Aircraft Registry Network (ARN) Concept



David Scorer

Associate Technical Officer, Operational Safety Section



Overview

- 1. The existing ICAO framework
- 2. What States need and the current situation
- 3. The Aircraft Registry Network (ARN) concept
- 4. What we are doing: 1. Unique manufacturer codes
 - 2. A common taxonomy
 - 3. Customized web applications for individual State registries
- 5. Value features / benefits for a globally connected system
- 6. Next Steps: feedback from States & industry



The existing ICAO framework

Chicago Convention: Articles for **nationality** and **registration**:

Annex 7 defines the Standards:

<u>The wider ICAO framework is built on the</u> foundation of these registration principles

- → Pilot Licensing; → Surveillance;
- Airworthiness;
- → Accident Investigation, etc...

How can the existing framework be leveraged to benefit UA operations?





What do States need?

Rapidly increasing drone operations \rightarrow Increasingly difficult to maintain satisfactory safety oversight

- 1. UAS Traffic Management (UTM): A reliable system to ensure UA can share existing airspace safely
- 2. Law Enforcement: States capable of enforcement actions when necessary

How things currently stand:

- × Many States without any registration system or process at all
- × Lack of consistency between States (independent development)
- × No ability maintain oversight across borders / identify foreign operators



Collective recognition that this must start with *registration* in some capacity



The ARN Concept

- 1. A network of *connected* aircraft registries of member States
- 2. Applicable to both manned and unmanned aircraft
- 3. Facilitate the exchange of key information on individual aircraft
- 4. Enable valuable inter-State operability services to be developed

An interconnected network of *compatible* registry systems can begin to address the challenges





Current registration initiatives

- 1. Manufacturer Codes
- 2. CICTT Common Taxonomy expansion
- 3. Custom web-based registries available to States



1. Manufacturer Codes

ANSI/CTA Standard 2063-A: Small Unmanned Aerial Systems Serial Numbers

ASTM Remote ID standard \rightarrow

... elements and characteristics of a Serial Number for small UAS

4 SERIAL NUMBER

All UAS shall be assigned ...

SN = [4 Character MFR CODE] [1 Character LENGTH CODE] [15 Character MANUFACTURER'S SERIAL NUMBER]

4.1 Manufacturer Code

ICAO is responsible for assigning a unique MFR Code to UAS manufacturers [...].





Doc 8643 – Aircraft Type Designators



Expansion to include all UA manufacturers and 4 Character MFR Code

Designators for aircraft types commonly provided with **air traffic services (ATS)** Part 1: common names of aircraft manufacturers and their aircraft types; Part 2: aircraft by their type designators showing manufacturers and models; Part 3: aircraft by model number and/or model name; Part 4: full names of aircraft manufacturers.

How to get a code...



https://www.icao.int/publications/DOC8643/Pages/Manufacturers-Codes.aspx



2. CICTT: A common taxonomy

CAST – Commercial Aviation Safety Team CAO – International Civil Aviation Organization

Common

Taxonomy

Team





U.S. Government-industry partnership... ...developed integrated, data-driven strategy... ...reduce commercial aviation fatality risk



http://www.cast-safety.org



2. CICTT: A common taxonomy

Common raxo	and a sector function reactionity	The second se	and only in the		
About	Commercial Aviation Saday Page	About CICTT			
Acronyms and Abbreviations		CAST co-chair: Week Sood,CAST, Acting ICAO co-chair: Thomas Mistos, ICAO	2		
Glossary	TAXONOMY				
Related Links	N				
Contact Us					
	Chet Aviation Organiza				
CAST/ICAO Background Presentation	The International Civil Aviation Organisation (II officials and aviation industry leaders, have join	CAO) and the Commercial Aviation Safety Team (C thy chartered the CAST/ICAO Common Taxonomy	CAST), which inclu 7 Team (CICTT), C	des Government ICTT includes	
CAST/ICAO Handout - English	experts from several air carriers, aircraft manul transportation safety boards, ICAO, and memb and the United States. CICTT is co-chaired by	facturers, engine manufacturers, pilot associations, ers from Canada, the European Union, France, Italy a representative from ICAO and CAST. The team is	regulatory author ly, Netherlands, U is charged with de	ities, nited Kingdom, veloping	
CAST/ICAO Handout - Spanish	common taxonomies and definitions for aviatio establish a standard industry language thereby language the aviation community's capacity to	n accident and incident reporting systems. Common improving the quality of information and communic focus on common safety issues is greatly enhanced	on taxonomies and cation. With this c id.	definitions ommon	
CAST/ICAO Handout - French					
Standardizing International Taxonomies					
2014 CAST/ICAO					
itelease 1.0					

http://www.intlaviationstandards.org

Tasked with: Developing common taxonomies and definitions for aviation [...] reporting systems.

- Establishes a standard industry language
- Improves the quality of information management and data communication

But why is this important for UA registration?





DRONE REGISTRATION WEBSITE









A common taxonomy

ICAO is developing an **enhanced common taxonomy platform**, **expanded** to incorporate UA



- Provide a *managed* dataset of UA make/model/series
 - → Connected registries present pre-determined lists for selection by applicants
- Enable applicants to notify the taxonomy team of new UA types, if not available for selection
- Enable manufacturers to *proactively* inform the taxonomy team of new models, prior to release





A common taxonomy

So how does this impact the ARN concept?

→ ARN compliant registries *must* store aircraft data in accordance with a common taxonomy

Fundamental to ensure consistency of data communication and value functionality in an **inter-connected** registry system

Challenges to overcome:

- Establish a technical interface with existing registries (API / Open-source code / ...)
- Transition existing data sets to the common taxonomy format



3. Custom registry web application

A new **stand-alone web application** for the full *end-to-end process* of aircraft / UA registrations

Can be adopted by **any State**, at **zero cost**, to manage day to day registration tasks

Why is this useful to States?

- ✓ A turnkey electronic solution saves money on development of own system
- ✓ Eliminates the time and cost inefficiencies of paper-based processes
- ✓ Benefits from a common taxonomy: compatible with ARN subsequent value features
- ✓ Automatic provision of pertinent information in accordance with Article 21





Value features of a globally connected system

Benefits of *registration* are in the *features provided* on top of the data foundation

- 1. Global statistical information available to States and others
- 2. Facilitating Cross Border Transfers (XBT) of aircraft (State-less aircraft)
- 3. Operator Delegation functionality where Operator \neq Registered Owner
- 4. Facilitate International UA Operations: registered in State A, operating in State B
- 5. And more...?



...and the benefits they bring for UA ops

- State maintains oversight of *operators*, (even if not the registered owners)
- Owners not held responsible for infractions if the UA is in the custody of different operator
- States can identify 'foreign' registered drones with access to an 'international' dataset
- States can define specific requirements for prior 'permission' to foreign operators
- Operators can be more informed of individual State rules and regulations
- And more...?

More on these in the further sessions this week...



Next steps

- 1. How can ICAO help facilitate development of an inter-connected registry network?
- 2. How can industry support implementation of an expanded common taxonomy?
- 3. How else can ICAO support industry initiatives for UA registration?
- 4. For States: contact us to trial the web registry application developed by ICAO

aircraftregistry@icao.int





