

CELEBRATING 70 YEARS OF THE CHICAGO CONVENTION

Workshop on quality assurance for the implementation of an instrument flight procedure

29 March -2 April 2021



CELEBRATING 70 YEARS OF THE CHICAGO CONVENTION

Flight Procedure Design Software validation Doc. 9906, Vol. 3





- 1. Regulatory framework
- 2. General
- 3. Procedure design tools
- 4. Implementing a validation programme







4

Structure of the Manual

Doc. 9906, vol. 3 organization:

- Chap. 1 Introduction
- Chap. 2 Scope
- Chap. 3 Overview of procedure design tools
- Chap. 4 Implementing a validation programme
- Chap. 5 Environment of procedure design
- Chap. 6 Tools inputs
- Chap. 7 Procedure design functions
- □ Five Appendixes dealing with calculations, data transformation and basic validation are provided.





Regulatory framework

African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)

Scope of the Manual

- One mean among others for validation of the functions of procedure design tools;
- Provide guidelines for the validation of flight procedure design tools in compliance with criteria;
- □ The scope excludes the **certification** of procedure design tools and functional validation.
- Two type of validations:
 - Functional validation: Confirmation of the correct implementation of automation functions and of the compliance of the human machine interface with the user requirements;
 - Validation with reference to criteria: Confirmation through a series of tests of the compliance of the results with reference to applicable criteria.





Key definitions

- Procedure design tool: Any numerical automation system that provides calculations and/or Layouts in the field of FPD;
- □ Validation: Confirmation, through the provision of objective evidence, that the requirements for a specific intended use or application have been fulfilled
- □ Software validation: Acknowledgement, derived from a series of tests, of the compliance of an automation system with the applicable standards:
- **Test:** A basis for critical evaluation
- □ Certification: An official acknowledgement that the standards derived from a given procedure (certification procedure) have been complied with, and implies the delivery of a certificate of compliance.



General

State responsibilities

- The implementation of procedures is the responsibility of contracting States;
- The procedure design may be carried out by States or by State delegation to third parties;
- States shall ensure that automation functions have been validated;
- Implementation of the validation can be carried out by States or by State delegation to third parties.









Needs for procedure design tools

- Procedure design tools is used for the design of RNAV or PBN procedures:
 Departures, arrival, en-route, approaches.
- Benefits of procedure design tools:
 - Useful for quality control and integrity enhancement;
 - Facilitate the design;
 - Time save.



Use of automation is not intended to replace the procedure designer's expertise They can be misleading in case of errors. <u>States are encouraged to use software packages to design IFPs</u>.

African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)



- The Aiding tools: The level of automation is not exhaustive as well as the restrictions for the criteria.
- The Expert tools:
 - The level of Automation here is high;
 - Optimum compliance with the criteria.





African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)



29 March - 02 April 2021

Virtual workshop on quality assurance for the implementation or an instrument flight procedure



African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)

The environment for design

□ Set of general aspects to be accounted but that not specifically standard criteria related:

- Geographical information: coordinate reference system integration, WGS-84 calculations, conversion between various reference systems, charting projections, etc.;
- Graphical tools: creation and management of graphical objects (segments, curves, texts, etc.), 2-D or 3-D display of geographical information;
- Reference material: direct access to reference criteria and documentation used for design;
- [©] Recording and archiving the work of the designer for subsequent studies; and
- Reports of procedure design studies.

29 March - 02 April 2021

12

The function contributes to the correct functioning of the tools; □ Shall therefore be validated!

The environment for design

African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)

Procedure design tools







African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)

Tool inputs and outputs

□ Tool inputs/Outputs i/o:

- Integration and release of digital information and data to/from the software tools;
- Include management of various (aeronautical, terrain) i/o data format: CRM, Obstacles, AIXM, ARINC 424, etc.:
 - Integration of raster data: "bitmap" charts, images, digital terrain models (DTM), etc.;
 - Integration of vector files: vector DTM, topographical data, etc.;
 - Integration, management and update of aeronautical information: navaids, aerodromes, obstacles, airspace, etc.



African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)

Tool inputs and outputs

G For the inputs:

[©]Inputs may be done manually or automatically:

Ensuring imported data integrity is very important!

[©]Input functions are critical for the correct operation of the software tools.

□ For the outputs:

Provide output results (layout, files) of the design: calculation results, IFP coding, etc.
 Results include:

- 2-D or 3-D procedure design layout display;
- Output file including all the calculation results;
- Graphical representation of the procedures (from the design mode to an aeronautical chart); and
- Procedure coding (ARINC 424, AIXM, etc.).







- □ Correspond to the core of the design process (Apply criteria), nominal trajectories and protection area, procedures calculations, etc.
- Available functions depend on the type of tool;

Functions include:

- Integration of ICAO parameters for calculations;
- Modelling of the considered criteria (if applicable):
- RNAV/conventional, enroute/terminal/approach procedure layouts, with protection areas, for all the procedure elements;



Correct implementation of the design functions in the part of the validation process.

- RNAV/conventional, en-route, terminal,
 - approach procedure calculations;
- Protections areas;
- **CRM** calculations;
- Annex 14 surfaces.

Procedure design

GFunctions include:

African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)



Procedure design tools



29 March - 02 April 2021

17

Implementing a validation programme

African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)

- A Flight Procedure Design tool validation should follow the following steps:
 - Preparation phase;
 - Definition of the validation coverage;
 - The tool testing requirements;
 - The validation methodology and the production of the validation documentations.







Implementing a validation programme

African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)

Preparation step

- Develop a work plan defining:
 - The software validation coverage;
 - The overall objective schedule;
 - The available resources;
 - The validation team for the validation process, including the expertise according to the validation coverage;
 - The tasks to be carried out;
 - The roles and responsibilities of each team member for each task;
 - [©] A tentative detailed work programme (work items and timeframe).



Software validation coverage and tool testing requirements

- The software validation coverage:
 Work programme of the tool validation:
 - All the tool's functions
- □ The tool testing requirements:
 - [©] First confirm the correct installation and configuration of the software;
 - ^{CP} Validation to take account of the tests performed by the tool developer (tests data sets);
 - Tests are to be carried out partially of totally at the user location;
 - Control Con
 - Support needed from the software provider/developer.



Validation methodology

□ The validation process will prior consider :

- The units of measurements and rounding considerations;
- The basic parameters validation: basic drawings, calculations methods, etc. to be checked; e.g., earth radius.
- The modelling of criteria validation: methodology for the modelling of criteria validation through assessment in four (04) domains:
 - Methods and concepts;
 - Input data;
 - Output data;
 - Graphical checks.



Units of measurement and rounding considerations;

Conversion factor Value Source Nm to Metre (m) 1 852.0 Annex 5, Table 3.3 * Foot (ft) to Metre (m) 0.3048 Annex 5, Table 3.3 Metre (m) to Foot (ft) = 1 / 0.3048 Annex 5, Table 3.3 Knot (kt) to m/s 0.514444 Annex 5, Table 3.3

Table 7-1. Common conversion factors

(*) Attention must be paid to the foot-to-metre conversion factor which was changed in Amendment 13 to PANS-OPS, Volume II (Doc 8168).



Implementing a validation programme

African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)

lnput data

41° 55' 45".8883 N	012° 25' 40".1264 E			
41° 53' 44".6216 N	012° 26' 17".9834 E			
41° 54' 31".7435 N	012° 24' 40".2610 E			
41° 56' 36".7320 N	012° 24' 11".6239 E			
41° 54' 58".2541 N	012° 22' 35".0575 E			
41° 54' 46".3514 N	012° 25' 03".2384 E			
ISA + 15				
100	135	180	205	250
313				
19.3	20	20	20	20
Virtual workshog on quality assura	nce for the imple®entation of an ir	stru r@ ent f	ight D oced	lure <mark>E</mark>
	41° 55' 45".8883 N 41° 53' 44".6216 N 41° 54' 31".7435 N 41° 56' 36".7320 N 41° 54' 58".2541 N 41° 54' 46".3514 N ISA + 15 100 313 19.3 Virtual worksho A on quality assura	41° 55' 45".8883 N 012° 25' 40".1264 E 41° 53' 44".6216 N 012° 26' 17".9834 E 41° 54' 31".7435 N 012° 24' 40".2610 E 41° 56' 36".7320 N 012° 24' 11".6239 E 41° 54' 58".2541 N 012° 22' 35".0575 E 41° 54' 46".3514 N 012° 25' 03".2384 E ISA + 15 100 19.3 20 Virtual workshot Aon quality assurance for the implementation of an in	41° 55' 45".8883 N 012° 25' 40".1264 E 41° 53' 44".6216 N 012° 26' 17".9834 E 41° 54' 31".7435 N 012° 24' 40".2610 E 41° 56' 36".7320 N 012° 24' 11".6239 E 41° 54' 58".2541 N 012° 22' 35".0575 E 41° 54' 46".3514 N 012° 25' 03".2384 E ISA + 15 100 19.3 20 20 Virtual workshood on quality assurance for the implementation of an instrument for the implementation of an in	41° 55' 45".8883 N 012° 25' 40".1264 E 41° 53' 44".6216 N 012° 26' 17".9834 E 41° 54' 31".7435 N 012° 24' 40".2610 E 41° 56' 36".7320 N 012° 24' 11".6239 E 41° 54' 58".2541 N 012° 22' 35".0575 E 41° 54' 46".3514 N 012° 25' 03".2384 E ISA + 15 100 135 180 205 313 20 20 20 Virtual worksho 20 20 20

Implementing a validation programme

African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)





Virtual workshop on quality assurance for the implementation of an instrument flight procedure



Validation documentation

□ The following requirements apply to the validation documentation:

- Detailed documentation of the tests to be compiled;
- Documentation should include:

The history of the tests: including the input data and test results;

Recommended to share the validation documentation with the software provider/developer.

A sample of validation documentation is provided in Appendix E



Implementing a validation programme

African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)

Tool documentation:

- The tool documentation should follow from the technical reference criteria and material.
- Geographical information:
 - The validation of geographical information aims at verifying (if applicable) that the geographical data are correctly processed in the tool.
- Wgs-84 calculations;
- □ Magnetic variation.





Comprehension questions

African Flight Procedure Programme (AFPP)

- 1. How many types of validation of flight procedure design tools do you know:
 - Functional validation and
 - Validation with reference to criteria
- 2. Are the tools validated or certified?Solution Solution Soluti So
- 3. Who is responsible for the validation of the tools?State directly or by delegation
- 4. How many types of procedure design tools?
 Second Area and expert tools.

