# FACILITATION REGIONAL IMPLEMENTATION SEMINAR Dakar, 5 – 8 March 2018

# IMPLEMENTATION OF ANNEX 9: ELECTRONIC FILING OF DIFFERENCES (EFOD) & COMPLIANCE

STATES' RESPONSIBILITIES UNDER ARTICLE 38 & RESPONSES TO STATE
LETTERS

by Jitu Thaker
Technical Officer, Facilitation

5 March 2018



#### **OVERVIEW**

States' Responsibilities under Article 38

→ Assembly Resolutions

Review of Responses to State letters

→ Examination of responses to recent SLs



### **State Letter** on Adoption of an Amendment

Cover + Amendment +

- ► Attachment A (Note on notification of differences)
- ► Attachment B (Form on notification of disapprovals) [Art. 90 (a)]
- ► Attachment C (Form on notification of compliance or differences)
  Reminder to States ► Art. 38

International Standards have a conditional <u>binding force</u> to the extent that a State has not notified any differences under Article 38

# **Article 38**

### **Article 38:** Departures from international standards and procedures

. . . In the case of amendments to international standards, any State which does not make the appropriate amendments to its own regulations . . shall give notice to the Council within sixty days of the adoption of the amendment to the international standard . . .

→ Compliance with Annex 9; i.e. Implementation of SARPs

- [. . .] In case of amendments to international standards, any State which does not amend its own regulations shall notify the Council . . .
  - → low % of notification of compliance or differences with Amendments
    - less than 50 responses to Amendment SLs
    - higher rate for SLs focused on specific Standards

→ CONSEQUENCE: Status of implementation of most Annex 9 SARPs not known for many States



#### **SL Responses: Assembly Resolutions**

A39-20: Facilitation Policies:

The Assembly urges Contracting States to:

- increase their efforts to implement Annex 9 SARPs
- through <u>national facilitation committees</u>: call upon all interested government departments to the need for:
- $\rightarrow$  making the national regulations and practices conform to the provisions of Annex 9

## **Article 38**

- <u>A39-21 (2016):</u> Low response rate by Member States to ICAO State letters; SG to introduce new communication tools to improve communications and interaction with Member States; SG to introduce follow-up procedures at ROs.
- <u>A39-27 (2016):</u> Assistance to victims of aviation accidents and their families
- → Member States to inform ICAO, through the CC in EFOD, of the level of implementation of the provisions in Annex 9 associated with family assistance plans

+ Other Resolutions that urge implementation of SARPs



## • <u>A39-22 (2016):</u> [Preambular Clauses]:

- →Member States obliged to give immediate notification under Article 38;
- → Making differences available to all important to promote safety, regularity and efficiency in international civil aviation;
- →Member States experience difficulty in keeping pace with frequent amendments to Annexes . . .

## [Operative Clauses]:

- → Member States to abide by obligations under Art. 38;
- → Member States to use EFOD when notifying differences

#### **REVIEW OF RESPONSES**

- State Letter EC 6/3-12/70, 31December 2012
- →Implementation of Standard 3.10.1 of Annex 9 (expiry of non-MRPs)
  - State Letter EC 6/3 15/90, 21 December 2015
  - $\rightarrow$ Completion of online compliance checklist for Annex  $9 \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$
- State Letter EC 6/3-16/93, 10 November 2016
- → Proposed amendment [26] to Annex 9
- State Letter EC 6/3 17/92, 24 July <u>2017</u>
- → Annex 9: Provisions on the SLTD database of INTERPOL

| REGION | RESPONSES: EC6/3-12/70 (9 November 2017)                           | Q1: YES<br>[Non-MRPs expired<br>before 24/11/2015] | Q2: NO [Non-MRPs not expired before deadline] | REMARKS  Number in circulation / date of expiry  |
|--------|--|--|---|--|
| APAC   | 26/38<br>(last response received:5/9/2017)<br>(+1 by Note Verbale) | 19   | 7<br>(+ 1 by Note Verbale)                    | 217/2016 (Japan); 1.5m/2020 (Nepal); Lao PDR; 680/2018 60,000/2017 (Timor Leste); 259,727/2016 (India); 2,500,000/24NOV2017 (Afghanistan); 1,500/2018July(Sri Lanka) —/— (Note Verbale) (Bangladesh) |
| ESAF   | 22/24 (13/6/2016)  | 21   | 1   | 5000/30NOV2015 (Mozambique)  |
| EURNAT | 52/ <u>56</u> (9/11/2017)<br>(+ 1 non-ICAO State)                  | 51<br>(+1 non-ICAO State)                          | 1   | 20,000/30JUN2016 (Czech Rep.)  |
| MID    | 13/15 (15/11/2015)   | 11   | 2   | 600,000/31DEC2016 (Libya);<br>44,300/early 2017 (Lebanon)  |
| NACC   | 14/21 (20/6/2017)  | 12   | 2   | -/2017 (Barbados); -/31Dec2015 (Guatemala)   |
| SAM    | 13/13 (4/6/2017)   | 12   | 1   | 5435/March 2016 (Bolivia)  |
| WACAF  | 24/24 (18/11/2016)   | 21   | 3   | 10,000 (in 7 years; 2022) (Sao Tome & Principe); 984500/2020 (Rep. of the Congo); —/31DEC2015 (Guinea Bissau)  |
| TOTALS | <b>164/191</b><br>(+ 1 by Note Verbale)<br>(+ 1 non-ICAO State)    | 147<br>(+ 1 non-ICAO State)                        | 17<br>(+ 1 by Note Verbale)                   | [17]<br>[+ 1 by Note Verbale]  |



# Responses

- State Letter EC 6/3-16/93, 10 November 2016
  - → Proposed amendment [26] to Annex 9
    - →Total responses: 111/191 Member States [+2 SARs]
      - → 20 out of 24 WACAF States responded
      - → 12 out of 24 ESAF States responded

Agreement without comments

Agreement with comments or alternate proposals:

1 WACAF & 2 ESAF States

## State Letter EC 6/3 – 17/92, 24 July 2017

- →Annex 9: Provisions on the SLTD database of INTERPOL
- →Total responses: 64/191 Member States (25/10/2017)
  - → 4 out of 24 WACAF States have responded
  - → 5 out of 24 ESAF States have responded
- 3.10: Report stolen, lost, revoked TDs for inclusion in database
  - → 8 "yes"
- RP 3.10.1: Query at borders TDs against SLTD
  - $\rightarrow$  7 "yes"

**THANK YOU!** 

**QUESTIONS?**