GBAS

Status & Post-Implementation Activities in the U.S.

Presented to: ICAO APAC GBAS/SBAS ITF/4

By: United States

Date: May 11-12, 2022



Contents

- U.S. GBAS Implementation Update
- Ongoing FAA work to ensure ongoing safety of GBAS
 - Internal GBAS Monitoring
 - Review/approval of system modifications
 - LTIAM monitoring
 - Periodic Ground & Flight inspections
 - Verification of Non-federal Technicians
 - GPS Anomaly Reporting

U.S. Implementation Update



Implementation Strategy

- GBAS is being implemented as a Nonfederal system in the U.S.
 - System procurement & installation are at the initiative and expense of a sponsor (e.g. airport, port authority, private interest)
 - System development is at the initiative and expense of a vendor (e.g. Honeywell)
 - System approval & oversight are the responsibility of the FAA
 - FAA Order 6700.20 describes Non-federal systems' approval and oversight requirements



GBAS Installations

Commissioned GBAS in the U.S.

- Newark Liberty Int'l Airport (EWR)
- George Bush Intercontinental Airport (IAH)
- San Francisco Int'l Airport (SFO) as of March 2022*
- Grant County Int'l Airport (MWH) Private use only
- Planned GBAS in the U.S.
 - John F. Kennedy Int'l Airport ~2024
 - LaGuardia Int'l Airport ~2024



Approved Systems

- Honeywell's SLS-4000 GBAS is the only model currently approved for use by the FAA
 - CAT I approaches
 - Continental U.S. (CONUS)
 - CONUS ionospheric threat model is used as the basis for the FAA approval; other regions will need to develop their own threat model and safety case for the SLS-4000
 - Several options approved:
 - Fiber connectivity
 - Reduces system vulnerability to lightning
 - SBAS monitoring
 - Alleviates need for GBAS to assume "worse case" ionospheric environment



U.S. GBAS Users*

EWR GBAS

 United Airlines, Delta Air Lines, British Airways, DLH, Cathay Pacific, Air Canada, SAS, El Al, Iceland Air, Jet Blue

IAH GBAS

United Airlines, Delta Air Lines, Emirates, DLH,
Cathay Pacific, British Airways, Air New Zealand,
Cargolux, ANA

SFO GBAS

Data not yet being collected

^{*} All airlines that have used the system are listed here, regardless of the number of approaches that have been recorded.



Ongoing Work to Ensure Continued Safety



Internal GBAS Monitoring

 The initial approval of the SLS-4000 GBAS by the FAA included considerable effort to verify that internal GPS and environmental monitors were effective.

 While availability may be impacted by GPS jamming and interference, local signal blockages, or anomalous behavior of a GPS satellite, system integrity will be maintained.



Review/Approval of System Modifications

- All modifications to the approved baseline SLS-4000 must be reviewed by the FAA prior to operation
 - Software changes, hardware updates, training/commercial instruction book updates, new functionality, etc
- FAA review plan will be developed based on the extent of the vendor's changes and potential safety impacts

LTIAM Monitoring

- Long Term Ionospheric Anomaly Monitoring (LTIAM)
 - The FAA continues to conduct long-term ionospheric monitoring to ensure that the lono Threat model used for CONUS during the system approval is not exceeded by actual events
 - Monitoring is conducted using the LTIAM tool developed by Stanford during the initial GBAS approval

Periodic Ground & Flight Inspections

 All operational GBAS in the NAS (public and private) are subject to annual ground inspections and periodic flight inspections

Ground Inspections

- An FAA Inspector with appropriate GBAS system training oversees an annual equipment checkout done by a non-federal technician
 - Follows a standard inspection checklist created by the FAA
 - Checks various system parameters and tolerances, ensures required logging has been done throughout the year during any maintenance events

Flight Inspections

- Operational GBAS are subject to periodic (360 days after commissioning and subsequently every 540 days) flight inspections
- Flight inspections are conducted by the FAA Flight Operations Group
- Ensures that fielded GBAS continue to meet signal strength requirements



Verification of Non-federal Technicians

- All Non-federal GBAS Technicians must be approved by the FAA prior to doing maintenance on operational GBAS
 - Technicians must show proof of passing the vendor's approved training course
 - Technicians must hold an FCC General Radio Operator's License (GROL)
 - Technicians are administered a Performance Exam by an FAA proctor

GPS Anomaly Reporting

- The SLS-4000 GBAS has sensitive monitors that sometimes detect GPS anomalies
- Reported anomalies are internally investigated by several GPS stakeholder organizations
 - Anomaly details and internal FAA investigation results are passed to the DoD GPS Directorate for investigation and potential action as necessary
- In general there is no need for action on the part of the GBAS, since it is already identifying the anomaly and excluding the satellite if required to maintain integrity

Questions?