

---

Presentation on suggested improvements  
based on verification experience.

# Your presenter

---



**Mathias Grossmann**

# Introduction to Verification

---

- **Goals of the verification:**

1. Ensure that the list of flights is complete
2. Ensure that the emissions reported are free from material misstatements
3. Ensure that the procedures in the EMP comply with Annex 16, Vol IV and ETM
4. Ensure that the procedures implemented comply with the approved EMP

- **Timeline:**

The verification process can take just a few days if the operator is responsive. Very often, this process takes several weeks or even months with those operators not fully engaged in the process !!

# Observation & Suggestion No. 1

---

- **Observation:** Common missing information among most approved Emissions Monitoring Plans (EMPs). These include:
  1. Wet-lease in procedures
  2. Input type to be used when using CERT for data gaps
  3. Procedure to handle flights with offsetting requirements
- **Suggestion:** A detailed review of the Verification Reports submitted should be performed by State Authorities. Authorities should liaise with the operators to ensure that EMPs are updated.

# Observation & Suggestion No. 2

---

- **Observation:** Common inaccuracies among most EMPs were observed. These include:
  1. The data retention period of documents is indicated as being less than 10 years.
  2. Secondary source of data is irrelevant with regards to the selected method.
  3. Procedures to handle flights with no monitoring requirements are not precisely mentioned.
  4. The procedure to handle data gaps is incorrect.
- **Suggestion:** A refresher training for all the operator staff involved in CORSIA related procedures is highly recommended.

# Observation & Suggestion No. 3 (1/2)

---

- **Observation:** A very casual attitude among the operators was observed with regards to the respect of deadlines. For example:
  - The operator engages with the Verification Bodies only a few days before the reporting deadline.
  - The operators do not respond for weeks, and sometimes months.
- **Suggestion:** It is recommended that State Authorities should encourage their aeroplane operators to ensure timely reporting and verification of their CORSIA emissions.

# Observation & Suggestion No. 3 (2/2)

---

- **Tips:**

1. Frequent emails exchanges with the operators
2. Encourage the operator to perform pre-verification  
*Verification can start 6 months before the end of the reporting year*
3. Organize (if necessary) calls between the operator, the Verification Body and the State Authority  
*It is important to involve the verifier, especially when setting a deadline to an operator*

# Key message

---

Verification Bodies (VB) are the "V" of MRV (Monitoring, Reporting and Verification). VBs play a crucial role in the credibility and robustness of CORSIA. Without us, CORSIA would not be trusted by the general public.

**WE** (ICAO, Competent Authorities, Airlines and VBs) are all part of the same team, and we should play all together.

VBs have field's / hands-on expertise and ICAO/State Authorities could benefit from our knowledge. By considering our inputs, CORSIA implementation could be a smoother experience for all stakeholders!



Any Questions?

---

**THANK YOU !**