

International Civil Aviation Organization

Fifth Meeting of the Aerodrome Safety, Planning & Implementation Group

(ASPIG/5) (Doha, Qatar, 13-15 June 2023)

Agenda Item 2: Regional Performance Framework for Aerodrome Safety

AERODROME SAFETY DASHBOARD

(Presented by the Secretariat)

SUMMARY

This working paper provides updates on the status on the Aerodrome Certification and Runway Safety Team Implementation including the Global Reporting Format (GRF) in the MID Region.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

REFERENCES

- ASPIG Reports
- Annex 14, Volume I Aerodrome Design and Operations

1. Introduction

- 1.1 A dashboard about the safety of aerodromes in terms of design and operations is important for several reasons:
 - Safety: The primary reason for having such a dashboard is to ensure the safety of all air travelers. Aerodromes are complex infrastructure that involves many different systems and processes, including air traffic control, runway design, lighting, and navigation aids. A dashboard that tracks safety metrics can help identify potential safety risks and allow airport operators to take corrective action before an accident occurs.
 - *Compliance*: Aerodromes are subject to strict regulations and standards set by Civil Aviation Authorities, such as the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). A dashboard that tracks compliance with these regulations can help airport operators ensure that they are meeting all necessary requirements.
 - *Reputation*: Ensuring the safety of air travelers is essential for maintaining the reputation of an aerodrome. A dashboard that tracks safety metrics and demonstrates a commitment to safety can help build trust with passengers and airlines.
- 1.2 In summary, a dashboard about the safety of aerodromes in terms of design and operations is important because it helps ensure the safety of air travelers, ensures compliance with regulations, improves efficiency, and maintains the reputation of the aerodrome.

2. DISCUSSION

Aerodrome Safety Management

- 2.1 The meeting may wish to note the Aerodromes Safety Dashboard presented at **Appendix A**, for aerodromes included in the MID eANP Vol I / AOP Table I-1 and, providing the levels of implementation in terms of:
 - Aerodromes Certification,
 - Aerodromes Runway Safety Teams Establishment,
 - Aerodromes Readiness for GRF Deployment, and
 - States' National GRF Implementation Plans Progress.
- 2.2 The meeting may wish to note that the Aerodromes Safety Dashboard is key tool for an informed decision-making to be taken by ICAO and MID States in order to define the way forward on effective Aerodrome Safety Management.
- 2.3 The meeting may wish to agree that the current Aerodrome Safety Dashboard would continuously feed the MID Region Annual Safety Report.
- 2.4 The meeting may wish to highlight the reliability of the Dashboard is related to the consistency of the MID eANP Tables AOP I-1. Consequently, the meeting may wish to note the importance of notifying the ICAO MID Office about the List of International Airports opened for public use in order to issue the necessary Proposal for Amendment (PFA) to amend the MID eANP Vol I in accordance with the PFA approval process.

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

- 3.1 The meeting is invited to encourage States to:
 - a) certify Aerodromes included in MID eANP Vol I (AOP Table I-1) through a comprehensive regulatory framework;
 - b) promptly notify the ICAO MID Office about any update/modification of their Aerodrome Certification and RST Implementation Plans; and
 - c) complete the GRF Implementation and establish an oversight mechanism to ensure it effective deployment at the aerodrome level.

APPENDIX A

MID Region Aerodromes Safety Dashboard Total # Aerodrome Traffic AD Certification Implementation AD Local RST Establishment AD Readiness for GRF Deployment Designation Location of AD Aerodrome Name National GRF (AOP Table I-State Citv Indicator v Code (AOP (AOP Table I-I) Established Implementation Plan Progress Level of Implementation Level of Implementation Level of Deployment AOP Table I-I) Table I-I) 100.00% 100.00% \bigcirc 100.00% Rahrain RS 100.00% Bahrain International Airport OPPI Borg ElArab SORG ELARAB INT AIRPORT HEBA **S** MAN INT AIRPORT HESN AIDO INT AIDDODT HECA RS Cairo **V V** IRGHADA INT AIRPORT HEGN Egypt \bigcirc \bigcirc UXOR INT AIRPORT HELX RS **(** MARSA ALAM INT AIRPORT \bigcirc HARM EL SHEIKH INT AIRPORT HESH Bander Abass OIKB RS Bandar Abbas International Airport X Fefahan Shahid Beheshti International Airport OIEM × \bigcirc Shahid Hashemi Nejad International Airpoi OIMM × \bigcirc Shahid Dastghaib International Airport OISS RS **⊘** × RNS 44.44% Tabriz International Airport OITT Tahran Imam Khomaini International Airport OIIE × Tahran /lehrabad Intl/ OIII OIII RS \bigcirc Shahid Sadooghi International Airport OIYY \bigcirc RS 7ahadan Zahedan International Airport OIZH Al-Najaf × × RNS Il-Najaf Al-Ashraf International Airport ORNI 8 8 8 Baghdad aghdad International Airport ORBI RS × Sasrah International Airport 8 × × RS Erbil International Airport ORFR × × × Mosul osul International Airport ORBM RS × Sulaymaniyah International Airport ORSU RS \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc Queen Alia International Airport 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 93.33% \checkmark RS OJAQ AQABA King Hussein International Airport

MID Region **Aerodromes Safety Dashboard** Total # Aerodrome Traffic AD Certification Implementation AD Local RST Establishment AD Readiness for GRF Deployment Designation Location of AD Aerodrome Name **National GRF** State City Indicator (AOP Table I-I y Code (AOP (AOP Table I-I) Implementation Plan Progress Established Level of Implementation Level of Implementation Ready Level of Deployment (AOP Table I-I) Light Medium Heavy Table I-I) 100.00% 100.00% \bigcirc (uwait International Airport RS 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% × RS OLBA BEIRUT Rafic Hariri International Airport × × X BENGHAZI Benina International Airport HLLB RS Libya LBY 3 RS SERHA Sebha International Airport HLLS × × × HLLT RS TRIPOLI Tripoli International Airport \bigcirc Muscat International Airport RS 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% Oman Salalah International Airport AS \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc Ooha International Airport OTBD RS 100.00% Qatar QAT 100.00% \bigcirc Hamad International Airport RS

MID Region Aerodromes Safety Dashboard																							
		Total #					AD Certification Implementation		AD Local RST Establishment		AD Readiness for GRF Deployment			Aerodrome Traffic									
State	Countr	of AD	City	Aerodrome Name	Location Indicator	Designation (AOP Table I-I	1.00						National GRF	D	ensity								
State	y Code		City	(AOP Table I-I)	(AOP Table I-I))	Certified	Level of Implementation	Established	Level of Implementation	Ready	Level of Deployment	Implementation Plan Progress	Light	Medium Heavy								
	SAU	Table I-I)	DAMMAM	King Fahd International Airport	OEDF	RS	(Ø														
Saudi Arabia			JEDDAH	King Abdulaziz International Airport	OEJN	RS	•	100.00%	Ø	100.00%	Ø	100.00%	93.33%										
			MADINAH	Prince Mohammad Bin Abdulaziz International Airport	OEMA	RS	②		Ø		Ø												
			RIYADH	King Khalid International Airport	OERK	RS			Ø		Ø												
	SDN	4	EL OBEID	El Obeid International Airport	HSOB	AS	②		Ø		Ø												
Sudan			KHARTOUM	Khartoum International Airport	HSSS	RS	•	75.00%	Ø	100.00%	②	100.00%	80.00%										
			NYALA	Nyala International Airport	HSNN	AS	8		Ø		Ø												
			PORT SUDAN	Port Sudan International Airport	HSPN	RS	②		Ø		Ø												
	SYR	3	ALEPPO	Aleppo International Airport	OSAP	RS	8		Ø		8												
Syria			3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	DAMASCUS	Damascus International Airport	OSDI	RS	8	0.00%	Ø	66.67%	8	0.00%	20.00%		
			LATTAKIA	Lattakia International Airport	OSLK	RS	8		8		8												
			ABU DHABI	Abu Dhabi International Airport	OMAA	RS	(Ø												
			ABU DHABI	Al Bateen International Airport	OMAD	RNS			②														
			AL AIN	Al Ain In International Airporttl	OMAL	RS	000		O O O O O O O O O O		O O O O O												
			DUBAI	Al Maktoum International Airport	OMDW	RS	Ø	100.00%	Ø	100.00%	Ø	100.00%	100.00%										
UAE	ARE	8	DUBAI	Dubai International Airport	OMBD	RS	②	100.00%				100.00%	100.00%										
			FUJAIRAH	Fujairah International Airport	OMFJ	RS	Ø		Ø						\longrightarrow								
			RAS AL KHAIMAH	Ras Al Khaimah International Airport	OMRK	RS	O		Ø		O												
	YEM		SHARJAH	Sharjah In International Airportti Aden International Airport	OMSJ	RS RS	⊘		⊘		⊘												
			HODEIDAH	Aden International Airport Hodeidah International Airport	OYAA	RS RS																	
Yemen		5	MUKALLA	Riyan International Airport	OYRN	RS RS	⊗	0.00%	⊗	0.00%	⊗	0.00%	0.00%										
.cc.i			SANA'A	Sana'a International Airport	OYSN	RS	8		8		8												
			TAIZ	Taiz International Airport	OYTZ	RS	8		8		8												

				А	MID Regioners Safety		ard					
State	Countr y Code	Total # of AD		AD Cer	AD Certification Implementation		AD Local RST Establishment		diness for GRF Deployment	National GRF		drome Traffic Density
					Level of Implementation	Established	Level of Implementation	Ready	Level of Deployment	Implementation Plan Progress		Medium Heavy
MID REGION AERODROMES SAFETY DASHBOARD		58		34	58.62%	42	72.41%	38	65.52%	65.33%	38	17 3

General Guidance:

• Country Code : ISO 3-Letter Code of the Country

• City/Aerodrome: Name of the city and aerodrome, preceded by the location indicator.

• Designation: Operability of the aerodrome as indicated on the MID eANP Vol I (AOP Table I-1):

RS : international scheduled air transport, regular use; RNS : international non-scheduled air transport, regular use; AS : international scheduled air transport, alternate use; ANS : international non-scheduled air transport, alternate use.

<u>Note 1</u>: when an aerodrome is needed for more than one type of use, normally only the use highest on the above list is shown. [Example: an aerodrome required for both RS and AS use would only be shown as RS in the list.]

Note 2: when the aerodrome is located on an island and no particular city or town is served by the aerodrome, the name of the island is included instead of the name of a city.

• Aerodrome certification process:

- **Phase 1**: Dealing with the expression of interest by an intending applicant for the aerodrome certificate;
- Phase 2: Assessing the formal application, including evaluation of the aerodrome manual;
- **Phase 3**: Assessing the aerodrome facilities and equipment;
- Phase 4: Issuing or refusing an aerodrome certificate; and
- Phase 5: Promulgating the certified status of an aerodrome and the required details in the AIP.

Aerodrome Traffic Density

- a) Light. The number of movements in the mean busy hour is not greater than 15 per runway or typically less than 20 total aerodrome movements.
- b) Medium. The number of movements in the mean busy hour is of the order of 16 to 25 per runway or typically between 20 to 35 total aerodrome movements.
- c) Heavy. The number of movements in the mean busy hour is of the order of 26 or more per runway or typically more than 35 total aerodrome movements.

<u>Note 1.</u> The number of movements in the mean busy hour is the arithmetic mean over the year of the number of movements in the daily busiest hour. <u>Note 2.</u> Either a take-off or a landing constitutes a movement.