

**REGIONAL SAR PLAN MONITORING AND REPORTING FORM
SAR PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

Following is a bank of indicators based on the Asia/Pacific Plan's performance improvement section (which should be read in conjunction with these questions), that can be used to assess whether an administration is either compliant or not and to internally evaluate their implementation status of the Asia/Pacific SAR Plan. Using the drop down menu for each of the 41 elements, please indicate implementation status with either 0 (not implemented), or 0.5 (50% implemented - note - other partial implementation may be indicated such as 0.1 = 10%) or 1 (fully implemented).

		Afghanistan	Australia	Bangladesh	Bhutan	Brunei	Cambodia	China	Hong Kong, China	Macao, China	Cook Islands	DPRK	Fiji	French Polynesia
Indicate whether your Administration has:														
1	Enacted legislation that incorporates or is aligned to applicable international Conventions	1	1.0	1.0	0.5		1.0	1.0	1.0	0.5			0.5	1.0
2	Unless delegated, established an entity that provides 24-hour SAR services within its area of responsibility/SRR	1	1.0	1.0	0.5		0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
3	Established a national SAR committee	1	1.0	0.0	0.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
4	Empowered SAR Mission Coordinators with the authority to adequately carry out their responsibilities	1	1.0	1.0	0.0		0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
5	Established an Administrative Single Point of Contact for SAR (ASPOCS) for non-urgent, administrative matters	1	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	0.5			1.0	1.0
6	Conducted studies to integrate aviation and maritime SAR, and as far as practicable, civil and military activities	1	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	0.8	1.0	0.5	1.0		1.0	1.0
7	Conducted studies to align, as far as practicable, aeronautical and maritime SRRs, and SRRs and FIRs	1	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.0		1.0	1.0
8	Established a single State SAR Plan	1	1.0	1.0	0.5		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
9	Established aerodrome emergency plans that provide for co-operation and co-ordination with RCCs	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
10	Established SAR agreements with States having adjoining SRRs or FIRs	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.5		1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.9		0.1	0.0
11	Provided up to date cross-border information on SAR capability to adjoining States	0	0.7	1.0	0.0		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0			0.1	1.0
12	Pre-arranged procedures for cross-border SAR responses	1	1.0	0.0	0.0		1.0	0.6	0.0	1.0	1.0		0.1	0.0
13	Established RCC plans for response to Mass Rescue Operations (MROs) integrated with national disaster plans	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	0.0
14	Established operational plans and procedures for SRUs, provision of support, communication and reporting	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.5		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
15	Established SAR Alerting procedures which are tested, integrated and include civil/military protocols	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.5		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
16	Provided a fully equipped RCC of sufficient size with adequate provision for operational positions and human factors	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.5		0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
17	Provided adequate supervisory ATC resources to allow timely SAR alerts and information to RCCs	0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
18	Provided sufficient RCC staffing	0	1.0	1.0	0.5		0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
19	Provided a sufficient number of trained specialist RCC officers including SMCs and A/SMCs	0	1.0	0.1	0.0		0.5	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
20	Availability of a pool of RCC support staff who are familiar with RCC operations, but not trained as coordinators	0	1.0	0.5	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
21	Developed SAR personnel position descriptions detailing responsibilities and eligibility criteria	1	1.0	1.0	0.5		0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
22	Developed a comprehensive training programme that includes SAR training for SAR Coordinators and SRU staff	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.0		0.5	0.8	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
23	Facilitated RCC staff to be proficient in the English language	1	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
24	Facilitated a programme of regular liaison visits between relevant RCCs, ATC units and airline operating centres	0	0.9	0.5	0.5		0.5	0.5	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
25	Established additional oceanic SAR capability as far as practicable to ensure a timely and adequate SAR response	1	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	0.6	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
26	Established sufficient SRU capabilities (crews, availability, military assets, communications, authority, etc.)	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.0		1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
27	Established procedures and necessary infrastructure to coordinate distress beacon alert responses	0	1.0	0.5	0.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
28	Established a reliable distress beacon registration system	0	1.0	1.0	0.0		0.5	0.6	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
29	Planned and prepared for the implementation of next generation beacons	0	1.0	1.0	0.0		0.5	1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0		0.0	0.5
30	Established an appropriate nationwide means of disposal for old distress beacons	0	0.8	1.0	0.0		1.0	0.5	1.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
31	Established contingency facilities, or procedures for the temporary delegation of SAR to another body or State	1	1.0	0.0	0.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	0.5
32	Established a centralised information source publishing all AIP information required on SAR	1	0.9	1.0	1.0		0.5	1.0	1.0	0.5			1.0	1.0
33	Established an Internet-based SAR information sharing system	1	1.0	0.0	0.0		1.0	0.2	1.0	0.5			1.0	0.0
34	Established systems for the maximum practicable cooperation between State entities for information when required	1	1.0	0.0	0.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
35	Developed and maintained a current, comprehensive electronic list of State SAR Facilities, SAR Equipment, and SRUs	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.6	0.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
36	Established an Internet-based SAR Library, or cooperate by contributing to an Internet-based Asia/Pacific resource	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.6	1.0	0.0	1.0		0.1	0.5
37	Provided each RCC and SAR Authority with ready access to a current copy of SAR reference documents	1	1.0	1.0	0.3		0.5	0.6	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
38	Conducted regular SAREX to test and evaluate coordination procedures, data and information sharing and SAR responses	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.0		0.5	0.6	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
39	Implemented SAR System Improvement and Assessment measures, including Safety Management and QA systems	0	0.9	0.0	0.0		1.0	0.6	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
40	Conducted an annual or more frequent analysis of their current State SAR system to identify specific gaps in capability	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.5		0.5	0.8	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
41	Conducted SAR promotional programs	0	1.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.8	1.0	0.5			1.0	0.0
Total (of 41)		23.0	39.9	26.6	13.8	0.0	31.0	33.6	38.0	35.0	17.9	0.0	34.9	33.5
%		56%	97%	65%	34%	0%	76%	82%	93%	85%	44%	0%	85%	82%

*2020 Update - Higher than previous year

*2020 Update - Less than previous year

*2020 Update - No Change

REGIONAL SAR PLAN MONITORING AND REPORTING FORM
SAR PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Following is a bank of indicators based on the Asia/Pacific Plan's performance improvement section (which should be read in conjunction with these questions), that can be used to assess whether an administration is either compliant or not and to internally evaluate their implementation status of the Asia/Pacific SAR Plan. Using the drop down menu for each of the 41 elements, please indicate implementation status with either 0 (not implemented), or 0.5 (50% implemented - note - other partial implementation may be indicated such as 0.1 = 10%) or 1 (fully implemented).

		India	Indonesia	Japan	Kiribati	Lao PDR	Malaysia	Maldives	Marshall Islands	Micronesia	Mongolia	Myanmar	Nauru	Nepal
Indicate whether your Administration has:														
1	Enacted legislation that incorporates or is aligned to applicable international Conventions	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	0	0	1.0	1.0		0.7
2	Unless delegated, established an entity that provides H24 SAR services within its area of responsibility/SRR	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	1	1	1.0	1.0		0.5
3	Established a national SAR committee	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	0	0	1.0	1.0		1.0
4	Empowered SAR Mission Coordinators with the authority to adequately carry out their responsibilities	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	0.5	0	0	1.0	1.0		0.5
5	Established an Administrative Single Point of Contact for SAR (ASPOCS) for non-urgent, administrative matters	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	0	0	0	1.0	1.0		1.0
6	Conducted studies to integrate aviation and maritime SAR, and as far as practicable, civil and military activities	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	1	1	0	0	1.0	1.0		1.0
7	Conducted studies to align, as far as practicable, aeronautical and maritime SRRs, and SRRs and FIRs	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	0	0.5	0	0	0.0	1.0		0.5
8	Established a single State SAR Plan	1.0	1.0	0.5		1.0	1	1	0	0	1.0	0.5		0.2
9	Established aerodrome emergency plans that provide for co-operation and co-ordination with RCCs	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	1	0	0	0	1.0	0.5		0.5
10	Established SAR agreements with States having adjoining SRRs or FIRs	0.5	0.9	0.5		0.5	0.5	0.5	1	1	1.0	0.5		0.0
11	Provided up to date cross-border information on SAR capability to adjoining States	0.5	1.0	0.5		0.5	0.5	0.5	1	1	0.5	0.0		0.5
12	Pre-arranged procedures for cross-border SAR responses	0.5	1.0	0.5		0.5	0.5	0.5	1	1	1.0	1.0		0.0
13	Established RCC plans for response to Mass Rescue Operations (MROs) integrated with national disaster plans	0.5	1.0	1.0		0.0	1	1	0	0	0.5	0.5		0.5
14	Established operational plans and procedures for SRUs, provision of support, communication and reporting	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	0	0	1.0	1.0		0.5
15	Established SAR Alerting procedures which are tested, integrated and include civil/military protocols	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	1	1	0	0	1.0	0.5		0.8
16	Provided a fully equipped RCC of sufficient size with adequate provision for operational positions and human factors	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	0	0	1.0	1.0		0.7
17	Provided adequate supervisory ATC resources to allow timely SAR alerts and information to RCCs	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	0	0	1.0	1.0		1.0
18	Provided sufficient RCC staffing	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	0	0	1.0	1.0		0.5
19	Provided a sufficient number of trained specialist RCC officers including SMCs and A/SMCs	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	1	1	0	0	1.0	1.0		0.3
20	Availability of a pool of RCC support staff who are familiar with RCC operations, but not trained as coordinators	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	1	1	0	0	0.5	1.0		0.5
21	Developed SAR personnel position descriptions detailing responsibilities and eligibility criteria	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	1	1	0	0	1.0	0.5		0.4
22	Developed a comprehensive training programme that includes SAR training for SAR Coordinators and SRU staff	1.0	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	0.5	0	0	1.0	1.0		0.7
23	Facilitated RCC staff to be proficient in the English language	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	1	1	0.5	1.0		0.0
24	Facilitated a programme of regular liaison visits between relevant RCCs, ATC units and airline operating centres	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0.5	1.0		0.5
25	Established additional oceanic SAR capability as far as practicable to ensure a timely and adequate SAR response	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	0.5	1	1	1	0.0	1.0		1.0
26	Established sufficient SRU capabilities (crews, availability, military assets, communications, authority, etc.)	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	1	1	0	0	1.0	1.0		0.5
27	Established procedures and necessary infrastructure to coordinate distress beacon alert responses	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	1	1	1.0	1.0		0.7
28	Established a reliable distress beacon registration system	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	0.5	0	0	1.0	0.5		1.0
29	Planned and prepared for the implementation of next generation beacons	0.5	1.0	1.0		0.5	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.0		0.4
30	Established an appropriate nationwide means of disposal for old distress beacons	1.0	0.2	1.0		1.0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		1.0
31	Established contingency facilities, or procedures for the temporary delegation of SAR to another body or State	0.0	1.0	1.0		0.0	1	1	0	0	0.0	0.0		0.0
32	Established a centralised information source publishing all AIP information required on SAR	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	0	0	1.0	1.0		1.0
33	Established an Internet-based SAR information sharing system	0.5	1.0	0.5		0.0	0	0	0	0	1.0	0.0		0.6
34	Established systems for the maximum practicable cooperation between State entities for information when required	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.0	0.5	0.5	0	0	1.0	0.5		0.5
35	Developed and maintained a current, comprehensive electronic list of State SAR Facilities, SAR Equipment, and SRUs	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.0	0.5	1	0	0	0.0	0.5		0.8
36	Established an Internet-based SAR Library, or cooperate by contributing to an Internet-based Asia/Pacific resource	1.0	0.5	0.5		0.0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0.3
37	Provided each RCC and SAR Authority with ready access to a current copy of SAR reference documents	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.5	1	1	0	0	0.5	0.0		0.5
38	Conducted regular SAREX to test and evaluate coordination procedures, data and information sharing and SAR responses	1.0	1.0	0.5		0.0	1	1	0	0	1.0	1.0		0.7
39	Implemented SAR System Improvement and Assessment measures, including Safety Management and QA systems	1.0	0.5	1.0		0.0	1	0	0	0	0.5	0.0		0.5
40	Conducted an annual or more frequent analysis of their current State SAR system to identify specific gaps in capability	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.0	0.5	1	0	0	1.0	0.5		0.3
41	Conducted SAR promotional programs	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.0	1	1	0	0	0.0	0.5		0.5
Total (of 41)		37.0	39.1	37.0	0.0	23.5	32.0	29	7.0	7.0	30.0	27.5		23.1
%		90%	95%	90%	0%	57%	78%	71%	17%	17%	73%	67%	0%	56%

*2020 Update - Higher than previous year

*2020 Update - Less than previous year

*2020 Update - No Change

**REGIONAL SAR PLAN MONITORING AND REPORTING FORM
SAR PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

Following is a bank of indicators based on the Asia/Pacific Plan's performance improvement section (which should be read in conjunction with these questions), that can be used to assess whether an administration is either compliant or not and to internally evaluate their implementation status of the Asia/Pacific SAR Plan. Using the drop down menu for each of the 41 elements, please indicate implementation status with either 0 (not implemented), or 0.5 (50% implemented - note - other partial implementation may be indicated such as 0.1 = 10%) or 1 (fully implemented).

		New Caledonia	New Zealand	Pakistan	Palau	Papua New Guinea	Philippines	Republic of Korea	Samoa	Singapore	Solomon Islands	Sri Lanka	Timor Leste	Tonga
Indicate whether your Administration has:														
1	Enacted legislation that incorporates or is aligned to applicable international Conventions	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0
2	Unless delegated, established an entity that provides 24-hour SAR services within its area of responsibility/SRR	1.0	1.0	1.0	1	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0
3	Established a national SAR committee	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.0	0.8	1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0
4	Empowered SAR Mission Coordinators with the authority to adequately carry out their responsibilities	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	1.0	0.5	1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0
5	Established an Administrative Single Point of Contact for SAR (ASPOCS) for non-urgent, administrative matters	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0
6	Conducted studies to integrate aviation and maritime SAR, and as far as practicable, civil and military activities	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.0	0.8	1.0		1.0		1.0		0.5
7	Conducted studies to align, as far as practicable, aeronautical and maritime SRRs, and SRRs and FIRs	0.5	1.0	1.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.5		1.0		0.8		0.5
8	Established a single State SAR Plan	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.0	1.0	0.8		1.0		0.5		1.0
9	Established aerodrome emergency plans that provide for co-operation and co-ordination with RCCs	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.5	0.0	1.0		1.0		0.8		0.5
10	Established SAR agreements with States having adjoining SRRs or FIRs	0.5	0.9	0.0	1	0.0	0.8	0.7		1.0		0.8		1.0
11	Provided up to date cross-border information on SAR capability to adjoining States	0.0	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0
12	Pre-arranged procedures for cross-border SAR responses	0.0	1.0	0.5	1	0.0	0.8	1.0		1.0		0.8		0.5
13	Established RCC plans for response to Mass Rescue Operations (MROs) integrated with national disaster plans	0.0	1.0	0.5	0	0.5	1.0	1.0		1.0		0.5		0.5
14	Established operational plans and procedures for SRUs, provision of support, communication and reporting	1.0	0.9	1.0	0	0.0	1.0	0.8		1.0		0.5		0.5
15	Established SAR Alerting procedures which are tested, integrated and include civil/military protocols	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.5	0.5	1.0		1.0		0.5		1.0
16	Provided a fully equipped RCC of sufficient size with adequate provision for operational positions and human factors	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	1.0	0.7	1.0		1.0		0.7		0.5
17	Provided adequate supervisory ATC resources to allow timely SAR alerts and information to RCCs	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	1.0	0.7	1.0		1.0		1.0		0.5
18	Provided sufficient RCC staffing	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	1.0	0.7	1.0		1.0		0.9		0.5
19	Provided a sufficient number of trained specialist RCC officers including SMCs and A/SMCs	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		0.9		0.5
20	Availability of a pool of RCC support staff who are familiar with RCC operations, but not trained as coordinators	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.5	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0
21	Developed SAR personnel position descriptions detailing responsibilities and eligibility criteria	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.5	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		0.5
22	Developed a comprehensive training programme that includes SAR training for SAR Coordinators and SRU staff	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.0	0.5	1.0		1.0		0.9		1.0
23	Facilitated RCC staff to be proficient in the English language	0.5	1.0	1.0	1	0.5	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		0.5
24	Facilitated a programme of regular liaison visits between relevant RCCs, ATC units and airline operating centres	0.5	0.8	1.0	0	0.0	0.5	1.0		1.0		0.7		1.0
25	Established additional oceanic SAR capability as far as practicable to ensure a timely and adequate SAR response	1.0	1.0	1.0	1	0.0	0.3	1.0		1.0		0.6		1.0
26	Established sufficient SRU capabilities (crews, availability, military assets, communications, authority, etc.)	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		0.6		1.0
27	Established procedures and necessary infrastructure to coordinate distress beacon alert responses	1.0	1.0	1.0	1	0.5	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		0.5
28	Established a reliable distress beacon registration system	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		0.5
29	Planned and prepared for the implementation of next generation beacons	0.5	1.0	0.5	0	0.0	0.3	1.0		1.0		0.5		0.5
30	Established an appropriate nationwide means of disposal for old distress beacons	0.0	1.0	0.5	0	0.0	0.3	0.0		1.0		0.5		0.0
31	Established contingency facilities, or procedures for the temporary delegation of SAR to another body or State	0.0	0.50	1.0	0	0.0	0.3	0.8		1.0		0.0		0.5
32	Established a centralised information source publishing all AIP information required on SAR	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		0.8		0.5
33	Established an Internet-based SAR information sharing system	0.0	0.9	1.0	0	0.0	1.0	0.8		1.0		0.0		0.5
34	Established systems for the maximum practicable cooperation between State entities for information when required	0.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		0.5		1.0
35	Developed and maintained a current, comprehensive electronic list of State SAR Facilities, SAR Equipment, and SRUs	0.5	0.9	0.5	0	0.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		0.5
36	Established an Internet-based SAR Library, or cooperate by contributing to an Internet-based Asia/Pacific resource	0.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.0	0.5	1.0		1.0		0.8		0.0
37	Provided each RCC and SAR Authority with ready access to a current copy of SAR reference documents	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0
38	Conducted regular SAREX to test and evaluate coordination procedures, data and information sharing and SAR responses	1.0	0.8	0.5	0	0.0	0.3	1.0		1.0		0.8		1.0
39	Implemented SAR System Improvement and Assessment measures, including Safety Management and QA systems	0.5	0.75	0.5	0	0.0	0.5	0.5		1.0		0.4		0.5
40	Conducted an annual or more frequent analysis of their current State SAR system to identify specific gaps in capability	0.5	1.0	0.5	0	0.0	0.0	0.5		1.0		0.5		0.5
41	Conducted SAR promotional programs	0.0	0.75	1.0	0	0.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		0.5		1.0
Total (of 41)		28.0	39.2	35.0	7.0	12.5	29.8	37.4	0.0	41.0	0.0	30.8	0.0	28.5
%		68%	96%	85%	17%	30%	73%	91%	0%	100%	0%	75%	0%	70%

*2020 Update - Higher than previous year

*2020 Update - Less than previous year

*2020 Update - No Change

**REGIONAL SAR PLAN MONITORING AND REPORTING FORM
SAR PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

Following is a bank of indicators based on the Asia/Pacific Plan's performance improvement section (which should be read in conjunction with these questions), that can be used to assess whether an administration is either compliant or not and to internally evaluate their implementation status of the Asia/Pacific SAR Plan. Using the drop down menu for each of the 41 elements, please indicate implementation status with either 0 (not implemented), or 0.5 (50% implemented - note - other partial implementation may be indicated such as 0.1 = 10%) or 1 (fully implemented).

		Thailand	Tuvalu	United States	Vanuatu	Viet nam
Indicate whether your Administration has:						
1	Enacted legislation that incorporates or is aligned to applicable international Conventions	1.0		1.0		1.0
2	Unless delegated, established an entity that provides H24 SAR services within its area of responsibility/SRR	1.0		1.0		1.0
3	Established a national SAR committee	1.0		1.0		1.0
4	Empowered SAR Mission Coordinators with the authority to adequately carry out their responsibilities	0.5		1.0		1.0
5	Established an Administrative Single Point of Contact for SAR (ASPOCS) for non-urgent, administrative matters	1.0		1.0		1.0
6	Conducted studies to integrate aviation and maritime SAR, and as far as practicable, civil and military activities	1.0		1.0		1.0
7	Conducted studies to align, as far as practicable, aeronautical and maritime SRRs, and SRRs and FIRs	1.0		1.0		1.0
8	Established a single State SAR Plan	1.0		1.0		1.0
9	Established aerodrome emergency plans that provide for co-operation and co-ordination with RCCs	1.0		1.0		1.0
10	Established SAR agreements with States having adjoining SRRs or FIRs	0.5		0.7		0.5
11	Provided up to date cross-border information on SAR capability to adjoining States	1.0		1.0		1.0
12	Pre-arranged procedures for cross-border SAR responses	0.5		1.0		1.0
13	Established RCC plans for response to Mass Rescue Operations (MROs) integrated with national disaster plans	0.5		1.0		0.5
14	Established operational plans and procedures for SRUs, provision of support, communication and reporting	0.5		1.0		1.0
15	Established SAR Alerting procedures which are tested, integrated and include civil/military protocols	0.5		1.0		1.0
16	Provided a fully equipped RCC of sufficient size with adequate provision for operational positions and human factors	0.5		1.0		1.0
17	Provided adequate supervisory ATC resources to allow timely SAR alerts and information to RCCs	1.0		1.0		1.0
18	Provided sufficient RCC staffing	0.5		1.0		1.0
19	Provided a sufficient number of trained specialist RCC officers including SMCs and A/SMCs	0.5		1.0		0.5
20	Availability of a pool of RCC support staff who are familiar with RCC operations, but not trained as coordinators	1.0		1.0		1.0
21	Developed SAR personnel position descriptions detailing responsibilities and eligibility criteria	1.0		1.0		1.0
22	Developed a comprehensive training programme that includes SAR training for SAR Coordinators and SRU staff	1.0		1.0		1.0
23	Facilitated RCC staff to be proficient in the English language	1.0		1.0		0.5
24	Facilitated a programme of regular liaison visits between relevant RCCs, ATC units and airline operating centres	1.0		1.0		1.0
25	Established additional oceanic SAR capability as far as practicable to ensure a timely and adequate SAR response	0.5		1.0		1.0
26	Established sufficient SRU capabilities (crews, availability, military assets, communications, authority, etc.)	1.0		1.0		1.0
27	Established procedures and necessary infrastructure to coordinate distress beacon alert responses	1.0		1.0		1.0
28	Established a reliable distress beacon registration system	1.0		1.0		1.0
29	Planned and prepared for the implementation of next generation beacons	1.0		1.0		1.0
30	Established an appropriate nationwide means of disposal for old distress beacons	0.5		1.0		1.0
31	Established contingency facilities, or procedures for the temporary delegation of SAR to another body or State	0.5		1.0		1.0
32	Established a centralised information source publishing all AIP information required on SAR	0.5		1.0		1.0
33	Established an Internet-based SAR information sharing system	0.5		1.0		1.0
34	Established systems for the maximum practicable cooperation between State entities for information when required	0.5		1.0		1.0
35	Developed and maintained a current, comprehensive electronic list of State SAR Facilities, SAR Equipment, and SRUs	0.5		1.0		1.0
36	Established an Internet-based SAR Library, or cooperate by contributing to an Internet-based Asia/Pacific resource	0.5		1.0		0.5
37	Provided each RCC and SAR Authority with ready access to a current copy of SAR reference documents	1.0		1.0		1.0
38	Conducted regular SAREX to test and evaluate coordination procedures, data and information sharing and SAR responses	1.0		1.0		1.0
39	Implemented SAR System Improvement and Assessment measures, including Safety Management and QA systems	0.5		1.0		1.0
40	Conducted an annual or more frequent analysis of their current State SAR system to identify specific gaps in capability	1.0		1.0		1.0
41	Conducted SAR promotional programs	1.0		1.0		1.0
Total (of 41)		32.0	0.0	40.7	0.0	38.5
%		78%	0%	99%	0%	94%

*2020 Update - Higher than previous year

*2020 Update - Less than previous year

*2020 Update - No Change