



International Civil Aviation Organization

ICAO

Twelfth Meeting of the FANS Interoperability Team – Asia
(FIT-Asia/12)

Video Teleconference, 25 – 28 July 2022

Agenda Item 3: PBCS Developments and Implementation

STANDARD ISED REPORTING FORMAT AND REPORTING DATE FOR ICAO IMPLEMENTATION STATUS REPORTS

(Presented by Secretariat)

SUMMARY

This working paper proposes a revised due date and standardised format for the various State reports of the implementation status of the SAR, Collaborative ATFM, Collaborative AIM and the ATM contingency plan.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The APAC Seamless ANS plan outline regional implementation strategy and the relevant Asia/Pacific plans such as the ATFM (Air Traffic flow Management), AIM (Aeronautical Information Management), ATM contingency & SAR (Search & Rescue). In order to monitor the status of States' implementation plan, the following reporting forms are received from States on an annually basis and there are various formats collected in the different reporting forms. In addition, there are different submission timeline for the four reporting forms shown in **Table 1** below.

2. DISCUSSION

2.1 First presented at ATFM/SG 11, ICAO informed the meeting that a common reporting date of 28 February was being considered for implementation status reports provided against regional plans including the Regional Framework for Collaborative ATFM, Regional Plan for Collaborative AIM, Regional SAR Plan and Regional ATM Contingency Plan. This would ensure that the reported data is received sufficiently early to facilitate implementation reporting to the relevant technical group while allowing flexibility in the scheduling of technical meetings. Many APAC Administrations already bundle their status reports into a single email to the APAC Regional Office annually

Revised Due Date and format for Implementation Status Reports

2.2 Thus, it is proposed to align the reporting dates of the mentioned implementation status reports in Table 1 to a common date of 28th February each year. In addition, it is also proposed to standardise the reporting format of the forms #1 - #4 to percentages for example: 20%, 50%, 72%, etc... for consistency and clarity (Shown in **Table 1**). This proposal would be included as part of FIT-Asia meeting outcome report to RASMAG/27 and would also be submitted for consideration in the upcoming ATM/SG/10 meeting.

No.	Name of form	Current Resolution of inputs by States	Timeline of submission	Revised format of States' Inputs	Revised Date of submission
1	Regional AIM Plan Monitoring and Reporting Form	Percentages	Conclusion ATM/SG/7-16: Amendment to the Regional Plan for Collaborative AIM: Annually by not later than 30 April each year	No change - Percentages	28 February each year
2	Regional SAR Plan Monitoring and Report Form	Range of Decimal points	Nil	Percentages	28 February each year
3	Regional ATFM Plan Monitoring and Reporting Form	Values: 0.0, 0.5 &1.0	Conclusion ATM/SG/5-3: Asia/Pacific Regional Framework for Collaborative ATFM Amendment: Annually by not later than 30 April each year	Percentages	28 February each year
4	Regional ATM Contingency Plan Monitoring and Reporting Form	Values: 0.0, 0.5 &1.0	Conclusion ATM/SG/5-8: Asia/Pacific Regional ATM Contingency Plan Amendment: Annually, by no later than 31 May each year	Percentages	28 February each year
5	Annual PBCS survey of implementation status of performance based horizontal separation minima	Y/N	SL T3/10.1.16-AP064/16 (ATM) – 24 June 2016 Conclusion RASMAG/22-3: Performance-Based Separation Implementation Survey. Annually by not later than 30 April each year	No Change – Y/N	28 February each year

Table 1: Comparison of reporting forms

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

3.1 The meeting is invited to:

- a) note the proposed information in paragraph 2.2 in this paper; and
- b) discuss any relevant matters as appropriate.

.....