



Face Recognition: State of the Art + Morph Detection

Patrick Grother

NIST U. S. Department of Commerce

ICAO Montreal September 14, 2023





Face Recognition	1:1 Verification	• Same person or not?
	1:N Search	• Who is that?
	Twins Disambiguation	 Is it possible to avoid false positives in twins?

Face Analysis	Morph Detection	Two faces in one passport!
	Quality Summarization	Predict future recognition failure?
	Quality Defect Detection	 What exactly is wrong with a face image?
	Presentation Attack Detection	 Is this image intended to subvert the system?
	Age Estimation	• How old? Old enough?

Open benchmarks | Global + | Independent | Free | Repeatable | Fair | Transparent | Large scale | Statistically robust | Fast | IP Protection



NEWS #1: FRVT REBRANDING FRIF Face Recognition Technology Evaluation FRT is a recognized TE is one ISO/IEC 19795-1 term in biometrics FRV styles of evaluation Face Recognition Vendor Tests This name used 1999 to 2023 is being phased out. FAIF Face Analysis Technology Evaluation



SHADOW

60° YAW

SUNGLASSES



The Power of AFR: 2023 Edition

Enroll 2004 portrait in to gallery with N = 12 million other people

50° YAW

2004 SEARCH PHOTOS GIVING HIGH-SCORE MATE AT RANK 1





The Power of AFR: 2023 Edition

Enroll 2004 portrait in to gallery with N = 12 million other people

SEARCH PHOTOS GIVING HIGH-SCORE MATE AT RANK 1



2003200920072021201920142014SHADOWPOSE55° PITCHDLPITCH90° YAW40° PITCH

NIS

STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY



The Power of AFR: 2023 Edition

Enroll 2004 portrait in to gallery with N = 12 million other people



RANK 1 WEAK HIT



MISSED OUTSIDE 50 RANKS HIT BY ONE CHINESE ALGORITHM

RANK 15

NIS

NEWS #3: ACCURACY GAINS CONTINUE

NIST NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Conclusions:

- Algorithms improve regularly
- Do tech refresh!
- Contracts, agile procurement



rankone: Evolution of accuracy on five datasets 2017 - present

Morphing: Can it be detected?







P43: George W. Bush



P44: Barack Obama

Morphs or not?





Source: DeBruine, Lisa; Jones, Benedict (2017). Face Research Lab London Set. <u>https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.5047666.v5</u>



Morph: Two detection opportunities



Morph of US Presidents 43+44 A: DOCUMENT ISSUANCE: Suspect image in isolation: Morph detection is difficult → impossible when attacker covers tracks Solution: Trusted photo capture



B: BORDER CROSSING: Suspect image + live image: Morph detection is possible because similarity score is depressed.

Similarity scores from AFR





- 2-person morphs | alpha = 50%
- Similar age, same sex, nationality
- Morphed using University of Bologna Automatic Morphed Face Generation Tool v2 [1][2][3][4]
- 51 454 comparisons of morphs to border webcam photos
- 203 million non-morphed comparisons of visa-to-border webcam photos

[1] M. Ferrara, A. Franco, and D. Maltoni. The magic passport. In *IEEE International Joint Conference on Biometrics*, pages 1–7, Sep. 2014.

[2] M. Ferrara, A. Franco, and D. Maltoni. Face demorphing. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics* and Security, 13(4):1008–1017, April 2018.

[3] Matteo Ferrara, Annalisa Franco, and Davide Maltoni. On the Effects of Image Alterations on Face Recognition Accuracy, pages 195–222. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016.

[4] M. Ferrara, A. Franco, and D. Maltoni. Decoupling texture blending and shape warping in face morphing. In Inter-national Conference of the Biometrics Special Interest Group (BIOSIG), pages 1–7, 2019.

Morph detection: Bad news, good news







THANK YOU.

ESPECIALLY

1. DHS S&T

- 2. DHS OBIM
- 3. FBI
- 4. NIST

