Performance Based Navigation (PBN) Implementation Plan

Republic of Angola

1. Introduction
The AFI Region Performance Based Navigation (PBN) Roadmap details the framework within which the ICAO PBN concept will be implemented in the AFI Region for the foreseeable future. The AFI Region Roadmap for PBN is guided by ICAO Doc. 9613 and relevant SARPs. The primary driver for this plan is to maintain and increase safety, air traffic demand and capacity, and services and technology in consultation with relevant stakeholders. The AFI Region Roadmap also supports national and international interoperability and global harmonization.

2. Background
The continuing growth of aviation places increasing demands on airspace capacity and emphasizes the need for the optimum utilization of the available airspace. Growth in scheduled and General Aviation aircraft is expected to increase point-to-point and direct routings. The increasing cost of fuel also presents a significant challenge to all segments of the aviation community. This anticipated growth and higher complexity of the air transportation system could result in increased flight delays, schedule disruptions, choke points, inefficient flight operations, and passenger inconvenience, particularly when unpredictable weather and other factors constrain airport capacity. Without improvements in system efficiency and workforce productivity, the aviation community and cost of operations will continue to increase. Upgrades to the air transportation system must leverage current and evolving capabilities in the near term, while building the foundation to address the future needs of the aviation community stakeholders. These circumstances can be partially alleviated by efficiencies in airspace and procedures through the implementation of PBN concepts.

In setting out requirements for navigation applications on specific routes or within a specific airspace, it is necessary to define requirements in a clear and concise manner. This is to ensure that both flight crew and ATC are aware of the on-board area navigation (RNAV) system capabilities and to ensure that the performance of the RNAV system is appropriate for the specific airspace requirements.
The early use of RNAV systems arose in a manner similar to conventional ground-based routes and procedures. A specific RNAV system was identified and its performance was evaluated through a combination of analysis and flight testing. For domestic operations, the initial systems used VOR and DME for their position estimation. For oceanic operations, inertial navigation systems (INS) were employed.

These ‘new’ systems were developed, evaluated and certified. Airspace and obstacle clearance criteria were developed on the basis of available equipment performance. Requirements specifications were based upon available capabilities and, in some implementations, it was necessary to identify the individual models of equipment that could be operated within the airspace concerned.

Such prescriptive requirements result in delays to the introduction of new RNAV system capabilities and higher costs for maintaining appropriate certification. To avoid such prescriptive specifications of requirements, the PBN concept introduces an alternative method for defining equipage requirements by specification of the performance requirements. This is termed Performance Based Navigation (PBN).

3. Performance Based Navigation (PBN)

Performance based navigation (PBN) is a concept that encompasses both area navigation (RNAV) and required navigation performance (RNP) and revises the current RNP concept. Performance based navigation is increasingly seen as the most practical solution for regulating the expanding domain of navigation systems.

Under the traditional approach, each new technology is associated with a range of system-specific requirements for obstacle clearance, aircraft separation, operational aspects (e.g. arrival and approach procedures), aircrew operational training and training of air traffic controllers. However, this system-specific approach imposes an unnecessary effort and expense on States, airlines and air navigation services (ANS) providers.

Performance based navigation eliminates the need for redundant investment in developing criteria and in operational modifications and training. Rather than build an operation around a particular system, under performance based navigation the operation is defined according to the operational goals, and the available systems are then evaluated to determine whether they are supportive.

The advantage of this approach is that it provides clear, standardized operational approvals which enables harmonized and predictable flight paths which result in more efficient use of existing aircraft capabilities, as well as improved safety, greater airspace capacity, better fuel efficiency, and resolution of environmental issues.

The PBN concept specifies aircraft RNAV system performance requirements in terms of accuracy, integrity, availability, continuity and functionality needed for the proposed operations in the context of a particular Airspace Concept. The PBN concept represents a shift from sensor-based to performance-based navigation. Performance requirements are identified in navigation specifications, which also identify the choice of navigation sensors and equipment that may be used to meet the performance requirements. These navigation
specifications are defined at a sufficient level of detail to facilitate global harmonization by providing specific implementation guidance for States and operators. Under PBN, generic navigation requirements are defined based on the operational requirements. Operators are then able to evaluate options in respect of available technologies and navigation services that could allow these requirements to be met. The chosen solution would be the most cost effective for the operator, rather than a solution being imposed as part of the operational requirements. Technologies can evolve over time without requiring the operation itself to be revisited, as long as the requisite performance is provided by the RNAV system. As part of the future work of the ICAO, it is anticipated that other means for meeting the requirements of the Navigation Specifications will be evaluated and may be included in the applicable Navigation Specifications, as appropriate.

ICAO’s Performance Based Navigation (PBN) concept aims to ensure global standardization of RNAV and RNP specifications and to limit the proliferation of navigation specifications in use worldwide. It is a new concept based on the use of Area Navigation (RNAV) systems. Significantly, it is a move from a limited statement of required performance accuracy to more extensive statements for required performance in terms of accuracy, integrity, continuity and availability, together with descriptions of how this performance is to be achieved in terms of aircraft and flight crew requirements.

4. RNAV Current status in the Republic of Angola

The starting point for the implementation of RNAV in the Angolan Airspace was the implementation of random routing operations within the Atlantic Ocean Random RNAV Area (AORRA). This was followed by the designation of all Class A airspace within the Angola FIR as RNP 10 airspace. Class A airspace includes airspace above FL195 within the Angola Airspace.

5. Fleet equipage

All major commercial aircraft manufacturer’s since the 1980’s have included RNAV capabilities. Further all commercial aircraft currently produced incorporate RNP capability. One significant issue for PBN Implementation to-day is directly related to the multitude of FMS installations and varying degrees of capabilities associated with the current fleet of RNAV aircraft. Specifically, there are numerous FMS systems installed in today’s fleet, all with varying capabilities.

6. Benefits of PBN and global harmonization

PBN offers a number of advantages over the sensor-specific method of developing airspace and obstacle clearance criteria. These include:

- Reduces need to maintain sensor-specific routes and procedures, and their associated costs. For example, moving a single VOR ground facility can impact dozens of procedures, as that VOR can be used on routes, VOR approaches, as part of missed
approaches, etc. Adding new sensor specific procedures will compound this cost, and
the rapid growth in available navigation systems would soon make system-specific
routes and procedures unaffordable.

- Avoids need for development of sensor-specific operations with each new evolution of
  navigation systems, which would be cost-prohibitive.
- Allows more efficient use of airspace (route placement, fuel efficiency, noise
  abatement).
- Clarifies the way in which RNAV systems are used.
- Facilitates the operational approval process for operators by providing a limited set of
  navigation specifications intended for global use.

RNAV and RNP specifications facilitate more efficient design of airspace and procedures,
which collectively result in improved safety, access, capacity, predictability, operational
efficiency and environmental effects. Specifically, RNAV and RNP may:

- Increase safety by using three-dimensional (3D) approach operations with course
  guidance to the runway, which reduce the risk of controlled flight into terrain.
- Improve airport and airspace access in all weather conditions, and the ability to meet
  environmental and obstacle clearance constraints.
- Enhance reliability and reduce delays by defining more precise terminal area
  procedures that feature parallel routes and environmentally optimized airspace
  corridors. Flight management systems (FMS) will then be poised to save operators
  time and money by managing climb, descent, and engine performance profiles more
  efficiently.
- Improve efficiency and flexibility by increasing use of operator-preferred trajectories
  airspace-wide, at all altitudes. This will be particularly useful in maintaining schedule
  integrity when convective weather arises.
- Reduce workload and improve productivity of air traffic controllers.

Performance-based navigation will enable the needed operational improvements by
leveraging current and evolving aircraft capabilities in the near term that can be expanded to
address the future needs of aviation stakeholders and service providers.

7. Stakeholders

Coordination is critical with the aviation community through collaborative forums. This will
assist aviation stakeholders in understanding operational goals, determining requirements,
and considering future investment strategies. This, in turn, enables the aviation stakeholders
to focus on addressing future efficiency and capacity needs while maintaining or improving
the safety of flight operations by leveraging advances in navigation capabilities on the flight
deck. RNAV and RNP have reached a sufficient level of maturity and definition to be included in key plans and strategies, such as this State PBN plan. The stakeholders who will benefit from the concepts in this State PBN plan include airspace operators, air traffic service providers, regulators, and standards organizations. As driven by business needs, airlines and operators can use the State PBN roadmap to plan future equipage and capability investments. Similarly, air traffic service providers can determine requirements for future automation systems, and more smoothly modernize ground infrastructure. Finally, regulators and standards organizations can anticipate and develop the key enabling criteria needed for implementation. This plan is a work in progress and will be amended through collaborative AFI Region States, industry efforts and consultations that establish a joint aviation community/government/industry strategy for implementing performance-based navigation. Critical initiative strategies are required to accommodate the expected growth and complexity over the next two decades. These strategies have five key features:

- Expediting the development of performance-based navigation criteria and standards.
- Introducing airspace and procedure improvements in the near term.
- Providing benefits to operators who have invested in existing and upcoming capabilities.
- Establishing target dates for the introduction of navigation mandates for selected procedures and airspace, with an understanding that any mandate must be rationalized on the basis of benefits and costs.
- Defining new concepts and applications of performance-based navigation for the midterm and long term and building synergy and integration among other capabilities toward the realization of the AFI Region PBN goals.

8. Challenges

8.1 Increasing Demands and fuel costs

Growth in scheduled and GA aircraft is expected to increase point-to-point and direct routing, with the need for greater system flexibility to handle peaks in traffic demand. Thus, stakeholders must make diligent efforts to increase system flexibility, improve strategic management of flights and control delays while maintaining today's safety levels. The cost of fuel presents a significant challenge to all segments of the aviation community. This problem can be partially alleviated by efficiencies in airspace and procedures. The anticipated growth and higher complexity of the air transportation system are likely to result in increased flight delays, schedule disruptions, choke points, inefficient flight operations, and passenger inconvenience, particularly when unpredictable weather and other factors constrain airport capacity. Without improvements in system efficiency and workforce productivity, the cost of operations will continue to increase. Upgrades to the air
transportation system must leverage current and evolving capabilities in the near term, while building the foundation to address the future needs of the aviation community stakeholders.

8.2 Terminal Areas (Departures and Arrivals)

There is a need to enhance reliability and reduce delays by defining more precise terminal area procedures that feature parallel routes and environmentally optimized airspace corridors. Flight management systems (FMS) will then be poised to save operators time and money by managing climb, descent, and engine performance profiles more efficiently.

8.3 Approach

There is a need to increase safety by using three-dimensional (3D) approach operations with course guidance to the runway hence reducing the risk of controlled flight into terrain. There is also a need to improve airport and airspace access in all weather conditions, and improve the ability to meet environmental and obstacle clearance constraints.

8.4 Efficient Operations in Oceanic Airspace

Improve efficiency and flexibility by increasing use of operator-preferred trajectories FIR-wide, at all altitudes. This will be particularly useful in maintaining schedule integrity when convective weather arises.

8.5 Environment

More efficient tracks will lead to more fuel efficiency and reduce the negative effects of carbon dioxide emissions.

9. Implementation strategy

This plan provides a high-level strategy for the evolution of navigation capabilities to be implemented in three timeframes: near term (2008-2012), midterm (2013-2017), and long term (2018 and Beyond). The strategy rests upon two key navigation concepts: Area Navigation (RNAV) and Required Navigation Performance (RNP). It also encompasses instrument approaches, Standard Instrument Departure (SID) and Standard Terminal Arrival (STAR) operations, as well as en-route continental, oceanic and remote operations. The section on Long-term initiatives discusses integrated navigation, communication, surveillance and automation strategies.

To avoid proliferation of new navigation standards, Angola and other aviation stakeholders in the AFI region should communicate any new operational requirements with ICAO HQ, so that it can be taken into account by the ICAO Study Group in charge of PBN.
9.1 Near term strategy (2008-2012)

In the near-term, initiatives focus on investments by operators in current and new aircraft acquisitions in satellite-based navigation and conventional navigation infrastructure. Key components include wide-scale RNAV implementation and the introduction of RNP for en route, terminal, and approach procedures.

The near-term strategy will also focus on expediting the implementation and proliferation of RNAV and RNP procedures. As demand for air travel continues at healthy levels, choke points will develop and delays at the major airports will continue to climb. RNAV and RNP procedures will help alleviate those problems. Continued introduction of RNAV and RNP procedures will not only provide benefits and savings to the operators but also encourage further equipage.

ANSPs as a matter of urgency must adapt new flight plan procedures to accommodate PBN operations. This particularly addresses fields 10 and 18. Operators will need to plan to obtain operational approvals for the planned Navigation Specifications for this period. Operators shall also review Regional PBN Implementation Plans from other Regions to assess if there is a necessity for additional operational approvals.

9.1.1 Oceanic Airspace (AORRA)

To promote global harmonization, Angola has already implemented RNP 10 within its upper airspace. This corresponds to the PBN RNAV-10 airspace. Over the next few years Angola will, in consultation with all stakeholders concerned, consider if the introduction RNP-4 is operationally justified. In case it is decided to introduce RNP-4 a safety assessment shall be undertaken to evaluate reduced oceanic longitudinal/lateral separation minima between aircraft approved for RNP-4 operations.

9.1.2 Terminal Areas (Departures and Arrivals)

Angola will introduce RNAV SIDS and STARs between 2016 and 2017. RNAV reduces conflict between traffic flows by consolidating flight tracks.

Angola shall also continue to plan for the development and implementation of RNP-1 SIDs and STARs in order to improve safety, capacity, and flight efficiency and also lower communication errors.

Where operationally feasible, Angola will develop operational concepts and requirements for continuous descent arrivals (CDAs) based on FMS Vertical Guidance and for applying time of arrival control based on RNAV and RNP procedures. This would reduce workload for pilots and controllers as well as increase fuel efficiency.
9.1.3 Approach

Angola will introduce RNAV (GNSS) instrument approach and departure procedures between 2016 and 2018. To facilitate a transitional period, conventional approach procedures and conventional navigation aids will be maintained for non PBN equipped aircraft during this term.

Angola will continue planning for the future introduction of APV Operations to enhance safety of RNP Approaches and accessibility of runways. Vertical navigation will be predicated on Baro-VNAV or SBAS (depending the GNSS within the region).

9.1.4 Summary near term strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airspace</th>
<th>Acceptable Specifications</th>
<th>Preferred Specifications</th>
<th>NAV Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>En-Route Oceanic</td>
<td>RNP 10 , PBN RNAV-10</td>
<td>RNP-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>RNAV SIDS and STARS</td>
<td>Basic RNP-1 in non-surveillance environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrival/Departure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach</td>
<td>RNAV(GNSS) instrument approach and departure procedures</td>
<td>RNP APCH with Baro-VNAV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RNAV SIDS & STARS and RNAV(GNSS) instrument approach and departure procedures by 2016
Consultation with stakeholders or the introduction basic RNP-1 within the TMA and RNP Approach with Baro VNAV by 2017

9.2 Medium term strategy (2016-2017)

In the midterm, increasing demand for air travel will continue to challenge the efficiencies of the air traffic management system.

While the hub-and-spoke system will remain largely the same as today for major airline operations, the demand for more point-to-point service will create new markets and spur increases in low-cost carriers, air taxi operations, and on-demand services. Additionally, the emergence of Very Light Jets (VLJ’s) is expected to create new markets in the general and business aviation sectors for personal, air taxi, and point-to-point passenger operations.

Many airports will thus experience significant increases in unscheduled traffic. In addition, many destination airports that support scheduled air carrier traffic are forecast to grow and to experience congestion or delays if efforts to increase their capacity fall short. As a result,
additional airspace flexibility will be necessary to accommodate not only the increasing growth, but also the increasing air traffic complexity. The midterm will leverage these increasing flight capabilities based on RNAV and RNP, with a commensurate increase in benefits such as fuel-efficient flight profiles, better access to airspace and airports, greater capacity, and reduced delay. These incentives, which should provide an advantage over non-RNP operations, will expedite propagation of equipage and the use of RNP procedures.

To achieve efficiency and capacity gains partially enabled by RNAV and RNP, Angola and aviation industry will pursue use of data communications (e.g., for controller-pilot communications) and enhanced surveillance functionality, e.g. ADS-Broadcast (ADS-B). Data communications will make it possible to issue complex clearances easily and with minimal errors. ADS-B will expand or augment surveillance coverage so that track spacing and longitudinal separation can be optimized where needed (e.g., in non-radar airspace). Initial capabilities for flights to receive and confirm 3D clearances and time of arrival control based on RNP will be demonstrated in the midterm. With data link implemented, flights will begin to transmit 4D trajectories (a set of points defined by latitude, longitude, altitude, and time.) Stakeholders must therefore develop concepts that leverage this capability.

9.2.1 Oceanic (AORRA)

In the midterm, Angola will endeavour to work with international air traffic service providers to promote the application of RNP 4 in a RNAV(GNSS) instrument approach and departure procedures in all sub-regions of the oceanic environment.

By the end of the midterm other benefits of PBN will have been enabled, such as flexible procedures to manage the mix of faster and slower aircraft in congested airspace and use of less conservative PBN requirements.

By the end of the midterm enhanced en route automation will allow the assignment of RNAV and RNP routes based upon specific knowledge of an aircraft's RNP capabilities. En route automation will use collaborative routing tools to assign aircraft priority, since the automation system can rely upon the aircraft's ability to change a flight path and fly safely around problem areas. This functionality will enable the controller to recognize aircraft capability and to match the aircraft to dynamic routes or procedures, thereby helping appropriately equipped operators to maximize the predictability of their schedules.

Conflict prediction and resolution in most en route airspace must improve as airspace usage increases. Path repeatability achieved by RNAV and RNP operations will assist in achieving this goal. Mid-term automation tools will facilitate the introduction of RNP offsets and other forms of dynamic tracks for maximizing the capacity of airspace. By the end of the midterm, en route automation will have evolved to incorporate more accurate and frequent surveillance reports through ADS-B, and to execute problem prediction and conformance checks that enable offset manoeuvres and closer route spacing (e.g., for passing other aircraft and manoeuvring around weather).
9.2.2 Terminal Areas (Departures and Arrivals)

During this period, either Basic RNP-1 or RNAV-1 will become a required capability for flights arriving and departing major airports based upon the needs of the airspace, such as the volume of traffic and complexity of operations. This will ensure the necessary throughput and access, as well as reduced controller workload, while maintaining safety standards. With RNAV-1 operations as the predominant form of navigation in terminal areas by the end of the midterm, AFI Angola will have the option of removing conventional terminal procedures that are no longer expected to be used. Terminal automation will be enhanced with tactical controller tools to manage complex merges in busy terminal areas. As data communications become available, the controller tools will apply knowledge of flights’ estimates of time of arrival at upcoming waypoints, and altitude and speed constraints, to create efficient manoeuvres for optimal throughput. Terminal automation will also sequence flights departing busy airports more efficiently than today. This capability will be enabled as a result of PBN and flow management tools. Flights arriving and departing busy terminal areas will follow automation-assigned PBN routes.

9.2.3 Approach

In the midterm, implementation priorities for instrument approaches will still be based on RNP APCH and RNP Authorisation Required (AR) Approach (RNP AR APCH) and full implementation is expected at the end of this term. The introduction of the application of landing capability, using GBAS (currently non PBN) is expected to guarantee a smooth transition towards high performance approach and landing capability.
9.2.4 Medium term strategy summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airspace</th>
<th>Acceptable Specifications</th>
<th>Nav. Specifications where operationally required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>En-Route Oceanic</td>
<td>RNAV-10,</td>
<td>RNP-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>Expand RNAV-1, or basic RNP-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrival/Departure</td>
<td>application</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandate RNAV-1, or basic RNP-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach</td>
<td>Expand RNP APCH with</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Baro-VNAV) and APV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expand RNP AR APCH where</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>there are operational benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- RNP APCH (with Baro-VNAV) or APV in 100% of instrument runways by 2017
- RNAV-1 or RNP-1 SID/STAR for 100% of international airports by 2017
- RNAV-1 or RNP-1 SID/STAR for 70% of busy domestic airports where there are operational benefits
- Implementation of additional RNAV/RNP Routes as required

9.3 Long term strategy (2018 and beyond)

The Long-term environment will be characterized by continued growth in air travel and increased air traffic complexity. No one solution or simple combination of solutions will address the inefficiencies, delays, and congestion anticipated to result from the growing demand for air transportation. Therefore, Angola and key Stakeholders have developed the following operational concept that exploits the full capability of the aircraft in this time frame.

9.3.1 Airspace operations in the Long term will make maximum use of advanced flight deck automation that integrates CNS capabilities. RNP, RCP, and RSP standards will define these operations. Separation assurance will remain the principal task of air traffic management in this time frame. This task is expected to leverage a combination of aircraft and ground-based tools. Tools for conflict detection and resolution and for flow management, will be enhanced significantly to handle increasing traffic levels and complexity in an efficient and strategic manner.

Strategic problem detection and resolution will result from better knowledge of aircraft position and intent, coupled with automated, ground-based problem resolution. In addition, pilot and air traffic controller workload will be lowered by substantially reducing voice communication of clearances, and furthermore using data communications for clearances to
the flight deck. Workload will also decrease as the result of automated confirmation (via data communications) of flight intent from the flight deck to the ground automation. With the necessary aircraft capabilities, procedures, and training in place, it will become possible in certain situations to delegate separation tasks to pilots and to flight deck systems that depict traffic and conflict resolutions. Procedures for airborne separation assurance will reduce reliance on ground infrastructure and minimize controller workload. As an example, in IMC an aircraft could be instructed to follow a leading aircraft, keeping a certain distance. Once the pilot agreed, ATC would transfer responsibility for maintaining spacing (as is now done with visual approaches). Performance-based operations will exploit aircraft capabilities for “electronic” visual acquisition of the external environment in low-visibility conditions, which may potentially increase runway capacity and decrease runway occupancy times. Improved wake prediction and notification technologies may also assist in achieving increased runway capacity by reducing reliance on wake separation buffers. System-wide information exchange will enable real-time data sharing of airspace constraints, airport capacity, and aircraft performance. Electronic data communications between the ATC automation and aircraft, achieved through data link, will become widespread—possibly even mandated in the busiest airspace and airports. The direct exchange of data between the ATC automation and the aircraft FMS will permit better strategic and tactical management of flight operations.

Aircraft will downlink to the ground-based system their position and intent data, as well as speed, weight, climb and descent rates, and wind or turbulence reports. The ATC automation will uplink clearances and other types of information, for example, weather, metering, choke points, and airspace use restrictions.

To ensure predictability and integrity of aircraft flight path, RNP will be mandated in busy en route and terminal airspace. RNAV operations will be required in all other airspace (except oceanic). Achieving standardized FMS functionalities and consistent levels of crew operation of the FMS is integral to the success of this long-term strategy.

The most capable aircraft will meet requirements for low values of RNP (RNP 0.3 or lower en route). Flights by such aircraft are expected to benefit in terms of airport access, shortest routes during IMC or convective weather, and the ability to transit or avoid constrained airspace, resulting in greater efficiencies and fewer delays operating into and out of the busiest airports.

Enhanced ground-based automation and use of real-time flight intent will make time-based metering to terminal airspace a key feature of future flow management initiatives. This will improve the sequencing and spacing of flights and the efficiency of terminal operations. Uniform use of RNP for arrivals and departures at busy airports will optimize management of traffic and merging streams. ATC will continue to maintain control over sequencing and separation; however, aircraft arriving and departing the busiest airports will require little controller intervention. Controllers will spend more time monitoring flows and will intervene only as needed, primarily when conflict prediction algorithms indicate a potential problem.
More detailed knowledge of meteorological conditions will enable better flight path conformance, including time of arrival control at key merge points. RNP will also improve management of terminal arrival and departure with seamless routing from the en route and transition segments to the runway threshold. Enhanced tools for surface movement will provide management capabilities that synchronize aircraft movement on the ground; for example, to coordinate taxiing aircraft across active runways and to improve the delivery of aircraft from the parking areas to the main taxiways.

9.3.2 Summary of Long Term Key Strategies (2018 and Beyond)

The key strategies for instituting performance-based operations employ an integrated set of solutions:

- Airspace operations will take advantage of aircraft capabilities, i.e. aircraft equipped with data communications, integrated displays, and FMS.

- Aircraft position and intent information directed to automated, ground-based ATM systems, strategic and tactical flight deck-based separation assurance in selected situations (problem detection and resolution).

- Strategic and tactical flow management will improve through use of integrated airborne and ground information exchange.

- Ground-based system knowledge of real-time aircraft intent with accurate aircraft position and trajectory information available through data link to ground automation.

- Real-time sharing of national airspace flight demand and other information achieved via ground-based and air-ground communication between air traffic management and operations planning and dispatch.

Overall system responsiveness achieved through flexible routing and well-informed, distributed decision-making.

- Systems ability to adapt rapidly to changing meteorological and airspace conditions.

- System leverages through advanced navigation capabilities such as fixed radius transitions, RF legs, and RNP offsets.

- Increased use of operator-preferred routing and dynamic airspace.

- Increased collaboration between service providers and operators.
Glossary

3D Three-Dimensional
4D Four-Dimensional
ADS-B Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast
ADS-C Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Contract
ATC Air Traffic Control
CDA Continuous Descent Arrival
CNS Communications, Navigation, Surveillance
EFVS Enhanced Flight Visibility System
GA General Aviation
GBAS Ground-Based Augmentation System
GLS GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) Landing System
GNSS Global Navigation Satellite System
GPS Global Positioning System
ICAO International Civil Aviation Organization
IFR Instrument Flight Rules
ILS Instrument Landing System
IMC Instrument Meteorological Conditions
LNAV Lateral Navigation
LPV Localizer Performance with Vertical Guidance
NAS National Airspace System
NAVAID Navigation Aid
NM Nautical Miles
PBN Performance Based Navigation
RCP Required Communications Performance
RF Radius-to-Fix
RNAV Area Navigation
RNP Required Navigation Performance
RNPSORSG Required Navigation Performance and Special Operational Requirements Study Group
RSP Required Surveillance Performance
SAAAR Special Aircraft and Aircrew Authorization Required
SID Standard Instrument Departure
STAR Standard Instrument Arrival
VLJ Very Light Jet
VNAV Vertical Navigation
WAAS Wide Area Augmentation System
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