



ICAO

International Civil Aviation Organization
**Twenty-Fifth Meeting of the Regional Airspace Safety
Monitoring Advisory Group (RASMAG/25)**
Video Teleconference, 27 – 30 October 2020

Agenda Item 3: Reports from Asia/Pacific RMAs and EMAs

NAARMO LONG TERM HEIGHT MONITORING BURDEN

(Presented by NAARMO)

SUMMARY

This paper provides an assessment of the monitoring burden associated with the long-term height monitoring requirements for airframes for which the NAARMO is the responsible Regional Monitoring Agency (RMA). NAARMO approvals and global monitoring records as of 02 June 2020 were used to assess the monitoring burden

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The North American Approvals Registry and Monitoring Organization (NAARMO), a service provided by the U.S. Federal Aviation Administration's William J. Hughes Technical Center, has served since 2003 as the regional monitoring agency (RMA) for the airspace covering the United States, Canada and Mexico.

1.2 As part of the duties of a Regional Monitoring Agency (RMA), outlined in ICAO Doc 9937 (Reference 1), the NAARMO performs regular checks of the operator compliance with State approval requirements within the Pacific and North East airspace. The purpose of these checks is to identify non-approved operators and aircraft using the RVSM airspace to ensure the safety of the airspace.

1.3 To meet the ICAO Annex 6 Long Term Height Monitoring (LTHM) requirements, NAARMO maintains a database of approvals and height monitoring history for aircraft registered within States under NAARMO responsibility (Canada, Mexico, and the United States.) This paper provides the NAARMO monitoring burden based on the approvals contained within the NAARMO approvals database and global monitoring data available as of 02 June 2020

2. DISCUSSION

2.1 The NAARMO approvals database as of 02 June 2020 was examined to determine the current NAARMO monitoring burden. First, compiled the approvals for the countries under NAARMO responsibility (Canada, Mexico, and the United States). Subsequently, grouping the U.S. aircraft by Operator(s) derived from aggregating corresponding Designators in the Letters of Authorization (LOA). Then, each airframe having a current full approval was paired with the appropriate monitoring category by applying the most current version of the Minimum Monitoring Requirements (MMR) table (as of June 2018).

2.2 Any aircraft types missing from the current MMR table were assigned to MMR Category 3: RVSM Monitoring Non-Group Aircraft. Finally, each airframe was then paired to its last successful monitoring (if it exists) occurring within the past 2 years from 22 May 2018 to 02 June 2020. NAARMO is investigating the use of U.S. Flight Plan data as a tool to gauge monitoring compliance, particularly in the IGA Fleet.

2.3 The total number of unique airframes identified as having a full RVSM approval from a state of registry under NAARMO responsibility as of 2 June 2020 was **26,109**, with a resultant monitoring burden of **15,683** and a total of **1,191** aircraft not successfully monitored within the past two years (or 1,000 flight hours, whichever interval was longer). **Table 1** provides a summation by State of Registry of airframes that require monitoring due to having no successful monitoring record within two years as of 02 June 2020.

Table 1: Summary of NAARMO monitoring burden

State	Total # of Approved Airframes	Resultant Monitoring Burden (# Airframes)	Total # of Airframes Not Monitored within two years as of 02/06/2020
CANADA	1411	820	124
MEXICO	753	387	97
US – Section 3	23,945	14,476	970
NAARMO Total	26,109	15,683	1,191

2.4 Each airframe having a current full RVSM approval was categorized under either Commercial or IGA operations. **Table 2** presents NAARMO monitoring burden summaries by type of operator and State of Registry. In the United States, **3** airframes were found to be dually operated (Commercial and IGA.) To preserve the uniqueness of these airframes, each was grouped and counted under Commercial operations.

- As of 02 June 2020, there are **16,763** unique U.S. IGA airframes operated by **10,276** unique operators. The remainder of airframes to be monitored is **962** operated by unique operators.
- As of 02 June 2020, there are **7,182** unique U.S. Commercial airframes operated by **56** unique operators. The remainder of airframes to be monitored is **8**

CANADA	Total # of Approved Airframes	Resultant Monitoring Burden (# Airframes)	Total # of Airframes Not Monitored within two years as of 02/06/2020
IGA	575	575	104
Commercial	836	245	20
Total Canada	1,411	820	124
MEXICO	Total # of Approved Airframes	Resultant Monitoring Burden (# Airframes)	Total # of Airframes Not Monitored within two years as of 02/06/2020
IGA	174	174	47
Commercial	579	213	50
Total Mexico	753	387	97
US	Total # of Approved Airframes	Resultant Monitoring Burden (# Airframes)	Total # of Airframes Not Monitored within two years as of 02/06/2020
IGA	16,763	14,080	962
Commercial	7,182	396	8
Total US	23,945	14,476	970
NAARMO Total	26,109	15,683	1,191

Table 2: Itemized NAARMO monitoring burden

2.5 Sampling of ASE by group allows the potential for specific airframes to remain unmonitored over long durations. IGA aircraft that take several years to complete 1000 flight hours also will have longer periods between monitoring.

3. CONCLUSION

3.1 The NARRMO is implementing a new process for the traffic compliance check. More frequent compliance checks will help identify repeat operations that file an RVSM approval without having an approval

3.2 The meeting is invited to:

- a) note and review the contents of the NAARMO traffic scrutiny work presented in this paper; and
- b) provide any relevant updates on the records contained within the results.

References

1. *Doc 9937 - Operating Procedures and Practices for Regional Monitoring Agencies in Relation to the Use of a 300 m (1000 ft) Vertical Separation Minimum Between FL 290 and FL 410 Inclusive*, International Civil Aviation Organization, First Edition - 2010.

— END —