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Common Preamble

a) Iceland is party to the EEA agreement\(^1\) and a member of EFTA\(^2\) and of the European Civil Aviation Conference (ECAC). ECAC is an intergovernmental organisation covering the widest grouping of Member States\(^3\) of any European organisation dealing with civil aviation. It is currently composed of 44 Member States, and was created in 1955.

b) ECAC States share the view that environmental concerns represent a potential constraint on the future development of the international aviation sector, and together they fully support ICAO’s on-going efforts to address the full range of these concerns, including the key strategic challenge posed by climate change, for the sustainable development of international air transport.

c) Iceland, like all of ECAC’s forty-four States, is fully committed to and involved in the fight against climate change, and works towards a resource-efficient, competitive and sustainable multimodal transport system.

d) Iceland recognises the value of each State preparing and submitting to ICAO an updated State Action Plan for emissions reductions, as an important step towards the achievement of the global collective goals agreed at the 38th Session of the ICAO Assembly in 2013.

e) In that context, it is the intention that all ECAC States submit to ICAO an Action Plan.\(^4\) This is the Action Plan of Iceland.

f) Iceland shares the view of all ECAC States that a comprehensive approach to reducing aviation emissions is necessary, and that this should include:

i. emission reductions at source, including European support to CAEP work;

ii. research and development on emission reductions technologies, including public-private partnerships;

iii. the development and deployment of low-carbon sustainable alternative fuels, including research and operational initiatives undertaken jointly with stakeholders;

\(^1\) Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom.

\(^2\) Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway and Switzerland.

\(^3\) Albania, Armenia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Moldova, Monaco, Montenegro, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, San Marino, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Turkey, Ukraine, and the United Kingdom.

\(^4\) ICAO Assembly Resolution A38-18 also encourages States to submit an annual reporting on international aviation CO\(_2\) emissions, which is a task different in nature and purpose to that of Action Plans, strategic in their nature. For that reason, the reporting to ICAO on international aviation CO\(_2\) emissions referred to at paragraph 11 of ICAO Resolution A38/18 is not part of this Action Plan. This information will be provided to ICAO separately, as this is already part of the existing routine provision of data by ECAC States.
iv. the optimisation and improvement of Air Traffic Management, and infrastructure use within Europe, in particular through the Single European Sky ATM Research (SESAR), and also beyond European borders, through the Atlantic Initiative for the Reduction of Emissions (AIRE) in cooperation with the US FAA;

v. Market-based measures, which allow the sector to continue to grow in a sustainable and efficient manner, recognizing that the measures at (i) to (iv) above cannot, even in aggregate, deliver in time the emissions reductions necessary to meet the global goals. This growth becomes possible through the purchase of carbon units that foster emission reductions in other sectors of the economy, where abatement costs are lower than within the aviation sector.

g) In Europe, many of the actions which are undertaken within the framework of this comprehensive approach are in practice taken at a supra-national level, most of them led by the European Union. They are reported in Section 1 of this Action Plan, where Iceland’s involvement in them is described, as well as that of stakeholders.

h) In Iceland a number of actions are undertaken at the national level, including by stakeholders, in addition to those of a supra-national nature. These national actions are reported in Section 2 of this Plan.

i) In relation to actions which are taken at a supranational level, it is important to note that:

   i. The extent of participation will vary from one State and another, reflecting the priorities and circumstances of each State (economic situation, size of its aviation market, historical and institutional context, such as EU/ non EU). The ECAC States are thus involved to different degrees and on different timelines in the delivery of these common actions. When an additional State joins a collective action, including at a later stage, this broadens the effect of the measure, thus increasing the European contribution to meeting the global goals.

   ii. Nonetheless, acting together, the ECAC States have undertaken to reduce the region’s emissions through a comprehensive approach which uses each of the pillars of that approach. Some of the component measures, although implemented by some but not all of ECAC’s 44 States, nonetheless yield emission reduction benefits across the whole of the region (thus for example research, ETS).
Introduction - Current State of Aviation in Iceland

Iceland is a Nordic island country located in the North Atlantic Ocean. Geologically, Iceland is located on the Mid-Atlantic ridge, and marks the actual boundaries between adjacent tectonic plates; the Eurasian plate and the North American plate. The total land area of Iceland is 103,000 km² and its ever-growing number of inhabitants currently stands at 350,710, or 3.4 inhabitants per square kilometer. Reykjavik, and the surrounding municipalities that collectively make up the so-called Greater Reykjavik area, are home to 222,484 inhabitants, or 63% of the population.

Airports and Air Operators in Iceland

Iceland has four international airports located in Akureyri, Egilsstadir, Keflavik and Reykjavik. Additionally, the number of domestic airports in Iceland is 13 plus 46 landing strips. In terms of passengers the airport in Keflavik is by far the busiest one, with the majority of the international traffic and almost all scheduled international traffic involving heavy jets, while Reykjavik airport serves as the center for domestic flights in Iceland.

The airports are operated by Isavia Ltd., a state-owned limited liability company. As stated in their Annual report from 2015, new facilities to accommodate the increased number of passengers are in construction at Keflavik airport.

There are 14 commercial air operators in Iceland.

Air Navigation Services

The Reykjavik control area is among the largest in the world at approximately 5.4 million square kilometres, and consists of Reykjavik FIR and Sondrestrom FIR above FL 195. Each year approximately 100,000 airplanes fly over 140 million km in the Reykjavik control area.

The Development in Icelandic Aviation

In 2017, the total number of passengers in Keflavik airport was 8,755,352. As of April 2018, the total number of passengers in the airport was 2,436,981, an increase of 11.6% from the previous year. This is in keeping with the development from previous years, as the total number of passengers in Keflavik airport has more than tripled since 2010. A considerable growth in tourism is partly the cause of this increase, but there has also been a significant increase in transit passengers.
A. ECAC Baseline Scenario

The baseline scenario of ECAC States presents the following sets of data (in 2010) and forecast (in 2020 and 2035), which were provided by EUROCONTROL:

- European air traffic (includes all international and national passenger flight departures from ECAC airports, in number of flights, and RPK calculated purely from passenger numbers, which are based on EUROSTAT figures. Belly freight and dedicated cargo flights are not included),
- its associated aggregated fuel consumption (in million tonnes),
- its associated emissions (in million tonnes of CO₂), and
- average fuel efficiency (in kg/10RPK).

The sets of forecasts correspond to projected traffic volumes and emissions, in a scenario of “regulated growth”.

Scenario “Regulated Growth”, Most-likely/Baseline scenario

As in all 20-year forecasts produced by EUROCONTROL, various scenarios are built with a specific storyline and a mix of characteristics. The aim is to improve the understanding of factors that will influence future traffic growth and the risks that lie ahead. In the 20-year forecast published in 2013 by EUROCONTROL, the scenario called ‘Regulated Growth’
was constructed as the ‘most-likely’ or ‘baseline’ scenario, most closely following the current trends. It considers a moderate economic growth, with regulation reconciling the environmental, social and economic demands.

**Table 1. Summary characteristics of EUROCONTROL scenarios:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A: Global Growth</th>
<th>C: Regulated Growth</th>
<th>D: Fragmenting World</th>
<th>C*: Happy Localism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2019 traffic growth</strong></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Base</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Base</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Passenger**
- Demographics (Population): Aging UN Medium-fertility variant
- Routes and Destinations: Long-haul
- Open Skies: EU enlargement earliest
- High-speed rail (now & improved connections): 54 city-pairs faster implementation

**Economic conditions**
- GDP growth: Stronger
- EU Enlargement: Later
- Free Trade: Global, fastest
- Operating cost: Decreasing
- Cost of CO₂: Lowest
- Price of oil: Lower
- Other charges: Noise: Security:

**Price of travel**
- No change
- Lower
- High

**Structure**
- Network: Middle-East hubs, Europe and Turkey
- Market Structure: Medium, very large

The table above presents a summary of the social, economic and air traffic-related characteristics of the different scenarios developed by EUROCONTROL for the purposes of EUROCONTROL 20-year forecast of IFR movements. ⁵

**ECAC baseline scenario**

The ECAC baseline scenario presented in the following tables was generated by EUROCONTROL for all ECAC States including the Canary Islands. Over-flights of the ECAC area have not been included.

The baseline scenario, which is presented in the following tables, does not include business and dedicated cargo traffic. It covers only commercial passenger flight movements for the

---

⁵ The characteristics of the different scenarios can be found in Task 4: European Air Traffic in 2035, Challenges of Growth 2013, EUROCONTROL, June 2013 available at ECAC website.
area of scope outlined in the previous paragraph, using data for airport pairs, which allows for the generation of fuel efficiency data (in kg/RPK). Historical fuel burn (2010) and emission calculations are based on the actual flight plans from the PRISME data warehouse, including the actual flight distance and the cruise altitude by airport pair. Future year fuel burn and emissions (2020, 2035) are modelled based on actual flight distances and cruise altitudes by airport pair in 2014. Taxi times are not included. The baseline is presented along a scenario of engine-technology freeze, as of 2014, so aircraft not in service at that date are modelled with the fuel efficiency of comparable-role in-service aircraft (but with their own seating capacities).

The future fleet has been generated using the Aircraft Assignment Tool (AAT) developed collaboratively by EUROCONTROL, the European Aviation Safety Agency and the European Commission. The retirement process of the Aircraft Assignment Tool is performed year by year, allowing the determination of the amount of new aircraft required each year. This way, the entry into service year (EISY) can be derived for the replacement aircraft. The Growth and Replacement (G&R) Database used is largely based on the Flightglobal Fleet Forecast - Deliveries by Region 2014 to 2033. This forecast provides the number of deliveries for each type in each of the future years, which are re-scaled to match the EUROCONTROL forecast.

The data and forecasts for Europe show two distinct phases, of rapid improvement followed by continuing, but much slower improvement after 2020. The optimism behind the forecast for the first decade is partly driven by statistics: in the 4 years 2010-2014, the average annual improvement in fuel efficiency for domestic and international flights was around 2%, [Source: EUROCONTROL] so this is already achieved. Underlying reasons for this include gains through improvements in load factors (e.g. more than 3% in total between 2010 and 2014), and use of slimmer seats allowing more seats on the same aircraft. However, neither of these can be projected indefinitely into the future as a continuing benefit, since they will hit diminishing returns. In their place we have technology transitions to A320neo, B737max, C-series, B787 and A350 for example, especially over the next 5 years or so. Here this affects seat capacity, but in addition, as we exit from the long economic downturn, we see an acceleration of retirement of old, fuel-inefficient aircraft, as airline finances improve, and new models become available. After that, Europe believes that the rate of improvement would be much slower, and this is reflected in the ‘technology freeze’ scenario, which is presented here.

Table 2. Total fuel burn for passenger domestic and international flights (ECAC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Traffic (millions of departing flights)</th>
<th>Total Fuel burn (in million tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>40.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>47.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>72.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. CO₂ emissions forecast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>CO₂ emissions (in million tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>127.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>151.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>228.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Traffic in RPK (domestic and international departing flights from ECAC airports, PAX only, no freight and dedicated cargo flights)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Traffic (in billion RPK)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1 329.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1 958.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>3 128.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Fuel efficiency (kg/10RPK)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fuel efficiency (in kg/10 RPK)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.3034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>0.2468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>0.2337</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Average annual fuel efficiency improvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Fuel efficiency improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020 - 2010</td>
<td>-2.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035 - 2020</td>
<td>-0.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035 - 2010</td>
<td>-1.04%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to further improve fuel efficiency and to reduce future air traffic emissions beyond the projections in the baseline scenario, ECAC States have taken further action. Supranational measures in order to achieve such additional improvement will be described in the following sections.

It should be noted, however, that a quantification of the effects of many measures is difficult. As a consequence, no aggregated quantification of potential effects of the supranational measures can be presented in this action plan.
B. Actions taken at the supranational level

Aircraft Emissions Standards (Europe's Contribution to the Development of the Aeroplane CO2 Standard in CAEP)

European Member States fully supported the work achieved in ICAO’s Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP), which resulted in an agreement on the new aeroplane CO₂ Standard at CAEP/10 meeting in February 2016, applicable to new aeroplane type designs from 2020 and to aeroplane type designs that are already in-production in 2023. Europe significantly contributed to this task, notably through the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) which co-led the CO₂ Task Group within CAEP’s Working Group 3, and which provided extensive technical and analytical support.

The assessment of the benefits provided by this measure in terms of reduction in European emissions is not provided in this action plan. Nonetheless, elements of assessment of the overall contribution of the CO₂ standard towards the global aspirational goals are available in CAEP.
Research and Development

**Clean Sky** is an EU Joint Technology Initiative (JTI) that aims to develop and mature breakthrough “clean technologies” for air transport. By accelerating their deployment, the JTI will contribute to Europe’s strategic environmental and social priorities, and simultaneously promote competitiveness and sustainable economic growth.

Joint Technology Initiatives are specific large-scale EU research projects created by the European Commission within the 7th Framework Programme (FP7) and continued within the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme. Set up as a Public Private Partnership between the European Commission and the European aeronautical industry, Clean Sky pulls together the research and technology resources of the European Union in a coherent programme, and contribute significantly to the ‘greening’ of aviation.

The first Clean Sky programme (**Clean Sky 1 - 2011-2017**) has a budget of € 1,6 billion, equally shared between the European Commission and the aeronautics industry. It aims to develop environmental friendly technologies impacting all flying-segments of commercial aviation. The objectives are to reduce CO₂ aircraft emissions by 20-40%, NOₓ by around 60% and noise by up to 10dB compared to year 2000 aircraft.

**What has the current JTI achieved so far?**

*It is estimated that Clean Sky resulted in a reduction of aviation CO₂ emissions by more than 20% with respect to baseline levels (in 2000), which represents an aggregate reduction of 2 to 3 billion tonnes of CO₂ over the next 35 years.*

This was followed up by a second programme (**Clean Sky 2 – 2014-2024**) with the objective to reduce aircraft emissions and noise by 20 to 30% with respect to the latest technologies entering into service in 2014. The current budget for the programme is approximately €4 billion.

The two Interim Evaluations of Clean Sky in 2011 and 2013 acknowledged that the programme is successfully stimulating developments towards environmental targets. These preliminary assessments confirm the capability of achieving the overall targets at completion of the programme.

Main remaining areas for RTD efforts under Clean Sky 2 are:

- **Large Passenger Aircraft**: demonstration of best technologies to achieve the environmental goals while fulfilling future market needs and improving the competitiveness of future products.
- **Regional Aircraft**: demonstrating and validating key technologies that will enable a 90-seat class turboprop aircraft to deliver breakthrough economic and environmental performance and superior passenger experience.
- **Fast Rotorcraft**: demonstrating new rotorcraft concepts (tilt-rotor and FastCraft compound helicopter) technologies to deliver superior vehicle versatility and performance.
• **Airframe:** demonstrating the benefits of advanced and innovative airframe structures (like a more efficient wing with natural laminar flow, optimised control surfaces, control systems and embedded systems, highly integrated in metallic and advanced composites structures). In addition, novel engine integration strategies and investigate innovative fuselage structures will be tested.

• **Engines:** validating advanced and more radical engine architectures.

• **Systems:** demonstrating the advantages of applying new technologies in major areas such as power management, cockpit, wing, landing gear, to address the needs of future generation aircraft in terms of maturation, demonstration and Innovation.

• **Small Air Transport:** demonstrating the advantages of applying key technologies on small aircraft demonstrators and to revitalise an important segment of the aeronautics sector that can bring key new mobility solutions.

• **Eco-Design:** coordinating research geared towards high eco-compliance in air vehicles over their product life and heightening the stewardship in intelligent Re-use, Recycling and advanced services.

In addition, the **Technology Evaluator** will continue and be upgraded to assess technological progress routinely and evaluate the performance potential of Clean Sky 2 technologies at both vehicle and aggregate levels (airports and air traffic systems). More details on Clean Sky can be found at the following link:

http://www.cleansky.eu/
European Advanced Biofuels Flightpath

Within the European Union, Directive 2009/28/EC on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources ("the Renewable Energy Directive" – RED) established mandatory targets to be achieved by 2020 for a 20% overall share of renewable energy in the EU and a 10% share for renewable energy in the transport sector. Furthermore, sustainability criteria for biofuels to be counted towards that target were established.\(^6\)

In February 2009, the European Commission's Directorate General for Energy and Transport initiated the SWAFEA (Sustainable Ways for Alternative Fuels and Energy for Aviation) study to investigate the feasibility and the impact of the use of alternative fuels in aviation.

The SWAFEA final report was published in July 2011.\(^7\) It provides a comprehensive analysis on the prospects for alternative fuels in aviation, including an integrated analysis of technical feasibility, environmental sustainability (based on the sustainability criteria of the EU Directive on renewable energy)\(^8\) and economic aspects.

---


It includes a number of recommendations on the steps that should be taken to promote the take-up of sustainable biofuels for aviation in Europe.

In March 2011, the European Commission published a White Paper on transport. In the context of an overall goal of achieving a reduction of at least 60% in greenhouse gas emissions from transport by 2050 with respect to 1990, the White Paper established a goal of low-carbon sustainable fuels in aviation reaching 40% by 2050.

As a first step towards delivering this goal, in June 2011 the European Commission, in close coordination with Airbus, leading European airlines (Lufthansa, Air France/KLM, & British Airways) and key European biofuel producers (Choren Industries, Neste Oil, Biomass Technology Group and UOP), launched the **European Advanced Biofuels Flight-path**. This industry-wide initiative aims to speed up the commercialisation of aviation biofuels in Europe, with the **objective of achieving the commercialisation of sustainably produced paraffinic biofuels in the aviation sector by reaching a 2 million tonnes consumption by 2020**.

This initiative is a shared and voluntary commitment by its members to support and promote the production, storage and distribution of sustainably produced drop-in biofuels for use in aviation. It also targets establishing appropriate financial mechanisms to support the construction of industrial "first of a kind" advanced biofuel production plants. The Biofuels Flight path is explained in a technical paper, which sets out in more detail the challenges and required actions.

More specifically, the initiative focuses on the following:

1. Facilitate the development of standards for drop-in biofuels and their certification for use in commercial aircraft;
2. Work together with the full supply chain to further develop worldwide accepted sustainability certification frameworks;
3. Agree on biofuel take-off arrangements over a defined period of time and at a reasonable cost;
4. Promote appropriate public and private actions to ensure the market uptake of paraffinic biofuels by the aviation sector;

---

9 Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area – Towards a competitive and resource efficient transport system, COM (2011) 144 final

5. Establish financing structures to facilitate the realisation of 2nd Generation biofuel projects;

6. Accelerate targeted research and innovation for advanced biofuel technologies, and especially algae.

7. Take concrete actions to inform the European citizen of the benefits of replacing kerosene by certified sustainable biofuels.

The following “Flight Path” provides an overview about the objectives, tasks, and milestones of the initiative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time horizons (Base year - 2011)</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Aim/Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-term (next 0-3 years)</td>
<td>Announcement of action at International Paris Air Show</td>
<td>To mobilise all stakeholders including Member States.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-level workshop with financial institutions to address funding mechanisms.</td>
<td>To agree on a &quot;Biofuel in Aviation Fund&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 1 000 tonnes of Fisher-Tropsch biofuel become available.</td>
<td>Verification of Fisher-Tropsch product quality. Significant volumes of synthetic biofuel become available for flight testing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Production of aviation class biofuels in the hydro-treated vegetable oil (HVO) plants from sustainable feedstock</td>
<td>Regular testing and eventually few regular flights with HVO biofuels from sustainable feedstock.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secure public and private financial and legislative mechanisms for industrial second generation biofuel plants.</td>
<td>To provide the financial means for investing in first of a kind plants and to permit use of aviation biofuel at economically acceptable conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biofuel purchase agreement signed between aviation sector and biofuel producers.</td>
<td>To ensure a market for aviation biofuel production and facilitate investment in industrial 2G plants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start construction of the first series of 2G plants.</td>
<td>Plants are operational by 2015-16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identification of refineries &amp; blenders which will take part in the first phase of the action.</td>
<td>Mobilise fuel suppliers and logistics along the supply chain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-term (4-7 years)</td>
<td>2000 tonnes of algal oils are becoming available.</td>
<td>First quantities of algal oils are used to produce aviation fuels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supply of 1,0 M tonnes of hydrotreated sustainable oils and 0,2 tonnes of synthetic aviation biofuels in the aviation market.</td>
<td>1,2 M tonnes of biofuels are blended with kerosene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start construction of the second series of 2G plants including algal biofuels and pyrolytic oils from residues.</td>
<td>Operational by 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Time horizons (Base year - 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Aim/Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-term (up to 2020)</strong></td>
<td>2,0 M tonnes of biofuels are blended with kerosene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply of an additional 0.8 M tons of aviation biofuels based on synthetic biofuels, pyrolytic oils and algal biofuels.</td>
<td>2,0 M tonnes of biofuels are blended with kerosene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further supply of biofuels for aviation, biofuels are used in most EU airports.</td>
<td>Commercialisation of aviation biofuels is achieved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the Flight-path 2020 initiative began in 2010, only one production pathway was approved for aviation use; no renewable kerosene had actually been produced except at very small scale, and only a handful of test and demonstration flights had been conducted using it. Since then, worldwide technical and operational progress of the industry has been remarkable. Four different pathways for the production of renewable kerosene are now approved and several more are expected to be certified. A significant number of flights using renewable kerosene have been conducted, most of them revenue flights carrying passengers. Production has been demonstrated at demonstration and even industrial scale for some of the pathways. Use of renewable kerosene within an airport hydrant system was demonstrated in Oslo in 2015.

---

**Performed flights using bio-kerosene**

- **IATA:** 2000 flights worldwide using bio-kerosene blends performed by 22 airlines between June 2011 and December 2015
- **Lufthansa:** 1189 flights Frankfurt-Hamburg using 800 tonnes of bio-kerosene (during 6 months – June/December 2011)
- **KLM:** a series of 200 flights Amsterdam-Paris from September 2011 to December 2014, 26 flights New York-Amsterdam in 2013, and 20 flights Amsterdam-Aruba in 2014 using bio-kerosene

---

**Production (EU)**

- **Neste (Finland):** by batches
  - Frankfurt-Hamburg (6 months) 1189 flights operated by Lufthansa: 800 tonnes of bio-kerosene
- **Itaka:** €10m EU funding (2012-2015): > 1 000 tonnes
- **Biorefly:** €13,7m EU funding: 2000 tonnes per year – second generation (2015) – BioChentex (Italy)
- **BSFJ Swedish Biofuels:** €27,8m EU funding (2014-2019)
Research and Development Projects on Alternative Fuels in Aviation

In the time frame 2011-2016, 3 projects have been funded by the FP7 Research and Innovation program of the EU.

**ITAKA**: €10m EU funding (2012-2015) with the aim of assessing the potential of a specific crop (camelina) for providing jet fuel. The project aims entail the testing of the whole chain from field to fly, assessing the potential beyond the data gathered in lab experiments, gathering experiences on related certification, distribution and on economical aspects. As feedstock, ITAKA targets European camelina oil and used cooking oil, in order to meet a minimum of 60% GHG emissions savings compared to the fossil fuel jetA1.

**SOLAR-JET**: this project has demonstrated the possibility of producing jet-fuel from CO$_2$ and water. This was done by coupling a two-step solar thermochemical cycle based on non-stoichiometric ceria redox reactions with the Fischer-Tropsch process. This successful demonstration is further complemented by assessments of the chemical suitability of the solar kerosene, identification of technological gaps, and determination of the technological and economical potentials.

**Core-JetFuel**: €1.2m EU funding (2013-2017) this action evaluates the research and innovation “landscape” in order to develop and implement a strategy for sharing information, for coordinating initiatives, projects and results and to identify needs in research, standardisation, innovation/deployment, and policy measures at European level. Bottlenecks of research and innovation will be identified and, where appropriate, recommendations for the European Commission will be elaborated with respect to re-orientation and re-definition of priorities in the funding strategy. The consortium covers the entire alternative fuel production chain in four domains: Feedstock and sustainability; conversion technologies and radical concepts; technical compatibility, certification and deployment; policies, incentives and regulation. CORE-JetFuel ensures cooperation with other European, international and national initiatives and with the key stakeholders in the field. The expected benefits are enhanced knowledge of decision makers, support for maintaining coherent research policies and the promotion of a better understanding of future investments in aviation fuel research and innovation.

In 2015, the European Commission launched projects under the Horizon 2020 research programme with capacities of the order of several 1000 tonnes per year.
IMPROVED AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE USE

The EU's Single European Sky Initiative and SESAR

SESAR Project

The European Union's Single European Sky (SES) policy aims to reform Air Traffic Management (ATM) in Europe in order to enhance its performance in terms of its capacity to manage larger volume of flights in a safer, more cost-efficient and environmental friendly manner.

The SES aims at achieving 4 high level performance objectives (referred to 2005 context):

- Triple capacity of ATM systems
- Reduce ATM costs by 50%
- Increase safety by a factor of 10
- **Reduce the environmental impact by 10% per flight**

SESAR, the technological pillar of the Single European Sky, contributes to the Single Sky's performance targets by defining, developing, validating and deploying innovative technological and operational solutions for managing air traffic in a more efficient manner.
SESAR contribution to the SES high-level goals set by the Commission are continuously reviewed by the SESAR JU and kept up to date in the ATM Master Plan.

The estimated potential fuel emission savings per flight segment is depicted below:

**SESAR’s contribution to the SES performance objectives** is now targeting for 2016, as compared to 2005 performance:

1) 27% increase in airspace capacity and 14% increase in airport capacity;
2) Associated improvement in safety, i.e. in an absolute term, 40% of reduction in accident risk per flight hour.
3) 2.8% reduction per flight in gate to gate greenhouse gas emissions;
4) 6% reduction in cost per flight.

The projection of SESAR target fuel efficiency beyond 2016 (Step 1)\(^1\) is depicted in the following graph:

---

\(^1\) **Step 1.** “Time-based Operations” is the building block for the implementation of the SESAR Concept and is focused on flight efficiency, predictability and the environment. The goal is a synchronised and predictable European ATM system, where partners are aware of the business and operational situations and collaborate to optimise the network. In this first step, time prioritisation for arrivals at airports is initiated together with wider use of datalink and the deployment of initial trajectory-based operations through the use of airborne trajectories by the ground systems and a controlled time of arrival to sequence traffic and manage queues.

**Step 2.** “Trajectory-based Operations” is focused on flight efficiency, predictability, environment and capacity, which becomes an important target. The goal is a trajectory-based ATM system where partners optimise “business and mission trajectories” through common 4D trajectory information and users define priorities in the network. “Trajectory-based Operations” initiates 4D-based business/mission trajectory management using System Wide Information Management (SWIM) and air/ground trajectory exchange to enable tactical planning and conflict-free route segments.

**Step 3.** “Performance-based Operations” will achieve the high performance required to satisfy the SESAR target concept. The goal is the implementation of a European high-performance, integrated, network-centric, collaborative and seamless air/ground ATM system. “Performance-based Operations” is realised through the achievement of SWIM and collaboratively planned network operations with User Driven Prioritisation Processes (UDPP).
It is expected that there will be an ongoing performance contribution from non-R&D initiatives through the Step 1 and Step 2 developments, e.g. from improvements related to FABs and Network Management: the intermediate allocation to Step 1 development has been set at -4%, with the ultimate capability enhancement (Step 3) being -10%. 30% of Step 1 target will be provided through non-R&D improvements (-1.2% out of -4%) and therefore -2.8% will come from SESAR improvements. Step 2 target is still under discussion in the range of 4.5% to 6%.

The SESAR concept of operations is defined in the European ATM Master Plan and translated into SESAR solutions that are developed, validated and demonstrated by the SESAR Joint Undertaking and then pushed towards deployment through the SESAR deployment framework established by the Commission.

**SESAR Research Projects (environmental focus)**

Within the SESAR R&D activities, environmental aspects have mainly been addressed under two types of projects: Environmental research projects which are considered as a transversal activity and therefore primarily contribute to the validation of the SESAR solutions and SESAR demonstration projects, which are pre-implementation activities. Environment aspects, in particular fuel efficiency, are also a core objective of approximately 80% of SESAR’s primary projects.

*Environmental Research Projects:*

Four Environmental research projects are now completed:

- **Project 16.03.01** dealing with Development of the Environment validation framework (Models and Tools);
- **Project 16.03.02** dealing with the Development of environmental metrics;
- **Project 16.03.03** dealing with the Development of a framework to establish interdependencies and trade-off with other performance areas;
- **Project 16.03.07** dealing with Future regulatory scenarios and risks.
In the context of Project 16.03.01 the IMPACT tool was developed providing SESAR primary projects with the means to conduct fuel efficiency, aircraft emissions and noise assessments at the same time, from a web based platform, using the same aircraft performance assumptions. IMPACT successfully passed the CAEP MDG V&V process (Modelling and Database Group Verification and Validation process). Project 16.06.03 has also ensured the continuous development/maintenance of other tools covering aircraft GHG assessment (AEM), and local air quality issues (Open-ALAQS). It should be noted that these tools have been developed for covering the research and the future deployment phase of SESAR.

In the context of Project 16.03.02 a set of metrics for assessing GHG emissions, noise and airport local air quality has been documented. The metrics identified by Project 16.03.02 and not subject of specific IPRs will be gradually implemented into IMPACT.

Project 16.03.03 has produced a comprehensive analysis on the issues related to environmental interdependencies and trade-offs.

Project 16.03.07 has conducted a review of current environmental regulatory measures as applicable to ATM and SESAR deployment, and another report presenting an analysis of environmental regulatory and physical risk scenarios in the form of user guidance. It identifies both those Operation Focus Areas (OFA) and Key Performance Areas which are most affected by these risks and those OFAs which can contribute to mitigating them. It also provides a gap analysis identifying knowledge gaps or uncertainties which require further monitoring, research or analysis.

The only Environmental Research project that is still on-going in the current SESAR project is the SESAR Environment support and coordination project which ensures the coordination and facilitation of all the Environmental research projects activities while supporting the SESAR/AIRE/DEMO projects in the application of the material produced by the research projects. In particular, this project delivered an Environment Impact Assessment methodology providing guidance on how to conduct an assessment, which metrics to use and do and don’ts for each type of validation exercise with specific emphasis on flight trials.

New environmental research projects will be defined in the scope of SESAR 2020 work programme to meet the SESAR environmental targets in accordance to the ATM Master Plan.

**Other Research Projects which contribute to SESAR's environmental target:**

A large number of SESAR research concepts and projects from exploratory research to preindustrial phase can bring environmental benefits. Full 4D trajectory taking due account of meteorological conditions, integrated departure, surface and arrival manager, airport optimised green taxiing trajectories, combined xLS RNAV operations in particular should bring significant reduction in fuel consumption. Also to be further investigated the potential for remote control towers to contribute positively to the aviation environmental footprint.

Remotely Piloted Aircraft (RPAS) systems integration in control airspace will be an important area of SESAR 2020 work programme and although the safety aspects are
considered to be the most challenging ones and will therefore mobilise most of research effort, the environmental aspects of these new operations operating from and to non-airport locations would also deserve specific attention in terms of emissions, noise and potentially visual annoyance.

**SESAR demonstration projects:**

In addition to its core activities, the SESAR JU co-finances projects where ATM stakeholders work collaboratively to perform integrated flight trials and demonstrations validating solutions for the reduction of CO$_2$ emissions for surface, terminal and oceanic operations to substantially accelerate the pace of change. Since 2009, the SJU has co-financed a total 33 “green” projects in collaboration with global partners, under the Atlantic Interoperability Initiative to Reduce Emissions (AIRE), demonstrating solutions on commercial flights.

A total of 15767 flight trials were conducted under the AIRE initiative involving more than 100 stakeholders, demonstrating savings ranging from 20 to 1000kg fuel per flight (or 63 to 3150 kg of CO$_2$), and improvements to day-to-day operations. Other 9 demonstration projects took place from 2012 to 2014 focusing also on environment and during 2015 and 2016 the SESAR JU is co-financing 15 additional large-scale demonstrations projects more ambitious in geographic scale and technology. More information can be found at [http://www.sesarju.eu](http://www.sesarju.eu)

**AIRE – Achieving environmental benefits in real operations**

AIRE was designed specifically to improve energy efficiency and lower engine emissions and aircraft noise in cooperation with the US FAA, using existing technologies by the European Commission in 2007. SESAR JU has been managing the programme from an European perspective since 2008. 3 AIRE demonstration campaigns took place between 2009 and 2014.

A key feature leading to the success of AIRE is that it focused strongly on operational and procedural techniques rather than new technologies. AIRE trials have almost entirely used technology which is already in place, but until the relevant AIRE project came along, air traffic controllers and other users hadn’t necessarily thought deeply about how to make the best use operationally of that technology. In New York and St Maria oceanic airspace lateral [separation] optimisation is given for any flight that requests it because of the AIRE initiative and the specific good cooperation between NAV Portugal and FAA.

Specific trials have been carried for the following improvement areas/solutions as part of the AIRE initiative:

- Use of GDL/DMAN systems (pre departure sequencing system / Departure Manager) in Amsterdam, Paris and Zurich;
- Issue of Target-Off Block time (TOBT), calculation of variable taxi out time and issue of Target-Start-up Arrival Time (TSAT) in Vienna;
- Continuous Descent Operations (CDOs or CDAs) in Amsterdam, Brussels, Cologne, Madrid, New York, Paris, Prague, Pointe a Pitre, Toulouse, and Zurich;
d. CDOs in Stockholm, Gothenburg, Riga, La Palma; Budapest and Palma de Majorca airports using RNP-AR procedures;

e. lateral and vertical flight profile changes in the NAT taking benefit of the implementation of Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast (ADS-B) surveillance in the North Atlantic;

f. Calculation of Estimated Times of Arrival (ETA) allowing time based operations in Amsterdam;

g. Precision Area Navigation - Global Navigation Satellite System (PRNAV GNSS) Approaches in Sweden;

h. Free route in Lisbon and Casablanca, over Germany, Belgium, Luxembourg, Netherlands in the EURO-SAM corridor, France, and Italy;

i. Global information sharing and exchange of actual position and updated meteorological data between the ATM system and Airline AOCs for the vertical and lateral optimisation of oceanic flights using a new interface;

The **AIRE 1** campaign (2008-2009) has demonstrated, with 1152 trials performed, that significant savings can already be achieved using existing technology. **CO₂ savings per flight ranged from 90kg to 1250kg and the accumulated savings during trials were equivalent to 400 tonnes of CO₂.** This first set of trials represented not only substantial improvements for the greening of air transport, but high motivation and commitment of the teams involved creating momentum to continue to make progress on reducing aviation emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Trials performed</th>
<th>CO₂ benefit/flight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>Paris, France</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>190-1200 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal</td>
<td>Paris, France</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>100-1250 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stockholm, Sweden</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>450-950 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madrid, Spain</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>250-800 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic</td>
<td>Santa Maria, Portugal</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>90-650 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reykjavik, Iceland</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>250-1050 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The **AIRE 2** campaign (2010-2011) showed a doubling in demand for projects and a high transition rate from R&D to day-to-day operations. 18 projects involving 40 airlines, airports, ANSPs and industry partners were conducted in which surface, terminal, oceanic and gate-to-gate operations were tackled. 9416 flight trials took place. Table 7 summarises AIRE 2 projects operational aims and results.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>CO$_2$ and Noise benefits per flight (kg)</th>
<th>Nb of flights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDM at Vienna Airport</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>CDM notably pre-departure sequence</td>
<td>CO$_2$ &amp; Ground Operational efficiency</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greener airport operations under adverse conditions</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>CDM notably pre-departure sequence</td>
<td>CO$_2$ &amp; Ground Operational efficiency</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>CDO in a complex radar vectoring environment</td>
<td>Noise &amp; CO$_2$</td>
<td>160-315; -2dB (between 10 to 25 Nm from touchdown)</td>
<td>3094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoWo - Down Wind Optimisation</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Green STAR &amp; Green IA in busy TMA</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>158-315</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REACT-CR</td>
<td>Czech republic</td>
<td>CDO</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>205-302</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Trials for less CO$_2$ emission during transition from en-route to final approach</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Arrival vertical profile optimisation in high density traffic</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>110-650</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETA-CDA2</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>CDO from ToD</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>250-800</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DORIS</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Oceanic: Flight optimisation with ATC coordination &amp; Data link (ACARS, FANS CPDLC)</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>3134</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONATAP</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Free and Direct Routes</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGAGE</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Optimisation of cruise altitude and/or Mach number</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RlongSM (Reduced longitudinal Separation Minima)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Optimisation of cruise altitude profiles</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate to gate Green Shuttle</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Optimisation of cruise altitude profile &amp; CDO from ToD</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project name</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2} and Noise benefits per flight (kg)</td>
<td>Nb of flights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transatlantic green flight</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Optimisation of oceanic trajectory (vertical and lateral) &amp; approach</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>2090+1050</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPTP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greener Wave</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Optimisation of holding time through 4D slot allocation</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>1700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VINGA</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>CDO from ToD with RNP STAR and RNP AR.</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2} &amp; noise</td>
<td>70-285; negligible change to noise contours</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIRE Green Connections</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Optimised arrivals and approaches based on RNP AR &amp; Data link. 4D trajectory exercise</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2} &amp; noise</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trajectory based night time</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>CDO with pre-planning</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2} + noise</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A380 Transatlantic Green Flights</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Optimisation of taxiing and cruise altitude profile</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>1200+1900</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total 9416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CDOs were demonstrated in busy and complex TMAs although some operational measures to maintain safety, efficiency and capacity at an acceptable level had to developed.
The **AIRE 3** campaign comprised 9 projects (2012-2014) and 5199 trials summarised in table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Number of Trials</th>
<th>Benefits per flight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMBER</td>
<td>Riga International Airport</td>
<td>turboprop aircraft to fly tailored Required Navigation Performance – Authorisation Required (RNP-AR) approaches together with Continuous Descent Operations (CDO),</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>230 kg reduction in CO₂ emissions per approach; A reduction in noise impact of 0.6 decibels (dBA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANARIAS</td>
<td>La Palma and Lanzarote airports</td>
<td>CCDs and CDOs</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Area Navigation-Standard Terminal Arrival Route (RNAV STAR) and RNP-AR approaches 34-38 NM and 292-313 kg of fuel for La Palma and 14 NM and 100 kg of fuel for Lanzarote saved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTA-IN</td>
<td>Palma de Mallorca Airport</td>
<td>CDOs</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Potential reduction of 7-12% in fuel burn and related CO₂ emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REACT plus</td>
<td>Budapest Airport</td>
<td>CDOs and CCOs</td>
<td>4113</td>
<td>102 kg of fuel conserved during each CDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGAGE Phase II</td>
<td>North Atlantic – between Canada &amp; Europe</td>
<td>Optimisation of cruise altitude and/or Mach number</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>200-400 litres of fuel savings; An average of 1-2% of fuel conserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SATISFIED</td>
<td>EUR-SAM Oceanic corridor</td>
<td>Free routing</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1578 kg in CO₂ emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMART</td>
<td>Lisbon Oceanic and Santa Maria FIR</td>
<td>Oceanic: Flight optimisation</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3134 kg CO₂ per flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-FREE</td>
<td>Paris CDG, Venice, Verona, Milano Linate, Pisa, Bologna, Torino, Genoa airports</td>
<td>free routing</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>693 Kg of CO₂ for CDG-Roma Fiumicino; 504 kg of CO₂ for CDG Milano Linate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGGO*</td>
<td>Santa Maria FIR and TMA</td>
<td>Several enablers</td>
<td>100*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The MAGGO project couldn’t be concluded*
SESAR solutions and Common Projects for deployment

SESAR Solutions are operational and technological improvements that aim to contribute to the modernisation of the European and global ATM system. These solutions are systematically validated in real operational environments, which allow demonstrating clear business benefits for the ATM sector when they are deployed including the **reduction by up to 500 kg of fuel burned per flight by 2035 which corresponds to up to 1,6 tonnes of CO₂ emissions per flight, split across operating environments**.

By end of 2015 twenty-five SESAR Solutions were validated targeting the full range of ATM operational environments including airports. These solutions are made public on the SESAR JU website in a datapack form including all necessary technical documents to allow implementation. One such solution is the integration of pre-departure management within departure management (DMAN) at Paris Charles de Gaulle, resulting in a 10% reduction of taxi time, 4 000-tonne fuel savings annually and a 10% increase of Calculated Take Off Time (CTOT) adherence and the Implementation. Another solution is Time Based Separation at London Heathrow, allowing up to five more aircraft per hour to land in strong wind conditions and thus reduces holding times by up to 10 minutes, and fuel consumption by 10% per flight. By the end of SESAR1 fifty-seven solutions will be produced.

The deployment of the SESAR solutions which are expected to bring the most benefits, sufficiently mature and which require a synchronised deployment is mandated by the Commission through legally binding instruments called Common Projects.

The first Common Projects identify six ATM functionalities, namely Extended Arrival Management and Performance Based Navigation in the High Density Terminal Manoeuvring Areas; Airport Integration and Throughput; Flexible Airspace Management and Free Route; Network Collaborative Management; Initial System Wide Information Management; and Initial Trajectory Information Sharing. The deployment of those six ATM functionalities should be made mandatory.

- The Extended Arrival Management and Performance Based Navigation in the High Density Terminal Manoeuvring Areas functionality is expected to improve the precision of approach trajectory as well as facilitate traffic sequencing at an earlier stage, **thus allowing reducing fuel consumption and environmental impact in descent/arrival phases**.

- The Airport Integration and Throughput functionality is expected to improve runway safety and throughput, **ensuring benefits in terms of fuel consumption** and delay reduction as well as airport capacity.

- The Flexible Airspace Management and Free Route functionality is expected to enable a more efficient use of airspace, thus providing significant **benefits linked to fuel consumption** and delay reduction.

- The Network Collaborative Management functionality is expected to improve the quality and the timeliness of the network information shared by all ATM stakeholders, thus ensuring significant benefits in terms of Air Navigation Services productivity gains and delay cost savings.
• The Initial System Wide Information Management functionality, consisting of a set of services that are delivered and consumed through an internet protocol-based network by System Wide Information Management (SWIM) enabled systems, is expected to bring significant benefits in terms of ANS productivity.

• The Initial Trajectory Information Sharing functionality with enhanced flight data processing performances is expected to improve predictability of aircraft trajectory for the benefit of airspace users, the network manager and ANS providers, implying less tactical interventions and improved de-confliction situation. This is expected to have a positive impact on ANS productivity, **fuel saving** and delay variability.

**SESAR 2020 programme**

SESAR next programme (SESAR 2020) includes in addition to exploratory and industrial research, very large scale demonstrations which should include more environmental flight demonstrations and goes one step further demonstrating the environmental benefits of the new SESAR solutions.
The EU Emissions Trading System

The EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) is the cornerstone of the European Union's policy to tackle climate change, and a key tool for reducing greenhouse gas emissions cost-effectively, including from the aviation sector. It operates in 31 countries: the 28 EU Member States, Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway. The EU ETS is the first and so far the biggest international system capping greenhouse gas emissions; it currently covers half of the EU's CO₂ emissions, encompassing those from around 12 000 power stations and industrial plants in 31 countries, and, under its current scope, around 640 commercial and non-commercial aircraft operators that have flown between airports in the European Economic Area (EEA).

The EU ETS began operation in 2005; a series of important changes to the way it works took effect in 2013, strengthening the system. The EU ETS works on the “cap and trade” principle. This means there is a “cap”, or limit, on the total amount of certain greenhouse gases that can be emitted by the factories, power plants, other installations and aircraft operators in the system. Within this cap, companies can sell to or buy emission allowances—from one another. The limit on allowances available provides certainty that the environmental objective is achieved and gives allowances a market value.

By the 30th April each year, companies, including aircraft operators, have to surrender allowances to cover their emissions from the previous calendar year. If a company reduces its emissions, it can keep the spare allowances to cover its future needs or sell them to another company that is short of allowances. The flexibility that trading brings ensures that emissions are cut where it costs least to do so. The number of allowances reduces over time so that total emissions fall.
As regards aviation, legislation to include aviation in the EU ETS was adopted in 2008 by the European Parliament and the Council. The 2006 proposal to include aviation in the EU ETS was accompanied by detailed impact assessment. After careful analysis of the different options, it was concluded that this was the most cost-efficient and environmentally effective option for addressing aviation emissions.

In October 2013, the Assembly of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) decided to develop a global market-based mechanism (MBM) for international aviation emissions. The global MBM design is to be decided at the next ICAO Assembly in 2016, including the mechanisms for the implementation of the scheme from 2020. In order to sustain momentum towards the establishment of the global MBM, the European Parliament and Council have decided to temporarily limit the scope of the aviation activities covered by the EU ETS, to intra-European flights. The temporary limitation applies for 2013-2016, following on from the April 2013 'stop the clock' Decision adopted to promote progress on global action at the 2013 ICAO Assembly.

The legislation requires the European Commission to report to the European Parliament and Council regularly on the progress of ICAO discussions as well as of its efforts to promote the international acceptance of market-based mechanisms among third countries. Following the 2016 ICAO Assembly, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and to the Council on actions to implement an international agreement on a global market-based measure from 2020, that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions from aviation in a non-discriminatory manner. In its report, the Commission shall consider, and, if appropriate, include proposals on the appropriate scope for coverage of aviation within the EU ETS from 2017 onwards.

Between 2013 and 2016, the EU ETS only covers emissions from flights between airports which are both in the EEA. Some flight routes within the EEA are also exempted, notably flights involving outermost regions.

The complete, consistent, transparent and accurate monitoring, reporting and verification of greenhouse gas emissions remain fundamental for the effective operation of the EU ETS. Aviation operators, verifiers and competent authorities have already gained experience with monitoring and reporting during the first aviation trading period; detailed rules are prescribed by Regulations (EU) No600/2012 and 601/2012.

---

13 http://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/transport/aviation/documentation_en.htm
The EU legislation establishes exemptions and simplifications to avoid excessive administrative burden for the smallest aircraft operators. Since the EU ETS for aviation took effect in 2012 a de minimis exemption for commercial operators – with either fewer than 243 flights per period for three consecutive four-month periods or flights with total annual emissions lower than 10 000 tonnes CO₂ per year – applies, which means that many aircraft operators from developing countries are exempted from the EU ETS. Indeed, over 90 States have no commercial aircraft operators included in the scope of the EU ETS. From 2013 also flights by non-commercial aircraft operators with total annual emissions lower than 1 000 tonnes CO₂ per year are excluded from the EU ETS up to 2020. A further administrative simplification applies to small aircraft operators emitting less than 25 000 tonnes of CO₂ per year, who can choose to use the small emitter’s tool rather than independent verification of their emissions. In addition, small emitter aircraft operators can use the simplified reporting procedures under the existing legislation.

The EU legislation foresees that, where a third country takes measures to reduce the climate change impact of flights departing from its airports, the EU will consider options available in order to provide for optimal interaction between the EU scheme and that country’s measures. In such a case, flights arriving from the third country could be excluded from the scope of the EU ETS. The EU therefore encourages other countries to adopt measures of their own and is ready to engage in bilateral discussions with any country that has done so. The legislation also makes it clear that if there is agreement on global measures, the EU shall consider whether amendments to the EU legislation regarding aviation under the EU ETS are necessary.

**Impact on fuel consumption and/or CO₂ emissions**

The environmental outcome of an emissions trading system is determined by the emissions cap. Aircraft operators are able to use allowances from outside the aviation sector to cover their emissions. The absolute level of CO₂ emissions from the aviation sector itself can exceed the number of allowances allocated to it, as the increase is offset by CO₂ emissions reductions in other sectors of the economy covered by the EU ETS.

Over 2013-16, with the inclusion of only intra-European flights in the EU ETS, the total amount of annual allowances to be issued will be around 39 million. Verified CO₂ emissions from aviation activities carried out between aerodromes located in the EEA amounted to 56,9 million tonnes of CO₂ in 2015. This means that the EU ETS will contribute to achieve more than 17 million tonnes of emission reductions annually, or around 68 million over 2013-2016, partly within the sector (airlines reduce their emissions to avoid paying for additional units) or in other sectors (airlines purchase units from other ETS sectors, which would have to reduce their emissions consistently). While some reductions are likely to be within the aviation sector, encouraged by the EU ETS’s economic incentive for limiting emissions or use of aviation biofuels, the majority of reductions are expected to occur in other sectors.

---

18 The actual amount of CO₂ emissions savings from biofuels reported under the EU ETS from 2012 to 2014 was 2 tonnes
Putting a price on greenhouse gas emissions is important to harness market forces and achieve cost-effective emission reductions. In parallel to providing a carbon price which incentivises emission reductions, the EU ETS also supports the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions through €2.1 billion funding for the deployment of innovative renewables and carbon capture and storage. This funding has been raised from the sale of 300 million emission allowances from the New Entrants' Reserve of the third phase of the EU ETS. This includes over €900 million for supporting bioenergy projects, including advanced biofuels.\(^\text{19}\)

In addition, through Member States’ use of EU ETS auction revenue in 2013, over €3 billion has been reported by them as being used to address climate change.\(^\text{20}\) The purposes for which revenues from allowances should be used encompass mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions and adaptation to the inevitable impacts of climate change in the EU and third countries, to reduce emissions through low-emission transport, to fund research and development, including in particular in the fields of aeronautics and air transport, to fund contributions to the Global Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Fund, and measures to avoid deforestation.

In terms of contribution towards the ICAO global goals, the States implementing the EU ETS will together deliver, in “net” terms, a reduction of at least 5% below 2005 levels of aviation CO\(_2\) emissions for the scope that is covered. Other emissions reduction measures taken, either at supra-national level in Europe or by any of the 31 individual states implementing the EU ETS, will also contribute towards the ICAO global goals. Such measures are likely to moderate the anticipated growth in aviation emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reduction in CO(_2) emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-2016</td>
<td>65 million tonnes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table presents projected benefits of the EU ETS based on the current scope (intra-European flights).

---

\(^{19}\) For further information, see [http://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/lowcarbon/ncr300/index_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/lowcarbon/ncr300/index_en.htm)

EU INITIATIVES IN THIRD COUNTRIES

Multilateral Projects

At the end of 2013 the European Commission launched a project of a total budget of €6.5 million under the name "Capacity building for CO$_2$ mitigation from international aviation". The 42-month project, implemented by the ICAO, boosts less developed countries’ ability to track, manage and reduce their aviation emissions. In line with the call from the 2013 ICAO Assembly, beneficiary countries will submit meaningful State action plans for reducing aviation emissions, and also receive assistance for establishing emissions inventories and piloting new ways of reducing fuel consumption. Through the wide range of activities in these countries, the project contributes to international, regional and national efforts to address growing emissions from international aviation. The beneficiary countries are the following:


Caribbean: Dominican Republic and Trinidad and Tobago.
ACI Airport Carbon Accreditation

**Airport Carbon Accreditation** is a certification programme for carbon management at airports, based on carbon mapping and management standard specifically designed for the airport industry. It was launched in 2009 by ACI EUROPE, the trade association for European airports.

The underlying aim of the programme is to encourage and enable airports to implement best practice carbon and energy management processes and to gain public recognition of their achievements. It requires airports to measure their CO₂ emissions in accordance with the World Resources Institute and World Business Council for Sustainable Development GHG Protocol and to get their emissions inventory assured by an independent third party.

This industry-driven initiative was officially endorsed by EUROCONTROL and the European Civil Aviation Conference (ECAC). It is also officially supported by the United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP). The programme is overseen by an independent Advisory Board.

In 2014 the programme reached global status with the extension of the programme to the ACI North American and Latin American & Caribbean regions, participation has increased to 125 airports, in over 40 countries across the world – an increase of 23% from the previous year, growing from 17 airports in Year 1 (2009-2010). These airports welcome 1.7 billion passengers a year, or 27.5% of the global air passenger traffic.
*Airport Carbon Accreditation* is a four-step programme, from carbon mapping to carbon neutrality. The four steps of certification are: Level 1 “Mapping”, Level 2 “Reduction”, Level 3 “Optimisation”, and Level 3+ “Carbon Neutrality”.

Levels of certification (ACA Annual Report 2014-2015)

One of its essential requirements is the verification by external and independent auditors of the data provided by airports. Aggregated data are included in the *Airport Carbon Accreditation* Annual Report thus ensuring transparent and accurate carbon reporting. At level 2 of the programme and above (Reduction, Optimisation and Carbon Neutrality), airport operators are required to demonstrate CO$_2$ reduction associated with the activities they control.

In Europe, participation in the programme has increased from 17 airports to 92 in 2015, an increase of 75 airports or 441% since May 2010. 92 airports mapped their carbon footprints, 71 of them actively reduced their CO$_2$ emissions, 36 reduced their CO$_2$ emissions and engaged others to do so, and 20 became carbon neutral. European airports participating in the programme now represent 63.9% of European air passenger traffic.

**Anticipated benefits:**

The Administrator of the programme has been collecting CO$_2$ data from participating airports over the past five years. This has allowed the absolute CO$_2$ reduction from the participation in the programme to be quantified.
### Emissions reduction highlights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total aggregate scope 1 &amp; 2 reduction (tCO₂)</td>
<td>51 657</td>
<td>54 565</td>
<td>48 676</td>
<td>140 009</td>
<td>129 937</td>
<td>168 779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total aggregate scope 3 reduction (tCO₂)</td>
<td>359 733</td>
<td>675 124</td>
<td>365 528</td>
<td>30 155</td>
<td>223 905</td>
<td>550 884</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Emissions performance summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emissions</td>
<td>Number of airports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate carbon footprint for ‘year 0’²¹ for emissions under airports’ direct control (all airports)</td>
<td>22 044 683 tonnes CO₂</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon footprint per passenger</td>
<td>2.01 kg CO₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate reduction in emissions from sources under airports’ direct control (Level 2 and above)²²</td>
<td>87 449 tonnes CO₂</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon footprint reduction per passenger</td>
<td>0.11 kg CO₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbon footprint for ‘year 0’ for emissions sources which an airport may guide or influence (level 3 and above)²³</td>
<td>12 777 994 tonnes CO₂</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate reductions from emissions sources which an airport may guide or influence</td>
<td>223 905 tonnes CO₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total emissions offset (Level 3+)</td>
<td>181 496 tonnes CO₂</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Its main immediate environmental co-benefit is the improvement of local air quality.

Costs for design, development and implementation of Airport Carbon Accreditation have been borne by ACI EUROPE. Airport Carbon Accreditation is a non-for-profit initiative, with participation fees set at a level aimed at allowing for the recovery of the aforementioned costs.

---

²¹ ‘Year 0’ refers to the 12 month period for which an individual airport’s carbon footprint refers to, which according to the Airport Carbon Accreditation requirements must have been within 12 months of the application date.

²² This figure includes increases in emissions at airports that have used a relative emissions benchmark in order to demonstrate a reduction.

²³ These emissions sources are those detailed in the guidance document, plus any other sources that an airport may wish to include.
The scope of *Airport Carbon Accreditation*, i.e. emissions that an airport operator can control, guide and influence, implies that aircraft emissions in the LTO cycle are also covered. Thus, airlines can benefit from the gains made by more efficient airport operations to see a decrease in their emissions during the LTO cycle. This is coherent with the objectives pursued with the inclusion of aviation in the EU ETS as of 1 January 2012 (Directive 2008/101/EC) and can support the efforts of airlines to reduce these emissions.
Section II – National actions in Iceland

Aircraft-related Technology Development

The primary focus for Iceland in relation to aircraft-related technology development is to maintain a modern fleet. Recent years have seen an increase in registrations of new aircraft and a number of technological improvements designed to reduce emissions are utilised.

Air Atlanta

Air Atlanta has achieved measurable efficiency in fuel consumption and CO₂ emission reduction due to renewal of aircrafts in recent years, or by 20%. Equipment on board has also been updated and used to help to achieve efficiency as P-RNAV, ADS-B and ACARS to obtain information for use in various ground systems like Fuel-monitoring, APM-monitoring and EU ETS system.

Air Iceland Connect

Air Iceland Connect replaced its air fleet in 2016 from Fokker 50 to Bombardier Q200 and Q400 aircrafts. Bombardier has more seats than the previous Fokker 50 aircrafts, which resulted in more efficient flights between the main domestic airports in Iceland and considerable less fuel consumption per passenger, which reduces Greenhouse gas emissions (GHG).

Icelandair

Icelandair constantly pursues new ways to improve fuel burn and reduce noise in its operations. Environmental and fuel reduction projects, such as winglet improvements on the entire fleet and investments in engine core wash equipment, are examples of successful environmental initiatives. Procedures such as Continuous Descent Approach (CDA), targeted center of gravity loading and single engine taxiing, are all contributing to reduction of GHG emissions and noise levels.

The recent Boeing 737 additions to the fleet will reduce fuel consumption by 20% per seat when compared to the older aircraft.

Additionally, Icelandair utilizes a software solution to further minimize the GHG footprint of all flights. The fuel efficiency software provides a platform for both strategic and tactical improvements in flight operations with fuel efficiency and GHG reduction in mind.

Improved Air Traffic Management and Infrastructure Use

Iceland is a member of the Borealis alliance, which is “currently working on a major programme to deliver free route airspace across the whole of Northern Europe by 2020.” The program will serve to “create free route airspace extending from the eastern boundary of the North Atlantic to the western boundary of Russian airspace in the North of Europe,” and the benefits in terms of fuel efficiency, environmental performance and cost savings will be “significant.”

Iceland is a part of the Single European Sky (SES) and ISAVIA, Icelandair and Tern Systems have participated in SESAR projects.
Economic/Market-based Measures

Iceland has participated in the EU-ETS since 2008, but the EU ETS Directive 2003/87/EC was incorporated into the EEA Agreement in 2007. Aviation was incorporated into the EU ETS on [1 January] 2012, with Directive 2008/101/EC. Since then, all aircraft operators that are under the scope of the trading system must obtain allowances for emissions of CO2.” In 2017, five aircraft operators, all of them Icelandic fell under the revised scope of the EU ETS, and surrendered emissions equal to 813.745 tonnes of CO2.

Iceland is party to the EU ETS through the European Economic Area membership, and over 40% of Iceland’s emissions fall under the trading scheme.

Additionally, Iceland declared its determination to participate in the CORSIA scheme from the pilot phase onwards with the endorsement of the Bratislava Declaration.

Conclusion

This action plan was finalised on 30 June 2018 and shall be considered as subject to update after this date.