

INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION

MIDDLE EAST AIR NAVIGATION PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION REGIONAL GROUP (MIDANPIRG)

MID REGION AIR NAVIGATION STRATEGY

EDITION FEBRUARY, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I: AIR NAVIGATION PRIORITIES AND MONITORING OF THE STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION

| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 2. | Strategic Air Navigation Capacity and Efficiency Objective | 1 |
| 3. | MID Air Navigation Objectives | 1 |
| 4. | MID Region ASBU Threads/Elements Prioritization and Monitoring | 2 |
| 5. | Measuring and Monitoring Air Navigation Performance | 8 |
| 6. | Governance | 9 |
| Pa | rt II: PERFORMANCE MONITORING OF THE AIR NAVIGATION SYST | ГЕМ |
| 1. | Introduction | 17 |
| 2. | MID Air Navigation Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) | 18 |

Attachment A

MID Region AIDC/OLDI Applicability Area (Priority 1 and 2 for Implementation)

PART I: AIR NAVIGATION PRIORITIES AND MONITORING OF THE STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION

1. Introduction

- 1.1 As traffic volume increases throughout the world, the demands on air navigation service providers in a given airspace increase, and air traffic management becomes more complex.
- 1.2 It is foreseen that the implementation of the components of the ATM operational concept will provide sufficient capacity to meet the growing demand, generating additional benefits in terms of more efficient flights and higher levels of safety. Nevertheless, the potential of new technologies to significantly reduce the cost of services will require the establishment of clear operational requirements.
- 1.3 Taking into account the benefits of the ATM operational concept, it is necessary to make many timely decisions for its implementation. An unprecedented cooperation and harmonization will be required at both global and regional level.
- 1.4 ICAO introduced the Aviation System Block Upgrades (ASBU) framework as a systemic manner to achieve a harmonized implementation of the air navigation services. An ASBU designates a set of improvements that can be implemented globally from a defined point in time to enhance the performance of the ATM system.
- 1.5 In accordance, with the Resolutions of the 40th Session of the ICAO Assembly, particularly Resolution A40-1 "ICAO global planning for safety and air navigation", the ICAO Assembly urged States and PIRGs to utilize the guidance provided in the GANP for planning and implementation activities which establish priorities, targets and indicators consistent with globally-harmonized objectives, taking into account operational needs. In response to this, the MID Region developed the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy Part 1, which is aligned with the GANP 6th Edition and ASBU Framework.
- 1.6 Stakeholders including service providers, regulators, airspace users and manufacturers are facing increased levels of interaction as new, modernized ATM operations are implemented. The highly integrated nature of capabilities covered by the block upgrades requires a significant level of coordination and cooperation among all stakeholders. Working together is essential for achieving global harmonization and interoperability.

2. Strategic Air Navigation Capacity and Efficiency Objective

2.1 The Strategic Objective related to Air Navigation Capacity and Efficiency is to realize sound and economically-viable civil aviation system in the MID Region that continuously increases in capacity and improves in efficiency with enhanced safety while minimizing the adverse environmental effects of civil aviation activities.

3. MID Air Navigation Objectives

- 3.1 The MID Region air navigation objectives are set in line with the global air navigation objectives and address specific air navigation operational improvements identified within the framework of the Middle East Regional Planning and Implementation Group (MIDANPIRG).
- 3.2 Blocks '0' and "1" feature Elements are characterized by operational improvements, which have already been developed and implemented in many parts of the world. The MID Region priority 1 Block 0 & 1 Elements are reflected in **Table 1** below.
- 3.3 The MID Region Air Navigation Strategy aims to maintain regional harmonisation. The States should develop their National Air Navigation Plan (NANP), including action plans for the implementation of relevant priority 1 ASBU Elements and other ASBU elements or non ASBU solutions based on the States' operational requirements and cost benefits analysis.
- 3.4 The implementation of the ASBU Block 0 Elements in the MID Region started before 2013 and is continuing. For the short and medium term, the MID Region priorities include identified ASBU Elements

 MID Region Air Navigation Strategy Part 1

 1 February 2021

4. MID Region ASBU Threads/Elements Prioritization and Monitoring

4.1 On the basis of operational requirements and taking into consideration the associated benefits, **Table 1** below shows the priority associated for each ASBU element from Block 0 and Block 1, as well as the MIDANPIRG subsidiary bodies that will be monitoring and supporting the implementation of these Threads/Elements:

Priority 1 ASBU Element: Elements that have the highest contribution to the improvement of air navigation safety and/or efficiency in the MID Region. These Elements should be implemented where applicable and will be used for the purpose of regional air navigation monitoring and reporting.

Priority 2 ASBU Element: Elements recommended for implementation based on identified operational needs and benefits by States.

Priority 1 Thread: Any Thread with at least one priority 1 element

Table 1. MID REGION ASBU THREADS & ELEMENTS (BLOCK 0 & 1) PRIORITIZATION AND MONITORING

| TO I | Element code | Title | Priority | Start | Moi | nitoring | Remarks | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------|--------|------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Thread | | | | Date | Main | Supporting | Kemarks | | |
| Information Threads | | | | | | | | | |
| DAIM | DAIM | | | | | | | | |
| | B1/1 | Provision of quality- assured aeronautical data and information | 1 | 2021 | AIM SG | | It was B0, monitored earlier | | |
| | B1/2 | Provision of digital Aeronautical Information Publication (AIP) data sets | 2 | | | | | | |
| DAM | B1/3 | Provision of digital terrain data sets | 1 | 2021 | | | It was B0, monitored earlier | | |
| DAIM | B1/4 | Provision of digital obstacle data sets | 1 | 2021 | | | It was B0, monitored earlier | | |
| | B1/5 | Provision of digital aerodrome mapping data sets | 2 | | | | | | |
| | B1/6 | Provision of digital instrument flight procedure data sets | 2 | | | | | | |
| | B1/7 | NOTAM improvements | 2 | | | | | | |
| AMET | | | | | | | | | |

| | B0/1 | Meteorological observations products | 1 | 2014 | MET SG | | |
|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------|------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | B0/2 | Meteorological forecast and warning products | 1 | 2014 | MET SG | | |
| | B0/3 | Climatological and historical meteorological products | 1 | 2014 | MET SG | | |
| | B0/4 | Dissemination of meteorological products | 1 | 2014 | MET SG | CNS SG | |
| AMET | B1/1 | Meteorological observations information | 2 | | | | |
| | B1/2 | Meteorological forecast and warning information | 2 | | | | |
| | B1/3 | Climatological and historical meteorological information | 2 | | | | |
| | B1/4 | Dissemination of meteorological information | 2 | | | | |
| FICE | | | | | | | |
| FICE | B0/1 | Automated basic inter facility data exchange (AIDC) | 1 | 2014 | CNS SG ATM SG | | |
| Operational | l Threads | | | | | | |
| APTA | | | | | | | |
| | B0/1 | PBN Approaches (with basic capabilities) | 1 | 2014 | | ATM SG AIM SG CNS SG | |
| | B0/2 | PBN SID and STAR procedures (with basic capabilities) | 1 | 2014 | | ATM SG AIM SG | |
| APTA | B0/3 | SBAS/GBAS CAT I precision approach procedures | 2 | | | | |
| | B0/4 | CDO (Basic) | 1 | 2014 | | ATM SG | |
| | B0/5 | CCO (Basic) | 1 | 2014 | | ATM SG | |

| | B0/6 | PBN Helicopter Point in Space (PinS) Operations | 2 | | | | |
|------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------|--------|--------|--|
| | B0/7 | Performance based aerodrome operating minima – Advanced aircraft | 1 | 2021 | PBN SG | AIM SG | |
| | B0/8 | Performance based aerodrome operating minima – Basic aircraft | 2 | | | | |
| | B1/1 | PBN Approaches (with advanced capabilities) | 2 | | | | |
| | B1/2 | PBN SID and STAR procedures (with advanced capabilities) | 2 | | | | |
| | B1/3 | Performance based aerodrome operating minima – Advanced aircraft with SVGS | 2 | | | | |
| | B1/4 | CDO (Advanced) | 2 | | | | |
| EDEC | B1/5 | CCO (Advanced) | 2 | | | | |
| FRTO | | | | | | | |
| | B0/1 | Direct routing (DCT) | 2 | | | | |
| | | Airspace planning and Flexible Use of Airspace (FUA) | 1 | 2014 | | AIM SG | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | B0/2 | Level 1 Strategic | 1 | 2014 | | AIM SG | |
| FRTO | B0/2 | Airspace planning and Flexible Use of Airspace (FUA) Level 2 | 1 | 2014 | | AIM SG | |
| FRTO | B0/2 B0/3 | Airspace planning and Flexible Use of Airspace (FUA) | | | | | |
| FRTO | | Airspace planning and Flexible Use of Airspace (FUA) Level 2 Pre-validated and coordinated ATS routes to support | 1 | | | | |
| FRTO | B0/3 | Airspace planning and Flexible Use of Airspace (FUA) Level 2 Pre-validated and coordinated ATS routes to support flight and flow Basic conflict detection and conformance | 2 | 2014 | | AIM SG | |

| | | | | | ı | |
|------|--------------|------------------------|-----|------|---|--|
| | | Advanced Flexible | | | | |
| | | Use of Airspace | | | | |
| | B1/3 | (FUA) and | 2 | | | |
| | 21,0 | management of real | _ | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | time airspace data | | | | |
| | B1/4 | Dynamic | 2 | | | |
| | D1/4 | sectorization | | | | |
| | | Enhanced Conflict | | | | |
| | | Detection Tools and | | | | |
| | B1/5 | | 2 | | | |
| | 21,0 | Conformance | _ | | | |
| | | Monitoring | | | | |
| | | Multi-Sector | _ | | | |
| | B1/6 | Planning | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | B1/7 | Trajectory Options | 2 | | | |
| | 21, . | Set (TOS) | _ | | | |
| NOPS | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Initial integration of | | | | |
| | | collaborative | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | B 0/1 | airspace management | 1 | 2015 | | |
| | | with air traffic flow | 1 | | | |
| | | management | | ĺ | | |
| | | Collaborative | | | | |
| | B0/2 | Network Flight | 2 | | | |
| | DU/2 | | 2 | | | |
| | | Updates | | | | |
| | | Network Operation | | | | |
| | B0/3 | Planning basic | 2 | | | |
| | | features | | | | |
| | | Initial Airport/ATFM | | | | |
| | D0/4 | | 1 2 | | | |
| | B 0/4 | slots and A-CDM | 2 | | | |
| | | Network Interface | | | | |
| | D0/5 | Dynamic ATFM slot | | | | |
| | B0/5 | allocation | 2 | | | |
| | B1/1 | Short Term ATFM | | | | |
| | D 1/1 | | 2 | | | |
| | = | measures | | | | |
| | B1/2 | Enhanced Network | 2 | | | |
| | | Operations Planning | _ | | | |
| | B1/3 | Enhanced integration | | | | |
| | | of Airport operations | | | | |
| | | planning with | 2 | | | |
| NODG | | planning with | 2 | | | |
| NOPS | | network operations | | | | |
| | | planning | | | | |
| | B1/4 | Dynamic Traffic | | | | |
| | | Complexity | 2 | | | |
| | | Management | | | | |
| | B1/5 | Full integration of | | | | |
| | D1/3 | | | | | |
| | | airspace management | 2 | | | |
| | | with air traffic flow | | | | |
| | | management | | | | |
| | B1/6 | Initial Dynamic | | | | |
| | | Airspace | 2 | | | |
| | | configurations | | | | |
| | D1/5 | | | | | |
| | B1/7 | Enhanced ATFM slot | 2 | | | |
| | | swapping | | | | |
| | B1/8 | Extended Arrival | | | | |
| | | Management | | | | |
| | | supported by the | 2 | | | |
| | | ATM Network | - | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | function | | | | |
| | B1/9 | Target Times for | 2 | | | |
| | | ATFM purposes | 2 | | | |
| | B1/10 | Collaborative | | | | |
| | 21,10 | Trajectory Options | 2 | | | |
| | | Dragram (CTOD) | | | | |
| | | Program (CTOP) | | | | |

| ACAS | | | | | | | |
|------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
| ACAS | B1/1 | ACAS Improvements | 1 | 2014 | ATM SG CNS SG | | It was B0, monitored earlier |
| SNET | | | | | | | |
| | B0/1 | Short Term Conflict Alert (STCA) | 1 | 2017 | ATM SG | CNS SG | |
| | B0/2 | Minimum Safe Altitude Warning (MSAW) | 1 | 2017 | ATM SG | CNS SG | |
| SNET | B0/3 | Area Proximity Warning (APW) | 1 | 2020 | ATM SG | CNS SG | |
| SINE | B0/4 | Approach Path Monitoring (APM) | 2 | | | | |
| | B1/1 | Enhanced STCA with aircraft parameters | 2 | | | | |
| | B1/2 | Enhanced STCA in complex TMA | 2 | | | | |
| GADS | | | | | | | |
| GADS | B1/1 | Aircraft Tracking | 2 | | | | |
| | B1/2 | Contact directory service | 1 | 2021 | CNS ATM | | |
| RSEQ | | | | | | | |
| | B0/1 | Arrival Management | 1 | 2021 | ASPIG ATM | CNS SG | |
| RSEQ | B0/2 | Departure Management | 2 | | | | |
| RSEQ | B0/3 | Point merge | 2 | | | | |
| | B1/1 | Extended arrival metering | 2 | | | | |
| SURF | | | | | | | |
| | B0/1 | Basic ATCO tools to manage traffic during ground operations | 1 | 2014 | ASPIG | ATM SG CNS SG | |
| | B0/2 | Comprehensive situational awareness of surface operations | 1 | 2014 | ASPIG | ATM SG CNS SG | |
| | B0/3 | Initial ATCO alerting service for surface operations | 1 | 2021 | ASPIG | ATM SG CNS SG | |
| SURF | B1/1 | Advanced features using visual aids to support traffic management during ground operations | 2 | | ASPIG | ATM SG CNS SG | |
| | B1/2 | Comprehensive pilot situational awareness on the airport surface | 2 | | ASPIG | ATM SG CNS SG | |
| | B1/3 | Enhanced ATCO alerting service for surface operations | 2 | | ASPIG | ATM SG CNS SG | |
| | B1/4 | Routing service to support ATCO | 2 | | ASPIG | ATM SG CNS SG | |

| | | surface operations | | | | | |
|------------|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------|--------|------------------------------|--|
| | B1/5 | Enhanced vision systems for taxi operations | 2 | | ASPIG | ATM SG CNS SG | |
| ACDM | | | | | | | |
| | B0/1 | Airport CDM Information Sharing (ACIS) | 1 | 2014 | ASPIG | CNS SG, AIM SG, ATM SG | |
| ACDM | B0/2 | Integration with ATM Network function | 1 | 2014 | ASPIG | CNS SG, AIM SG, ATM SG | |
| ACDIVI | B1/1 | Airport Operations Plan (AOP) | 1 | 2021 | ASPIG | CNS SG, AIM SG, ATM SG | |
| | B1/2 | Airport Operations Centre (APOC) | 2 | | ASPIG | CNS SG, AIM SG, ATM SG | |
| Technology | Threads | | | | | | |
| ASUR | | | | | | | |
| ASUR | B0/1 | ADS-B | 1 | 2021 | CNS SG | ATM SG ASPIG | |
| | B0/2 | MLAT | 1 | 2021 | CNS SG | ATM SG ASPIG | |
| | B0/3 | SSR-DAPS | 1 | 2021 | CNS SG | ATM SG ASPIG | |
| | B1/1 | SB ADS-B | 2 | | | | |
| NAVS | | | | | | | |
| NAVS | B0/1 | Ground Based Augmentation Systems (GBAS) | 2 | | | | |
| | B0/2 | Satellite Based Augmentation Systems (SBAS) | 2 | | | | |
| | B0/3 | Aircraft Based Augmentation Systems (ABAS) | 1 | 2021 | CNS SG | PBN SG ATM SG AIM SG | |
| | B0/4 | Navigation Minimal Operating Networks (Nav. MON) | 1 | 2021 | CNS SG | PBN SG | |
| | B1/1 | Extended GBAS | 2 | | | | |
| COMI | | | | | | | |
| | B0/1 | Aircraft Communication Addressing and Reporting System (ACARS) | 2 | | | | |
| COMI | B0/2 | Aeronautical Telecommunication Network/Open System Interconnection (ATN/OSI) | 2 | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------|--------|------|
| | B0/3 | VHF Data Link (VDL) Mode 0/A | 2 | | | |
| | B0/4 | VHF Data Link (VDL) Mode 2 Basic | 2 | | | |
| | B0/5 | Satellite communications (SATCOM) Class C Data | 2 | | | |
| | B 0/6 | High Frequency Data Link (HFDL) | 2 | | | |
| | B0/7 | AMHS | 1 | 2014 | CNS SG | |
| | B1/1 | Ground-Ground Aeronautical Telecommunication Network/Internet Protocol Suite (ATN/IPS) | 1 | 2021 | CNS SG | |
| | B1/2 | VHF Data Link (VDL) Mode 2 Multi-Frequency | 2 | | | |
| | B1/3 | SATCOM Class B Voice and Data | 2 | | | |
| | B1/4 | Aeronautical Mobile Airport Communication System (AeroMACS) Ground-Ground | 2 | | | |
| COMS | | | | | | |
| | B0/1 | CPDLC (FANS 1/A & ATN B1) for domestic and procedural airspace | 2 | | | |
| | B0/2 | ADS-C (FANS 1/A) for procedural airspace | 2 | | | |
| COMS | B1/1 | PBCS approved CPDLC (FANS 1/A+) for domestic and procedural airspace | 2 | | | |
| | B1/2 | PBCS approved ADS-C (FANS 1/A+) for procedural airspace | 2 | | | |
| | B1/3 | SATVOICE (incl. routine communications) for procedural airspace | 2 | | | |

5. Measuring and Monitoring Air Navigation Performance

5.1 The monitoring of air navigation performance and its enhancement is achieved through identification of relevant air navigation Metrics and Indicators as well as the adoption and attainment of air navigation system Targets. The monitoring of the priority 1 ASBU Threads/Elements is carried out through the MID eANP Volume III.

- 5.2 MIDANPIRG through its activities under the various subsidary bodies will continue to update and monitor the implementation of the ASBU Threads and elements to achieve the air navigation targets.
- 5.3 The priority 1 Threads/Elements along with the associated elements, applicability, performance Indicators, supporting Metrics, and performance Targets are shown in the **Table 2** below.

Note: Further details on the ASBU elements objectives, description, implementation requirements and performance impact assessment can be found on the ICAO GANP Portal https://www4.icao.int/ganpportal/ASBU

6. Governance

- Progress report on the status of implementation of the different priority 1 Threads/Elements should be developed by MIDANPIRG Subsidary bodies and presented to the MIDANPIRG on regular basis. A consolidated MID Air Navigation Report showing the status of implementation of the different priority 1 ASBU Elements by Thread will be developed on annual basis and presented to MIDANPIRG for endorsement.
- 6.2 The MIDANPIRG will be the governing body responsible for the review and update of the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy.
- 6.3 The MID Region Air Navigation Strategy will guide the work of MIDANPIRG and its subsidary bodies and all its member States and partners.
- Progress on the implementation of the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy and the achievement of the agreed air navigation targets will be reported to the ICAO Air Navigation Commission (ANC), through the review of the MIDANPIRG Reports, MID Air Navigation Reports, etc.; and to the stakeholders in the Region within the framework of MIDANPIRG.

Table 2. MONITORING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY 1 ASBU THREADS/ELEMENTS (Block 0 & 1 IN THE MID REGION

| El | lement | Applicability | Performance Indicators/ Supporting Metrics | Targets | Timelines |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Information T | Threads | | | | |
| DAIM | | | | | |
| DAIM B1/1 | Provision of quality-assured aeronautical data and information | All States | Indicator*: Regional average implementation status of DAIM B1/1 (provision of quality-assured aeronautical data and information). Supporting Metrics: 1. Number of States that have implemented QMS for AIS/AIM 2. Number of States that have implemented WGS-84 for horizontal plan (ENR, Terminal, AD) and have implemented WGS-84 Geoid Undulation 3. Number of States that are compliant with the requirements of AIRAC adherence, 4. Number of States that have implemented an AIXM-based AIS database (AIXM V5.1+) 5. Number of States that have established formal arrangements with at least 50% of their AIS data originators. | 80% | Dec 2021 |
| DAIM B1/3 | Provision of digital terrain data sets | All States | Indicator*: Regional average implementation status of DAIM B1/3(Provision of Terrain digital datasets). Supporting Metric: Number of States that provide required Terrain digital datasets | 60% | Dec 2021 |
| DAIM B1/4 | Provision of digital obstacle data sets | All States | Indicator*: Regional average implementation status of DAIM B1/4(Provision of obstacle digital datasets). Supporting Metric: Number of States that provide required obstacle digital datasets | 60 % | Dec 2021 |
| AMET | | | | | |
| AMET B0/1 | Meteorological observations products | All states | Indicator*: Regional average implementation status of B0/1 (Meteorological observations products). Supporting Metrics: Number of States that provide the following Meteorological observations products, as required: 1. Automatic Weather Observation System (AWOS) information | 80% | Dec 2021 |

| El | ement | Applicability | Performance Indicators/ Supporting Metrics | Targets | Timelines |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| | | | (including real-time exchange of wind and RVR data) 2. Local reports (MET REPORT/SPECIAL) 3. Aerodrome reports (METAR/SPECI) 4. Lightning Information 5. Ground-based weather radar information 6. Meteorological satellite imagery 7. Aircraft meteorological report (ie. ADS-B, AIREP, etc.) 8. Vertical wind and temperature profiles 9. Wind shear alerts | | |
| AMET B0/2 | Meteorological forecast and warning products | All states | Indicator*: Regional average implementation status of B0/2 (Meteorological forecasts and warning products) Supporting Metrics: Number of States that provides the following Meteorological forecast and warning products, as required: 1. World Area Forecast System (WAFS) gridded products 2. Significant Weather (SIGWX) 3. Aerodrome Forecast (TAF) 4. Trend Forecast (TREND) 5. Take-off Forecast 6. SIGMET 7. Aerodrome Warning 8. Wind Shear Warning | 90% | Dec 2021 |
| AMET B0/3 | Climatological and historical meteorological products | All states | Indicator: % of States that provide Climatological and historical meteorological products, as required. Supporting Metric: Number of States that provide Climatological and historical meteorological products, as required | 85% | Dec 2021 |
| AMET B0/4 | Dissemination of meteorological products | All states | Indicator: % of States disseminating Meteorological products using a variety of formats and means (TAC, Gridded, Graphical, BUFR code, IWXXM) Supporting Metric: Number of States disseminating Meteorological products using a variety of formats and means (TAC, Gridded, Graphical, BUFR code, IWXXM) | 85% | Dec 2021 |
| FICE | | | | | |
| FICE B0/1 | Automated basic inter facility data exchange (AIDC) | According to the MID Region AIDC/OLDI Priority 1 Applicability Area at Attachment A | Indicator*: % of priority 1 AIDC/OLDI Interconnection have been implemented Supporting metric: Number of AIDC/OLDI interconnections implemented between adjacent ACCs | 70% | Dec 2020 |

| El | ement | Applicability | Performance Indicators/ Supporting Metrics | Targets | Timelines |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Operational 7 | Threads | | | | |
| APTA | | | | | |
| APTA B0/1 | PBN Approaches (with basic capabilities) | All RWYs ENDs at International Aerodromes | Indicator: % of Runway ends at international aerodromes provided with Baro-VNAV approach procedures (LNAV/VNAV) Supporting metric: Number of Runways ends at international aerodromes provided with Baro-VNAV approach procedures (LNAV/VNAV) | 100% | Dec 2017 |
| APTA B0/2 | PBN SID and STAR procedures (with basic capabilities) | All RWYs ENDs at International Aerodromes | Indicator: % of Runway ends at international aerodromes provided with PBN SID and STAR (basic capabilities). Supporting Metric: Number of Runway ends at international aerodromes provided with PBN SID and STAR (basic capabilities). | 70% | Dec 2022 |
| APTA B0/4 | CDO (Basic) | OBBI, OIIE, OIKB, OIFM, OJAI, OLBA, OOMS, OTHH, OTBD, OEJN, OEMA, OEDF, OERK, HSSS, HSPN, OMAA, OMAL, OMAD, OMDW, OMDB, OMSJ, OMRK and | Indicator*: % of International Aerodromes with CDO implemented as required. Supporting Metric: Number of International Aerodromes with CDO implemented as required. *As per the applicability area | 100% | Dec 2021 |
| APTA B0/5 | CCO (Basic) | OBBI, OIIE, OIKB, OIFM, OJAI, OLBA, OOMS, OTHH, OTBD, OEJN, OEMA, OEDF, OERK, HSSS, HSPN, OMAA, OMAL, OMAD, OMDW, OMDB, OMSJ, OMRK and | Indicator*: % of International Aerodromes with CCO implemented as required. Supporting Metric: Number of International Aerodromes with CCO implemented as required. *As per the applicability area | 100% | Dec 2021 |
| APTA B0/7 | Performance based aerodrome operating minima – Advanced aircraft | All States | Indicator: % of States authorizing Performance-based Aerodrome Operating Minima for Air operators operating Advanced aircraft. Supporting Metric: Number of States authorizing Performance-based Aerodrome Operating Minima for Air operators operating Advanced aircraft. | 50% | Dec 2021 |
| FRTO | | | | | |

| Element | | Applicability | Performance Indicators/ Supporting Metrics | Targets | Timelines |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| FRTO B0/2 | Airspace planning and Flexible Use of Airspace (FUA) | Bahrain, Egypt, Jordan, Qatar, Saudi Arabia (2 ACCs), Sudan, UAE | Indicator*: % of ACCs using and implementing appropriate means (procedures and tools (automation)) to support Airspace planning and FUA and improve data exchange between Civil and Military to improve efficiency of Airspace. | | |
| | | | Supporting metric: Number of ACCs using and implementing appropriate means (procedures and tools (automation)) to support Airspace planning and FUA and improve data exchange between Civil and Military to improve efficiency of Airspace. | 50% | Dec 2022 |
| FRTO B0/4 | Basic conflict detection and conformance monitoring | Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia (2 ACCs), Sudan, UAE | * As per the applicability area Indicator*: % States that implemented MTCD and MONA, for ACCs, as required. Supporting metric: The number of States that implemented MTCD and MONA for ACCs, as required. * As per the applicability area | 70% | Dec 2021 |
| NODC | | | | | |
| NOPS B0/1 | B0/1 Initial integration of collaborative airspace management with air traffic flow management | | Indicator*: % of States implementing ASM/ATFM techniques, procedures and tools for the initial establishment of an integrated collaborative airspace management and air traffic flow and capacity management process Supporting metric: number of States implementing ASM/ATFM techniques, procedures and tools for the initial establishment of an integrated collaborative airspace management and air traffic flow and capacity management process. * As per the applicability area | 50% | Dec 2022 |
| ACAS | | | | | |
| ACAS B1/1 | ACAS Improvements Operational | All States | Indicator: % of States requiring carriage of ACAS (TCAS v 7.1) for aircraft with a max certificated take-off mass greater than 5.7 tons Supporting metric: Number of States requiring carriage of ACAS (TCAS v 7.1) for aircraft with a max certificated take-off mass greater than 5.7 tons | 100% | Dec 2017 |
| SNET | | | | | |
| SNET B0/1 | Short Term Conflict Alert (STCA) | Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, | Indicator*: % of States that have implemented Short-term conflict alert (STCA) | 80 % | Dec 2018 |

| Element | | Applicability | Performance Indicators/ Supporting Metrics | Targets | Timelines |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Qatar, S | | Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, UAE | Supporting metric: number of States that have implemented Short-term conflict alert (STCA) | | |
| | | | * As per the applicability area | | |
| SNET B0/2 | Minimum Safe Altitude Warning (MSAW) | Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, Syria, UAE | Indicator*: % of States that have implemented Minimum safe altitude warning (MSAW) Supporting metric: number of States that have implemented Minimum safe altitude warning (MSAW) | 80 % | Dec 2018 |
| | | | * As per the applicability area | | |
| SNET B0/3 | Area Proximity Warning (APW) Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Jordan, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, | | Indicator*: % of States that have implemented Area Proximity Warning (APW) for ACCs, as required Supporting metric: number of States that have Implemented Area Proximity Warning (APW) for ACCs, as required | t have ity Warning red r of States that 70% | |
| | | UAE | warming (Ar w) for ACCs, as required | | |
| | | | * As per the applicability area | | |
| GADS | | | | | |
| GADS B1/2 | Contact directory service All States | | Indicator: % of States that provided GADSS Point of Contact (PoC) information Supporting Metric: Number of States that provided GADSS Point of Contact (PoC) information | 100% | Dec 2021 |
| RSEQ | | | | | |
| RSEQ B0/1 | SEQ B0/1 Arrival Management OBBI, HECA, HEBA, HELX, HESN, HESH, OTBD, OTHH, OEJN, OEDF, OEMA, OERK OMDB, OMAA | | Indicator*: % of Aerodromes that have implemented arrival manager (AMAN), where required/applicable Supporting Metric: Number of Aerodrome that have implemented arrival manager (AMAN), where required/ applicable * As per the applicability area | 80% | Dec 2022 |
| SURF | | | | | |
| SURF-B0/1 | Basic ATCO tools to manage traffic during ground operations | All International Aerodromes | Indicator: % of Aerodromes having implemented Basic ATCO tools to manage traffic during ground operations Supporting metric: Number of Aerodromes having implemented Basic ATCO tools to manage traffic during ground operations | 100% | Dec 2021 |
| SURF-B0/2 | Comprehensive situational awareness of surface operations | OBBI, HECA, OIII, OOMS, OTBD, OTHH, OEDF, OEJN, | Indicator*: % of Airports having implemented the surveillance service of A-SMGCS Supporting metric: Number of Airports | 80% | Dec 2021 |

| Element | | Applicability | Performance Indicators/ Supporting Metrics | Targets | Timelines |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|
| | | OERK, OEMA, OMDB, OMAA. | having implemented the surveillance service of A-SMGCS | | |
| | | | * As per the applicability area | | |
| alerting service for surface operations | | OBBI, HECA, OIII, OOMS, OTBD, OTHH, OEDF, OEJN, | Indicator*: % of Airports having implemented the A-SMGCS alerting service. | 000/ | D 2021 |
| | | OERK, OEMA, OMDB, OMAA. | Supporting metric: Number of Airports having implemented the A- SMGCS alerting service | 80% | Dec 2021 |
| ACDM | | | * As per the applicability area | | |
| ACDIVI | A large and CDM | ODDI OIII | I Line * 0/ . C Airm et al | I | |
| | Airport CDM Information Sharing (ACIS) | OBBI, OIII, OKBK, OOMS, OTHH, OEJN, | Indicator*: % of Airports having implemented ACIS | | |
| ACDM B0/1 | Siming (FICIS) | OERK, OMDB, OMAA | Supporting metric: number of Airports having implemented ACIS | 50% | Dec 2021 |
| A CDM DO/2 | T 4 41 141 | ODDI OIII | * As per the applicability area Indicator*: % of Airports having | | |
| ACDM B0/2 | Integration with ATM Network function | OBBI, OIII, OKBK, OOMS, OTHH, OEJN, | integrated ACDM with the ATM Network function. | | |
| | | OERK, OMDB, OMAA. | Summarting and this Number of Aimants | | |
| | | OMAA. | Supporting metric: Number of Airports having integrated ACDM with the ATM Network function | 50% | Dec 2022 |
| | | | * As per the applicability area | | |
| | Airport | OBBI, OIII, OKBK, OOMS, OTHH, OEJN, OERK, OMDB, | Indicator*: % of Airports having implemented an Airport Operations Plan (AOP) | | |
| ACDM B1/1 | Operations Plan (AOP) | OMAA. | Supporting metric: having implemented an Airport Operations Plan (AOP) | 50% | Dec 2021 |
| | | | * As per the applicability area | | |
| Technology T | hreads | | | | |
| ASUR | | | | | |
| ASUR B0/1 | Automatic Dependent Surveillance – Broadcast | (Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, | Indicator*: % of States that have implemented ADS-B to improve surveillance coverage/capabilities | | |
| | (ADS-B) | Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Sudan, UAE) | Supporting Metric: Number of States that have implemented ADS-B to improve surveillance coverage/capabilities | 80% | Dec 2022 |
| | | | * As per the applicability area | | |
| ASUR B0/2 | Multilateration cooperative surveillance | Bahrain, Egypt, Jordan, Kuwait, Oman, Saudi | Indicator*: % of States that have implemented Multi-lateration (M-LAT) | | |
| | systems (MLAT) | Arabia, Qatar, UAE | Supporting Metric: Number of States that have implemented Multi-lateration (M-LAT) | 80% | Dec 2022 |
| | r Mayigation Stratagy | | 15 | l | Johnson 2021 |

| Element | | Applicability | bility Performance Indicators/ | | Timelines |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------|
| | | | * As per the applicability area | | |
| ASUR B0/3 | Cooperative Surveillance Radar Downlink of Aircraft Parameters (SSR-DAPS) | Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Lebanon, Jordan, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan and UAE | Indicator*: % of States that have implemented Downlink of Aircraft Parameters (SSR-DAPS) Supporting Metric: Number of States that have implemented Downlink of Aircraft Parameters (SSR-DAPS) * As per the applicability area | 80% | Dec 2021 |
| NAVS | | | | | |
| NAVS B0/3 | Aircraft Based Augmentation Systems (ABAS) | All States | Indicator: % of States requiring Aircraft Based Augmentation System (ABAS) equipage for aircraft with a max certificated take-off mass greater than 5,700 Kg to enable PBN Operations Supporting metric: Number of States requiring Aircraft Based Augmentation System (ABAS) equipage for aircraft with a max certificated take-off mass greater than 5,700 Kg to enable PBN Operations | 70% | Dec 2021 |
| NAVS B0/4 | Navigation Minimal Operating Networks (Nav. MON) | All States | Indicator: % of States that have developed a plan of rationalized conventional NAVAIDS network to ensure the necessary levels of resilience for navigation Supporting metric: Number of States that have developed a plan of rationalized conventional NAVAIDS network to ensure the necessary levels of resilience for navigation | 70% | Dec 2022 |
| COMI | | | | | |
| COMI B0/7 | ATS Message Handling System (AMHS) | All States | Indicator: % of States that have established AMHS interconnections with adjacent COM Centres Supporting metric: Number of States that have established AMHS interconnections with adjacent COM Centres | 90% | Dec 2020 |
| COMI B1/1 | Ground-Ground Aeronautical Telecommunica tion Network/Interne t Protocol Suite (ATN/IPS) | All States | Indicator: % of States that have established National IP Network for voice and data communication Supporting metric: Number of States that have established National IP Network for voice and data communication | 80% | Dec 2021 |

PART II: PERFORMANCE MONITORING OF THE AIR NAVIGATION SYSTEM

1. Introduction

The Performance-Based Approach (PBA) is a decision-making method based on three principles: strong focus on desired/required results; informed decision-making driven by those desired/required results; and reliance on facts and data for decision-making. The PBA is a way of organizing the performance management process.

The Thirteenth Air Navigation Conference recommended that the planning and implementation regional groups (PIRGs) embrace a performance-based approach for implementation and adopt the six-step performance management process, as described in the Manual on Global Performance of the Air Navigation System (Doc 9883), Recommendation 4.3/1 — Improving the performance of the air navigation system refers.

Doc 9883 outlines the general sequence of steps in the performance management process that States should implement as follow:

Step 1: define/review scope, context and general ambitions/expectations.

The purpose of Step 1 is to reach a common agreement on the scope and context of the "system" on which the performance management process will be applied, as well as a common view on the general nature of the expected performance improvements. An important part of the PBA is the development of cause-effect relationships between these technical performance characteristics and the selected higher level KPAs from the eleven key performance areas (KPAs) as identified in the Global Air Traffic Management Operational Concept (Doc 9854).

Step 2: Identify opportunities, issues and set (new) objectives

Based on the scope, context and general ambitions/expectations which were agreed to during the previous step, the system should be analysed in order to develop an inventory of present and future opportunities and issues (weaknesses, threats) that may require performance management attention. This part of the process is generally known as the SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats) analysis.

Step 3: Quantify objectives

During this step, the current/past performance (Perfromance Baseline), expected future performance, as well as actual progress in achieving performance objectives is quantitatively expressed by means of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). Performance targets (KPAs) are closely associated with performance indicators (KPIs) as they represent the values of performance indicators that need to be reached or exceeded to consider a performance objective as being fully achieved. The objectives in PBA should be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-bound (SMART). The difference between the baseline and the target is called the performance gap.

Step 4: Select solutions to exploit opportunities and resolve issues

This is the part of the process where decision-makers need to know their options for mitigating pre-identified issues and therefore to exploit available opportunities. The solution might be ASBU or non-ASBU solution. Depending on the nature of the project, the output of this process step is either a single preferred solution or a road map of selected solutions. In any case, decision-makers need to gain a good understanding of the strategic fit, the benefits, cost and feasibility of each option for operational improvement.

Step 5: Implement solutions

Step 5 is the execution phase of the performance management process. This is where the changes and improvements that were decided upon during the previous step are organized into detailed plans, implemented, and begin delivering benefits.

Step 6: Assess achievement of objectives

The purpose of Step 6 is to continuously keep track of performance and monitor whether performance gaps are being closed as planned and expected. First and foremost, this implies data collection to populate the supporting metrics with the data needed to calculate the performance indicators. The indicators are then compared with the targets defined during Step 3 to draw conclusions on the speed of progress in achieving the objectives.

2. MID AIR NAVIGATION KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (KPIS)

Data collection, processing, storage and reporting are fundamental to the performance-based approach. The data can be captured by automatic means and forwarded in electronic form with little or no human intervention or manually reported that requires human effort to collect, interpret, analyse, structure and otherwise prepare the data for reporting.

The 6th edition of the Global Air Navigation Plan (GANP, Doc 9750) includes 19 key performance indicators (KPIs) for States' adoption to facilitate the performance-based approach and management to improve air traffic management (ATM) operations. An overview of ICAO KPIs is at https://www4.icao.int/ganpportal/ASBU/KPI. In the MID Region, an initial set of KPIs has been identified to be used for monitroing the performance of the Air Navigation System at National and Regional Levels, as in **Table 3**.

Table 3. MID Air Navigation KPIs

| KPI (KPAs) | Title / Definition | Measureme nt Units | Variants | Data Requirement | Formula / Algorithm | Data collection Timeframe |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| KPI 01 (predicta bility) | Departure punctuality Percentage of flights departing from the gate on-time (compared to schedule). | % of flights | Variant 2A - % of departures within ± 15 minutes of scheduled time of departure | For each departing scheduled flight: - List of all IFR scheduled departure for each international aerodrome - Scheduled time of departure (STD) or Scheduled off-block time (SOBT) - Actual off-block time (AOBT) | At the level of individual flights: 1. Exclude non-scheduled departures 2. Categorize each scheduled departure as on-time or not At aggregated/National level: 3. Compute the KPI: number of on-time departures divided by total number of IFR scheduled departures | 1 month |
| KPI 02 (Efficien cy Environ mental Impact) | Taxi-out additional time Actual taxi-out time compared to an unimpeded/refer ence taxi-out time. | Excess taxiout time in Minutes/flight | Variant 1 – basic (computed without departure gate and runway data) | For each departing flight: -List of all IFR departures for each international aerodrome - Actual off-block time (AOBT) - Actual take-off time (ATOT) | At the level of individual flights: 1. Select departing flights, exclude helicopters 2. Compute actual taxi-out duration: ATOT minus AOBT 3. Compute additional taxi-out time: actual taxi-out duration minus unimpeded/reference taxi-out time At aggregated/National level: 4. Compute the KPI: sum of additional taxi-out times divided by number of IFR departures | 1 month |
| KPI 13 (Efficien cy Environ mental Impact | Taxi-in additional time Actual taxi-in time compared to an unimpeded/refer ence taxi-in time | Excess taxi-in time in Minutes/flight | Variant 1 – basic (computed without landing runway and arrival gate data) | For each arriving flight: - List of all IFR scheduled Arrivals for each international aerodrome - Actual landing time (ALDT) - Actual in-block time (AIBT) | At the level of individual flights: 1. Select arriving flights, exclude helicopters 2. Compute actual taxi-in duration: AIBT minus ALDT 3. Compute additional taxi-in time: actual taxi-in duration minus unimpeded/reference taxi-in time At aggregated/National level: 4. Compute the KPI: sum of additional taxi-in times divided by number of IFR arrivals | 1 month |
| KPI 14 (predicta bility) | Arrival punctuality Percentage of flights arriving at the gate on- time (compared to schedule) | % of flights | Variant 2A - % of arrivals within ± 15 minutes of scheduled time of arrival | For each arriving scheduled flight: - List of all IFR scheduled arrival for each international aerodrome - Scheduled time of arrival (STA) or Scheduled in-block time (SIBT) - Actual in-block time (AIBT) | At the level of individual flights: 1. Exclude non-scheduled arrivals 2. Categorize each scheduled arrival as on-time or not At aggregated/National level: 3. Compute the KPI: number of on-time arrivals divided by total number of scheduled arrivals | 1 month |

MID Region AIDC/OLDI Applicability Area (Priority 1 and 2 for Implementation) As of July 2018

| ACC | Adjacent ACCs | | | | | | |
|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Amman | Cairo (1) | Baghdad (2) | Damascus (2) | Jeddah (1) | Tel Aviv (2) | | |
| Baghdad | Amman (2) | Ankara (1) | Damascus (2) | Jeddah (2) | Tehran (2) | Kuwait (1) | |
| Bahrain | Doha (1) | Emirates (1) | Jeddah (1) | Kuwait (1) | Riyadh (1) | Tehran (2) AFTN MSG | Dammam(2) |
| Beirut | Damascus (2) | | Nicosia (1) | | | | |
| Cairo | Amman (1) | Athena (2) | Jeddah (1) | Khartoum (1) | Nicosia (1) | Tel Aviv (2) | Tripoli (2) |
| Damascus | Amman (2) | Ankara (2) | Bagdad (2) | Beirut (2) | Nicosia (2) | | |
| Doha* | Bahrain (1) | Emirates (1) | Jeddah (2) | Riyadh (2) | | | |
| Emirates | Bahrain (1) | Doha (1) | Jeddah (1) | Muscat (1) | Tehran (2) AFTN MSG | | |
| Jeddah | Amman (1) | Asmara (2) | Baghdad (2) | Bahrain (1) | Cairo (1) | Doha (2) | - Emirates (1) |
| Jeddan | Khartoum (1) | Kuwait (2) | Muscat (1) | Riyadh (1) | Callo (1) | Sana'a (2) | |
| Riyadh | Bahrain (1) | Doha (2) | Kuwait (2) | Jeddah (1) | | | |
| Khartoum | Addis (1) | Asmara (2) | Brazzaville (2) | Cairo (1) | Entebbe (2) | Jeddah (1) | Juba (1) |
| Knartoum | Kinshasa (2) | N'Djamena (2) | Nairobi (2) | Tripoli (2) | | | |
| Kuwait | Baghdad (1) | Bahrain (1) | Jeddah (2) | Tehran (2) | | | |
| Muscat | Emirates (1) | Jeddah (1) | Karachi (2) | Mumbai (1) | Sana'a (2) | Tehran (1) | |
| Sana'a | Djibouti (Addis Ababa) (2) | Asmara (2) | Jeddah (2) | Mogadishu (2) | Mumbai (2) | Muscat (2) | |
| Tehran | Ankara (1) | Ashgabat (2) | Baghdad (2) | Bahrain (1) | Baku (2) | Emirates (2) AFTN MSG | Kabul (2) |
| | Karachi (1) | Kuwait (2) | Muscat (1) | Yerevan (2) | | | |
| Tripoli | Algiers (2) | Cairo (2) | Khartoum (2) | Malta (2) | N'Djamena (2) | Niamey (2) | Tunis (2) |

^{(1) =} Priority 1 for implementation based on the number of traffic movements and/or operational needs (Green color means already implemented)

⁽²⁾ = $Priority\ 2$ for implementation based on the number of traffic movements or if other solution is in place such as exchange of information via AFTN