

International Civil Aviation Organization

Tenth Meeting of the Air Traffic Management Sub-Group (ATM/SG/10) of APANPIRG

Video Teleconference, 17 – 21 October 2022

#### **Agenda Item 2: Review of Related High Level Meetings**

#### RASG-APAC/11 UPDATE & APRAST/18 MEETING OUTCOMES

(Presented by the Secretariat)

#### **SUMMARY**

This paper presents the outcomes of RASG-APAC/11 meeting held on 25-26 November 2021 on Virtual Platform for the information of ATM/SG.

Based on the ongoing discussions in RASG and APRAST meetings, a potential gap has been identified in the receipt of data on pre-cursor events reporting to RASG or its data analysis sub group SRP SG. Hence this paper focuses on the identification of the need for establishing a new link for data communication with regards to ATM and related sub groups with the Safety Groups.

Action by the meeting is in paragraph 3.0 below.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Regional Aviation Safety Group Asia and Pacific Regions (RASG-APAC) was established in October 2011 following the ICAO Council decision in May 2010 and supported by the 47th Conference of the Directors General of Civil Aviation, Asia and Pacific Regions in October 2010.
- 1.2 Consistent with the Planning and Implementation Regional Group (PIRG) mechanism established for addressing air navigation matters and deficiencies, the RASG-APAC has been established with the primary objective of addressing flight safety matters.
- 1.3 The RASG-APAC Terms-of-Reference (TOR) calls for the development and implementation of a work programme that supports a regional performance framework for the management of safety.
- To assist RASG-APAC in meeting its objectives, Asia Pacific Regional Aviation Safety Team (APRAST) supported by various sub-working groups such as APAC Accident Investigation Group (AIG), Safety Reporting and Programme Working Group (AP-SRP WG) and Safety Enhancement Initiative Working Group (SEI WG) were established. Since late, based on a council decision, APAC-AIG sub-Group was elevated to report directly under RASG-APAC.
- 1.5 RASG-APAC and APRAST have provided the APAC States and industry partners with a forum to share discuss and address existing and emerging safety concerns in the APAC Region in order to improve safety on a continually basis.

#### 2. DISCUSSION

- 2.1 Since its inception in 2011, RASG-APAC has held 11 meetings. The RASG-APAC/11 Meeting was held virtually from Bangkok, Thailand, from 25-26 November 2021. Eight Decisions and Three Conclusions were adopted. Details of the adopted Decisions and Conclusions can be found in **Appendix A** to this paper.
- 2.2 Recognizing the amount of work to be accomplished, RASG-APAC approved a Work Programme to accomplish during 2020/2021. Coordination with APANPIRG and its relevant subgroups is an essential and important item featured. The 2021 /2022, RASG-APAC Work Programme can be found in **Appendix B** to this paper.

#### Inclusion of MAC (Mid-Air Collisions) as a HRC event in AP-RASP 23-25 version

- 2.3 Additional focus of the ATM sub group is drawn to the Task # 2 of the RASG-APAC 2021/2022 Yearly and Standing Work Programme. There is currently a deep and ongoing discussion by RASG and APRAST meetings, on if MAC should be considered as a High Risk Category event for APAC RASP 23-25 version.
- 2.4 In this regard, the formidable challenge faced by Safety experts in those forums is the lack of data on MAC precursor events such as TCAS/RAs, Large Height Deviations, Coordination hotspots information and so on. SRP WG of APRAST is working on the task and sourcing information in order to justify such action for the AP-RASP 23-25 version which is being drafted right now by another sub group.
- 2.5 In addition, the Decision APRAST 16/5 requires, with the support of APAC secretariat, SRP WG to take initiatives required to establish communication link with RASMAG of APANPIRG so that APAC Annual Safety Report is developed based on improved data and information.
- 2.6 APRAST/18 was held by VTC from 20 to 24 June 2022, adopting 10 Decisions, which can be found in **Appendix C** to this paper.

#### 3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

- 3.1 The meeting is invited to:
  - a) note the information contained in this paper;
  - b) consider supporting APRAST and SRP-SG sub group by taking appropriate actions to establish a new channel of communication in order to retrieve and feed data (de-identified) and information related to ATM pre-cursor events related to MAC for proper decision making in safety improvements; and
  - c) discuss any relevant matters as appropriate.

\_\_\_\_\_

## LIST OF DECISIONS AND CONCLUSIONS OF THE RASG-APAC/11

### **List of Decisions**

Decision RASG-APAC 11/1 — Progress of development of Annual Safety Report (WP/5)				
That, RASG-APAC/11 endorsed the Annual	Expected impact:			
Safety Report 2021 and agreed on the proposed timeline for the Annual Safety Report 2022 as	☑ Ops/Technical			
discussed in WP/5.	✓ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets			
	☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation			
	☑ Monitoring and administration			
	☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information			
	☐ Inter-regional ☐ Political / Global			
	☐ Economic ☐ Environmental			
<b>Why</b> : Update the Annual Safety Report of APAC Region.	Follow-up:   Required from States			
When: Immediate	Status: On going			
Who: ⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States ⊠ IC	ZAO APAC RO ☐ ICAO HQ ☐ Other: Industry			

Decision RA 16 and Prog		sions and Conclusions Adopted at APRAST-15 &
	cisions adopted at APRAST/15 and	Expected impact:
APRAST/16 of the WP/7	as described in Attachments A & B	☑ Ops/Technical
of the W1//	be endorsed.	☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets
		☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation
		☐ Monitoring and administration
		☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information
		☐ Inter-regional ☐ Political / Global
		☐ Economic ☐ Environmental
	chievement of global and regional iation safety priorities and targets.	Follow-up: ⊠ Required from States
When: Im	mediate	Status: Closed
Who:	Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States ⊠ IC.	AO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other:

	on RASG-APAC 11/3 — Review of Decisions and Conclusions Adopted at APRAST-15 &			
16 and P	rogress – WP/7			
That, Sec	retariat to arrange a workshop and	Expected impact:		
•	equired guidelines to use the ng Tool once it is made live.	☑ Ops/Technical		
Wiomtorn	ig 1001 once it is made live.	☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets		
		☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation		
		☐ Monitoring and administration		
		☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information		
		☐ Inter-regional ☐ Political / Global		
		☐ Economic ☐ Environmental		
Why:	Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets.	Follow-up: ⊠ Required from States		
When:	Immediate	Status: On going		
Who:	⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States ⊠ IC.	AO APAC RO ☐ ICAO HQ ☐ Other:		

<b>Decision RASG-APAC 11/4</b> — Progress Update on Beijing Declaration Commitments – WP/8				
That, Secretariat and COSCAPs to follow up:	Expected impact:			
a) Issuing a State Letter to encourage States/Administrations to take steps towards achieving the safety related targets set out in the Beijing Declaration, particularly in the areas of augmenting USOAP EI, SSP implementation, Certification of International Aerodromes, and establishment of AAIIA.	<ul> <li>☑ Ops/Technical</li> <li>☑ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets</li> <li>☑ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation</li> <li>☑ Monitoring and administration</li> <li>☑ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information</li> <li>☐ Inter-regional ☐ Political / Global</li> </ul>			
	⊠ Economic □ Environmental			
Why: Implementation of High-Level Regional Commitments in achieving the regional aviation safety priorities and targets.	Follow-up:   Required from States			
When: Immediate	Status: On going			
Who: ⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States ⊠ IC.	AO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other:			

Decision RASG-APAC 11/5 — Review of (WP/11)	progress of RASG-APAC/10 Decisions and Conclusions
That, the Meeting endorsed the recommend	ations   Expected impact:
of the 8 Decisions and 2 Conclusions of R APAC/10 presented in Attachment A to WI	
THE TO Presented in Fitting in the	✓ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets
	⊠ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation
	☑ Monitoring and administration
	☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information
	☐ Inter-regional ☐ Political / Global
	☐ Economic ☐ Environmental
<b>Why:</b> Achievement of global and re aviation safety priorities and targ	1
When: Immediate	Status: Closed
Who: ⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States	☑ ICAO APAC RO ☑ ICAO HQ ☐ Other:

Dogicio	on DASC ADAC 11/6 Potential In	terference To Aircraft Radio Altimeter By 5G
	mmunications System – WP/17, WP-18 &	
a)	That, States/Administrations share with their telecommunications authorities on possible potential 5G interference with aircraft radio altimeter for 5G installations near airports;	Expected impact:  ☑ Ops/Technical ☑ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets ☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective
b)	That, States/Administrations and industry liaise with States of Design and aircraft manufacturers to mitigate the potential interference of 5G networks on aircraft radio altimeters;	implementation  ☐ Monitoring and administration  ☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information  ☐ Inter-regional ☐ Political / Global
c)	That, States/Administrations and industry provide feedback to RASG-APAC and APANPIRG, and its subgroups on reports of interference from 5G networks.	□ Economic □ Environmental
Why:	To collaborate to prevent any potential safety risk to the aviation safety system from 5G Interference.	Follow-up:   Required from States
When:	Immediate	Status: Open
Who:	⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States ⊠ ICA	AO APAC RO ☐ ICAO HQ  ☐ Other: Industry

Decision (WP /21)	9 9	viation Safety Resilience in the Asia Pacific Region
That, RASG-APAC consider including the challenges and risks associated with post COVID safe recovery in the next update of the Asia-Pacific Regional Aviation Safety Plan (2023-2025 edition), in particular the strategic approach to managing safety and the Organisational (ORG) and Operational (OPS) Roadmaps.		Expected impact:  ☐ Ops/Technical  ☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets  ☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation  ☐ Monitoring and administration  ☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information  ☐ Inter-regional ☐ Political / Global  ☐ Economic ☐ Environmental
	To identify and address post COVID Recovery Challenges on Safety and Strategic Approach for next triennium	Follow-up:   Required from States
When:	Immediate	Status: Open
Who:	⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States ⊠ ICA	O APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ☒ Other: Industry

Decision RASG-APAC 11/8 — RASG-APAC (proposed) – WP/24	C Yearly/Standing Work Programme 2020/2021
That, the proposed RASG-APAC 2021/2022	Expected impact:
Yearly and Standing Work Programme is approved, as in <b>Attachment A</b> of WP/24.	
approved, as in Attachment A of W1/24.	✓ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets
	⊠ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation
	☐ Monitoring and administration
	☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information
	☐ Inter-regional ☐ Political / Global
	☐ Economic ☐ Environmental
<b>Why:</b> To achieve global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets.	Follow-up: ⊠ Required from States
When: Immediate	Status: Open
Who: ⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States ⊠ IC	AO APAC RO ☐ ICAO HQ ☒ Other: Industry

#### LIST OF CONCLUSIONS

Conclusion RASG-APAC 11/1 — Review of the of RASG/10 Meeting – WP/2	e action taken by the ANC/Council on the Report
That, ICAO, to update the information for	Expected impact:
States/Administrations and validate the available	☐ Ops/Technical
tools on the iSTARS platform as a matter of urgency,	<ul> <li>         □ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets     </li> </ul>
	☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation
	☑ Monitoring and administration
	☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information
	☐ Inter-regional ☐ Political / Global
	☐ Economic ☐ Environmental
Why: Authenticated data collection to fulfil the GASP Goals and Targets	Follow-up: ☐ Required from States
When: Immediate	Status: Under Process
<b>Who:</b> $\square$ Sub-groups $\square$ APAC States $\boxtimes$ IC	AO APAC RO ☐ ICAO HQ ☐ Other:
Conclusion RASG-APAC 11/2 — Outcomes of 2021) – Safety Stream – WP/3	the High-Level Conference on COVID-19 (HLCC
That, States in the APAC Region to provide the	Expected impact:
most recent version of their National Aviation	☐ Ops/Technical
Safety Plan (NASP) to ICAO for posting on the ICAO GASP public website; actively participate and support the work of the contributory bodies	□ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets
of PIRGs and RASGs; and support for a RASGs' data-driven, State-industry collaboration model	☐ Enhancement of USOAP effective implementation
to continue to reduce fatality risk in a post- pandemic environment.	☐ Monitoring and administration
pandemic environment.	☑ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information
	☐ Inter-regional ☐ Political / Global
	☐ Economic ☐ Environmental
Why: Implementation of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets aligning with the NASP.	Follow-up: ⊠ Required from States
When: Immediate	Status: On going
Who: ☐ Sub-groups ☒ APAC States ☒ IC	AO APAC RO ☐ ICAO HQ ☐ Other:

Conclusion RASG-APAC 11/3 — Potential Interference to Aircraft Radio Altimeter by 5G Telecommunications System – WP/17, WP-18 & WP-19				
That, the ICAO Regional Office to refer the subject to the relevant ICAO Panels and Expert groups to conduct further studies to assess the potential impacts from 5G implementation on aircraft radio altimeters and address this global issue.	<ul><li>☑ Ops/Technical</li><li>☑ Achievement of global and regional aviation</li></ul>			
<b>Why:</b> To collaborate to prevent any potential safety risk to the aviation safety system from 5G Interference.				
When:	Status: Open			
Who: ⊠ Sub-groups ⊠ APAC States ⊠ ICA	AO APAC RO ☐ ICAO HQ ☒ Other: Industry			

\_\_\_\_\_

#### RASG-APAC/11

#### Attachment 4 to the Report

#### PROPOSED RASG-APAC 2021/2022 YEARLY AND STANDING WORK PROGRAMME

TASKS	Decision RASG- APAC	APRAST Ref	Subject Ref (if any)	Champion	Brief Description
TASK-1	RASG- APAC Decision 8/14. Task-6 of RASG/8 2018-19 Work Prog.	APRAST-13, Agenda 8	Open item from 2020/2021	Related APRAST Working group	RASG-APAC to prepare the report on the progress/achievements of Beijing Declaration safety aspects to be tabled in next Ministerial Conference in 2020 to be held at India.  APRAST/15 update: APRAST Co-Chairs-  - Complete the tasks in hand derived from Yearly Work Programme.  - Propose new action plan and include them in next yearly work programme to ensure the objectives are achieved.  - Update RASG to assist prepare the report for next Ministerial Conference in 2020.

### **STATUS TASK-1:**

### Carried Forward (Open) –

ICAO-APAC will carry out the pre-coordination meeting once the date is set for Ministerial Conference 2021/2022 which is postponed due COVID-19. A detailed report will be produced on each item of the Beijing Declaration. APRAST may form an Adhoc Working Group or Direct any existing Working Group to monitor and log the relevant progress in coordination with Secretariat, when needed.

TASK-2	Decision RASG- APAC 9/2.	Decision APRAST 14/9	SRP WG	<ul> <li>Revise Plan of AP-RASP:</li> <li>Inclusion of Mid-Air Collision (MAC) in AP-RASP with data to be aligned with the GASP priorities.</li> <li>Better coordination with Air Navigation Capacity Building counterparts for ATM and Aerodromes and set out the risks and actions with targets integrating focal points from APANPIRG sub-groups.</li> </ul>
				integrating focal points from APANPIRG sub-groups.

### **STATUS TASK-2:**

Carried Forward (Open) -

<u>PROGRESS & ACTION</u>: MAC needs a thorough study and data analysis by SRP WG involving related APANPIRG Subgroups like RASMAG, APSHARE and then decide on developing any related SEI for MAC working with the SEI WG and present in APRAST-16 for updates. The issue was discussed during the SEI WG and SRP WG Break-Out Sessions of APRAST-16 and Decision 16/10 addresses the same issue for MAC.

TASK-3	Implementation of Asia-Pacific Regional Aviation Safety Plan 2020-2022	All APRAST WG & AP-RASP Custodians & APAC RO	With the support of identified Volunteers/ Champions, APRAST to consider incorporating the following tasks related to AP-RASP by the Custodians as mentioned in AP-RASP and Volunteers nominated through the State Letter;      a. Implementation of OPS ROADMAP given in Appendix A of AP-RASP 2020-22 Document.      b. Implementation of ORG ROADMAP given in Appendix A of AP-RASP 2020-22 Document.  2. SRP-working group to identify risk areas currently not covered by the existing safety initiatives and therefore enable APRAST to address unmitigated risk in the aviation system in a proactive manner.
--------	--	---	--

STATUS TASI	22 Edition) – WP/12  Decision APRAST 15/14  Implementation of a Risk Register addressing Unmitigated Risks – WP/21		
New task : Ope	Decision APRAST 16/3  Implementation of Asia-Pacific Regional Aviation Safety Plan 2020- 2022 Edition (AP-RASP: 20- 22 Edition) — WP/12.	All APRAST WG & APAC RO	APRAST to consider the need to amend the existing RASG-APAC Procedure Handbook ToRs of SEI WG, SRP WG or any other relevant group for the effective and timely implementation of AP-RASP as AP-RASP was published after the last amendment of RASG procedure Handbook. The revision also should encompass the empowerment of different sub groups under the RASG-APAC after the WP on the APRAST Empowerment is Tabled in APRAST-17.

	Decision APRAST 15/12  Delegation of Certain Decision Making from RASG-APAC to APRAST – WP/19.		
STATUS :			
		_	

## LIST OF APRAST/18 DECISIONS

Decision APRAST 18/1 – Periodic Review of SEI Outputs – WP/7		
That, APRAST endorsed the review result conducted by the SEI WG that the outputs of SEIs RI 2 and LOC2/LOC4, and the Secretariat to update the review status of these SEI outputs under the safety tools sessions in the ICAO APAC webpage.  Why: To endorse the review result conducted by the state of the service of the servi	Expected impact:  ☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets ☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation ☐ Monitoring and Administration ☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information ☐ Inter-Regional ☐ SEI WG for SEIs implementation.	
When: Immediately	Status: In Progress	
Who: ⊠ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG ⊠ SEI WG ⊠ APAC States/Administrations ⊠ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ⊠ Other: Industry		

That, SEI WG to conduct the next periodic review for the output of SEI LOC6, and report the review result in APRAST/19.	<ul> <li>Expected impact:</li> <li>✓ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets</li> <li>☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Implementation</li> <li>Monitoring and Administration</li> <li>□ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information</li> <li>□ Inter-Regional</li> </ul>
<b>Why</b> : Ensure the currency of the completed SEI ou	
When: APRAST/19	Status: In Progress
Who: ⊠ APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG ⊠ SICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ⊠ Other:	

[		
Decision APRAST 18/3 – Update on the Monitoring Tools – WP/8		
That, Bangladesh to activate the Monitoring Tools in coordination with the Regional Office as soon as possible through an independent link till ICAO Compliance is achieved to host the application in ICAO website.	<ul> <li>Expected impact:</li> <li>✓ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets</li> <li>☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation</li> <li>✓ Monitoring and Administration</li> <li>☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information</li> </ul>	
	☐ Inter-Regional	
Why: Ensure the SEIs and NASP-RASP-GASP are implemented.		
When: APRAST/19	Status: In Progress	
Who: ⊠APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG ⊠ SEI WG ⊠ APAC States/Administrations ⊠ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ⊠ Other: Industry		

D. L. ADDAGE 40/4 V. J. A.		
Decision APRAST 18/4 – Update on the Monitoring Tools – WP/8		
That, Regional Office in coordination with	Expected impact:	
Bangladesh shall organize a workshop and	□ Achievement of global and regional	
training sessions on the use of the 'Monitoring	aviation safety priorities and targets	
Tool' for the States/Administration once the	☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective	
application is made LIVE.	Implementation	
	☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of	
	Information	
	☐ Inter-Regional	
Why: Ensure the SEIs and NASP-RASP-GASP are implemented.		
When: APRAST/19	Status: In Progress	
Who: ⊠APRAST □ APAC-AIG □ SRP WG ☒ SEI WG ☒ APAC States/Administrations		
☑ ICAO APAC RO ☐ ICAO HQ ☒ Other: Industry		

Decision APRAST 18/5: Proposed Framework for the Empowerment of RASG-APAC		
Subsidiary Bodies		
That, APRAST to Submit the revised	Expected impact:	
empowerment framework to RASG-APAC/12	☐ Achievement of global and regional	
for considerations and approval;	aviation safety priorities and targets	
	☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective	
	Implementation	
	☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of	
	Information	
	☐ Inter-Regional	
Why: To empower the RASG-APAC Subsidiary	Bodies and simplify the processes and shorten	
development time for APRAST work.		
When: Immediately	Status: In Progress	
<b>Who:</b> ⊠ APRAST □ APAC-AIG ⊠ SRP WG □	☑ SEI WG ☑ APAC States/Administrations	
☑ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other	er: Industry	
Decision APRAST 18/6 : Proposed Framew	ork for the Empowerment of RASG-APAC	
Subsidiary Bodies		
That, Secretariat to update the RASG-APAC	Expected impact:	
Procedural Handbook compiling the inputs of	□ Achievement of global and regional	
empowerments and Sub Groups for the approval	aviation safety priorities and targets	
of RASG-APAC/12. The Sub-Groups to submit	☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective	
the revised ToRS and inputs by 30 August 2022.	Implementation	
2022.		
	☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of	
	Information	
	☐ Inter-Regional	
<b>Why:</b> To empower the RASG-APAC Subsidiary	Bodies and simplify the processes and shorten	
development time for APRAST work.		
When: Immediately	Status: In Progress	
vinen. ininieuratery	Status. III 1 10g1ess	
Who: ⊠ APRAST □ APAC-AIG ⊠ SRP WG	SEI WG ⊠ APAC States/Administrations	
☑ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ □ Other: Industry		

Decision APRAST 18/7: Proposed Framework for the Empowerment of RASG-APAC		
Subsidiary Bodies		
That ADDACT to an Iona the AD DACD Ad	Europe A. I. Surroya	
That, APRAST to endorse the AP-RASP Ad- Hoc Working Group as the AP-RASP Standing	Expected impact:	
Working Group giving a permanent status	✓ Achievement of global and regional	
outlining their ToRs in RASG-APAC	aviation safety priorities and targets	
Procedural Hand Book.	☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective	
210000000000000000000000000000000000000	Implementation	
	✓ Monitoring and Administration	
	☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of	
	Information	
	☐ Inter-Regional	
	Bodies and simplify the processes and shorten	
development time for APRAST work.		
<b>XX</b> /1	C4-4 In December 1	
When: Immediately	Status: In Progress	
<b>Who:</b> ⊠ APRAST □ APAC-AIG ⊠ SRP WG □	SEI WG ⊠ APAC States/Administrations	
☑ ICAO APAC RO ☐ ICAO HQ ☐ Other		
Decision APRAST 18/8 – Revision of SEI Outputs LOC1/CFIT2		
THE ADDACTE . 1 . 1 CT 1 . 1 CT		
That, APRAST to circulate the final revised SEI	Expected impact:	
output LOC1/CFIT2 for all APRAST Members review and submit to RASG for approval.	☐ Achievement of global and regional	
review and submit to KASO for approvar.	aviation safety priorities and targets	
	☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective	
	Implementation	
	☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of	
	Information	
	☐ Inter-Regional	
Why: Ensure the currency of the completed SEI of	outputs is maintained.	
When: after APRAST/18	Status: In Progress	
<b>Who</b> : ⊠ APRAST □ APAC-AIG ⊠ SRP WG ⊠	SEI WG ⊠ APAC States/Administrations	
☑ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ☑ Other:	Industry	

Decision APRAST 18/9 – Revision of SEI Outputs CFIT/4		
That, APRAST to circulate the final revised SEI output CFIT/4 for all APRAST Members review and submit to RASG-APAC for approval subject to SEI WG and APRAST review is completed.  Why: Ensure the currency of the completed SEI output CFIT/4 for all APRAST review is completed.	<ul> <li>Expected impact:</li> <li>☑ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets</li> <li>☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation</li> <li>☑ Monitoring and Administration</li> <li>☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information</li> <li>☐ Inter-Regional tputs is maintained.</li> </ul>	
When: after APRAST/18	Status: In Progress	
Who: ⊠ APRAST □ APAC-AIG ⊠ SRP WG ⊠SEI WG ⊠ APAC States/Administrations ⊠ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ⊠ Other: Industry		

That, Secretariat to support a face to face Meeting, convened by IATA and the FAA to share data on emerging issues that cannot be shared and discussed via a virtual platform.  Why: To address the issues of Unmitigated Risks for the support of the support of the properties of the support of	Expected impact:  ☐ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets ☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation ☐ Monitoring and Administration ☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information ☐ Inter-Regional	
When: after APRAST/18	Status: In Progress	
Who: ⊠ APRAST □ APAC-AIG ⊠ SRP WG ⊠SEI WG ⊠ APAC States/Administrations ⊠ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ⊠ Other: Industry		

Decision APRAST 18/11 – SRP WG Break Out Session Outcome		
That, APRAST SRP WG to develop the template of the APAC Risk Register with the explicit purpose of managing unmitigated risk.	<ul> <li>Expected impact:</li> <li>✓ Achievement of global and regional aviation safety priorities and targets</li> <li>☐ Enhancement of USOAP Effective Implementation</li> <li>✓ Monitoring and Administration</li> <li>☐ Capacity Building and Sharing of Information</li> <li>☐ Inter-Regional</li> </ul>	
Why: To address the issues of Unmitigated Risks for APAC Region		
When: after APRAST/18	Status: In Progress	
Who: ⊠ APRAST □ APAC-AIG ⊠ SRP WG ⊠SEI WG ⊠ APAC States/Administrations ⊠ ICAO APAC RO □ ICAO HQ ⊠ Other: Industry		