

International Civil Aviation Organization

Aerodrome Safety & Planning Implementation Group

Fourth Meeting (ASPIG/4) (Virtual Meeting, 14-16 March 2022)

Agenda Item 2: Regional Performance Framework for Aerodrome Safety

AERODROME SAFETY DASHBOARD

(Presented by the Secretariat)

SUMMARY

This working paper provides updates on the status on the Aerodrome Certification and Runway Safety Team Implementation including the Global Reporting Format (GRF) in the MID Region.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

REFERENCES

- ASPIG/1 Report
- RSC/7 Report
- Annex 14, Volume I Aerodrome Design and Operations
- Doc 9774, Manual on Certification of Aerodromes

1. Introduction

1.1 The design, maintenance and the planning of operations at aerodromes contribute together on the safety of aircrafts operations.

2. DISCUSSION

Aerodrome Safety Management

- 2.1 The meeting may wish to note the Aerodromes Safety Dashboard at **Appendix A**, for aerodromes included in the MID eANP Vol I / AOP Table I-1 and, providing the levels of implementation in terms of:
 - Aerodromes Certification;
 - Aerodromes Runway Safety Teams Establishment;
 - Aerodromes Readiness for GRF Deployment; and
 - States' National GRF Implementation Plans Progress.
- 2.2 The meeting may wish to note that the Aerodromes Safety Dashboard is key tool for an informed decision-making to be taken by ICAO and MID States in order to define the way forward on effective Aerodrome Safety Management.

- 2.3 The meeting may wish to agree that the RASG-MID Annual Safety Report (ref: https://www.icao.int/MID/MIDANPIRG/Documents/Plans/MIDASR9.pdf) be updated to include a dedicated Aerodrome Safety Management Part reflecting the data reported as per the Aerodromes Safety Dashboard.
- 2.4 The meeting may wish to note that under Article 38 of the Chicago Convention, contracting States are required to notify ICAO of any differences between their national regulations and practices and the international standards contained in the annexes. States are also invited to extend such notification to any difference from the Recommended Practices, when the notification of such differences is important for the safety of air navigation. Accordingly, States, which didn't implement yet their national GRF requirements, shall promptly notify ICAO on those differences.
- 2.5 The meeting may wish to note that the ICAO MID Office received a request from Iran and Iraq in order to update theirs International Aerodromes lists and their related data respectively included in the MID eANP Tables AOP I-1 and AOP II-1. Accordingly, ICAO MID Office is coordinating with both States in order to issue a Proposal for Amendment (PFA) to amend the MID eANP in accordance with the PFA approval process.

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

- 3.1 The meeting is invited to encourage States to:
 - a) certify Aerodromes included in MID eANP Vol I (AOP Table I-1) through a comprehensive regulatory framework;
 - b) notify ICAO MID Office promptly about any update/modification of the their detailed Aerodrome Certification and RST Implementation Plans; and
 - c) foster the GRF Implementation and it deployment on aerodromes.

MID Region Aerodromes Safety Dashboard Aerodrome Traffic Total # AD Certification Implementation AD Local RST Establishment AD Readiness for GRF Deployment Location Density of AD **Aerodrome Name** Designation **National GRF** Indicator State City (AOP (AOP Table I-I) (AOP Table I-I) y Code Certified Level of Implementation Established Level of Implementation Level of Deployment Implementation Plan Progress (AOP Table I-I) Table I-I) \bigcirc 100.00% Bahrain BHR Bahrain International Airport OBBI BORG ELARAB INT AIRPORT ✓ V RS V V Cairo HECA RS V **⊘** RS HURGHADA INT AIRPORT Hurehada Egypt EGY **⊘** Luxor \bigcirc \bigcirc Marsa Alam MARSA ALAM INT AIRPORT нема RNS \checkmark \bigcirc Sharm El Shaikh SHARM EL SHEIKH INT AIRDORT HESH pc \bigcirc Rander Ahass Bandar Abbas International Airport OIKB RS × **(** Esfahan OIFM Shahid Beheshti International Airport X \bigcirc Shahid Hashemi Neiad International Airport RS Mashhad OIMM **⊘** RS X Shiraz Shahid Dastghaib International Airport OISS 44.44% OITT RNS Tabriz Tabriz International Airport Iran RS **~ (** Tahran OIIE Imam Khomaini International Airport \bigcirc Tahran Mehrahad Intl/ OIII OIII RS RS \bigcirc OIYY Yazd Shahid Sadooghi International Airport RS **~** V Zahedan OIZH Zahedan International Airport × X × Al-Najaf Al-Naiaf Al-Ashraf International Airport ORNI RNS X X X Baghdad Baghdad International Airport ORBI RS ORMM RS X × X Basrah Basrah International Airport X × X ORER RS IRQ × X × Mosul ORBM RS losul International Airport X X Sulaymaniyah International Airport \bigcirc \bigcirc OJAI Queen Alia International Airport 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% Jordan \bigcirc \bigcirc King Hussein International Airport OJAQ

MID Region Aerodromes Safety Dashboard Aerodrome Traffic Total # AD Certification Implementation AD Local RST Establishment AD Readiness for GRF Deployment Location of AD Density **Aerodrome Name** Designation National GRF State Indicator y Code (AOP (AOP Table I-I) (AOP Table I-I) Certified Level of Implementation Established Level of Implementation Level of Deployment Implementation Plan Progress (AOP Table I-I) Table I-I) 100.00% \bigcirc 100.00% ✓ KWT KUWAIT OKRK Kuwait Kuwait International Airport × × Rafic Hariri International Airport X × X Benina International Airport HLLB RS RENGHA7I 0.00% Libya × X X HLLS RS Sebba International Airport × × X Tripoli International Airport HLLT RS \bigcirc \bigcirc \checkmark Muscat International Airport RS 100.00% 100.00% Oman 100.00% 100.00% \bigcirc \bigcirc OOSA AS Salalah Salalah International Airport \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc OTBD Doha International Airport 100.00% 100.00% Qatar 100.00% 100.00% \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc Hamad International Airport отнн

MID Region Aerodromes Safety Dashboard Aerodrome Traffic Total # AD Local RST Establishment AD Certification Implementation AD Readiness for GRF Deployment Location of AD Density **Aerodrome Name** Designation **National GRF** State City Indicator (AOP Table I-I) (AOP Table I-I) y Code (AOP Certified Level of Implementation Established Level of Implementation Level of Deployment Implementation Plan Progress Ready (AOP Table I-I) Table I-I) \bigcirc OFDE DAMMAM King Fahd International Airport \bigcirc \bigcirc King Abdulaziz International Airport OFIN Saudi Arabia SAU Prince Mohammad Bin Abdulaziz \bigcirc \bigcirc OEMA RS MADINAL \checkmark \checkmark DIVADH King Khalid International Airport OFRK \bigcirc \bigcirc EL OBEID HSOB AS \bigcirc \bigcirc KHARTOUM HSSS RS Sudan \bigcirc \bigcirc AS NVALA Ivala International Airport HSNN \checkmark HSPN RS PORT SUDAN Port Sudan International Airport Aleppo International Airport OSAP RS X \bigcirc × X RS DAMASCHS Damascus International Airport OSDI SYR × X OSLK RS ΙΔΤΤΔΚΙΔ Lattakia International Airport ✓ ABU DHABI V **S S S S** \bigcirc RNS V V ✓ **⊘** RS OMDW RS \bigcirc UAF ARE DUBAI OMBD 100.00% V RS **⊘** \bigcirc \bigcirc \checkmark RAS AL KHAIMAH OMRK RS Ras Al Khaimah International Airport \checkmark \bigcirc \checkmark × ADFN Aden International Airport OYAA RS X OYHD RS × X HODEIDAH Hodeidah International Airport × X × RS YEM MUKALLA Riyan International Airport OYRN RS SANA'A Sana'a International Airport OYSN × RS X TAIZ OYTZ Taiz International Airport

MID Region Aerodromes Safety Dashboard													
State	Region Code	Total # of AD (AOP Table I-I)		AD Ce Certified	rtification Implementation Level of Implementation	AD L	ocal RST Establishment Level of Implementation	AD Rea	Level of Deployment	National GRF Implementation Plan Progress		Density Medium	_
MID REGION AERODROMES SAFETY DASHBOARD	MID	58		34	58.62%	42	72.41%	38	65.52%	64.44%	38	17	3

General Guidance:

• Country Code : ISO 3-Letter Code of the Country

• City/Aerodrome: Name of the city and aerodrome, preceded by the location indicator.

Designation: Operability of the aerodrome as indicated on the MID eANP Vol I (AOP Table I-1);

RS : international scheduled air transport, regular use; RNS : international non-scheduled air transport, regular use; AS : international scheduled air transport, alternate use; ANS : international non-scheduled air transport, alternate use.

Note 1: when an aerodrome is needed for more than one type of use, normally only the use highest on the above list is shown.

[Example: an aerodrome required for both RS and AS use would only be shown as RS in the list.]

Note 2: when the aerodrome is located on an island and no particular city or town is served by the aerodrome, the name of the island is included instead of the name of a city.

• Aerodrome certification process:

- **Phase 1**: Dealing with the expression of interest by an intending applicant for the aerodrome certificate;
- Phase 2: Assessing the formal application, including evaluation of the aerodrome manual;
- **Phase 3**: Assessing the aerodrome facilities and equipment:
- Phase 4: Issuing or refusing an aerodrome certificate; and
- Phase 5: Promulgating the certified status of an aerodrome and the required details in the AIP.

Aerodrome Traffic Density

- a) Light. The number of movements in the mean busy hour is not greater than 15 per runway or typically less than 20 total aerodrome movements.
- b) Medium. The number of movements in the mean busy hour is of the order of 16 to 25 per runway or typically between 20 to 35 total aerodrome movements.
- c) Heavy. The number of movements in the mean busy hour is of the order of 26 or more per runway or typically more than 35 total aerodrome movements.

<u>Note 1.</u> The number of movements in the mean busy hour is the arithmetic mean over the year of the number of movements in the daily busiest hour. **Note 2.** Either a take-off or a landing constitutes a movement.