

APPENDIX C
AIDC REGIONAL PLAN AND OF THE AIDC POINTS OF CONTACT (POC)
Table A: General Information

State/Organization	System	Point of contact	Network Bandwidth	Comments
Bahamas	-	-	-	-
Belize	-	-	-	-
Canada	CAATS GAATS+ (Gander Oceanic)	Pedro Vicente Pedro.Vicente@navcanada.ca	-	-
COCESNA	INDRA Aircon 2100 Renovado	Mayda Ávila (mayda.avila@cocesna.org) Jenny Lee (Jenny.lee@cocesna.org)	N/A (the current AFTN circuit speed is 1.2 kbps internally and 9.6 kbps the internationals). COCESNA planned to change her AFTN network for a new AMHS network in September 2016	-
Costa Rica	No - FDP Server must upgrade – Q1 2017	Warren Quirós navegacionaerea.cns@dgac.go.cr +50622314924 Fernando Naranjo Elizondo fer_nar_eli@hotmail.com	1200 bps	AIDC may be implemented until the upgrade of El Coco Center
Cuba	yes - Oracle Version 9 modified by LITA-CUBA	Manuel Castillo Velasco, Operation Management Havana ACC (537)-649-7281, email: mcastillo@aeronav.ecasa.avianet.cu	19200 BPS	We received many mistakes from the users in the FPL, in almost all fields. We have detected changes in the FPL forwarded by ACC's or ANSP offices related to FPL's presented by operators
Curacao	-	Jacques Lasten ATS Manager, DC-ANSP, j.lasten@dc-ansp.org	AMHS: 64 Kbps	-

ANI/WG/3
Appendix C to the Report - *Corrigendum*

C-2

State/Organization	System	Point of contact	Network Bandwidth	Comments
Dominican Republic	Yes TopSky-ATC, Thales ATM 2014	Julio Cesar Mejia A. Enc. ATM, jmejia@idac.gov.do 809 274-4322. Ext. 2103 + Fernando Casso fernando.casso@idac.gov.do	AMHS: 64 Kbps	-
El Salvador	INDRA Aircon 2100 Renovado	Danilo Ramirez danilo.ramirez@cepa.gob.sv	9600 bps	-
Guatemala	INDRA Aircon 2100 Renovado	Sergio Raul Enrique senriquez@gmail.com David Ascoli davidascoli@gmail.com	9600 bps	-
Haiti	-		-	-
Jamaica	Thales Topsky Q4 2016	Carl Gaynair Carl.gaynair@jcaa.gov.jm	64k	
Mexico	Yes- FDP=Topsky, Producer= THALES ATM, INFO= Four Control Centres, all Mexico covered	Ing. Jose de Jesus Jimenez Director de Sistemas Digitales SENEAM/SCT/MÉXICO disda@sct.gob.mx 55 57 86 55 32	19200 bps	Mexico already counts with the implementation of CPL/LAM information exchange between: MZT ≤ ≥ LAX, MZT ≤ ≥ ABQ, MTY ≤ ≥ ABQ, MTY ≤ ≥ HOU, MID ≤ ≥ HOU, MID ≤ ≥ HAB
Nicaragua	INDRA Aircon 2100 Renovado	Jorge Saballos jsaballos@eaai.com.ni	9600 bps	-
Trinidad and Tobago	SELEX ATM System	Veronica Ramdath vramdath@caa.gov.tt	64k	To begin testing 2016 end of april Still no compatible interfaces between them

State/Organization	System	Point of contact	Network Bandwidth	Comments
United States	Yes - Host Automation / En Route Automation Modernization (ERAM) systems. Lockheed-Martin (LMCO) is the prime contractor for the Host/ERAM system. Ocean21 provides its own FDP processing in the oceanic environment. LMCO is also the contractor for Ocean21.	Dan Eaves, Federal Aviation Administration Air Traffic Control Specialist, Dan.Eaves@FAA.gov 202-385-8492	US- Mexico: NADIN/AFTN 64 kbps X.25 US- Cuba : MEVA III 64 kbps connection to NADIN	The domestic FDP is integrated into the Host Automation / En Route Automation Modernization (ERAM) systems.. The flight data function of the San Juan Combined Center / Radar Approach Control (CERAP) is integrated into the Miami Air Route Traffic Control Center (ARTCC) Host/ERAM.

Table B: Interfaces

State or Organization	State/Org FIR	Adjacent FIR	Interface Class	Interface Status	Implementation Date	Bilateral Agreement or ICD	Circuit / Bandwidth used	Comments
Bahamas	Nassau	Miami	N/A	Planned	TBD	NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Edmonton	Anchorage	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Edmonton	Reykjavik		Operational		NAT ICD		
Canada	Edmonton	Salt Lake City	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Edmonton	Seattle	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Gander	New York		Operational		NAT ICD		
Canada	Gander	Prestwick		Operational		NAT ICD		
Canada	Gander	Reykjavik		Operational		NAT ICD		
Canada	Gander	Santa Maria		Operational		NAT ICD		
Canada	Moncton	Boston	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Moncton	New York	Class II	Planned	TBD	TBD		
Canada	Montreal	Boston	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Montreal	Cleveland	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Oakland	Seattle	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Toronto	Boston	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Toronto	Cleveland	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Toronto	Minneapolis	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Vancouver	Salt Lake City	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Vancouver	Seattle	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		

State or Organization	State/Org FIR	Adjacent FIR	Interface Class	Interface Status	Implementation Date	Bilateral Agreement or ICD	Circuit / Bandwidth used	Comments
Canada	Vancouver	Oakland	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Winnipeg	Minneapolis	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
Canada	Winnipeg	Salt Lake City	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
COCESNA	CENAMER	Belize	N/A	Planned	2017	PAC ICD		
COCESNA	CENAMER	Bogota	N/A	Testing	December 2015	PAC ICD		
COCESNA	CENAMER	Costa Rica	N/A	Planned	2017	PAC ICD		
COCESNA	CENAMER	El Salvador	N/A	Testing	October 2015	PAC ICD		
COCESNA	CENAMER	Guatemala	Class I	Testing	December 2015	PAC ICD		
COCESNA	CENAMER	Guayaquil	N/A	Testing	January 2016	PAC ICD		
COCESNA	CENAMER	Havana	Class I	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
COCESNA	CENAMER	Kingston	N/A	Planned	TBD			
COCESNA	CENAMER	Merida	N/A	Testing	TBD	NAM-ICD Version D		
COCESNA	CENAMER	Nicaragua	N/A	Operational	September 2015	PAC ICD		
COCESNA	CENAMER	Panama	N/A	Testing	November 2015	PAC ICD		
Costa Rica	San José	CENAMER	N/A	Planned	April 2017	NAM-ICD Version D		
Costa Rica	San José	Nicaragua	N/A	Planned	April 2017	NAM-ICD Version D		
Costa Rica	San José	Panama	N/A	Planned	April 2017	NAM-ICD Version D		
Cuba	Havana	CENAMER	Class I	Operational	March/April 2015	NAM-ICD Version D		Using CPL/LAM/LRM
Cuba	Havana	Kingston	N/A	Planned	TBD	NAM-ICD Version D		
Cuba	Havana	Merida	Class I	Operational	March 9, 2012	NAM-ICD Version D		
Cuba	Havana	Miami	Class I	Operational	December 15, 2011	NAM-ICD Version D		Using CPL/LAM/LRM
Cuba	Havana	Port au Prince	N/A	Not Planned	TBD			

ANI/WG/3
Appendix C to the Report - *Corrigendum*

C-6

State or Organization	State/Org FIR	Adjacent FIR	Interface Class	Interface Status	Implementation Date	Bilateral Agreement or ICD	Circuit / Bandwidth used	Comments
Curacao	Curacao	Kingston	N/A	Planned		NAM-ICD Version D		
Curacao	Curacao	Maiquetia	N/A	Planned				
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo	Curacao	N/A	Planned	TBD			
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo	Miami	Class II	Implementing	September 2016	NAM-ICD Version D		
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo	Port au Prince	N/A	Not Planned	TBD			
El Salvador	El Salvador	Guatemala	N/A	Planned	Septiembre 2016	PAC ICD		
El Salvador	El Salvador	Nicaragua	N/A	Planned	Agosto 2016	PAC ICD		
Guatemala	Guatemala	Belize	N/A	Planned	2017	PAC ICD		
Guatemala	Guatemala	El Salvador	N/A	Planned	Septiembre 2016	PAC ICD		
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	Santo Domingo	N/A	Planned	TBD	NAM-ICD Version D		
Jamaica	Kingston	Havana		Planned		NAM-ICD Version D		
Mexico	Mazatlán	Albuquerque	Class I	Operational	2005	NAM-ICD Version D		
Mexico	Mazatlán	Los Angeles	Class I	Operational	2005	NAM-ICD Version D		
Mexico	Mazatlán	Monterrey	Class I	Operational	2005	NAM-ICD Version D		
Mexico	Mazatlán	Oakland	N/A	Testing	March 2015	PAN ICD V.1		
Mexico	Mérida	CENAMER	Class I	Testing	June 2015	NAM-ICD Version D		
Mexico	Mérida	Havana	Class I	Operational	2011	NAM-ICD Version D		
Mexico	Mérida	Houston	Class I	Operational	2005	NAM-ICD Version D		
Mexico	México	Mazatlan	Class I	Operational	2005	NAM-ICD Version D		
Mexico	México	Mérida	Class I	Operational	2005	NAM-ICD Version		

State or Organization	State/Org FIR	Adjacent FIR	Interface Class	Interface Status	Implementation Date	Bilateral Agreement or ICD	Circuit / Bandwidth used	Comments
						D		
Mexico	México	Monterrey	Class I	Operational	2005	NAM-ICD Version D		
Mexico	Monterrey	Albuquerque	Class I	Operational	2005	NAM-ICD Version D		
Mexico	Monterrey	Houston	Class I	Operational	2005	NAM-ICD Version D		
Mexico	Monterrey	Mérida	Class I	Operational	2005	NAM-ICD Version D		
Nicaragua	Nicaragua	Costa Rica	N/A	Planned	2017	PAC ICD		
Nicaragua	Nicaragua	El Salvador	N/A	Planned	Agosto 2016	PAC ICD		
Trinidad and Tobago	PIARCO	French Guyanne	N/A	Planned	TBD	???		
Trinidad and Tobago	PIARCO	Maiquetia	N/A	Planned	TBD			
Trinidad and Tobago	PIARCO	New York	N/A	Planned	TBD	PAN ICD		To begin Testing 2016
Trinidad and Tobago	PIARCO	SAL	N/A	Planned	TBD	NAM-ICD Version D		
Trinidad and Tobago	PIARCO	San Juan/Miami	N/A	Planned	TBD	NAM-ICD Version D		Still no compatible interfaces between them
United States	Albuquerque	Monterrey	Class I	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Anchorage	Edmonton	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Anchorage	Vancouver	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Boston	Moncton	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Boston	Montreal	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		

ANI/WG/3
Appendix C to the Report - *Corrigendum*

C-8

State or Organization	State/Org FIR	Adjacent FIR	Interface Class	Interface Status	Implementation Date	Bilateral Agreement or ICD	Circuit / Bandwidth used	Comments
United States	Boston	Toronto	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Cleveland	Toronto	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Cleveland	Montreal	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Houston	Merida	Class I	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Houston	Monterrey	Class I	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Los Angeles	Mazatlan	Class I	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Miami	Havana	Class II	Planned	Q4 2015	NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Miami	Havana	Class I	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Miami	Nassau	N/A	Planned	TBD	NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Miami	Santo Domingo	Class I	Planned	TBD	NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Minneapolis	Toronto	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Minneapolis	Winnipeg	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Oakland	Mazatlán		Operational		PAN ICD V.1		
United States	Oakland	Vancouver	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Salt Lake City	Edmonton	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Salt Lake City	Winnipeg	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	San Juan	Santo Domingo	Class I	Planned		NAM-ICD Version D		
United States	Seattle	Vancouver	Class II	Operational		NAM-ICD Version		

State or Organization	State/Org FIR	Adjacent FIR	Interface Class	Interface Status	Implementation Date	Bilateral Agreement or ICD	Circuit / Bandwidth used	Comments
						D		
