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The meeting was chaired by Grégory Lievre (EASA). Due to technical difficulties, Co-Chair Fang Jun (China) was unable to join the meeting.

1. Meeting Opening
   • Grégory opened the meeting and began a discussion on the expectations of the ASIAP. He explained that he found two benefits/expectations for the group:
     o Information sharing on assistance activities. He noted that this is already producing results; and
     o Proactive Assistance. By sharing information on assistance activities, Partners are better informed and are able to make better decisions, coordinate future activities, etc.
   • He indicated that EASA was convinced of the ASIAP benefits on the first type of results; however, additional discussions might be necessary within ASIAP regarding the second type. He further emphasized the need for Partners to contribute to these efforts between meetings in order to be successful.
   • Michiel agreed and complemented by reminding of the ASIAP additional objectives of prioritising States and assistance needs and encouraging collaboration between partners in the resourcing and delivery of assistance provided to States.

   ACTIONS
   o Partners to communicate via email, through or with copy to the Secretariat, between meetings to share information about assistance activities.

2. Pending Actions Items from Last Meeting
• ICAO provided a brief overview on the status of the pending items from the last telecom. Further details would be discussed under the other agenda items.
• ICAO advised that some Partners have provided information on their activities in the priority States. It is requested that all Partners provide information about their activities. This includes advising ICAO if there are no activities.
• France advised that they have already provided information on the 1st group of priority States and that they do not have any activity in the 2nd group of priority States. Additionally, a cooperation agreement with Thailand is currently pending and awaiting approval by the new Director General, once appointed.
• IATA advised that they are currently discussing with industry, including Airbus and Boeing, how they can work together to support ASIAP and provide assistance to priority States.
• ACI advised that they are currently providing assistance under the APEX programme, and are primarily focused in Africa. ACI will provide an update on their activities. They support the work of ASIAP and working in partnership with industry.
• Matthias (EASA) thanked ICAO for sharing feedback on EASA’s proposal for the methodology of prioritizing States. He highlighted the need for a two-step process (1) data-driven approach using specific indicators and (2) review of additional indicators by technical experts and the results provided to the ASIAP Partners for discussion and agreement. He noted that not all indicators proposed by EASA have data available for all States, however the list provides a more comprehensive view of a State.
• IATA has already begun discussions with industry on how to prioritize States and would like to collaborate on the methodology. IATA expressed overall support to the proposals made by EASA.
• France confirmed their agreement with the EASA methodology.
• ACI advised that due to lack of resources, their support has been limited, however they will continue to work with IATA on this process.
• Marco advised that ICAO can provide a list of 3 priority States per region for review by the partners. He also advised that he could develop a table with readily available data for some of the additional indicators noted by EASA. This information will be shared with the Partners for review before the next meeting.
• EASA thanked ICAO for this proposal, which will greatly facilitate the implementation of the revised methodology.
• It was therefore agreed that prior to the next meeting, ICAO would provide the Partners with a list of 3 States per region. The Partners would then, using their methodology of choice, determine their preference for priority States and share with the partners. At the next telecom meeting, the Partners will provide their input in order to determine the agreed list of priority States for the ASIAP Partners.

ACTIONS
  o Partners to provide information on their activities in the priority States or advise if there are no activities.
  o ICAO to provide a list of 3 States per region that are in need of assistance based on Step 1 of the methodology. ICAO to provide additional data, for each “pre-selected” States, in order to facilitate the “second step filtering” exercise by Partners.
Partners to review the list and determine priorities using a methodology of their choice, and provide to ICAO their results (i.e. a list of proposed priority States).

- EASA invited those members who opt to use the methodology EASA proposed to inform EASA about their experiences/suggestions.
- Discussion regarding the prioritization of States will be held at next telecom.

3. **Assistance activities in 4 original priority States (Indonesia, Viet Nam, Nepal and Cambodia)**
   - Due to limited time, it was agreed that any additional information would be shared via email.
   - Prior to the meeting, ICAO provided an updated table of activities with the information received from ASIAP members.
   - Japan had contributed funds to the ICAO SAFE Fund earmarked for projects to assist ASIAP priority States in the APAC region.
   - Boeing had approved the use of their funds held in the ICAO SAFE Fund to contribute to funding the extension of the ICAO project to assist Cambodia.

4. **Assistance activities in additional 6 States (Belarus, Egypt, Tanzania, Gabon, Bahamas, and Guyana)**
   - Same as above.

5. **Update on SCAN**
   - ICAO advised that an email has been sent to all Partners requesting them to fill out 2-3 projects relating to ASIAP priority States using the required form. Presently, ICAO has received 8 projects, 2 from EASA and the remainder from the ICAO Regional Offices.
   - ICAO noted that it was difficult to move forward with the development of the map, database, and ultimately website, if information is not provided by the Partners. Therefore ICAO requested again that the Partners submit information about their projects as soon as possible.
   - Grégory recommended that SCAN be a standing agenda item for each meeting and that ICAO should provide an update on what information has been provided.

**ACTION**

- Partners to provide information on assistance activities in the priority States using the link/form sent in the ICAO email.
- SCAN to be included as a standing item in future meetings, including an update on information received from Partners.

6. **Schedule for next telecom**
   - The next telecom was tentatively scheduled to be held during the week of 22 August. Further information will follow.
   - The next face-to-face meeting is planned 5 October in Montreal during the ICAO Assembly.

7. **Any other business**
   - Grégory advised that he has assumed a new job at EASA and that Fredrik Kampfe will be taking over his position in EASA and as Co-Chair for ASIAP. He thanked the Secretariat and Partners for their support during his term as co-Chair.