#### THIRD MEETING OF THE ALLPIRG/ADVISORY GROUP

(Montreal, 6 – 8 April 1999)

Agenda Item 5.4: Interregional coordination and harmonization mechanism – Status of implementation of the World Geodetic System – 1984 (WGS–84)

(Presented by the Secretariat)

#### SUMMARY

States were expected to implement WGS-84 on 1 January 1998 but the progress of implementation was not satisfactory when ALLPIRG/2 examined it. This is a second report on implementation of WGS-84 which shows good progress has been made by States.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

## 1. **Introduction**

1.1 The second meeting of the ALLPIRG (Montreal, 16-18 February 1998) reviewed a status report on the implementation of WGS-84 and developed Conclusions 2/7 (Regular updates to show the regional picture of WGS-84 implementation) and 2/8 (Further assistance to States for WGS-84). ALLPIRG/2 report, page 2.3-1 shows the background. This paper provides a new update on the subject.

#### 2. **DISCUSSION**

## 2.1 Action after ALLPIRG/2

- 2.1.1 As a result of ALLPIRG/2 Conclusion 2/7, the ICAO planning and implementation regional groups (PIRGs) continued to review the status of implementation of WGS–84 in their respective regions and reported the outcome to the ICAO Air Navigation Commission (ANC) and Council. The ANC and Council noted that increased attention by States was needed, therefore, States were urged to increase their efforts for implementation of WGS–84.
- 2.1.2 Furthermore, on 16 December 1998, the ANC reviewed the status of implementation of WGS-84 as of 1 November 1998. In this review, it was noted that good progress had been made by States in implementation of WGS-84 during the period between ALLPIRG/2 and 1 November 1998. The ICAO Secretariat has continued to monitor the situation in order to assist where possible.

### 2.2 Latest situation

2.2.1 The appendix to this paper shows the situation of implementation of WGS-84 as of 15 March 1999. The appendix is summarized below.

		At ALLPIRG/2 <sup>1</sup>	15 March 1999
1	Percentage of States that have fully implemented WGS-84	23%	28%
2	Percentage of States that have partially implemented WGS–84 and work is in progress	7%	28%
3	Percentage of States where implementation of WGS-84 is underway	30%	31%
4	Percentage of States that have a plan for implementing WGS-84 at a future date	17%	3%
5	Percentage of States that have no known plan or have not responded to the survey	23%	10%

As can be seen from the above table, noticeable progress has been made by States in full implementation, partial implementation or in proceeding with an implementation plan for WGS-84. Furthermore, a decrease of percentage against part 4 above indicates that many of the States that had plans to implement WGS-84 at a date in the future, have already commenced or completed their plans. The decrease of percentage in part 5 of the above table, also confirms that awareness of the importance of implementation of WGS-84 has increased.

### 2.3 **Assistance to States**

- 2.3.1 In response to Conclusion 2/8 of ALLPIRG/2 (Further assistance to States for WGS-84 implementation), ICAO conducted special implementation projects (SIPs) in the Africa-Indian Ocean Region, the Caribbean and South American Regions and in the eastern part of the European Region (CIS States) to promote the implementation of WGS-84.
- 2.3.2 Furthermore, the ICAO regional offices will continue to facilitate the work of those States which wish to provide assistance to other States. In this connection, the Kingdom of the Netherlands has been providing assistance to certain States of the AFI region, in some cases, with support from the United States.

### 2.4 Future work

2.4.1 The ICAO regional offices will continue to monitor the situation. They will continue to encourage and assist States as much as possible in the implementation of WGS–84 so as to facilitate the next

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Information was current as of 29 January 1998

stages in the CNS/ATM implementation programme which are WGS-84 dependent. The ICAO regional offices will also inform their PIRG(s) of the result and will seek their advice for continued improvement of the situation. The ICAO Technical Co-operation Bureau (TCB) will continue to assist States particularly when a donor source [such as a United Nations Development Fund (UNDP) project] is available to assist a State.

# 3. **ACTION BY THE MEETING**

- 3.1 The meeting is invited to:
  - a) note the status of implementation of WGS-84 reported by this paper; and
  - b) provide any advice it deems necessary to further improve implementation of WGS-84.

-----

**STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF WGS-84** 

#### **AS OF 15 MARCH 1999** IMPLEMENTE IMPLEMENTE **UNDER** NO **STATE PLANNED** D WAY **DATE TO KNOWN** D in in (completion **START PLAN** (or no reply) **FULL PART** expected in 1999) 1 2 3 4 5 6 No information available due to inability to contact Afghanistan authorities in Kabul Albania Algeria Angola (1) Antigua and Barbuda Argentina Armenia (2) Australia Austria Azerbaijan **Bahamas** Bahrain Bangladesh (1) Barbados

(3)

**(5)** 

(1)

**Belarus** 

Belgium

Belize Benin

Bhutan

Bolivia

Botswana

Bosnia and Herzegovina

**(4)** 

Brazil				
Brunei Darussalam				
Bulgaria				
Burkina Faso				
Burundi				
Cambodia				
Cameroon				
Canada				
Cape Verde				
Central African				
Chad				
Chile				
China				(6)
Colombia				
Comoros				
Congo (Brazzaville)				
Cook Islands				
Costa Rica				
Cote d'Ivoire				
Croatia				
Cuba				
Cyprus				
Czech Republic				
Democratic People's Republic of Korea			to be advised	
Democratic Republic of the Congo				
Denmark				
Djibouti				
Dominican Republic				
Ecuador		_		
Egypt				
El Salvador				
Equatorial Guinea				

	<u> </u>		1	1
Eritrea				
Estonia				
Ethiopia			April 1999	
Fiji				
Finland				
France				
Gabon				
Gambia				
Georgia				
Germany				
Ghana				
Greece				
Grenada				
Guatemala				
Guinea				
Guinea - Bissau				(7)
Guyana				
Haiti				
Honduras				
Hungary				
Iceland				
India				
Indonesia				
Iran, Islamic Republic				
Iraq				
Ireland	(4)			
Israel				
Italy				
Jamaica				
Japan				
Jordan				
Kazakhstan				(12)
Kenya		(1)		
	I	 1		

Kiribati				
Kuwait				
Kyrgyzstan				
Lao People's Democratic Republic				
Latvia				
Lebanon				
Lesotho		(1)		
Liberia				
Libyan Arab				
Lithuania				
Luxembourg				
Madagascar			July 1999	
Malawi		(1)		
Malaysia				
Maldives				
Mali				
Malta				
Marshall Islands				
Mauritania				
Mauritius		(1)		
Mexico		(8)		
Micronesia, Federated States of				
Monaco				
Mongolia				
Morocco				
Mozambique		(1)		
Myanmar				
Namibia				
Nauru				
Nepal				
Netherlands, Kingdom of the	(13)			

N. 7. 1. 1				
New Zealand				
Nicaragua				
Niger				
Nigeria		(5)		
Norway				
Oman				
Pakistan			30/6/2000	
Palau				
Panama				
Papua New Guinea				
Paraguay				
Peru				
Philippines				
Poland				
Portugal	(9)			
Qatar				
Republic of Korea				
Republic of Moldova				
Romania		(14)		
Russian Federation				
Rwanda		(1)		
Saint Lucia				
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines				
Samoa				
San Marino				
Sao Tome and Principe		(10)		
Saudi Arabia				
Senegal				
Seychelles				
Sierra Leone				
Singapore				
Slovakia				

Slovenia		(15)		
Solomon Islands				
Somalia			1999(11)	
South Africa				
Spain				
Sri Lanka				
Sudan				No reply
Suriname				
Swaziland			1999(11)	
Sweden	(16)			
Switzerland	(17)			
Syrian Arab Republic				
Tajikistan				
Thailand				
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia				
Togo				
Tonga				
Trinidad and Tobago				
Tunisia				
Turkey				
Turkmenistan				
Uganda		(1)		
Ukraine				
United Arab Emirates				
United Kingdom		(18)		
United Republic of Tanzania		(1)		
United States				
Uruguay				
Uzbekistan				
Vanuatu				
Venezuela				

Viet Nam			
Western Samoa			
Yemen			
Zambia		(1)	
Zimbabwe		(1)	

- 1) Survey completed, results to be published early in 1999.
- 2) Consultation with Eurocontrol is underway for assistance.
- 3) Completion date is to be determined.
- 4) Only charts to be updated to WGS-84 and this is scheduled for completion during 1999.
- 5) Survey completed, data publication to be decided.
- 6) In Hong Kong, China, WGS-84 fully implemented.
- 7) Survey was to start in June 1998 but prevailing situation in the State stopped the process.
- 8) Survey will be carried out in 31 main aerodromes and results will be published by December 1999. Thirty-one more aerodromes will be surveyed during the year 2000.
- 9) In Macau, also fully implemented.
- 10) Survey completed under ASECNA project, date of publication of results unknown.
- 11) To be surveyed by the Netherlands.
- 12) At present, we are unable to implement WGS-84 because we work in close co-ordination with the Russian Federation and most of our documents, including charts, are published by them. Therefore, the date of implementation of WGS-84 within our territory depends on the Russian one and is not defined yet.
- 13) WGS-84 fully implemented since 1996 except for vertical component.
- A significant amount of work has been done and the result published in the AIP. Completion of the work in progress, to some degree, depends on completion of field work in Bulgaria, Moldova and Ukraine.
- 15) Vertical elements at 3 aerodromes still not completed.
- It has been found difficult to publish the aircraft stands with an accuracy of hundredths of seconds, as one stand may host several different aircraft types. It would be useful if ICAO make available recommendations about which position shall be surveyed.
- Switzerland has achieved a full two dimensional (2D) WGS-84 implementation in June 1997. No vertical elements have been published yet. This will be published when 3D-GNSS or 3D-RNAV instrument approach procedures will be implemented.
- Facilities still to be published in accordance with WGS-84:
  10% of significant points on ATS routes (await publication of adjacent States' navigation aids)
  20% of aerodrome navigation aids, runway thresholds, etc. (await completion of aerodrome surveys)