

International Civil Aviation Organization

## MIDANPIRG/21 and RASG-MID/11 Meeting

(Abu Dhabi, UAE, 4 - 8 March 2024)

# Agenda Item 4.2: Outcomes of the RASG-MID Groups (ASRG, SEIG, ASPIG and AIIG)

### NCMC MEETING

(Presented by the Secretariat)

#### **SUMMARY**

This paper presents the outcome of the National Continuous Monitoring Coordinators (NCMCs) meeting.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

### **REFERENCES**

- SEIG/5 Report
- MIDANPIRG/20-RASG-MID/10 Report

## 1. Introduction

1.1 The National Continuous Monitoring Coordinators (NCMCs) meeting was held on 17 October 2023. The meeting was a great opportunity to share experiences, challenges and best practices, which were appreciated by all participants.

### 2. DISCUSSION

# Update on the implementation Progress of the Safety Enhancement Initiatives (SEIs)

- The meeting noted the current regional status related to the USOAP CMA, as follows:
  - 1) 2 out of the 15 States in the MID Region have not yet received a USOAP audit (Iraq and Yemen);
  - 2) average EI in the MID Region is 74.07%.
  - 3) average EI in the MID Region related to Priority Protocol Question (PPQ) is 71.57%.
  - 4) lowest EI with regard to the CEs are related to CE4 (Below 60%), CE7 (Below 70%) and CE8 (Below 60%); and
  - 5) lowest EI with regard to the Audit Areas are related to ANS followed by AGA, and AIG (Below 70%).

3.2 The meeting recalled the RASG-MID/5 meeting, Conclusion 5/1, which underlined that the progress of updating the Corrective Action Plans (CAPs) to address identified findings from the USOAP-CMA is low. Accordingly, the meeting reiterated the following RASG-MID/5 Conclusion:

## CONCLUSION 5/1: ICAO USOAP-CMA IMPLEMENTATION

*That, States:* 

- a) be urged to prioritise and take action as needed to improve their safety oversight system, with particular attention to:
  - i. the implementation of Corrective Action Plans (CAP) and reporting the progress on the On-line Framework (OLF); and
  - ii. the completion of the self-assessments and uploading of the relevant evidences on the OLF;
- b) are encouraged to request assistance from ICAO, as required.

# Presentations by the States' NCMCs related to the USOAP-CMA

- 3.3 Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Libya, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, UAE and Yemen presented the status of their safety oversight systems, challenges faced and best practices.
- 3.4 The meeting identified the following common challenges/difficulties:
  - 1) lack of sufficient human resources (qualified technical personnel) to meet the State's obligations and carry out oversight functions and mandate;
  - 2) Insufficient financial resources in some States;
  - 3) Inability to attract, recruit and retain sufficiently qualified/experienced technical personnel;
  - 4) Providing sufficient training;
  - 5) Inadequate separation of regulatory/oversight functions and service providers/operators in some States;
  - 6) Independent AIG Authority; and
  - 7) Political/Security situation/stability in some States.
- 3.5 The meeting noted with appreciation the sharing of experience in the preparation, conduct and follow-up of ICAO USOAP-CMA activities and identified the following as best practices and actions:
  - update all CAPs to fully address the PQ findings and report the progress made on the CAPs implementation through OLF, which is a vital factor for the planning and conduct of the USOAP-CMA validation activities including ICVM to enhance the EI;
  - 2) address the PPQs first (Breakdown of PQs and PPQs);
  - 3) continuously update the self-assessment to conduct internal audits, prepare for

ICAO USOAP CMA activities; and monitor the civil aviation safety oversight system;

- 4) high level commitment and engagement (regular briefings and meetings);
- 5) assignment of focal point(s) for each audit area;
- 6) training of personnel (USOAP-CMA CBT, Workshop, participation in ICVMs and Audits), including the conduct of a USOAP-CMA Workshop (cost-recovery basis) at National level;
- 7) take advantage of other States experiences; and
- 8) regular update of the required information such as the State Aviation Activities Questionnaire (SAAQ) and Compliance Checklist/Electronic Filing of Differences (CC/EFOD).

## 3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

- 3.1 The meeting is invited to urge States to:
  - a) take necessary actions to overwhelm the challenges related to USOAP CMA; and
  - b) take advantage of the experience in the preparation, conduct and follow-up of ICAO USOAP-CMA activities as best practices and actions review.