
17.	Mr. Abdul Aziz (Main focal point) Update: 03/03/22	Inspector of Air Navigation Directorate General of Civil Aviation Medan Merdeka Barat No. 8 Jakarta <b><u>INDONESIA</u></b>	Tel: +62 (21) 350 5006 Fax: +62 (21) 350 7569 Mobile: +62 821 1322 2432 E-mail: <a href="mailto:azizsabdul@gmail.com">azizsabdul@gmail.com</a> ;
18.	Mr. Bimantoro (Associate focal point) Update: 03/03/22	Inspector of Air Navigation Directorate General Civil Aviation Medan Merdeka Barat No. 8 Jakarta <b><u>INDONESIA</u></b>	Tel: +62 (21) 350 5006 Fax: +62 (21) 350 7569 Mobile: +62 859 4506 3999 E-mail: <a href="mailto:bimantoro@kemenhub.go.id">bimantoro@kemenhub.go.id</a> <a href="mailto:bimzink@gmail.com">bimzink@gmail.com</a>

SRWG/6  
Appendix C to the Report

	STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	TEL/FAX/E-MAIL
<b>8.</b>	<b>JAPAN</b>			
	19.	Mr. Go Chiba	Special Assistant to the Director of CNS Planning Office Japan Civil Aviation Bureau, MLIT 2-1-3 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku <b><u>JAPAN</u></b>	Tel: +81 (3) 5253 8111 Fax: E-mail: <a href="mailto:chiba-g10W2@mlit.go.jp">chiba-g10W2@mlit.go.jp</a>
	20.	Mr. Kazuya Kawamura	Special Assistant to the Director of CNS Planning Office Japan Civil Aviation Bureau, MLIT 2-1-3 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku <b><u>JAPAN</u></b>	Tel: +81 (3) 5253 8111 Fax: E-mail: <a href="mailto:kawamura-k2v8@mlit.go.jp">kawamura-k2v8@mlit.go.jp</a>
<b>9.</b>	<b>LAO PDR</b>			
	21.	Mr. Xayylath Vonglatsamy (Main focal point) Update: 1 June 2021	Air Navigation Standards Division Officer Department of Civil Aviation Wattay International Airport, P.O. Box 119 Vientiane <b><u>LAO PDR</u></b>	Tel: +856 (21) 513 163 Mobile: +856 20 2883 3666 Fax: +856 (21) 513 177 E-mail: <a href="mailto:xayyalath@dcal.gov.la">xayyalath@dcal.gov.la</a> ;
	22.	Mr. Moukphamay Thammvongsa (Associate focal point) Update: 01 June 2021	Aeronautical Radios Engineer Department of Civil Aviation Wattay International Airport, P.O. Box 119 Vientiane <b><u>LAO PDR</u></b>	Tel: +856 (21) 512 006 Mobile: +856 (20) 2919 5091 Fax: +856 (21) 512 216 E-mail: <a href="mailto:moukth@msn.com">moukth@msn.com</a> ;
<b>10.</b>	<b>NEPAL</b>			
	23.	Mr. Pravin Neupane (Main focal point) (Update 20 Oct. 2021)	Deputy Director Civil Aviation Authority of Nepal Babarmahal, Kathmandu <b><u>NEPAL</u></b>	Fax: +977 (01) 426 2516 E-mail: <a href="mailto:hellopravin@hotmail.com">hellopravin@hotmail.com</a> ;

SRWG/6  
Appendix C to the Report

	STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	TEL/FAX/E-MAIL
	24.	Mr. Manohar Rajbhandari (Associate focal point) (Update 20 Oct. 2021)	Deputy Director Civil Aviation Authority of Nepal Babarmahal, Kathmandu <b><u>NEPAL</u></b>	E-mail: <a href="mailto:Manohar.rajbhandari@gmail.com">Manohar.rajbhandari@gmail.com</a> ;
	25.	Mrs. Reenu Mool (Associate focal point) (Update 20 Oct. 2021)	Deputy Director Civil Aviation Authority of Nepal Babarmahal, Kathmandu <b><u>NEPAL</u></b>	E-mail: <a href="mailto:rmool@hotmail.com">rmool@hotmail.com</a> ;
<b>11.</b>	<b>PAKISTAN</b>			
	26.	Mr. Syed Muneer Mahmood (Main focal point) Updated: 21/05/21	Senior Joint Director Headquarters Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority Terminal-1 JIAP Karachi Pakistan Post Code 75200	Tel: +92 (21) 9907 2676 Fax: +92 (21) 9924 2671 Email: <a href="mailto:Muneer.Mehmood@caapakistan.com.pk">Muneer.Mehmood@caapakistan.com.pk</a>
	27.	Mr. Mazhar Ali (Associate focal point)	Senior Joint Director Headquarters Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority Terminal-1 JIAP Karachi Pakistan Post Code 75200	Tel: +92 (21) 9907 2215 Fax: +92 (21) 9924 2187 E-mail: <a href="mailto:mazhar.ali@caapakistan.com.pk">mazhar.ali@caapakistan.com.pk</a>
	28.	Ms. Engr Kaniz Fatima (Associate focal point)	Senior Assistant Director Headquarters Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority Terminal-1 JIAP Karachi Pakistan Post Code 75200	Tel: +92 (21) 9907 2213 Fax: +92 (21) 9924 2206 Email: <a href="mailto:kaniz.fatima@caapakistan.com.pk">kaniz.fatima@caapakistan.com.pk</a>
<b>12.</b>	<b>PHILIPPINES</b>			

SRWG/6  
Appendix C to the Report

	STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	TEL/FAX/E-MAIL
	29.	Mr. Charlemagne P. Gilo <a href="#">Main focal point</a> <a href="#">FF version 2020-4</a> (Updated: 18/05/21)	Division Chief III Air Traffic Services Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines MIA Road, Pasay City Manila 1300 <b><u>PHILIPPINES</u></b>	Tel: +63 (2) 7944 2216 Fax: +63 917 813 6075 E-mail: <a href="mailto:ats_atd@caap.gov.ph">ats_atd@caap.gov.ph</a> ; <a href="mailto:charlemagne.gilo@gmail.com">charlemagne.gilo@gmail.com</a> ;
	30.	Ms. Amneris G. Gabriel <a href="#">Update: 18/05/21</a> <a href="#">Associate focal point</a>	Department Manager III Air Traffic Services Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines MIA Road, Pasay City Manila 1300 <b><u>PHILIPPINES</u></b>	Tel: +63 (2) 7944 2268 Fax: E-mail: <a href="mailto:vannieggabriel@gmail.com">vannieggabriel@gmail.com</a> <a href="mailto:aicd@caap.gov.ph">aicd@caap.gov.ph</a>
	31.	Mr. Joe Marie Anthony E. Eligio <a href="#">Update: 18/05/21</a> <a href="#">Associate focal point</a>	Head ATS Procurement Training Air Traffic Management Officer V Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines MIA Road, Pasay City Manila 1300 <b><u>PHILIPPINES</u></b>	Tel: Fax: E-mail: <a href="mailto:joemarie_eligio_atscaap@yahoo.com.ph">joemarie_eligio_atscaap@yahoo.com.ph</a>
	32.	Mr. Josue Sugui <a href="#">Update: 18/05/21</a> <a href="#">Alternate/Associate focal point</a>	Division Chief III Air Navigation Services Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines MIA Road, Pasay City Manila 1300 <b><u>PHILIPPINES</u></b>	Tel: Fax: E-mail: <a href="mailto:josuesugui@yahoo.com">josuesugui@yahoo.com</a> ;
<b>13.</b>	<b>REPUBLIC OF KOREA</b>			

SRWG/6  
Appendix C to the Report

	STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	TEL/FAX/E-MAIL
	33.	Ms. Jeong Mi Jin (Main focal point) Update: 04 June 2021	Assistant Director Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport( MOLIT) #11, Doum-ro 6, Sejong City 30103, <b><u>REPUBLIC OF KOREA</u></b>	Tel : +82 (10) 5564 0740 Fax : +82 (44) 201 5637 E-mail : <a href="mailto:mj.jeong@korea.kr">mj.jeong@korea.kr</a>
	34.	Mr. Lee Kyung Won (Associate focal point) Update: 04 June 2021	Assistant Director Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport (MOLIT) 54, Gonghangjinip-ro 42beon-gil Gangseo-gu, Busan <b><u>REPUBLIC OF KOREA</u></b>	Tel : +82(51) 974 2182 Fax : +82(51) 974 2188 E-mail : <a href="mailto:junag333@korea.kr">junag333@korea.kr</a>
	35.	Mr. Choi Jeoung Mook (Associate focal point) Update: 04 June 2021	Assistant Director Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport (MOLIT) Gonghang-ro 2, Jeju Si Jeju Special Self-governing Province <b><u>REPUBLIC OF KOREA</u></b>	Tel : +82 (64) 797 1784 Fax : +82 (64) 797 1799 E-mail : <a href="mailto:mkke@korea.kr">mkke@korea.kr</a>
<b>14.</b>	<b>SINGAPORE</b>			
	36.	Mr. Lin Hongyi Lionel (Main focal point) Update: 28/05/21	Senior Engineer Aeronautical Telecommunications & Engineering Division Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore Singapore Changi Airport, P.O Box 1 <b><u>SINGAPORE</u></b> 918141	Tel: + 65 6541 2409 Fax: +65 6542 2447 Email: <a href="mailto:lionel_lin@caas.gov.sg">lionel_lin@caas.gov.sg</a>
	37.	Mr. Chew Keng Boon (Associate focal point) Update: 28/05/21	Principal Engineer Aeronautical Telecommunications & Engineering Division Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore Singapore Changi Airport, P.O Box 1 SINGAPORE 918141	Tel: +65 6422 7005 Fax: +65 6542 2447 Email: <a href="mailto:chew_keng_boon@caas.gov.sg">chew_keng_boon@caas.gov.sg</a>
<b>15.</b>	<b>SRI LANKA</b>			

SRWG/6  
Appendix C to the Report

STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	TEL/FAX/E-MAIL	
	38.	Mr. Vidura Thammitage	Senior Electronics Manager Airport & Aviation Services (Sri Lanka) Ltd. Colombo Airport, Ratmalana <b><u>SRI LANKA</u></b>	Tel: +94 7682 42735 Fax: +94 (11) 263 3488 E-mail: <a href="mailto:vidula.eane@airport.lk">vidula.eane@airport.lk</a>
<b>16.</b>	<b>THAILAND</b>			
	39.	Mr. Chavalit Ithiapa (primary contact)	ANS Officer Civil Aviation Authority of Thailand 333/105 Lak Si Plaza, Khamphaeng Phet 6 Road Talat Bang Khen, Lak Si, Bangkok 10210 <b><u>THAILAND</u></b>	Tel: +66 (2) 568 8831 Ext. 2510 Fax: E-mail: <a href="mailto:chavalit.i@caat.or.th">chavalit.i@caat.or.th</a>
	40.	Mr. Chainan Chaisompong (Associate focal point)	Air Traffic Engineering Manager Aeronautical Radio of Thailand Ltd. 102 Ngamduplee, Rama IV Road Tungmahamek, Bangkok 10120 <b><u>THAILAND</u></b>	Tel: +66 (2) 287 8391 Fax: - E-mail: <a href="mailto:chainan.ch@aerothai.co.th">chainan.ch@aerothai.co.th</a>
	41.	Mr. Nattaporn Pornsawat (Associate focal point) Update: 07/05/21	ANS Officer Civil Aviation Authority of Thailand 333/105 Lak Si Plaza, Khamphaeng Phet 6 Road Talat Bang Khen, Lak Si, Bangkok 10210 <b><u>THAILAND</u></b>	Tel: Fax: E-mail: <a href="mailto:nattaporn.p@caat.or.th">nattaporn.p@caat.or.th</a> ;
<b>17.</b>	<b>USA</b>			
	42.	Mr. Michael Watkins (Main focal point)	Senior Air Traffic Representative, Asia Pacific Federal Aviation Administration Air Traffic Organization, System Operations American Embassy, Singapore 27 Napier Rd. Singapore INTL 258508	Tel: +65 6476 9462 Email: <a href="mailto:michael.w.watkins@faa.gov">michael.w.watkins@faa.gov</a>

SRWG/6  
Appendix C to the Report

	STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	TEL/FAX/E-MAIL
	43.	Ms. Lorena Carvajal (Associate focal point) <a href="#">Update: 1 June 2021</a>	Spectrum Engineer Spectrum Assignments and Engineering Office FAA National Headquarters 800 Independence Ave SW Washington, DC 20591-0001	Cell: +1 (202) 507 0598 Office: +1 (202) 267 7051 Email: <a href="mailto:Lorena.carvajal@faa.gov">Lorena.carvajal@faa.gov</a>

SRWG/6  
Appendix D to the Report

UPDATED SRWG ACTION LIST

Reference	Who	What	Due date	Status	Completed on	Result	Comment
A 2-7	All	<del>to check consistency between global database and frequency list 3</del>	<del>on going</del>			Information in original Frequency List3 now kept and updated in FF	Note: Transition of COM list 2 in pprogress
A 4-4	All	Experience sharing on Frequency Finder use	30-Mar-21	Open		In progress	Sharing tests on SSR module to start soon. Work on test plan for COM and NAV-ongoing
A 4-5	All	States to provide ICAO Regional Office with information of all facilities that are in operation to improve the currency of Frequency lists	30-Mar-21	Open		In progress	
A 4-6	ICAO	Frequency Finder workshop on COM module, and new NAV Module (Mode S SSR II Code module as necessary).	30-Mar-21	Closed		Under coordination subject to the pandemic situation	See my comments on Action-Item A5/10
A 4-7	All, Robert Witzten	Create ad hoc group to draft Table of Content first, then develop the regional guidance material on aeronautical frequency spectrum management in a shared way by States.	31-Mar-23	Open		CAAC volunteered to share a draft and lead the adhoc group. Decided to be continued in SRWG/5.	1.follow up VTC on 3 July. 2. Ad hoc drafting group meeting with CAAC on 10 July
A4-8	Robert Witzten, All	to run new VHF COM simulations	31-Mar-23	Open		To be conducted with required raw data submission from States/Administration	
A5-1	ICAO, States	<del>ICAO secretariat acts as a point of contact of SRWG to track and monitor and to take the suggestions for improvement as well as concerns on the space based VHF issue whether the information be provided by Singapore on a voluntary basis, updates from an FSMP-WG meeting, from States or from other appropriate sources</del>	<del>21-Mar-22</del>	<del>Ongoing</del>			
A5-2	ICAO	to host a regional WRC-23 preparatory meeting upon coordination with ICAO HQ after lifting of travel restriction.	31-Dec-22	Open			
A5-3	ICAO	to resolve firewall query of Australia for FF installation and use.	31-Mar-21	Open			Already replied during report
A5-4	ICAO	to inform ICAO HQ to consider the feasibility incorporating terrain data into future version of Frequency Finder	SRWG/7	Open			

SRWG/6  
Appendix D to the Report

UPDATED SRWG ACTION LIST

Reference	Who	What	Due date	Status	Completed on	Result	Comment
A5-5	ICAO, India, Thailand, China, Japan, Mr. Robert Witzten	ad-hoc group led by India to further explore the issue of 50 kHz channel spacing in the frequency band 108-117.975 MHz for ILS (LOC)/VOR operations and provide the inputs to SRWG/6. To coordinate with Japan to participate in ad-hoc group.	31-Mar-22	Open			Completed with the paper from India.
A5-6	ICAO	to take appropriate follow up action to improve the awareness on the potential of emerging technologies and the necessity to consider the development of software tool like Frequency Finder to support the frequency assignment planning at regional office in future.	SRWG/7	Open			Currently a new technology implemented in FF is using GBAS/VDB Ongoing studies in NSP and FSMP are on LDACS in the DMEband
A5-7	ICAO	<del>to issue a State Letter with clear actions and guidance for States to submit necessary data for VHF simulation, as a response to the Conclusion CNS SG/24/7</del>	<del>31 Mar 21</del>	<del>Closed</del>			SL Ref.: T 8/8.6 –AP058/21(CNS), 09 April 2021, Subject: Simulation of VHF COM Frequency requirements for next 10 years
A5-8	ICAO, States	<del>to create a POC for all CNS matters as it is for ATM and compile them in APANPIRG POC.</del>	<del>31 Dec 21</del>	<del>Ongoing</del>			SL Ref.: T 8/8.9 - AP057/21(CNS), 08 April 2021, Subject: Focal Point for Frequency Coordination
A5-9	ICAO	<del>to take necessary follow up action at regional level, to support CAAs working with State's spectrum regulators to avoid the future safety issues on radio altimeter due to 5G implementation.</del> (Action Item 5-9 was superseded by Action Item 6-8 recorded in SRWG/6)	SRWG/6	Open			<del>HQ SL Ref.: SP 74/1 21/22, 25 March 2021, Subject: Potential safety concerns regarding interference to radio altimeters</del>
A5-10	ICAO	to conduct a workshop after new release of FF along with online course proposed by ICAO HQ for new release of FF is available	SRWG/7	Open			Has a Workshop been planned? A workshop F2F is necessary as part of the User Acceptance Test.
A6-1	ICAO, SRWG	Recommended to undertake an analysis on VHF COM simulation in 3-5 years from now (2025-2028) to assess the severity of the congestion	2025-2028	Open			

SRWG/6  
Appendix D to the Report

**UPDATED SRWG ACTION LIST**

Reference	Who	What	Due date	Status	Completed on	Result	Comment
A6-2	ICAO	Review the registered frequencies from the simulation conducted in 2016 in the Frequency Finder, and remove those temporary frequencies in the Frequency Finder in previous simulation service	SRWG/7	Open			
A6-3	ICAO	Establish an ad-hoc group (in offline mode through email list) to review the VHF COM Frequency Allotment Plan for APAC in terms of effective use of frequencies in the region, including the review of non-safety critical frequency use.	SRWG/7	Open			
A6-4	ICAO	Publish questionnaire for APAC states for possible introduction of 50 kHz channel spacing in the APAC region via State Letter from ICAO APAC Regional Office (follow up of A5-5)	30-Apr-22	Open			
A6-5	ICAO	Probable augmentation of the Frequency Finder tool to incorporate indication to States to coordinate or not to coordinate	SRWG/7	Open			
A6-6	China, ICAO	To summarize the revised planning principle/criteria in the format used in WP04 of SRWG/1	SRWG/7	Open			
A6-7	ICAO	State Letter on updated Guidance Material to invite APAC States for review and comments (Follow-up of A4-7)	SRWG/7	Open			
A6-8	ICAO	Administrations of Member States in APAC region would take proactive measures in line with FSMP at national and regional level, to work with National telecommunication regulators to prevent and monitor any impact of 5G to Radio Altimeters. (updated from original A5-9)	SRWG/7	Open			HQ SL: Ref.: SP 74/1-21/22, 25 March 2021, Subject: Potential safety concerns regarding interference to radio altimeters

SRWG/6  
Attachment 1 to the Report

**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS**

	<b>STATE/NAME</b>		<b>TITLE/ORGANIZATION</b>	<b>E-MAIL</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>BHUTAN (1)</b>			
	1.	Mr. Karma Gayley	CNS Officer Bhutan Civil Aviation Authority	kgayley@bcaa.gov.bt;
<b>2.</b>	<b>CHINA (7)</b>			
	2.	Ms. Rui Liu	Engineer ADCC Civil Aviation Administration of China	liur@adcc.com.cn;
	3.	Mr. Pengyu Wang	Engineer Civil Aviation Administration of China	wangpengyu@atmb.net.cn;
	4.	Mr. Zhao Liang	Engineer ADCC Civil Aviation Administration of China	zhaoliang@adcc.com.cn;
	5.	Mr. Ye Jiaquan	Engineer Civil Aviation Administration of China	yejq@caacsri.com;
	6.	Mr. Zou Jie	Engineer Civil Aviation Administration of China	zoujie@caacsri.com;
	7.	Mr. Cui Kaitao	Engineer Civil Aviation Administration of China	cuikaitao@caacsri.com;
	8.	Mr. Wang Kanlin	Director International Cooperation and Service Center Civil Aviation Administration of China	loplod@sina.com;
<b>3.</b>	<b>FIJI (1)</b>			

SRWG/6  
Attachment 1 to the Report

	<b>STATE/NAME</b>		<b>TITLE/ORGANIZATION</b>	<b>E-MAIL</b>
	9.	Mrs. Sereima Bolanavatu	ANS Inspector (CNS) Civil Aviation Authority of Fiji	Sereima.Bolanavatu@caaf.org.fj;
<b>4.</b>	<b>HONG KONG CHINA (5)</b>			
	10.	Mr. Joseph Ho	Senior Electronics Engineer Civil Aviation Department of Hong Kong, China	jcyho@cad.gov.hk;
	11.	Mr. Arthur Lau	Electronics Engineer Civil Aviation Department of Hong Kong, China	akhlau@cad.gov.hk;
	12.	Mr. Leo Lau	Electronics Engineer Civil Aviation Department of Hong Kong, China	ltclau@cad.gov.hk;
	13.	Mr. Ted Sin	Electronics Engineer Civil Aviation Department of Hong Kong, China	ttksin@cad.gov.hk;
	14.	Ms. Dion Chiu	Assistant Electronics Engineer Civil Aviation Department of Hong Kong, China	dnmchiu@cad.gov.hk;
<b>5.</b>	<b>INDIA (7)</b>			
	15.	Mr. Umesh Yadav	CNS Inspector Directorate General of Civil Aviation – India	umeshyadav@aai.aero;
	16.	Mr. Saif Ullah	Air Traffic Controller Airports Authority of India	saif.vabb@gmail.com;
	17.	Mr. Umesh Kumar	Assistant General Manager (CNS) Airports Authority of India	umeshkc@aai.aero;

SRWG/6  
Attachment 1 to the Report

	STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	E-MAIL
	18.	Mr. Rahul Chaudhary	Assistant General Manager (CNS) Airports Authority of India	crahul@aai.aero;
	19.	Mr. Ajay Kapur	General Manager Airports Authority of India	akkapur@aai.aero;
	20.	Mr. Arvind Singh Yadav	Joint General Manager (CNS) Airports Authority of India	asyadav@aai.aero;
	21.	Ms. Priya Srivastav	CNS Inspector DGCA India	priya2013@aai.aero;
<b>6.</b>	<b>INDONESIA (3)</b>			
	22.	Mr. Hary Wibowo	Acting Deputy Director of Air Navigation Directorate Indonesia DGCA	harywibowo.dgca@yahoo.com;
	23.	Mr. Bimantoro	Inspector of Air Navigation Indonesia DGCA	bimantoro@kemenhub.go.id;
	24.	Mr. Abdul Aziz	Air Navigation Inspector Indonesia DGCA	azizsabdu@gmail.com;
<b>7.</b>	<b>LAO PDR (1)</b>			
	25.	Mr. Moukphamay Thammavongsa	CNS Engineer Lao Air Navigation Services	moukth@msn.com;
<b>8.</b>	<b>MALAYSIA (1)</b>			
	26.	Mr. Shairyzal Bin Mohamad Azizan	Assistant Director Civil Aviation Authority of Malaysia	shairyzal.azizan@caam.gov.my;
<b>9.</b>	<b>MONGOLIA (2)</b>			

SRWG/6  
Attachment 1 to the Report

	STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	E-MAIL
	27.	Mr. Doloontumur Oyunbold	Communication Senior Engineer National Civil Aviation Centre of Mongolia	oyunbold.d@mcaa.gov.mn;
	28.	Mrs. Jargalsaikhan Bolorchimeg	Radio Communication Engineer National Civil Aviation Centre of Mongolia	bolochimeg.j@mcaa.gov.mn;
<b>10.</b>	<b>PAKISTAN (4)</b>			
	29.	Mr. Sohail Ahmed	Senior Assistant Director (COM OPS) Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority	sohail_ahmed@caapakistan.com.pk;
	30.	Mr. Asma Akhlaq	Senior Joint Director CNS (Maintenance) Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority	Asma.Akhlaq@caapakistan.com.pk;
	31.	Mr. Tariq Hussain	Senior Assistant Director CNS (EVHF) Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority	Tariq.Hussain@caapakistan.com.pk;
	32.	Mr. Uzair Bilal	Senior Assistant Director CNS (Electronics) Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority	Uzair.Bilal@caapakistan.com.pk;
<b>11.</b>	<b>PHILIPPINES (5)</b>			
	33.	Mr. Gary M. Jadie	Acting Dept. Manager, Air Navigation Quality Management Dept Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines	garymjadie@caap.gov.ph;
	34.	Mr. Josue R. Sugui	Division Chief III Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines	josuesugui@yahoo.com;
	35.	Mr. Charlemagne P. Givo	Division Chief III Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines	ats_atd@caap.gov.ph;
	36.	Mr. Jamie C. Solomon	ATMO V Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines	ats_mfss@caap.gov.ph;

SRWG/6  
Attachment 1 to the Report

	STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	E-MAIL
	37.	Mr. Joe Marie Anthony E. Eligio	ATMO V Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines	joemarie_eligio.atscaap@yahoo.com.ph;
<b>12.</b>	<b>REPUBLIC OF KOREA (1)</b>			
	38.	Ms. Yujin Kim	Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport	kyjin22@korea.kr;
<b>13.</b>	<b>SINGAPORE (5)</b>			
	39.	Mr. Yeo Cheng Nam	Consultant (Aeronautical Telecommunications & Engineering) Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore	yeo_cheng_nam@caas.gov.sg;
	40.	Mr. Henry Foo Wee Loong	Deputy Director (Aviation Technology & Development) Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore	henry_foo@caas.gov.sg;
	41.	Mr. Puah Kok Pin	Head (Aviation Technology & Development) Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore	puah_kok_pin@caas.gov.sg;
	42.	Mr. Chew Keng Boon	Head (Communications) Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore	chew_keng_boon@caas.gov.sg;
	43.	Mr. Lin Hongyi Lionel	Senior Engineer (Communications) Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore	lionel_lin@caas.gov.sg;
<b>14.</b>	<b>SRI LANKA (4)</b>			
	44.	Mr. R. Ananda Perera	Senior Civil Aviation Inspector – ATM TECH. Civil Aviation Authority of Sri Lanka	scaiatmtech@caa.lk;
	45.	Ms. Mihiri Yapa Pahalage	Senior Electronics Engineer Airport and Aviation Services (Sri Lanka) Ltd.	mihi.yapa@gmail.com;

SRWG/6  
Attachment 1 to the Report

	STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	E-MAIL
	46.	Mr. Vidura Thammitage	Senior Electronics Engineer Airport and Aviation Services (Sri Lanka) Ltd.	vidura.eane@airport.lk;
	47.	Mrs. Abimani Peiris	Civil Aviation Inspector - ATM Technical Civil Aviation Authority of Sri Lanka	caiatmtech@caa.lk;
<b>15.</b>	<b>THAILAND (6)</b>			
	48.	Mr. Chavalit Ithiapa	ANS Officer Civil Aviation Authority of Thailand	chavalit.i@caat.or.th;
	49.	Mr. Nattaporn Pornsawat	ANS Officer Civil Aviation Authority of Thailand	nattaporn.p@caat.or.th;
	50.	Mr. Chainan Chaisompong	Air Traffic Engineering Manager Aeronautical Radio of Thailand Ltd.	chainan.ch@aerothai.co.th;
	51.	Mr. Mana Ladhawanidphan	Executive Air Traffic Systems Engineer Aeronautical Radio of Thailand Ltd.	mana.la@aerothai.co.th;
	52.	Ms. Natcha Techachainiran	Senior Engineering Officer Office of the National Broadcasting and Telecommunications Commission, Thailand	natcha.t@nbt.go.th; nttnatcha@gmail.com;
	53.	Ms. Natsuda Hengpradit	Mid-Level Engineering Officer Office of the National Broadcasting and Telecommunications Commission, Thailand	natsuda.h@nbt.go.th;
<b>16.</b>	<b>UNITED STATES (9)</b>			
	54.	Mr. Michael Watkins	Senior Air Traffic Representative, Asia Pacific Federal Aviation Administration	michael.w.watkins@faa.gov;

SRWG/6  
Attachment 1 to the Report

	<b>STATE/NAME</b>		<b>TITLE/ORGANIZATION</b>	<b>E-MAIL</b>
	55.	Ms. Di Reimold	Deputy Director, Policy & Innovation Division, Aircraft Certification Service Federal Aviation Administration	dorothy.reimold@faa.gov;
	56.	Mr. Chris Hope	Manager, Flight Technologies and Procedures Division, Flight Standards Service Federal Aviation Administration	chris.hope@faa.gov;
	57.	Mr. Tom Sciortino	Manager, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service Federal Aviation Administration	gaetano.sciortino@faa.gov;
	58.	Mr. James Linney	Director, Operations Support, Air Traffic Organization Federal Aviation Administration	james.d.linney@faa.gov;
	59.	Mr. Jose Alfonso	Director, Aeronautical Information Services, Air Traffic Organization Federal Aviation Administration	jose.a.alfonso@faa.gov;
	60.	Mr. Dan Murphy	Director, NAS Operations, Air Traffic Organization Federal Aviation Administration	dan.murphy@faa.gov;
	61.	Ms. Randa Hayes	Chief of Staff, Aviation Safety Federal Aviation Administration	randa.hayes@faa.gov;
	62.	Ms. Mallory Naill	Supervisory Aviation Safety Inspector, Aviation Safety Federal Aviation Administration	mallory.naill@faa.gov;
<b>17.</b>	<b>IATA (4)</b>			
	63.	Capt. Wayne Snyder	777/787 Fleet Captain American Airlines	wayne.snyder@aa.com;

SRWG/6  
Attachment 1 to the Report

	STATE/NAME		TITLE/ORGANIZATION	E-MAIL
	64.	Mr. Noppadol Pringvanich	Head ATM Engineering & Aviation Radio Spectrum OSS – Infrastructure IATA	pringvanin@iata.org;
	65.	Mr. Prashant Sanglikar	Assistant Director- Operations, Safety and Security (Safety and Flight Operations) IATA	sanglikarp@iata.org;
	66.	Mr. Blair Cowles	Regional Director- Operations, Safety and Security (Safety and Flight Operations) – ASPAC IATA	cowlesb@iata.org;
<b>18.</b>	<b>ICAO (8)</b>			
	67.	Ms. Fabiola Chouha	Programme Associate ANB/AN/IPU ICAO HQs	FChouha@icao.int;
	68.	Ms. Mie Utsunomiya	Technical Officer CNS, ANB/AN/CNSS ICAO HQs	MUtsunomiya@icao.int;
	69.	Mr. Robert Witzen	Supporting the Secretariat, main developer of Frequency Finder	robertwitzen@videotron.ca; r.witzen@videotron.ca;
	70.	Mr. Luo Yi	Regional Officer CNS Asia and Pacific Office International Civil Aviation Organization	ylo@icao.int;
	71.	Ms. Soniya Nibhani	Regional Officer ANS Implementation (CNS) Asia and Pacific Office International Civil Aviation Organization	snibhani@icao.int;
	72.	Mr. How Sze Lung, Derek	Regional Officer CNS Asia and Pacific Office International Civil Aviation Organization	show@icao.int;

SRWG/6  
Attachment 1 to the Report

	<b>STATE/NAME</b>		<b>TITLE/ORGANIZATION</b>	<b>E-MAIL</b>
	73.	Ms. Zhong Wenhan, Nancy	Regional Officer CNS Asia and Pacific Office International Civil Aviation Organization	wzhong@icao.int;
	74.	Ms. Varapan Meefuengsart	Programme Assistant, CNS/MET Asia and Pacific Office International Civil Aviation Organization	vmeefuengsart@icao.int;

SRWG/6  
Attachment 2 to the Report

**LIST OF WORKING/INFORMATION PAPERS**

WP/IP No.	Agenda Item	Subject	Presented by
<b>LIST OF WORKING PAPERS</b>			
WP/01	1	Provisional Agenda	Secretariat
WP/02	2	Review of Relevant Meetings	Secretariat
WP/03	2	Outcome of APG23-3 Meeting	Secretariat
WP/04	4	Aeronautical Frequency Spectrum Coordination and Planning Criteria in the APAC Region	Secretariat
WP/05	5	Update on Frequency Finder	Secretariat
WP/06	6	Draft of Asia Pacific Regional Aeronautical Radio Frequency Management Guidance Material	China
WP/07	2&7	Potential Impacts From 5G Implementation on Aircraft Radio Altimeters – Outcomes in Relevant Meetings and Regional Updates	Chairperson and Secretariat
WP/08	8	Review POC of States	Secretariat
WP/09	9	Review on Action Items	Secretariat
WP/10	4	The Outcome of Ad-Hoc Group Activities on 50 kHz Channel Spacing for VOR_ILS Operations	India
WP/11	3	VHF Com Simulation for 2030	Secretariat
WP/12	7	5G Interference to Aircraft Radio Altimeters	IATA and Thailand
WP/13	4	Minimum Coordination Distance and the Need for Coordination of Frequency Assignments	Secretariat
WP/14	3	Frequency Simulation for India	Secretariat
WP/15	4	Rationale for Placing Proper Frequency Coordination Mechanism and Framing Guidelines	India
<b>LIST OF INFORMATION PAPERS</b>			
IP/01	-	Meeting Information	Secretariat
IP/02	7	Update on Space-based VHF	Singapore

SRWG/6  
Attachment 2 to the Report

<b>WP/IP No.</b>	<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Presented by</b>
IP/03	4	Implementation of 50kHz Channel Spacing in China	China
<b>LIST OF FLIMSIES</b>			
Flimsy/01	7	Radio Altimeters and 5G C-Band Deployment	United States
Flimsy/02	2	Outcome from FSMP-WG/13 on RA issues	Secretariat
Flimsy/03	2&7	Preparation for Implementation of VHF Com 8.33 kHz Channel Spacing Requirements in APAC Region	Indonesia

-----