Managing Startle: Individual, Crew and Organizational Strategies
Why is there a problem?

• Ultra reliability generates a conditioned expectation for normalcy

• Pilots are only exposed to non-normal events a few days each year – the rest of the time they are conditioned to expect normal operations by the sustained absence of critical events

• A lack of expectation dilutes the perceived necessity for individuals to mentally rehearse action plans for critical events

• Lack of expectation has been shown to increase the level of startle when some surprising event occurs
Mental Schemas

- Mental schemas, or ‘cognitive action plans’ may become weak memories because they are revisited infrequently.

- The inability to accurately recall these schemas under acute stress and startle can result in significant and possibly critical performance degradations at a time when they are most needed.
Startle and Acute Stress

- Surprising stimuli with a level of threat associated, such as during an unexpected critical event, have the ability to engender an enhanced startle known as ‘fear-potentiated startle’

- This enhanced startle involves three simultaneous processes within the body:
  1. The startle reflex
  2. The fight or flight reaction (adrenaline, heart rate)
  3. The activation of the HPA axis (acute stress response)
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Cognitive Effects of Startle

• Research has shown significant impairment in information processing for up to 30 seconds.

• Information processing tasks such as attention, perception, situational awareness, problem solving and decision making can be markedly impacted.

• Communication is often disorganised and incoherent for some time.

• Psychomotor impairment often occurs but generally lasts for only 5-10 seconds.
Self-efficacy – Why is there such a difference between individual responses?

• At the heart of the acute stress response is an appraisal that a situation is threatening and is beyond the immediate control of the individual

• Self-efficacious individuals who have an ‘action plan’ schema which is a strong memory and is therefore more easily recalled, are far more likely to perform well during unexpected critical events

• Self-efficacy is derived from sound technical knowledge, from regular mental rehearsal, and from a healthy suspicion for abnormalities
Individual Strategies for Improving Startle Performance

- Sound technical knowledge with regular revision
- Effective situational awareness skill-sets, including monitoring
- Having a healthy expectation and suspicion for things going wrong
- Effective threat and error management strategies
- Mental rehearsal of ‘action plan’ schemas for both common non-normal events, and for ‘black swan’ type events (what would I do if....)
Crew Strategies for Improving Startle Performance

- Effective teamwork, communication and monitoring skill-sets
- Constructive scenario discussion in low workload periods (what would you do if…)
- Effective crew threat and error management practices
Organizational Strategies for Improving Startle Performance

• Pilot recruitment (eg. self-efficacious, not trait anxious)
• A constructive culture of professionalism
• Simulator exercises which are conducted in a constructive manner, allowing pilots to feel a sense of ‘mastery’
• Encouragement/SOP’s for scenario discussions on the line
• A focus on evidence based training (most likely events)
• Constructive use of unexpected critical events during training
• Improved training on avoidance, recognition and management of undesired aircraft states (including monitoring skill-sets)
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